Feeding ecology of two deep-sea skates bycaught on demersal longlines off Kerguelen Islands, Southern Indian Ocean

Faure Johanna ^{1, *}, Gasco Nicolas ¹, Bonillo Céline ¹, Munaron Jean-Marie ², Cherel Yves ³, Péron Clara ¹

¹ Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), UMR 8067 – MNHN, CNRS, IRD, SU, UCN, UA, 75005, Paris, France

² Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, LEMAR, IUEM, 29280, Plouzané, France

³ Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé (CEBC), UMR 7372 du CNRS - La Rochelle Université, 79360, Villiers-en-Bois, France

* Corresponding author : Johanna Faure, email address : johanna.faure@mnhn.fr

Abstract :

Elasmobranchs, and particularly skates (Rajiforms), are commonly caught in fisheries worldwide as targeted catch or bycatch. Their life history traits make them particularly sensitive to elevated fishing mortality, especially in slow-growing deep-sea species. Knowledge of their ecology is key to ensure effective conservation and mitigation measures, especially dietary references to understand trophic relationships within the ecosystem. This study presents the first comprehensive description of diet composition and trophic position of two skate species (Bathyraja eatonii and B. irrasa) caught as bycatch in the French Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus eleginoides) fishery in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean (Kerguelen waters). Stomach content and stable isotopic analyses were performed on both species according to sex and maturity stage. DNA barcoding analysis was performed on prey tissue samples to identify or confirm identification of prev items found in stomachs. Overall, both skate species were generalist feeders with high δ15N values. The higher δ15N values of B. irrasa result in a higher estimated trophic position than B. eatonii. The diet of B. eatonii mainly consisted of fish, pelagic crustaceans and souids, while B, irrasa preved more on benthic crustaceans, fish and annelids, While sex had no effect on diet and trophic position for both species, maturity stage influenced the prev composition of B. irrasa. Annelids and isopods were prevalent in the stomachs of immature individuals of B, irrasa, while mature individuals fed more on decapods and fish. Our results revealed a wide range of prey items for these two generalist predators (>57 species). Understanding the diet, trophic position and trophic relationships of B. eatonii and B. irrasa is critical to a better comprehension of the impact of the exploitation of top predators on the subantarctic demersal ecosystem.

Highlights

▶ First study of feeding ecology of two deep-water skates in Kerguelen waters. ▶ 73% of prey items identified at the species level using traditional methods and DNA barcoding. ▶ *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B. irrasa* are generalist predators (>57 species) with high trophic positions. ▶ Both species feed mostly on benthic preys and the proportion of pelagic preys such as myctophids is higher for *B. eatonii*. ▶ *B. irrasa* shows ontogenetic shift in feeding ecology related to maturity stage.

Keywords : Trophic niche, Top predators, Bathyraja, Stable isotopes, DNA barcoding, stomach contents

51 **1. Introduction**

52 For the last decades, elasmobranch populations have experienced strong declines in large part due to 53 overfishing (Barbini et al., 2020; Oliver et al., 2015; Worm et al., 2013). Elasmobranchs and especially 54 skates (Rajiforms) are commonly caught in fisheries as targeted but also as non-targeted catch (termed "bycatch") and discarded (Oliver et al., 2015; Stevens et al., 2000). Furthermore, their specific life-55 56 history traits – long life span, slow growth and late age at maturity – make them intrinsically sensitive 57 to elevated fishing mortality (Brander, 1981; Davidson et al., 2016; Dulvy et al., 2014; Dulvy and 58 Reynolds, 2002; Field et al., 2009). Bycatch has become an issue for global fisheries management and 59 conservation due to increasing awareness of anthropogenic impacts on the environment (Soykan et al., 60 2008). Following the holistic approach of ecosystem-based fisheries management, there is increasing pressure on the fishing industry to address the unintended consequences of fishing (Pikitch et al., 2004; 61 62 European Union, 2013). Managing environmental impacts of fishing is therefore a priority, including 63 improving baseline knowledge on species affected by fisheries (Stobutzki et al., 2001; Tschopp et al., 2020). 64

An important step in the conservation and management of skate populations is to understand 65 their feeding ecology, which can be done by studying their feeding habits and trophic interactions. 66 Although skates are commonly considered as top predators alongside pinnipeds, seabirds and large 67 68 teleost fish, important differences in their feeding strategies exist between species, with some skates 69 being considered as generalists and other species as specialist consumers (Cortés, 1999; Barría et al., 70 2018). Generalist species are likely to be less vulnerable to anthropogenic pressures, such as fishing, 71 because of their wide trophic niche (Barbini et al., 2020; Gallagher et al., 2015; Matich et al., 2011). 72 On the contrary, diet specialization is associated with narrow trophic niche and thus specialist species 73 are likely to be more vulnerable to environmental changes in marine ecosystems, especially if their 74 main prey becomes less abundant in the environment (Barbini et al., 2020). Stomach content analysis 75 is widely used in feeding ecology studies and provides information about the prey species consumed;

76 however, different rates of prey digestion and rare prey items may bias diet determination (Brown et 77 al., 2012). For this reason, stable isotope analysis (SIA) have been used as a complementary method for a more integrative diet study (Matich et al., 2011). In most cases, SIA does not allow prey 78 79 determination at the species level, but it provides information about trophic pathways through food 80 webs and patterns in resource use (Blanco-Parra et al., 2012; Crook et al., 2019). In marine ecological studies, carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N) isotopic values of predator tissues are frequently used. δ^{13} C 81 82 varies little along the food chain and therefore provides an indication of the primary production source responsible for the energy flow in a food web. In contrast, consumers are enriched in δ^{15} N relative to 83 their food and thus δ^{15} N indicates their relative trophic position within the food web (Cherel and 84 85 Hobson, 2007; Hussey et al., 2012).

Three species of skates are caught as bycatch in the demersal longline fisheries targeting 86 87 Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus eleginoides) within the area of the Southern Indian Ocean under 88 regulation of the Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR; 89 CCAMLR Secretariat, 2014). Two of them, the Eaton's skate (Bathyraja eatonii) and the Kerguelen 90 sandpaper skate (B. irrasa), are frequently caught on the slopes of the Kerguelen Plateau (49° S, 69° E), 91 where a French fishery operates between 500 and 2,000 m deep (Nowara et al., 2017; Ziegler et al., 92 2021). They are large deep-sea skates (up to 115 cm total length (TL) for *B. eatonii* and 130 cm (TL) 93 for B. irrasa) with partially overlapping bathymetric ranges (100 – 1,200 m for B. eatonii and 800 – 94 1,800 m for B. irrasa) and constitute a major component of benthic fish biomass on the Kerguelen 95 Plateau (Duhamel et al., 2019, 2005; Nowara et al., 2017). B. eatonii is found on continental shelves 96 and slopes of all subantarctic islands and the Antarctic continent while B. irrasa is endemic to the 97 Kerguelen Plateau (Stehmann and Bürkel, 1990). In the highly regulated Kerguelen fishery, measures 98 have been implemented to reduce skate by catch such as move-on rules, allocation of individual fishing 99 quotas based on the amount of skate bycatch, as well as the provision of support tools for spatial 100 avoidance of high-density areas (TAAF, 2019). Despite these mitigation measures, skate bycatch still

represents about 250 tons (5% of total catch) in the Kerguelen longline fishery, of which 90% are released at sea with potentially high survival chance (CCAMLR Secretariat, 2022). Given that their post-released survival is unknown, our ability to assess the impact of the fishery on skate populations and demersal ecosystems remains limited (Valls et al., 2011; Wetherbee and Cortés, 2004).

105 Studying food habits of these two species is one of the prerequisites to understand their 106 ecological role in the ecosystem and subsequently the impact of their exploitation on demersal 107 communities (Blanco-Parra et al., 2012; Brown-Vuillemin et al., 2020; Cailliet et al., 2005; Matich et 108 al., 2011; Navia et al., 2007). Deep-sea skates are often generalists, with diets composed of annelids, 109 crustaceans, cephalopods and fish; but prey group contribution varies with skate size. Many skate 110 species show ontogenetic changes in diet, with small individuals often feeding on crustaceans and 111 large individuals on fish (Barbini et al., 2018; Belleggia et al., 2008; Brown et al., 2012; Koen Alonso, 112 2001; Main and Collins, 2011). Furthermore, ecological niche partitioning can arise among species 113 sharing similar morphology and habitat when they are competing for limited resources, as described 114 in Bathyraja species found over the Patagonian shelf, which specialize either on fish, annelids or 115 crustaceans (Tschopp et al., 2020). Understanding the trophic relationship of coexisting species can 116 indicate whether they share similar ecological niches, and whether they should be considered as a 117 functional unit, or should be managed separately (Barría et al., 2018).

118 Unraveling feeding ecology of B. eatonii and B. irrasa on the Kerguelen Plateau is therefore 119 crucial to assess their vulnerability and the potential ecosystem impact of skate biomass reduction 120 (Bizzarro et al., 2007; Ebert and Bizzarro, 2007). As a leader in ecosystem-based fishery management, 121 CCAMLR emphasizes the need to ensure efficient ecosystem fishery management and biodiversity 122 conservation of skate bycatch species (CCAMLR Secretariat, 2014). Thus, in this study we undertook 123 the first comprehensive study of feeding ecology of *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa* off the Kerguelen Plateau 124 through stomach content and SIA analyses. The identification of prey species was confirmed using 125 DNA barcoding when muscle tissues were available. Our main objectives were to i) describe diet of

- *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa*; ii) test for potential differences in their feeding ecology related to sex and
 maturity stage, and iii) provide information on their role in the marine food web. Finally, we discuss
 our results in the context of ecosystem-based management and bycatch mitigation.
- 129

130 **2. Materials and Methods**

131 2.1. Data collection

132 Sampling was carried out onboard the French commercial longliners Ile de la Réunion II (March to 133 June 2020, and March to May 2021) and Cap Kersaint (March to June 2021) within the Kerguelen 134 Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean (Figures 1.A and 1.B) at 135 depths ranging from 500 to 1600 m (Figures 1.C and 1.D). Stomachs and tissues were collected from 136 dead individuals. All individuals were identified, sexed, weighed and measured (total and pelvic 137 lengths) following guidelines provided by the French Southern Ocean Fishery Observer Program (Gasco, 2011). Following these guidelines, maturity stage was also determined using the internal 138 139 development of the reproductive system for females: immatures have small and undeveloped ovaries 140 with no visible ovarian follicles, while maturing females present developing follicles, typically small 141 and white, and mature females show big, fully developed ovaries with the presence of large and yellow 142 yolk-filled follicles. Immature males, have undeveloped testes, and claspers smaller than pelvic fins, 143 while maturing males present developing testes associated with noncalcified claspers longer than 144 pelvic fins, and matures show big and fully developed testes with the presence of large lobules with 145 long and fully calcified claspers. Whole stomachs were collected after palpation to minimize the risk 146 of collecting empty stomachs. When a stomach contained food items, strings were tied around the 147 esophagus and the gut before removing it. A total of 101 and 98 stomachs were collected from B. 148 eatonii and B. irrasa, respectively. Tissue samples from the dorsal-anterior muscle of the body were 149 collected for stable isotope analysis from individuals from which stomachs had also been collected. A 150 total of 69 and 73 muscle samples were collected from *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa*, respectively. Stomachs 151 were stored deep-frozen (-20°C) and tissue samples were kept in ethanol (90%). Location and depth



152 were reported for each capture event.

153

Figure 1. (Two-column fitting image) Location of skate bycatch (grey) and samples collected
for A) *Bathyraja eatonii* (in blue) and C) *B. irrasa* (in yellow). Isobaths 500, 1,000 and 2,000 m
are indicated on the map. Distribution of mean depth of hauls where samples of B) *B. eatonii* and
D) *B. irrasa* were collected. Dark and light shading indicate immature and mature individuals,
respectively.

159

160 2.2. Stomach content analysis

A few empty stomachs were discarded from the analysis (n = 5 for *B. irrasa*). Whole stomachs were thawed and their content was weighed to the nearest 0.01 g using an electronic scale. Accumulated prey items (mainly cephalopod beaks without flesh attached, fish eye lenses and worn otoliths) were subsequently sorted and analyzed separately from fresh prey items (Cherel et al., 2000). Fresh remains were sorted into four prey classes (fish, cephalopods, crustaceans and others) that were weighed to the nearest 0.01 g. If present, the bait (the Atlantic mackerel *Scomber scombrus* that does not occur in the fishing area) was also weighed and counted, but it was not included in further analyses. Identification

168 of prey was based on the examination of the remaining hard structures, including sclerotized beaks for 169 cephalopods, otoliths, jaws and caudal bones for fishes, and exoskeletal remains for crustaceans. Prev 170 items were counted and identified to the lowest taxonomic level using reference materials held in the 171 Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé (CEBC-CNRS, France) and by reference to the available 172 literature including Williams and McEldowney (1990) and Duhamel et al. (2005) for fish, Boltovskoy 173 (1999) for crustaceans, and Xavier and Cherel (2021) for cephalopods. Species names of cephalopods 174 followed a recent review on Southern Ocean squids that includes taxonomic revisions conducted over 175 the last decades (Cherel, 2020).

176

177 2.3. DNA barcoding and prey identification

178 Tissue samples (dorsal muscle in fish and mantle muscle in cephalopods) were collected either from 179 nearly intact and well identified prey items to build up a reference collection, or from digested and 180 undetermined prey items to help identification. Muscle samples (10 mg) were stored in ethanol (90%) 181 and DNA barcoding was conducted on 47 prey items. DNA was extracted using Qiagen DNA 182 extraction kit (QIAamp DNA Micro Kit). The COI region was amplified using the following primer 183 sets: (a) FishF1 and FishR1; (b) TelF1 and TelR1, (c) LCO1490, HCO2198, mlCOIintF, dgHCO2198 184 (Table A.1). PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 20 µL with 0.625 U of Qiagen Taq DNA polymerase containing 3 ng DNA, 1 × reaction buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 0.26 mM dNTP, 185 186 0.3 mM of each primer, 5% DMSO. The PCR thermal regime consisted of an initial denaturation step 187 of 5 min at 94°C followed by 40 cycles of denaturing (40 s, 94°C), annealing (30 s, 52°C), and 188 elongation (30 s at 72°C) with a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were purified and 189 Sanger sequenced in both directions by Eurofins sequencing (Germany). The obtained sequences were 190 visualized, assembled and edited using Geneious 11.1.5. (Genes Code Corporation - Kearse et al., 191 2012). A BLASTn search was performed to identify sequences with the best hits from the genomic 192 sequence databases, NCBI and BOLD systems (Altschul et al., 1990; Benson et al., 2005;

- 193 Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007). Species identification was confirmed when the degree of similarity
 194 between the obtained sequences and reference sequences was higher than 99%.
- 195

196 2.4. Diet comparisons

197 Diet comparisons were conducted using fresh items, meaning that the few stomachs containing 198 accumulated prey items only (n = 3 for B. eatonii) were considered empty. In the same way, stomachs 199 containing only the bait were discarded (n = 3 for *B. eatonii*, and n = 1 for *B. irrasa*) and not included 200 in further analyses. Euphausiacea, Mysida and small amphipods such as Gammaridea spp. and 201 Themisto gaudichaudii were considered as secondary prey that were incidentally ingested with primary 202 prey, because they are known to be major food items of bathypelagic fish and cephalopods in the Southern Ocean (Cherel and Hobson, 2005; Gaskett et al., 2001). These species were often associated 203 204 with damaged visceral cavity of their likely predators but this information was not reported. They were 205 consequently not considered as skate prey and excluded from statistical analysis; this was also the case 206 for parasites (Table A.2). Some stomachs containing only incidentally ingested prey or parasites were 207 therefore excluded (n = 4 for *B. eatonii*, and n = 1 for *B. irrasa*). Thus, a total of 91 stomach contents 208 were statistically analyzed for both *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa*.

209 Prey items were grouped into one of the following seven ecological dietary categories: pelagic 210 fish, benthic and demersal fish, pelagic crustaceans, benthic crustaceans, squids, octopus and worms 211 (including Polychaeta and Priapulida). Cumulative prey curves were constructed using the prey species 212 and prey categories for each skate species against the randomly pooled number of analyzed stomachs 213 to check if a sufficient number of stomach contents had been collected to accurately describe the diet 214 of each predator (Cortés, 1997). Curves were generated after 100 randomizations of the original data 215 using the Vegan Community Ecology package (Oksanen et al., 2020) in R-Studio, version 4.1 (R Core 216 Team 2021). When curves approached an asymptote, it was considered that sufficient stomach samples 217 had been processed to describe the diet. To statistically assess the adequacy of sample size, a linear

regression was performed on the final five points of the curve. The levelling off of the prey curve was considered acceptable when the slope was b < 0.05 (Brown et al., 2012). To test dietary differences, males and females of each species were separated into maturity classes, with immature individuals separated from maturing and mature individuals (hereafter 'mature individuals').

222 Percent frequencies of occurrence and number of each prey group were calculated for each 223 skate species by sex and maturity stage. Comparisons of diet composition (frequency of occurrence of 224 each prey category) between species, maturity stages and sexes were assessed using nonmetric 225 multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities using species 226 presence/absence data (Brown-Vuillemin et al., 2020). Ordination mean plots were constructed though bootstrap averages (n = 100). We also tested the correlation of environmental variables (longitude, 227 228 latitude and depth of sampling) with the ordination configuration to investigate environmental drivers 229 for the composition of dietary samples and test for sensibility to sampling. The significance of fitted vectors was assessed using a permutation of environmental variables (n = 999). Analyses of similarities 230 231 (ANOSIM) also based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities were used to test the significance of the observed 232 patterns in the NMDS (Somerfield et al., 2021). When a difference was established by ANOSIM, a 233 similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) was performed to investigate which prey category was 234 mainly responsible for diet differentiation within groups. nMDS, ANOSIM and SIMPER were 235 performed using R-Studio and the Vegan Community Ecology package (Oksanen et al., 2020).

236

237 2.5. Stable isotope analysis

Muscle samples were dried and powdered before extracting lipids using cyclohexane ($C_{6}H_{12}$). Since lipids are depleted in ¹³C compared to proteins, lipid content can significantly affect the relative abundance of ¹³C in consumer tissues (Bodin et al., 2007; Hussey et al., 2012; Post et al., 2007). Relative abundance of ¹³C and ¹⁵N were obtained from ~0.5 mg of delipidated samples packed in tin capsules, that were then measured by continuous flow on a Flash EA 2000 elemental analyzer (Thermo

243 Scientific, Milan, Italy) coupled to a Delta V Plus, isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, 244 Bremen, Germany) at the Pôle Spectrométrie Océan (Plouzané, France). For reference standards, an in house standard Thermo-Acetanilide, USGS-61 (certified values : $\delta^{15}N = -2.87 \pm 0.04 \% / \delta^{13}C = -$ 245 35.05 ± 0.04 ‰ and measured values : $\delta^{15}N = -2.94 \pm 0.07$ ‰ / $\delta^{13}C = -35.07 \pm 0.06$ ‰), USGS-62 246 (certified values : $\delta^{15}N = +20.17 \pm 0.06 \ \% \ / \ \delta^{13}C = -14.79 \pm 0.04 \ \%$ and measured values : $\delta^{15}N = -14.79 \pm 0.04 \ \%$ 247 $20.13 \pm 0.12 \ \% \ / \ \delta^{13}C = -14.77 \pm 0.09 \ \%$) and USGS-63 (certified values : $\delta^{15}N = +37.83 \pm 0.06 \ \%$ 248 $/\delta^{13}C = -1.17 \pm 0.04$ ‰ and measured values : $\delta^{15}N = +37.84 \pm 0.15$ ‰ $/\delta^{13}C = -1.15 \pm 0.09$ ‰) were 249 250 used for isotopic corrections and to assign the data to the appropriate isotopic scale. Results were 251 reported in δ unit notation and expressed as parts per thousand relative to international standards (atmospheric N₂ for nitrogen and Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite for carbon). Analytical precision based 252 253 on replicate measurements after every six samples using the in house standard Thermo-Acetanilide was < 0.17 % for both δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values. C:N mass ratios were determined from percentage 254 255 element weights.

Values are mean \pm SD. Statistical tests were conducted using R-Studio and the Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests package (Kassambara, 2021). Normality was assessed using a Shapiro-Wilk test. We evaluated possible differences in δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values between sexes and maturity classes within species and between species using Student's t-test when data were normally distributed; otherwise Mann-Whitney test was used. Assuming a level of significance of 0.05, the null hypothesis was rejected if the *p* value was < 0.05.

262

263 **3. Results**

264 *3.1. Prey identification*

One thousand and fifteen fresh prey items were found in the pooled stomach contents. Most were identified to species level based on morphological identification: 61% for fish (n = 74 prey items), 63% for cephalopods (n = 25 prey items) and 71% for crustaceans (n = 473 prey items). Among these

268 specimens, 47 identifications were checked by DNA barcoding. The method failed in nine samples, 269 but it worked on the 38 remaining samples, thus confirming the species identification of 25 fish and 270 five cephalopods. Among morphologically unidentifiable prey items, four fish (Gymnoscopelus 271 piabilis, Luciosudis normani, Macrourus whitsoni and Paraliparis thalassobathyalis) and four 272 cephalopods (three Muusoctopus thielei and one Filipovia knipovitchi) were identified through 273 barcoding analysis. At the family level 8.4% (n = 85) of prey items remained undetermined and only 274 one prey item remained unidentified at all taxonomic levels. This remaining unidentified prey item 275 was the only occurrence in the stomach in which it was found; the sample was therefore not included 276 in further analysis (n = 1 for *B. eatonii*).

277

278 *3.2. Diet composition and intraspecific comparison*

279 While stomach contents were rarely empty when analyzed in the laboratory, more than half of the 280 skates sampled onboard were considered to have empty stomachs (based on palpation), and thus were 281 not sampled. Cumulative prey curves indicated sample sizes did not reach asymptote (b < 0.05) at the 282 species level but did using prey categories (Fig. A.1 and Fig. A.2). Sample sizes were thus sufficient to 283 describe the overall diet composition of *B. eatonii* and to investigate differences between sex groups, 284 but not sufficient for maturity groups with only four samples within the group of immature individuals 285 (Fig. A.3 and Fig. A.4). For B. irrasa, sample sizes were sufficient to describe the overall diet 286 composition at the prev category level, as well as to investigate differences between both sex and maturity groups. Thus, only mature individual diet composition was analyzed for intraspecific 287 288 differences between sexes for B. eatonii, while interspecific differences were explored for mature 289 individuals only.

290

291 *3.2.1. Bathyraja eatonii*

A total of 90 individuals (64 females and 26 males) of *B. eatonii* were used for diet composition and intraspecific comparison. *B. eatonii* ranged from 54 to 108 cm TL with only four stomachs collected from immature individuals (Figure 2.A).

295

296



Total length (cm)

Figure 2. (1.5-column fitting image) Length distribution of individuals of A) *Bathyraja eatonii*(in blue) and B) *B. irrasa* (in yellow). Dark and light shading indicate immature and mature
individuals in stacked bars, respectively.

301 Stomach content analysis indicated that the diet of *B. eatonii* was dominated by fish, which accounted for 68% of the diet by fresh mass (Table 1). Other significant food sources were crustaceans 302 303 (18%) and cephalopods (13%), while worms, mostly polychaetas, were negligible (<1% by mass). On 304 average, stomach samples contained 2.4 prey items. For mature individuals only (n = 86), a total of 305 210 fresh prey items from at least 44 species were found. Crustaceans were predominant, occurring in 306 55 food samples (64%) and the most prevalent by number (54% - Table 2). Fish and cephalopods 307 occurred in 54 and 14 dietary samples (63% and 16% respectively) and ranked second and third by 308 number (35% and 9%, respectively).

309 *Bathyraja eatonii* mature individuals fed on a large diversity of crustaceans (11 species), with 310 the isopod *Ceratoserolis trilobitoides* being the most important crustacean prey (30% by number). 311 Other crustacean prey items were the decapod *Campylonotus capensis* (7%) and specimens from the 312 genus *Pasiphaea* (5%). The second most important group by number was fish, with 25 species. Two

families dominated the fish diet. Myctophids ranked first (7% by number, at least 6 different species), with *Gymnoscopelus piabilis* being the most abundant species (2%). Paralepidids were also common prey (7%), but their high level of digestion precluded identifying them to the species level, except for two individuals of *Magnisudis prionosa*. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordinations and statistical analyses were unable to identify differences in dietary composition between females and males for *B. eatonii* (ANOSIM, global *R* statistic 0.03, p = 0.151 - Figure 3.A).

Analysis of accumulated beaks increased the number of cephalopod prey, with the onychoteuthid *Filipovia knipovitchi* being predominant (n = 5). Accumulated beaks added two taxa to the skates' diets, namely the ommastrephid *Todarodes* sp. (n = 2) and the histioteuthid *Histioteuthis eltaninae* (n = 3). Fish accumulated items included five individuals from counting eye lenses, and seven individuals from analyzing worn otoliths, four of which were morid fish.





Figure 3. **(Two-column fitting image)** Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordinations of the occurrence of different prey categories in dietary samples of: A) *Bathyraja eatonii* with S.E. ellipses based on sex and *B. irrasa* with B) S.E. ellipses based on sex and C) S.E. ellipses based on maturity. Females are in dark shades (plain circles) and males in light shades (diamonds). Immature individuals are in dark shades (square) and matures in light shades (triangles).

- 331
- 332
- 333

334 3.2.2. Bathyraja irrasa

A total of 91 individuals (49 females and 42 males) of *B. irrasa* were used for diet composition and intraspecific comparison. *B. irrasa* ranged from 56 to 125 cm TL (Figure 2.B).

337 According to stomach content analysis, the diet of *B. irrasa* was dominated by crustaceans and 338 cephalopods, which accounted for 45% and 33% by fresh mass of the diet, respectively (Table 1). Other significant food sources were fish (19%), while worms were only minor prey items (3%). In 339 340 average, stomach samples contained 8.8 prey items. A total of 797 fresh prey items from at least 39 341 prey species were found. Prey items were dominated by crustaceans (548 prey items) occurring in 81 342 food samples (89%) and ranked first by number (69%). Worms ranked second by occurrence and 343 number (63% by occurrence and 23% by number) and fish ranked third (37% by occurrence and 6% 344 by number).

345 Bathyraja irrasa fed on a large diversity of crustaceans (13 species), of which isopods were the 346 main prey taxon. Statistical analyses were unable to identify differences in the dietary composition 347 between females and males (ANOSIM, global R statistic -0.005, p < 0.571 - Figure 3.B). However, 348 nMDS ordinations indicated dietary segregation between immature and mature individuals (n = 46 and 349 n = 45) (ANOSIM, global R statistic 0.134, p < 0.001 - Figure 3.C). The most important prey species 350 was the isopod *Brucerolis bromleyana*, although they were found in greater occurrence and abundance 351 in immature (70% and 35%, respectively) than mature skates (33% and 26%). Other isopods were 352 recorded almost exclusively in the diet of immature individuals, namely Sursumura sp. (57% and 21%) 353 and Ceratoserolis trilobitoides (15% and 8%). In contrast, the decapod Thymopides grobovi was less 354 frequently found in the diet of immature (17%) than mature individuals (58%). This is also highlighted 355 by the SIMPER test showing that benthic crustaceans (including Isopoda) had a substantial 356 contribution to the dietary difference between maturity groups (SIMPER, 16% among immature/mature – Figure 4). The second highest group in abundance was Polychaeta, of which two 357 358 families were particularly abundant in the overall diet, Polynoidae and Nephtyidae. Polychaeta were

359 more frequently encountered in the diet of immature individuals (72% and 48% respectively) than 360 mature individuals (29% and 20%). This difference in stomach contents composition between 361 immature and mature individuals is also supported by the SIMPER test showing that worms were 362 responsible for the major difference in diet composition between maturity groups (SIMPER, 19% 363 among immature/mature). B. irrasa mature individuals also fed on a large diversity of fish (n = 19 364 species) along with the octopuses Muusoctopus thielei and Graneledone gonzalezi (7% by number), 365 in contrast with immature individuals. Depth was a significant vector ($r^2=0.15$, p < 0.005) explaining 366 the ordination of samples as a function of the axis MDS2.



367



370

The analysis of accumulated prey items increased the number of cephalopod prey, with three additional squid species (*Todarodes* sp., *Gonatus antarcticus* and *Galiteuthis glacialis*), which

totalized 10 of the 13 accumulated beaks. Analysis of accumulated fish items included nine prey found by counting pairs of eye lenses and vertebral remains, and 15 prey identified by heavily worn otoliths that include those of an additional myctophid species, *Gymnoscopelus microlampas* (n = 1). Samples also contained a small gastropod and a foraminifera, as well as pebbles and mud that were considered incidentally ingested.

378

379 *3.3. Interspecific diet comparison*

Although analysis of mass composition showed *B. eatonii* fed primarily on fish (68%), while *B. irrasa* preyed primarily on crustaceans and cephalopods (45% and 33% respectively – Table 1), both nMDS ordinations and statistical analyses were unable to identify any differences in dietary composition between the two skate species (ANOSIM, global *R* statistic 0.062, p < 0.009 – Figure 5). Environmental variables were fitted to the nMDS ordination and *Depth* appeared to be a significant vector (r²=0.13, p < 0.01) explaining the ordination of samples as a function of the axis MDS2 while *Longitude* explained the ordination of samples as a function of the axis MDS1 (r²=0.09, p < 0.01).



387

Figure 5. (Single-column fitting image) Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (nMDS)
ordinations of the occurrence of different prey categories with S.E. ellipse for *Bathyraja eatonii*(blue) and *B. irrasa* (yellow).

3.4. Stable isotopes

393	Bathyraja eatonii isotopic values ranged from -20.4 to -17.5 ‰ (mean = -19.1 \pm 0.6 ‰) for
394	δ^{13} C, and from 9.4 to 12.9 ‰ (mean = 11.1 ± 0.8 ‰) for δ^{15} N (Table 3). Muscle isotopic values showed
395	no differences between sex classes for $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values (Figure 6.A), but $\delta^{15}N$ values differed
396	between maturity classes (t-test ₆₇ = -3.1, $p < 0.004$; Table 4). Immature individuals had lower mean
397	δ^{15} N values than mature individuals (Figure 6.B).
398	<i>Bathyraja irrasa</i> isotopic values ranged from -20.4 to -17.5 ‰ (mean = -19.2 \pm 0.8 ‰ for δ^{13} C)
399	and from 9.6 to 13.8 ‰ (mean = 12.3 ± 0.8 ‰) for δ^{15} N (Table 3). One muscle sample was an outlier
400	$(\delta^{13}C = -23.13 \% \text{ and } \delta^{15}N = 7.01 \%)$, which was therefore removed from the analysis. Muscle isotopic
401	composition between males and females showed no differences for δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values (Figure 6.C).
402	However, δ^{13} C values differed between maturity classes (U-test = 365, <i>p</i> < 0.002; Table 4). Immature
403	individuals had lower mean δ^{13} C values than mature individuals (Figure 6.D).

405 Muscle δ^{15} N values, but not δ^{13} C values, differed between species (U-test = 764, p < 0.005; Table 4) 406 with *B. eatonii* having lower δ^{15} N values than *B. irrasa* (Figure 7).



Figure 6. **(Two-column fitting image)** Muscle δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values and ellipse areas (70% of the data) of *Bathyraja eatonii* based on A) sex and B) maturity and *B. irrasa* based on C) sex and D) maturity. Females are in dark shades (square) and males in light shades (triangles). Immature individuals are in dark shades (plain circles) and matures in light shades (diamonds).

413

- 414
- 415



416 417 Figure 7. **(Single-column fitting image)** Muscle δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values and ellipse areas (70% of 418 the data) of *Bathyraja eatonii* (blue) and *B. irrasa* (yellow).

419

420 **4. Discussion**

The present study is the first to investigate the feeding ecology of two skate species commonly caught 421 422 as bycatch in the French toothfish fishery occurring at the Kerguelen Islands. The majority of prev 423 (73%) were identified to the species level, thus allowing a precise description of the diet of *Bathyraja* 424 eatonii and B. irrasa. The use of barcoding analysis on prey tissues confirmed the morphological 425 identification and allowed identifying well-digested prey items. We acknowledge that demersal 426 longlines engender the risk of stomach washout during hauling, and thus may result in a potential 427 underestimation of prey biomass, as well as introducing bias into the prey composition results (Barnett 428 et al., 2013; Boyle et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the combination of stomach content and stable isotope 429 analyses revealed interspecific differences in the trophic niches of the two skate species, and 430 ontogenetic differences in the main feeding strategies used by different life stages of *B. irrasa*.

431

432 *4.1. Interspecific diet comparison*

The wide variety of prey found in stomach contents (> 58 species) indicated that both *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa* can be considered as generalist feeders (Tschopp et al., 2020). Diets were mainly composed
of crustaceans, fish and annelids, which is consistent with previous studies on the feeding ecology of

436 other skate species in subantarctic areas (Brickle et al., 2003; Main and Collins, 2011). Although diets 437 of both species were composed of the same prey groups, the proportions of mass, number and 438 occurrence of each prey group varied among skate species, as well as their specific composition. By 439 mass, fish dominated *B. eatonii* diet whereas crustaceans and cephalopods were most prevalent in the 440 diet of *B. irrasa*. Crustaceans were the main prey group by number. Interestingly, crustacean species 441 composition varied amongst skate species: B. eatonii diet was dominated in number by one benthic 442 isopod (Ceratoserolis trilobitoides) and two pelagic shrimps (Campylonotus capensis and Pasiphaea 443 sp.), while B. irrasa diet was dominated by different species of benthic isopods (Brucerolis 444 bromleyana and Sursumura sp.) and one species of benthic decapod (Thymopides grobovi). These results showed that B. eatonii fed on both benthic and pelagic prey, whereas B. irrasa fed almost 445 446 exclusively on benthic prey. This difference was also supported by the importance of other pelagic 447 prey such as myctophid and paralepidid fishes as well as squids in *B. eatonii* diet, whereas other major 448 components of *B. irrasa* diet were benthic and demersal prev such as polychaetas and octopuses. In 449 addition, the occurrence of mud and small pebbles was observed in some B. irrasa stomach contents, 450 while none were observed in *B. eatonii* samples, which could be explained by differences in feeding 451 habitat (mud vs rocky bottom substratum). Inter-specific variations in prey composition and prey 452 habitat (pelagic vs benthic) have indeed been well documented in previous studies on feeding habits 453 of co-occurring skate species (Brickle et al., 2003; Tschopp et al., 2020). Unexpectedly statistical 454 analysis of diet composition did not provide evidence for different feeding strategies between B. irrasa 455 and *B. eatonii*. However, given the broad prey categories used and that this analysis is based on prey 456 species presence/absence data, variability observed in the abundance of prev species consumed may 457 be masked. Furthermore, the benthic feeding strategy of *B. irrasa* was not supported by muscle δ^{13} C 458 values integrating diet over several months, which showed similar values to B. eatonii. In contrast, 459 δ^{15} N value of *B. irrasa* were higher in comparison to *B. eatonii*, which typically reflects a higher 460 trophic position and/or stronger affinity to benthic food webs found to be more enriched in ¹⁵N than

pelagic food web, especially in the deep-sea (Boyle et al., 2012). Differences in ¹⁵N values between
 the two species therefore confirm different feeding strategies.

463 Depth was found to explain a small proportion of the dissimilarities observed between the two 464 skate species. While their distribution overlaps, *B. eatonii* favors the continental shelf and upper slope 465 areas, whereas B. irrasa mainly inhabits slopes at greater depths (Duhamel et al., 2005). Hence, 466 variation in diet compositions may be related to the abundance of prey species among the depth ranges. 467 For example, myctophids are pelagic fish known to make important diel vertical migrations; they could 468 be trapped at the bottom during the day, thus vertically connecting the pelagic and benthic food webs 469 in slope areas (Cotté et al., 2022; Drazen and Sutton, 2016; Hunt and Swadling, 2021). Videos made 470 using cameras attached to longlines set on slopes deeper than 1000 m showed myctophids sitting on 471 the sea floor (Pers. Com.) revealing their accessibility to benthic feeders such as skates. Hence 472 partitioning food or habitat resources may be a strategy to avoid direct competition between these two 473 congeneric skate species (Barbini and Lucifora, 2012; Barría et al., 2018).

474 Finally, we observed inter-specific variations in the number of ingested prey, the extent of prey 475 digestion, and the texture of gastric fluids during stomach content analysis, which suggest differences 476 in digestive systems and thus foraging habits (Cyrino et al., 2008). The high number of both 477 accumulated fish and previtems in each stomach of B. irrasa (8.8 in average) compared to B. eatonii 478 (2.4 in average) supported the hypothesis of greater digestion capability in *B. irrasa* due to its benthic 479 feeding strategy. Crustaceans are indeed harder to digest compared to cephalopods, which are in turn 480 harder to digest than fish (Cyrino et al., 2008). This variation in digestion rates among species would 481 also lead to a potential underestimation of easily digested prev species such as fishes in *B. irrasa*, and 482 an overestimation of prey more resistant to digestion such as crustaceans in B. eatonii (Barnett et al., 483 2013; Boyle et al., 2012).

485 *4.2. Intraspecific diet comparisons*

Several studies conducted in different species of skates found no significant differences between male and female diets (Blanco-Parra et al., 2012; Brown-Vuillemin et al., 2020). Similarly, *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa* did not display diet differentiation related to sex, and both sexes occupy similar trophic niches on the Kerguelen Plateau according to SIA analyses, although the arrangement of teeth in the jaw is different between males and females (Pers. Com.).

491 Nevertheless, many authors have documented variability in skate diet composition according to 492 maturity stages, indicating ontogenetic shifts in their feeding habits (Barbini and Lucifora, 2012; 493 Belleggia et al., 2008; Brown-Vuillemin et al., 2020). In this study, we found an effect of maturity 494 stage on B. irrasa diet: immature individuals fed mostly on small benthic crustaceans and annelid 495 worms, while mature individuals preyed more upon large crustaceans, teleost fish and cephalopods. 496 This result was consistent with other studies carried out on subantarctic skate diets such as B. 497 albomaculata, B. brachyurops and B. griseocauda (Brickle et al., 2003). This ontogenetic variation 498 could be explained by an improved ability to capture larger-sized prey with increased size of the jaw 499 and swimming speed, or changes in habitat and prev availability (Belleggia et al., 2008; Blanco-Parra 500 et al., 2012; Munroe et al., 2022; Orlov, 2003). The latter explanation is unlikely, since immature and 501 mature *B. irrasa* were found in similar habitats. Apart from the predator size, another explanation 502 could be changes in energetic and physiological needs associated to the cost of sexual maturity, with 503 fishes having a higher energy density than crustaceans and annelids (Munroe et al., 2022; Schaafsma 504 et al., 2018). Such variation in diet composition is typically associated with variation in trophic 505 position, with small individuals preving on crustaceans considered as secondary consumers, whereas 506 larger individuals preying on fish and cephalopods being tertiary consumers (Belleggia et al., 2008). Immature and mature *B*. *irrasa* showed different δ^{13} C values, thus indicating varying sources of 507 508 primary production such as benthic/pelagic trophic chains. They would therefore be part of two different trophic chains. In contrast, SIA showed no difference in δ^{13} C values for *B. eatonii*, thus 509

allowing a direct comparison of the δ^{15} N values of immature and mature skates that suggested an ontogenetic shift with a higher position of mature individuals. However, the small number of immature *B. eatonii* highlights the need to collect additional immature specimens, especially outside the fishing area (particularly on the Plateau < 500 m) where they live.

- 514
- 515 *4.3. Skates in the Kerguelen marine food web*

516 Our results supported the hypothesis that skates should be considered as top predators (Barbini et al., 517 2018; Brown-Vuillemin et al., 2020; Ebert and Bizzarro, 2007). Adult individuals of Bathyraja species 518 found on the slopes of the Kerguelen Plateau have similar diets to other benthic predators such as the 519 Patagonian toothfish. They also share some prey items with seabirds around Kerguelen Islands, such as fish (Nototheniidae, Myctophidae), cephalopods (Moroteuthopsis longimana, G. antarcticus, 520 521 Slosarczykovia circumantarctica), shrimps, isopods and annelids (Delord et al., 2010; Lescroël et al., 522 2004). Despite the lack of detailed studies on the diet of Patagonian toothfish over the Kerguelen 523 Plateau, studies from elsewhere in the Southern Ocean indicated the species fed on high proportions 524 of meso- and bathy-pelagic fish such as myctophids (including Gymnoscopelus spp.), and morids and 525 macrourids, cephalopods (including G. antarcticus), crustaceans and polychaetes (Duhamel, 1987; 526 Goldsworthy et al., 2001; Troccoli et al., 2020). When comparing their stable isotopics values, skates showed lower mean trophic position ($\delta^{15}N_{B.\ eatonii} = 11.1\%$ and $\delta^{15}N_{B.\ irrasa} = 12.3\%$) than toothfish 527 528 $(\delta^{15}N_{D, eleginoides} > 14.0\%)$ (Cherel et al., 2017). However, comparing isotopic niches requires caution, 529 because retention of urea in the body tissue of elasmobranchs may complicate interpretations of stable isotope values. Since use is enriched in ¹⁴N compared to proteins, δ^{15} N values of skates and sharks 530 531 tend to be lower than those of bony fishes, which can result in an underestimation of their trophic 532 position (Carlisle et al., 2017; Kim and Koch, 2012). Some skates were found in Patagonian toothfish 533 diet, suggesting that skates and toothfish could have predator-prey interactions within an exploited 534 ecosystem (Duhamel, 1987). Thus, a decline in the abundance of one of these predator species through

535 overfishing could trigger an increase in the abundance of the other species through the related release 536 of competition (Barbini and Lucifora, 2012; Brown et al., 2012). Because little is known about trophic 537 interactions resulting from the effects of fishing on skates, dietary composition and trophic information 538 of these bycatch species are essential to understand their role in the ecosystem in order to forecast the 539 possible ecosystem-level effects of fisheries on species composition and diversity (Bizzarro et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2012; Stevens et al., 2000; Subramaniam et al., 2022, 2020). The results of this 540 541 study support the growing body of knowledge that indicates that crustaceans, cephalopods and 542 myctophids are important prey items for marine predators in the Southern Ocean, particularly over the 543 Kerguelen Plateau that sustains a high diversity of predators in a wide range of habitats (Cherel, 2020; 544 Doubleday et al., 2016; Hulley and Duhamel, 2011; Jackson et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2022).

545

546 *4.4. Catchability and implications for skate bycatch reduction*

547 Relative vulnerability to fishing can be assessed through various parameters related to diet (e.g. feeding 548 strategy), as well as the extent to which skates may be attracted to fishing gear, all of which impact 549 catchability. Because no evidence of bites on prey were found in the diet composition analysis, we 550 assumed that both skate species used suction to capture their prey whole. In addition, both species 551 were found to display partially or fully benthic feeding strategies making them susceptible to longline 552 gear. Our results are thus useful in informing technical improvements to fishing gear, such as on 553 longlines, which would reduce skate catchability (Afonso et al., 2011). Floating snoods enable the 554 hook to float above the sea floor instead of being laid on it, and consequently can allow skates to avoid 555 longlines while foraging on the substrate, as the fully-benthic *B. irrasa*.

In addition, we sometimes found more than one bait in stomach contents, suggesting that skates could forage on baits without being captured systematically. Furthermore, *Antimora rostrata* and *Macrourus* sp., which are the most common teleost fish bycatch in the toothfish fishery, also occurred in the diet of skates, suggesting that they could feed on bycaught fish during the soaking time of the

- line (Barnett et al., 2013; Duhamel et al., 2005). Although *Dissostichus eleginoides* was absent from
 the diet of both skate species, such interactions with fishing lines would increase skate catchability in
 the fishery (Georgeson et al., 2020; Stobutzki et al., 2001).
- 563

564 **5.** Conclusion

565 This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the feeding habits of *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B*. 566 *irrasa* over the Kerguelen Plateau. As top predators and among the 10 most abundant demersal fish 567 species there (Duhamel et al., 2019), significant changes in skate population dynamics due to fishing 568 mortality could influence the structure of the food web. Our results will contribute to ecosystem models 569 being developed in the region by increasing our understanding of deep-sea food webs and predatorprey interactions over the Kerguelen Plateau (Clavareau et al., 2020; Subramaniam et al., 2022). 570 571 Interspecific differences in diet may be the consequence of competition between these generalist 572 species, but may also reflect differences in the abundance of prey by depth. These disparities in feeding ecology emphasize the importance of considering species separately for conservation programs when 573 574 designing solutions to reduce catch rates or catchability. Possible competition and niche overlap 575 between skates and toothfish also stress the importance of fully describing toothfish diets over the 576 Kerguelen Plateau, and quantifying skate by catch mortality to be able to predict its ecosystem impacts.

577

578 Acknowledgements

We thank Marc Leménager and Julie Caquelard for collecting skate stomachs and tissues and the many people who helped in sampling and handling skates, including fishery observers and crew members of the fishing vessels *Ile de la Réunion II* and *Cap Kersaint*. We also thank the shipowners, COMATA and CAP BOURBON, for supporting this work and the staff of the Administration of the Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises (TAAF), including Simon Fournier, Mathilde Guene and Magalie Laporte for their help with technical coordination, the operational setup and for the quick delivery of

- 585 frozen samples from La Réunion Island to Paris, France. We are grateful to Dr. Aude Bourgeois and
- the staff of La Ménagerie du Jardin des Plantes for their help with frozen storage during analysis. We
- 587 also thank Jilda Caccavo for proofreading the manuscript and the reviewers for their insightful
- 588 comments.
- 589 Funding: This work was financially supported by TAAF and the Fondation d'entreprises des mers
- 590 australes.
- 591

592 **References**

- Afonso, A.S., Hazin, F.H.V., Carvalho, F., Pacheco, J.C., Hazin, H., Kerstetter, D.W., Murie, D.,
 Burgess, G.H., 2011. Fishing gear modifications to reduce elasmobranch mortality in pelagic
 and bottom longline fisheries off Northeast Brazil. Fish. Res. 108, 336–343.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2011.01.007
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic Local Alignment Search
 Tool. J. Mol. Biol. 403–410.
- Barbini, S.A., Lucifora, L.O., 2012. Ontogenetic diet shifts and food partitioning between two small
 sympatric skates (Chondrichthyes, Rajidae) in the Southwestern Atlantic. Mar. Freshw. Res.
 63, 905. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF12131
- Barbini, S.A., Lucifora, L.O., Sabadin, D.E., Figueroa, D.E., 2020. Ecological specialization is
 associated with high conservation concern in skates (Chondrichthyes, Rajiformes). Anim.
 Conserv. 23, 222–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12531
- Barbini, S.A., Sabadin, D.E., Lucifora, L.O., 2018. Comparative analysis of feeding habits and dietary
 niche breadth in skates: the importance of body size, snout length, and depth. Rev. Fish Biol.
 Fish. 28, 625–636. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-018-9522-5
- Barnett, L.A.K., Winton, M.V., Ainsley, S.M., Cailliet, G.M., Ebert, D.A., 2013. Comparative
 Demography of Skates: Life-History Correlates of Productivity and Implications for
 Management. PLOS ONE 8, e65000. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065000
- Barría, C., Navarro, J., Coll, M., 2018a. Feeding habits of four sympatric sharks in two deep-water
 fishery areas of the western Mediterranean Sea. Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 142,
 34–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.09.010
- Barría, C., Navarro, J., Coll, M., 2018b. Feeding habits of four sympatric sharks in two deep-water
 fishery areas of the western Mediterranean Sea. Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 142,
 34–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.09.010
- Belleggia, M., Mabragaña, E., Figueroa, D.E., Scenna, L.B., Barbini, S.A., Astarloa, J.M.D. de, 2008.
 Food habits of the broad nose skate, *Bathyraja brachyurops* (Chondrichthyes, Rajidae), in the
 south-west Atlantic. Sci. Mar. 72, 701–710. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2008.72n4701
- Benson, D.A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Wheeler, D.L., 2005. GenBank. Nucleic
 Acids Res. 33, D34–D38. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki063

- Bizzarro, J., Robinson, H., Rinewalt, C., Ebert, D., 2007. Comparative feeding ecology of four
 sympatric skate species (*Bathyraja* and *Raja* spp.) off central California, U.S.A. Environ. Biol.
 Fishes 80, 197–220.
- 625 Blanco-Parra, M.-P., Galván-Magaña, F., Márquez-Farías, J.F., Niño-Torres, C.A., 2012. Feeding 626 ecology and trophic level of the banded guitarfish, Zapteryx exasperata, inferred from stable 627 stomach analysis. Environ. Biol. Fishes isotopes and contents 95, 65-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9862-7 628
- 629 Bodin, N., Le Loc'h, F., Hily, C., 2007. Effect of lipid removal on carbon and nitrogen stable isotope 630 ratios in crustacean tissues. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 341. 168-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006.09.008 631
- 632 Boltovskoy, D., 1999. South Atlantic Zooplankton.
- Boyle, M.D., Ebert, D.A., Cailliet, G.M., 2012. Stable-isotope analysis of a deep-sea benthic-fish
 assemblage: evidence of an enriched benthic food web. J. Fish Biol. 80, 1485–1507.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03243.x
- Brander, K., 1981. Disappearance of common skate *Raja batis* from Irish Sea. Nature 290, 48–49.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/290048a0
- Brickle, P., Laptikhovsky, V., Pompert, J., Bishop, A., 2003. Ontogenetic changes in the feeding habits
 and dietary overlap between three abundant rajid species on the Falkland Islands' shelf. J. Mar.
 Biol. Assoc. U. K. 83, 1119–1125. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315403008373h
- Brown, S.C., Bizzarro, J.J., Cailliet, G.M., Ebert, D.A., 2012. Breaking with tradition: redefining
 measures for diet description with a case study of the Aleutian skate *Bathyraja aleutica* (Gilbert
 1896). Environ. Biol. Fishes 95, 3–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9959-z
- Brown-Vuillemin, S., Barreau, T., Caraguel, J.-M., Iglésias, S.P., 2020. Trophic ecology and
 ontogenetic diet shift of the blue skate (*Dipturus* cf. *flossada*). J. Fish Biol. 97, 515–526.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14407
- Cailliet, G.M., Musick, J.A., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Stevens, J.D., 2005. Ecology and Life History
 Characteristics of Chondrichthyan Fish, in: Fowler, S.L., Cavanagh, R.D., Camhi, M., Burgess,
 G.H., Cailliet, G.M., Fordham, S.V., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Musick, J.A. (Eds.), Sharks, Rays
 and Chimaeras: The Status of the Condrichthyan Fishes.
- Carlisle, A.B., Litvin, S.Y., Madigan, D.J., Lyons, K., Bigman, J.S., Ibarra, M., Bizzarro, J.J., 2017.
 Interactive effects of urea and lipid content confound stable isotope analysis in elasmobranch
 fishes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 74, 419–428. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2015-0584
- 654 CCAMLR Secretariat, 2022. Fishery Report 2021: *Dissostichus eleginoides* at Kerguelen Islands
 655 French EEZ (Division 58.5.1) 11.
- 656 CCAMLR Secretariat, 2014. Review of skate (Rajiformes) by-catch in CCAMLR toothfish fisheries
 657 (WG-FSA-14/12). CCAMLR, Hobart, Australia.
- Cherel, Y., 2020. A review of Southern Ocean squids using nets and beaks. Mar. Biodivers. 50, 98.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-020-01113-4
- Cherel, Y., Hobson, K., 2007. Geographical variation in carbon stable isotope signatures of marine
 predators: a tool to investigate their foraging areas in the Southern Ocean. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
 Ser. 329, 281–287. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps329281
- Cherel, Y., Hobson, K.A., 2005. Stable isotopes, beaks and predators: a new tool to study the trophic
 ecology of cephalopods, including giant and colossal squids. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 272,
 1601–1607. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3115

- Cherel, Y., Weimerskirch, H., Trouvé, C., Bost, C., 2000. Food and feeding ecology of the neriticslope forager black-browed albatross and its relationships with commercial fisheries in
 Kerguelen waters. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 207, 183–199. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps207183
- 669 Cherel, Y., Xavier, J., de Grissac, S., Trouvé, C., Weimerskirch, H., 2017. Feeding ecology, isotopic 670 niche, and ingestion of fishery-related items of the wandering albatross Diomedea exulans at 671 Kerguelen and Crozet Islands. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 565, 197-215. 672 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11994
- Clavareau, L., Marzloff, M.P., Trenkel, V.M., Bulman, C.M., Gourguet, S., Le Gallic, B., Hernvann,
 P.-Y., Péron, C., Gasco, N., Faure, J., Tixier, P., 2020. Comparison of approaches for
 incorporating depredation on fisheries catches into Ecopath. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 77, 3153–3167.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa219
- 677 Cortés, E., 1999. Standardized diet compositions and trophic levels of sharks. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 56,
 678 707–717. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1999.0489
- 679 Cortés, E., 1997. A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of stomach
 680 contents: application to elasmobranch fishes 54, 13.
- Cotté, C., Ariza, A., Berne, A., Habasque, J., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Roudaut, G., Espinasse, B.,
 Hunt, B.P.V., Pakhomov, E.A., Henschke, N., Péron, C., Conchon, A., Koedooder, C., Izard,
 L., Cherel, Y., 2022. Macrozooplankton and micronekton diversity and associated carbon
 vertical patterns and fluxes under distinct productive conditions around the Kerguelen Islands.
 J. Mar. Syst. 226, 103650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2021.103650
- Crook, K.A., Barnett, A., Sheaves, M., Abrantes, K., 2019. Effects of lipid and urea extraction on
 stable isotope values (δ13C and δ15N) of two batoids: A call for more species-specific
 investigations. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 17, 565–574. https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10333
- Cyrino, J.E.P., Bureau, D.P., Kapoor, B.G., 2008. Feeding and Digestive Functions in Fishes. CRC
 Press.
- Davidson, L.N.K., Krawchuk, M.A., Dulvy, N.K., 2016. Why have global shark and ray landings
 declined: improved management or overfishing? Fish Fish. 17, 438–458.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12119
- Delord, K., Cotté, C., Péron, C., Marteau, C., Pruvost, P., Gasco, N., Duhamel, G., Cherel, Y.,
 Weimerskirch, H., 2010. At-sea distribution and diet of an endangered top predator:
 relationship between white-chinned petrels and commercial longline fisheries. Endanger.
 Species Res. 13, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00309
- Dettai, A., Lautredou, A.-C., Bonillo, C., Goimbault, E., Busson, F., Causse, R., Couloux, A., Cruaud,
 C., Duhamel, G., Denys, G., Hautecoeur, M., Iglesias, S., Koubbi, P., Lecointre, G., Moteki,
 M., Pruvost, P., Tercerie, S., Ozouf, C., 2011. The actinopterygian diversity of the CEAMARC
 cruises: Barcoding and molecular taxonomy as a multi-level tool for new findings. Deep Sea
 Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr., Census of Antarctic Marine Life: Diversity and Change in
 the Southern Ocean Ecosystems 58, 250–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.021
- Doubleday, Z.A., Prowse, T.A.A., Arkhipkin, A., Pierce, G.J., Semmens, J., Steer, M., Leporati, S.C.,
 Lourenço, S., Quetglas, A., Sauer, W., Gillanders, B.M., 2016. Global proliferation of
 cephalopods. Curr. Biol. 26, R406–R407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.04.002
- Drazen, J., Sutton, T., 2016. Dining in the Deep: The Feeding Ecology of Deep-Sea Fishes. Annu.
 Rev. Mar. Sci. 9. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010816-060543

- Duhamel, G., 1987. Ichtyofaune des secteurs indien occidental et atlantique oriental de l'océan
 Austral : biogéographie, cycles biologiques et dynamique des populations. Université Pierre et
 Marie Curie Paris 6.
- Duhamel, G., Gasco, N., Davaine, P., 2005. Poisson des Iles Kerguelen et Crozet. Guide régional de
 l'océan Austral, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle. ed, Patrimoines Naturels. Paris.
- Duhamel, G., Péron, C., Sinègre, R., Chazeau, C., Gasco, N., Hautecœur, M., Martin, A., Durand, I.,
 Causse, R., 2019. Important readjustments in the biomass and distribution of groundfish
 species in the northern part of the Kerguelen Plateau and Skiff Bank. CCAMLR Sci. Proc.
 Second Symp. Kerguelen Plateau 50.
- Dulvy, N.K., Fowler, S.L., Musick, J.A., Cavanagh, R.D., Kyne, P.M., Harrison, L.R., Carlson, J.K., 718 719 Davidson, L.N., Fordham, S.V., Francis, M.P., Pollock, C.M., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Burgess, 720 G.H., Carpenter, K.E., Compagno, L.J., Ebert, D.A., Gibson, C., Heupel, M.R., Livingstone, S.R., Sanciangco, J.C., Stevens, J.D., Valenti, S., White, W.T., 2014. Extinction risk and 721 722 conservation of the world's sharks eLife 3, e00590. and rays. 723 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00590
- Dulvy, N.K., Reynolds, J.D., 2002. Predicting Extinction Vulnerability in Skates. Conserv. Biol. 16,
 440–450. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00416.x
- Ebert, D., Bizzarro, J., 2007. Standardized diet compositions and trophic levels of skates
 (Chondrichthyes: Rajiformes: Rajoidei). Environ. Biol. Fishes 80, 221–237.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-007-9227-4
- Field, I.C., Meekan, M.G., Buckworth, R.C., Bradshaw, C.J.A., 2009. Chapter 4 Susceptibility of
 Sharks, Rays and Chimaeras to Global Extinction, in: Advances in Marine Biology. Elsevier,
 pp. 275–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(09)56004-X
- Gallagher, A.J., Hammerschlag, N., Cooke, S.J., Costa, D.P., Irschick, D.J., 2015. Evolutionary theory
 as a tool for predicting extinction risk. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30, 61–65.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.12.001
- Gasco, N., 2011. Contributions to marine science by fishery observers in the French EEZ of
 Kerguelen., in: Duhamel, G., Welsford, D. (Eds.), The Kerguelen Plateau: Marine Ecosystem
 and Fisheries. Paris, pp. 93–98.
- Gaskett, A.C., Bulman, C., He, X., Goldsworthy, S.D., 2001. Diet composition and guild structure of
 mesopelagic and bathypelagic fishes near Macquarie Island, Australia. N. Z. J. Mar. Freshw.
 Res. 35, 469–476. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2001.9517016
- Georgeson, L., Rigby, C.L., Emery, T.J., Fuller, M., Hartog, J., Williams, A.J., Hobday, A.J., Duffy,
 C.A.J., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Okuda, T., Stobutzki, I.C., Nicol, S.J., 2020. Ecological risks of
 demersal fishing on deepwater chondrichthyan populations in the Southern Indian and South
 Pacific Oceans. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 77, 1711–1727. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa019
- Goldsworthy, S., He, X., Tuck, G., Lewis, M., Williams, R., 2001. Trophic interactions between the
 Patagonian toothfish, its fishery, and seals and seabirds around Macquarie Island. Mar. Ecol.
 Prog. Ser. 218, 283–302. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps218283
- Hulley, P.A., Duhamel, G., 2011. Aspects of lanternfish distribution in the Kerguelen Plateau region.
 Kerguelen Plateau Mar. Ecosyst. Fish. 183–195.
- Hunt, B.P.V., Swadling, K.M., 2021. Macrozooplankton and micronekton community structure and
 diel vertical migration in the Heard Island Region, Central Kerguelen Plateau. J. Mar. Syst.
 221, 103575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2021.103575

- Hussey, N.E., Olin, J.A., Kinney, M.J., McMeans, B.C., Fisk, A.T., 2012. Lipid extraction effects on
 stable isotope values (δ13C and δ15N) of elasmobranch muscle tissue. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.
 434–435, 7–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.07.012
- Jackson, G., Mckinnon, J., Lalas, C., Ardern, R., Buxton, N., 1998. Food spectrum of the deepwater
 squid *Moroteuthis ingens* (Cephalopoda: Onychoteuthidae) in New Zealand waters. Polar Biol.
 20, 56–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003000050276
- 759 Kassambara, A., 2021. rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests.
- 760 Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., 761 Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P., Drummond, A., 2012. Geneious 762 Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and 763 analysis of sequence data. **Bioinformatics** 1647-1649. 28, 764 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Kim, S.L., Koch, P.L., 2012. Methods to collect, preserve, and prepare elasmobranch tissues for stable
 isotope analysis. Environ. Biol. Fishes 95, 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9860-9
- Koen Alonso, M., 2001. Food habits of *Dipturus chilensis* (Pisces: Rajidae) off Patagonia, Argentina.
 ICES J. Mar. Sci. 58, 288–297. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.1010
- Leray, M., Yang, J.Y., Meyer, C.P., Mills, S.C., Agudelo, N., Ranwez, V., Boehm, J.T., Machida, R.J.,
 2013. A new versatile primer set targeting a short fragment of the mitochondrial COI region
 for metabarcoding metazoan diversity: application for characterizing coral reef fish gut
 contents. Front. Zool. 10, 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-10-34
- Lescroël, A., Ridoux, V., Bost, C.A., 2004. Spatial and temporal variation in the diet of the gentoo
 penguin (*Pygoscelis papua*) at Kerguelen Islands. Polar Biol. 27, 206–216.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-003-0571-3
- Main, C.E., Collins, M.A., 2011. Diet of the Antarctic starry skate *Amblyraja georgiana* (Rajidae,
 Chondrichthyes) at South Georgia (Southern Ocean). Polar Biol. 34, 389–396.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-010-0894-9
- Matich, P., Heithaus, M.R., Layman, C.A., 2011. Contrasting patterns of individual specialization and
 trophic coupling in two marine apex predators. J. Anim. Ecol. 80, 294–305.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01753.x
- Munroe, S., Meyer, L., Heithaus, M.R., 2022. Elasmobranch Foraging Strategies and Tactics, in:
 Carrier, J.C., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Heithaus, M.R., Yopak, K.E. (Eds.), Biology of Sharks and
 Their Relatives. p. 822.
- 785 Navia, A.F., Mejía-Falla, P.A., Giraldo, A., 2007. Feeding ecology of elasmobranch fishes in coastal 786 of the Colombian Eastern Tropical Pacific. waters BMC Ecol. 7, 8. 787 https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-7-8
- Nowara, G.B., Burch, P., Gasco, N., Welsford, D.C., Lamb, T.D., Chazeau, C., Duhamel, G., Pruvost,
 P., Wotherspoon, S., Candy, S.G., 2017. Distribution and abundance of skates (*Bathyraja* spp.)
 on the Kerguelen Plateau through the lens of the toothfish fisheries. Fish. Res. 186, 65–81.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2016.07.022
- Oksanen, J., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin,
 P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Henry, M., Stevens, H., Szoecs, E., Wagner,
 H., 2020. vegan: Community Ecology Package.
- Oliver, S., Braccini, M., Newman, S.J., Harvey, E.S., 2015. Global patterns in the bycatch of sharks
 and rays. Mar. Policy 54, 86–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.12.017

- Orlov, A.M., 2003. Diets, feeding habits, and trophic relations of six deep-benthic skates (Rajidae) in
 the western Bering Sea 7, 16.
- Pikitch, E.K., Santora, C., Babcock, E.A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D.O., Dayton, P., Doukakis,
 P., Fluharty, D., Heneman, B., Houde, E.D., Link, J., Livingston, P.A., Mangel, M., McAllister,
 M.K., Pope, J., Sainsbury, K.J., 2004. Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management. Science 305,
 346–347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1098222
- Post, D.M., Layman, C.A., Arrington, D.A., Takimoto, G., Quattrochi, J., Montaña, C.G., 2007.
 Getting to the fat of the matter: models, methods and assumptions for dealing with lipids in
 stable isotope analyses. Oecologia 152, 179–189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0630-x
- R Core Team, 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ratnasingham, S., Hebert, P.D.N., 2007. bold: The Barcode of Life Data System
 (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Mol. Ecol. Notes 7, 355–364. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14718286.2007.01678.x
- Schaafsma, F.L., Cherel, Y., Flores, H., van Franeker, J.A., Lea, M.-A., Raymond, B., van de Putte,
 A.P., 2018. Review: the energetic value of zooplankton and nekton species of the Southern
 Ocean. Mar. Biol. 165, 129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3386-z
- Somerfield, P.J., Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., 2021. Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) for 2-way
 layouts using a generalised ANOSIM statistic, with comparative notes on Permutational
 Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). Austral Ecol. 46, 911–926.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.13059
- Soykan, C., Moore, J., Zydelis, R., Crowder, L., Safina, C., Lewison, R., 2008. Why study bycatch?
 An introduction to the Theme Section on fisheries bycatch. Endanger. Species Res. 5, 91–102.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00175
- Stehmann, M., Bürkel, D., 1990. Rajidae, in: Gon, O., Heemstra, P.C. (Eds.), Fishes of the Southern
 Ocean. Grahamstown, South Africa, pp. 86–97.
- Stevens, J., Bonfil, R., Dulvy, N.K., Walker, P.A., 2000. The effects of fishing on sharks, rays, and
 chimaeras (chondrichthyans), and the implications for marine ecosystems. ICES J. Mar. Sci.
 57, 476–494. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0724
- Stobutzki, I., Miller, M., Brewer, D., 2001. Sustainability of fishery bycatch: a process for assessing
 highly diverse and numerous bycatch. Environ. Conserv. 28, 167–181.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892901000170
- Subramaniam, R.C., Corney, S.P., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Péron, C., Ziegler, P., Swadling, K.M.,
 2022. Spatially explicit food web modelling to consider fisheries impacts and ecosystem
 representation within Marine Protected Areas on the Kerguelen Plateau. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 79,
 1327–1339. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsac056
- Subramaniam, R.C., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Corney, S.P., Alexander, K., Péron, C., Ziegler, P.,
 Swadling, K.M., 2020. Time-Dynamic Food Web Modeling to Explore Environmental Drivers
 of Ecosystem Change on the Kerguelen Plateau. Front. Mar. Sci. 7.
- TAAF (2019) Arrêté n°2019-59 du 2 juillet 2019 sur le Plan de gestion 2019-2025 de la pêcherie de
 la légine Australe Dissostichus eleginoides dans les zones économiques exclusives des îles
 Kerguelen et de l'archipel de Crozet, in: Journal officiel des Terres australes et antarctiques
 françaises. 83 : 9.

- Troccoli, G.H., Aguilar, E., Martínez, P.A., Belleggia, M., 2020. The diet of the Patagonian toothfish
 Dissostichus eleginoides, a deep-sea top predator off Southwest Atlantic Ocean. Polar Biol. 43,
 1595–1604. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02730-2
- Tschopp, A., Cristiani, F., García, N.A., Crespo, E.A., Coscarella, M.A., 2020. Trophic niche
 partitioning of five skate species of genus *Bathyraja* in northern and central Patagonia,
 Argentina. J. Fish Biol. 97, 656–667. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14416
- Valls, M., Quetglas, A., Ordines, F., Moranta, J., 2011. Feeding ecology of demersal elasmobranchs
 from the shelf and slope off the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean). Sci. Mar. 75, 633–639.
- 848 Ward, R.D., Zemlak, T.S., Innes, B.H., Last, P.R., Hebert, P.D.N., 2005. DNA barcoding Australia's 849 species. Philos. Trans. Soc. Biol. fish R. В Sci. 360, 1847-1857. 850 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1716
- Wetherbee, B.M., Cortés, E., 2004. Food consumption and feeding habits, in: Carrier, J.C., Musick,
 J.A., Heithaus, M. (Eds.), Biology of Sharks and Their Relatives. Boca Raton, Fla, pp. 225– 246.
- Williams, R., McEldowney, A., 1990. A guide to the fish otoliths from waters off the Australian
 Antarctic Territory. Kingston (Australia) Antarctic Div.
- Worm, B., Davis, B., Kettemer, L., Ward-Paige, C.A., Chapman, D., Heithaus, M.R., Kessel, S.T.,
 Gruber, S.H., 2013. Global catches, exploitation rates, and rebuilding options for sharks. Mar.
 Policy 40, 194–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2012.12.034
- Xavier, J., Cherel, Y., 2021. Cephalopod Beak Guide For The Southern Ocean: an update on taxonomy, British Antarctic Survey. ed. Cambridge.
- Yang, G., Atkinson, A., Pakhomov, E.A., Hill, S.L., Racault, M.-F., 2022. Massive circumpolar
 biomass of Southern Ocean zooplankton: Implications for food web structure, carbon export,
 and marine spatial planning. Limnol. Oceanogr. n/a. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12219
- Ziegler, P.E., Burch, P., Peron, C., Welsford, D.C., Farmer, B.M., Yates, P.M., Potts, J.M., Woodcock,
 E.J., Barnes, T.C., Duhamel, G., Gardner, C., 2021. Development of robust assessment
 methods and harvest strategies for spatially complex, multi-jurisdictional Toothfish fisheries
 in the Southern Ocean Final Report.

34

868

885 Tables

Table 1. Mass (mean [min - max]) and composition of the food in stomach contents of *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B. irrasa* in Kerguelen waters.

	Bathyraja eatonii	Bathyraja irrasa
	(n = 90)	(n = 91)
Mass (g)	28.0 [0.3 - 330.2]	36.6 [1.1 -213.3]
Fresh prey items (g)	27.9 [0.2 - 330.2]	36.5 [0.1 -213.3]
Fish (g)	14.1 [0 – 330.2]	6.0 [0 - 87.9]
Cephalopods (g)	2.6 [0-179.1]	10.2 [0 - 213.3]
Crustaceans (g)	3.7 [0 - 26.4]	14.0 [0-89.6]
Worms (g)	0.03 [0 - 1.3]	0.9 [0-13.5]
Bait (g)	7.2 [0 – 71.9]	5.4 [0-53.5]
Accumulated cephalopods (n)	19	13
Accumulated fishes (n)	12	24
Overall composition by mass (all samples pooled):		
Fish (%)	68.1	19.4
Cephalopods (%)	12.8	32.7
Crustaceans (%)	18.1	44.9
Other organisms (%)	0.1	3.0

Table 2. Frequency of occurrence and numbers of prey items identified in stomach contents from all 90 samples of *Bathyraja eatonii* pooled and 91 samples of *B. irrasa* pooled, excluding secondary prey.

			E	Bathyra	ja eate	onii		Bathyraja irrasa								
	Imn	nature (M=1,	F=3)	Ma	ture (M	=25, F	5=61)	Imm	ature (N	<i>I</i> =24,	F=22)	Mature (M=18, F=27			
Species	Осси	irence	Nu	mber	Occi	irence	Nu	mber	Occu	urence	Nur	nber	Occi	urence	Nui	nber
	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)
FISH	3	75.0	4	57.2	54	62.8	73	34.8	14	30.4	17	2.8	20	44.4	28	14.0
Pelagic fish																
Centrolophidae																
Icichthys australis	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gempylidae																
Paradiplospinus gracilis	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	1	2.2	1	0.2	2	4.4	2	1.0
Melamphaidae																
Poromitra atlantica	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	1	2.2	1	0.2	1	2.2	1	0.5
Microstomatidae																
Nansenia antarctica	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5		5 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myctophidae																
Electrona carlsbergi	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	3	6.5	3	0.5	2	4.4	3	1.5
Gymnoscopelus bolini	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	1	2.2	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
Gymnoscopelus braueri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	1	2.2	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
Gymnoscopelus hintonoides	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gymnoscopelus microlampas	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gymnoscopelus nicholsi	-	-	-	-	_	\mathbf{Q}	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Gymnoscopelus piabilis	1	25.0	1	14.3	5	5.8	5	2.4	-	-	-	-	2	4.4	2	1.0
Protomyctophum bolini	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Unidentified Myctophidae	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Notosudidae																
Luciosudis normani	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paralepididae																
Magnisudis prionosa	1	25.0	1	14.3	1	1.2	2	1.0	1	2.2	1	0.2	3	6.67	3	1.5
Unidentified Paralepididae	-	-	-	-	10	11.6	12	5.7	1	2.2	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
Stomiidae																
Borostomias antarcticus	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentified Stomiidae	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5

		Journal Pre-proof														
Benthic and demersal fish																
Achiropsettidae																
Unidentified Achiropsettidae	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Bathydraconidae																
Bathydraco antarcticus	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liparidae																
Paraliparis thalassobathyalis	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paraliparis sp.	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	2	4.4	3	0.5	1	2.2	1	0.5
Moridae																
Antimora rostrata	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	1	2.2	1	0.2	1	2.2	1	0.5
Guttigadus kongi	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentified Moridae	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	_) -	-	-	-	-
Macrouridae																
Coryphaenoides armatus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Coryphaenoides ferrieri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Macrourus carinatus	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	- (9 -	-	-	3	6.7	3	1.5
Macrourus whitsoni	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Muraenolepididae																
Muraenolepis marmoratus	2	50.0	2	28.6	3	3.5	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nototheniidae																
Lepidonotothen squamifrons	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Zoarcidae																
Lycenchelys hureaui	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	3	6.5	4	0.7	-	-	-	-
Lycodapus antarcticus	-	-	-	-	3	3.5	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Melanostigma gelatinosum	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Unidentified fish	-	-	-	-	14	16.3	15	7.1	-	-	-	-	4	8.9	5	2.5
CEPHALOPODS	1	25.0	1	14.3	14	16.3	19	9.0	3	6.5	3	0.5	12	26.7	17	8.5
Squids																
Brachioteuthidae																
Slosarczykovia circumantarctica	-	-	-	-	3	3.5	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cranchiidae																
Taonius notalia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
Gonatidae																
Gonatus antarcticus	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5

		Journal Pre-proof														
Onychoteuthidae																
Filippovia knipovitchi	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	1	2.2	1	0.2	1	2.2	1	0.5
Moroteuthopsis longimana	1	25.0	1	14.3	3	3.5	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moroteuthopsis sp. B (Imber)	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mastigoteuthidae																
Mastigoteuthis psychrophila	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Octopus																
Octopodidae																
Graneledone gonzalezi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4.4	3	1.5
Muusoctopus thielei	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	5	11.1	5	2.5
G. gonzalezi / M. thielei	-	-	-	-	4	4.7	6	2.9	-	-	-	-	4	8.9	5	2.5
Opisthoteuthidae																
Opisthoteuthis sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Unidentified cephalopods	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	1	2.2	1	0.2	1	2.2	1	0.5
CRUSTACEANS	1	25.0	2	28.6	55	64.0	113	53.8	43	93.5	425	71.2	38	84.4	123	61.5
Pelagic crustaceans									1.							
Amphipoda																
Pegohyperia princeps	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Caridea																
Campylonotus capensis	-	-	-	-	9	10.5	14	6.7	1	2.2	1	0.2	1	2.2	2	1.0
Chorismus antarcticus	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chorismus tuberculatus	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nematocarcinus romenskyi	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	2	4.4	2	0.3	4	8.9	4	2.0
Nematocarcinus sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Pasiphaea ledoyeri	-	-	-	-	4	4.7	5	2.4	1	2.2	1	0.2	3	6.7	3	1.5
Pasiphaea rathbunae	-	-	-	-	3	3.5	3	1.4	1	2.2	1	0.2	2	4.4	2	1.0
Pasiphaea scotiae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4.4	2	1.0
Pasiphaea sp.	-	-	-	-	3	3.5	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Unidentified Natantia	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5
Lophogastrida																
Neognathophausia gigas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.2	1	2.2	1	0.5
Benthic crustaceans																
Anomura																
Paralomis sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.2	1	0.5

	Journal Pre-proof															
Isopoda																
Brucerolis bromleyana	-	-	-	-	2	2.4	2	1.0	32	69.6	210	35.2	15	33.3	51	25.5
Ceratoserolis trilobitoides	1	25.0	2	28.6	25	29.1	63	30.0	7	15.2	46	7.7	2	4.4	2	1.0
<i>Sursumura</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	56.5	125	20.9	3	6.7	3	1.5
Unidentified Isopoda	-	-	-	-	1	1.2	1	0.5	2	4.4	14	2.4	3	6.7	4	2.0
Reptantia																
Thymopides grobovi	-	-	-	-	2	2.3	2	1.0	8	17.4	12	2.0	26	57.8	38	19.0
Unidentified crustaceans	-	-	-	-	13	15.1	14	6.7	9	19.6	12	2.0	7	15.6	7	3.5
WORMS	-	-	-	-	3	3.5	5	2.4	39	84.8	152	25.5	18	40.0	32	16.0
Polychaeta																
Unidentified Nephtyidae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	47.8	52	8.7	9	20.0	11	5.5
Unidentified Nereidae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4.4	2	0.3	-	-	-	-
Unidentified Polynoidae	-	-	-	-	3	3.5	5	2.4	33	71.7	81	13.6	13	28.9	18	9.0
Unidentified Polychaeta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	8.7	9	1.5	1	2.2	1	0.5
Priapulida																
Priapulus tuberculaspinosus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	13.0	8	1.3	1	2.2	2	1.0
TOTAL	-	-	7	100	-	-	210	100	- 1	-	597	100	-	-	200	100
Journal																

Species	Groups	n	δ ¹³ C (‰)	δ ¹⁵ N (‰)	C : N
	males	34	-19.02 ± 0.48	11.22 ± 0.77	3.18 ± 0.04
	females	35	-19.13 ± 0.66	11.01 ± 0.91	3.17 ± 0.04
Bathyraja eatonii	immature	4	-19.60 ± 0.55	9.93 ± 0.50	3.15 ± 0.02
	mature	65	-19.04 ± 0.57	11.19 ± 0.81	3.18 ± 0.05
	all	69	-19.07 ± 0.58	11.12 ± 0.85	3.18 ± 0.04
	males	32	-19.29 ± 0.89	12.26 ± 0.73	3.19 ± 0.06
	females	40	-19.15 ± 0.80	12.30 ± 0.80	3.20 ± 0.07
Bathyraja irrasa	immature	33	-19.50 ± 0.85	12.16 ± 0.87	3.19 ± 0.07
	mature	39	-18.96 ± 0.76	12.38 ± 0.66	3.20 ± 0.06
	all	72	-19.21 ± 0.84	12.28 ± 0.77	3.20 ± 0.06

Table 3. Sample size (n) and mean (\pm standard deviation) of muscle isotopic values of *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B. irrasa* for immature, mature and all individuals sampled.

Table 4. Statistical results for isotopic differences between species and within species depending on sex and maturity for *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B. irrasa*. Student's t-test (t-test_{df}) was used when test assumptions were met; otherwise Mann-Whitney (U-test) was used. Significant results are indicated in bold, p < 0.05.

	Groups		Statistic	p-value
	Creasian	$\delta^{13}C$	U-test = 2899	p > 0.08
	species	δ ¹⁵ N	U-test = 764	p < 0.005
	Cov	$\delta^{13}C$	t-test ₆₇ = -0.78	p > 0.4
Bathyraja	Sex	$\delta^{15}N$	t-test ₆₇ = -0.99	p > 0.3
eatonii	Maturity	$\delta^{13}C$	U-test = 74	p > 0.15
	Maturity	$\delta^{15}N$	t-test ₆₇ = -3.07	p < 0.004
	Cou	$\delta^{13}C$	U-test = 732	p > 0.3
Bathyraja	Sex	$\delta^{15}N$	U-test = 651	p > 0.9
irrasa	Moturity	$\delta^{13}C$	U-test = 365	p < 0.002
	Maturity	$\delta^{15}N$	U-test = 557	p > 0.3

Table A.1. Primers used for the amplification and sequencing of the COI gene.

Primers	Sequences (5'-3)	References
TelF1	TCGACT AATCAYAAAGAYATYGGCAC	Dettai et al., 2011
TelR1	ACTTCTGGGTGNCC AAARAATCARAA	Dettai et al., 2011
FishF1	TCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCAC	Ward et al., 2005
FishR1	TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATCA	Ward et al., 2005
mlCOIintF1	GGWACWGGWTGAACWGTWTAYCCYCC	Leray et al., 2013
DgHCO2198	TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAARAAYCA	Leray et al., 2013

Table A.2. Frequency of occurrence and numbers of incidentally ingested prey items (including secondary prey items, indicated by an asterisk (*)) identified from stomach
contents for all 90 samples of Bathyraja eatonii pooled and 91 samples of B. irrasa pooled.

		Bathyraja eatonii									Bathyraja irrasa							
	Im	nature	(M=1,	F=3)	Ma	ture (M	=25, F	F=61)	Imn	nature (N	/ 1=24,	F=22)	Mat	=18, I	F=27)			
	Осси	urence	Nu	mber	Occurence Number			Occ	urence	Number		Occurence		Number				
	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)		
CRUSTACEANS																		
Amphipoda																		
Gammaridea spp.*	-	-	-	-	1	1,14	1	0,39	12	26,09	29	4,49	2	4,44	2	0,96		
Themisto gaudichaudii*	-	-	-	-	4	4,55	6	2,36	-	6)_	-	-	-	-	-		
Unidentified Amphipoda*	-	-	-	-	1	1,14	1	0,39	2	4,35	5	0,77	-	-	-	-		
Copepoda																		
Paraeuchaeta sp.*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	2	4,35	2	0,31	-	-	-	-		
Unidentified Pennellidae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	2,22	1	0,48		
Euphausiacea																		
$Euphausia\ triacantha^*$	-	-	-	-	9	10,23	13	5,12	2	4,35	3	0,46	3	6,67	3	1,44		
Euphausia vallentini*	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	1	2,17	1	0,15	-	-	-	-		
<i>Euphausia</i> sp.*	-	-	-	-	6	2,82	6	2,36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Isopoda																		
Unidentified Flabellifera	-	-	-	-	2	2,27	2	0,79	4	8,70	4	0,62	1	2,22	1	0,48		
Unidentified Gnathiidae	-	-	-	-	Э-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2,22	1	0,48		
Mysida																		
Unidentified Mysidacea *	-	-	-	-	10	11,36	16	6,30	5	10,87	5	0,77	1	2,22	1	0,48		

Highlights :

- First study of feeding ecology of two deep-water skates in Kerguelen waters.
- 73 % of prey items identified at the species level using traditional methods and DNA barcoding.
- *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B. irrasa* are generalist predators (> 57 species) with high trophic positions.
- Both species feed mostly on benthic species and the proportion of pelagic preys such as myctophids and squids is higher for *B. eatonii*.
- *B. irrasa* shows ontogenetic shift in feeding ecology related to maturity stage.

Journal Prerk

Declaration of interests

 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☑ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Johanna Faure reports financial support was provided by Fondation d'Entreprises des Mers Australes.