## $_{1}$ Upper Ocean O<sub>2</sub> trends: 1958-2015

Takamitsu Ito<sup>1</sup>, Shoshiro Minobe<sup>2,3</sup>, Matthew C. Long<sup>4</sup>, and Curtis

 $\mathrm{Deutsch}^5$ 

T. Ito, School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA. (taka.ito@eas.gatech.edu)

<sup>1</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta,

Georgia, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Natural History Sciences,

Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido

University, Sapporo, Japan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary

Sciences, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido

University, Sapporo, Japan.

<sup>4</sup>Climate and Global Dynamics

Laboratory, National Center for

Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado,

USA.

<sup>5</sup>School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

## <sup>2</sup> Contents of this file

- $_{3}$  1. Table S1: O<sub>2</sub>-heat relationship
- <sup>4</sup> 2. Figure S1: Observational data coverage
- $_{5}$  3. Figure S2: True and sub-sampled O<sub>2</sub> inventory time series from CESM-LE
- $_{6}$  4. Figure S3: Global and hemispheric O<sub>2</sub> time series at 100m
- $_{7}$  5. Figure S4: Global and hemispheric O<sub>2</sub> time series at 400m

Table S1.  $O_2$  trend and  $O_2$ -heat relationship The linear trend of  $O_2$  inventories are calculated for the depth ranges of 0-100m, 100-200m, 200-400m, 400-700m, 700-1000m, and 0-1000m for the time period of 1958 to 2015.  $O_2$ -heat relationships are calculated by the linear regression for the same depth ranges. The uncertainties are based on one standard error. The units for the  $O_2$  trend is  $10^{12}$ mol yr<sup>-1</sup> or Tmol yr<sup>-1</sup>. The units for the regression coefficient are nmol  $O_2$  J<sup>-1</sup>.

| Depth range | Linear trend     | O <sub>2</sub> -heat ratio |
|-------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 0-100m      | $-1.96 \pm 1.27$ | $-1.82 \pm 0.40$           |
| 100-200m    | $-3.30 \pm 0.96$ | $-5.43 \pm 0.81$           |
| 200-400m    | $-7.24 \pm 2.42$ | $-8.35 \pm 0.74$           |
| 400-700m    | $-7.21 \pm 4.11$ | $-10.7 \pm 1.06$           |
| 700-1,000m  | $-4.60 \pm 2.07$ | $-9.63 \pm 0.90$           |
| 0-1,000m    | $-24.3 \pm 12.4$ | $-8.20 \pm 0.66$           |

**Figure S1.** Temporal data coverage shows the geographic distribution of monitoring frequency. The resolution is 1x1 degree in longitude-latitude grid. Temporal data coverage is calculated by counting the number of annually binned observed O2 data for the period of 1958-2015 in each grid cell. The map indicates that the western North Pacific, the California coast, and the mid-latitude North Atlantic are among the most well-sampled regions.

Figure S2. True and sub-sampled  $O_2$  inventory time series from CESM-LE. Each panel shows the comparison between the true  $O_2$  inventory (black) and the sub-sampled and normalized  $O_2$  inventory (red) for the upper 1,000m of the global ocean. The normalization follows eq 1 of the main text. The simulated  $O_2$  fields are first interpolated onto the same grid as the observational dataset, and the climatological mean is subtracted. The sub-sampling is performed according to the actual sampling of the observational data.

Figure S3. (a) Global  $O_2$  time series at the depth of 100m. Data points are weighted by the cosine of latitude. Black is  $O_2$ , Red and Green are  $O_2$  saturation based on ORAS4 and SODA2.2.4 respectively. Blue is (-1) x AOU. (b) Trend matrix is formed by taking linear trend of  $O_2$  with different starting and ending years. Color shading shows the magnitude of the trend. Hatching is applied for positive/negative definite trends with 95% CI using the method of adjusted standard error and adjusted degree of freedom following Santer et al. [2000]. (c,d) the same as (a,b) but for the northern hemispheric data points only. (e,f) the same as (a,b) but for the southern hemispheric data points only.

Figure S4. Same as S1 but for 400m.