Impact of Annual Bacterial Epizootics on Albatross Population on a Remote Island

Jaeger Audrey ^{1, 2}, Gamble Amandine ^{3, 4}, Lagadec Erwan ^{1, 5}, Lebarbenchon Camille ¹, Bourret Vincent ³, Tornos Jérémy ^{3, 6}, Barbraud Christophe ⁷, Lemberger Karin ⁸, Delord Karine ⁷, Weimerskirch Henri ⁷, Thiebot Jean-Baptiste ^{5, 7}, Boulinier Thierry ³, Tortosa Pablo ^{1, *}

¹ UMR PIMIT (Processus Infectieux en Milieu Insulaire Tropical), CNRS 9192, INSERM 1187, IRD 249, Plateforme Technologique CYROI, Université de La Réunion, Sainte-Clotilde, La Réunion, France ² UMR ENTROPIE (Écologie marine tropicale des océans Pacifique et Indien) CNRS, IRD 250, Université de La Réunion, 15 Avenue René Cassin, CS 92003, 97744, Saint Denis Cedex 9, La Réunion, France

³ Centre d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Évolutive (CEFE), CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Université de Montpellier, Université Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, Montpellier, France

 ⁴ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
 ⁵ Réserve Naturelle Nationale des Terres Australes Françaises, Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises, rue Gabriel Dejean, Saint Pierre, La Réunion, France

⁶ Ceva Biovac Campus, Beaucouzé, France

⁷ Centre d'Études Biologiques de Chizé, UMR CNRS 7372, Université La Rochelle, Villiers en Bois, France

⁸ Vet Diagnostics, 14, Avenue Rockefeller, 69008, Lyon, France

* Corresponding author : Pablo Tortosa, email address : pablo.tortosa@univ-reunion.fr

Abstract :

The reduced species richness typical of oceanic islands provides an interesting environmental setup to examine in natura the epidemiological dynamics of infectious agents with potential implications for public health and/or conservation. On Amsterdam Island (Indian Ocean), recurrent die-offs of Indian yellow-nosed albatross (Thalassarche carteri) nestlings have been attributed to avian cholera, caused by the bacterium Pasteurella multocida. In order to help implementing efficient measures for the control of this disease, it is critical to better understand the local epidemiology of P. multocida and to examine its interand intra-annual infection dynamics. We evaluated the infection status of 264 yellow-nosed albatrosses over four successive breeding seasons using a real-time PCR targeting P. multocida DNA from cloacal swabs. Infection prevalence patterns revealed an intense circulation of P. multocida throughout the survey, with a steady but variable increase in infection prevalence within each breeding season. These epizootics were associated with massive nestling dies-offs, inducing very low fledging successes ($\leq 20\%$). These results suggest important variations in the transmission dynamics of this pathogen. These findings and the developed PCR protocol have direct applications to guide future research and refine conservation plans aiming at controlling the disease.

Keywords : Bacteria, Disease ecology, Eco-epidemiology, Molecular biology, Seabird, Wildlife

1

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Romain Bazire, Marine Bely, Nicolas Giraud, Hélène Le Berre, and David Hémery for their help in the field, and Cédric Marteau, Romain Garnier and Hubert Gantelet for help at various stages. This work was funded by the Réserve Nationale des Terres Australes Françaises, the French Polar Institute (IPEV programs ECOPATH-1151 and ORNITHO-ECO-109), le Ministère des Outre-Mer (MOM-2013), the Zone Atelier Antarctique ZATA CNRS-INEE, Labex CEMEB and OSU OREME. This paper is a contribution to the Plan National d'Action Albatros d'Amsterdam. AG received a PhD fellowship from the French Ministry of Research and was later supported by NSF (DEB-1557022) and SERDP (RC-2635). CL is supported by a "chaire mixte INSERM – Université de La Réunion".

19 Introduction

20 Emerging infectious diseases are listed among the top five drivers of species extinction 21 (Daszak 2000). However, investigating infection dynamics in natura and identifying 22 emergence factors are challenged by the number of animal species potentially involved in the 23 maintenance and transmission of such pathogens. Insular ecosystems are particularly 24 appropriate for such studies because species richness on islands, especially young and remote 25 oceanic ones, is generally lower than on mainland sites with comparable climate (MacArthur 26 and Wilson 1967; Kier et al. 2009). Furthermore, geographic isolation facilitates the 27 identification of migratory or introduced taxa that may add complexity to a given pathosystem 28 (Tortosa et al. 2012). Amsterdam Island (37°49'S, 77°33'E) is a 53 km² volcanic island lying in the 29 30 southern Indian Ocean, more than 3,000 km away from any continent. To help preserving its 31 remarkable wildlife, the island has been designated as part of the National Nature Reserve of 32 the French Southern Territories in 2006, and as part of the French Austral Lands and Seas 33 UNESCO World Heritage site in 2019. Amsterdam Island notably hosts several endangered 34 seabird species, including the northern rockhopper penguin (Eudyptes moselevi) and three 35 albatross species: the Indian yellow-nosed (Thalassarche carteri), the sooty (Phoebetria 36 fusca), and the endemic Amsterdam (Diomedea amsterdamensis) albatrosses. Local numbers 37 of vellow-nosed albatrosses have severely declined over the past 30 years, mirroring recurrent 38 die-offs of the nestlings from infectious diseases (Jaeger et al. 2018), while adults do not 39 appear to be affected (Rolland et al. 2009; Gamble et al. 2019b). Two microbiological studies 40 have substantiated the link between nestling mortalities and infection by the Gram-negative 41 bacterium Pasteurella multocida (hereafter Pm; Weimerskirch 2004; Jaeger et al. 2018). 42 Isolation of the etiological agent from two distinct bird species on Amsterdam Island and 43 identification of a single genotype support the hypothesis of a clonal infection, suggesting the

recent introduction of this agent (Jaeger et al. 2018), potentially as a consequence of poultry
and/or rodent introduction to the island (Micol and Jouventin 1995). The causal link between *Pm* infection and nestling mortality has been further addressed through experimental
vaccination, which significantly increased nestling survival during an avian cholera outbreak
(Bourret et al. 2018).

49 Avian cholera is a worldwide infectious disease with major economic impact and 50 conservation concern for several host species (Crawford et al. 1992; Wobeser 1997; 51 Österblom et al. 2004; Leotta et al. 2006; Descamps et al. 2012; Singh et al. 2014; Wille et al. 52 2016; Iverson et al. 2016). However, Pasteurella multocida is notorious for exhibiting distinct 53 patterns of pathogenicity across hosts species (Blanchong et al. 2006a). For instance, avian 54 cholera seems to strongly affect adult survival in several systems such as eider ducks and 55 gulls in North America (Wille et al. 2016; Iverson et al. 2016), guillemots in Northern Europe 56 (Österblom et al. 2004), cormorants in South Africa (Crawford et al. 1992), or skuas and 57 Adelie penguins in Antarctica (Leotta et al. 2006). In contrast, some sparse evidence suggest 58 that some populations or species may exhibit lower mortality rates and support chronic 59 carrying of Pm, such as some geese species in North America (Samuel et al. 2005), or as 60 generally considered in poultry (Glisson 2013). This heterogeneity in host response to Pm 61 infection hence does not allow making predictions regarding the system investigated on 62 Amsterdam Island. Deciphering the epidemiological dynamics of this emerging infectious 63 disease is a first step towards understanding the dynamics of maintenance and transmission of 64 a pathogen likely introduced to this ecosystem.

Although a handful of commercial tools are available for the screening of this disease
(including ID-Vet ® indirect ELISA and a RT-PCR kit recently developed by Bioeksen ®), ,
we developed and validated a Real-Time PCR approach targeting the *Pm* strain isolated from
Amsterdam Island (Gamble et al. 2019b). We used this PCR scheme to carry out high

throughput screening of P. multocida DNA and examine the infection dynamics of adult and 69 nestling vellow-nosed albatrosses during four successive breeding seasons and in relation to 70 71 the nestling survival. The screening further aimed at identifying the periods during which 72 more intensive sampling should be conducted in order to quantify the case fatality rate of the 73 disease and its spatial progression in the colony. Lastly, this screening aimed at testing a 74 number of hypotheses regarding transmission patterns. *Pm* infections are highly 75 heterogeneous among bacterial strain and host species, and among individuals within a 76 species (Wobeser 1997; Glisson 2013), some individuals developing acute and lethal diseases 77 (e.g., Iverson et al. 2016), while some other develop chronic diseases or becoming 78 asymptomatic carriers (e.g., Samuel et al. 2005). Our hypotheses where thus generated from 79 previous demographic, serological and experimental data collected in the study system rather 80 that knowledge acquired in other systems. First, we predicted that *Pm* would be detected in 81 both adults and nestlings, as a previous serosurvey revealed that adults were also exposed to the bacterium (Gamble et al. 2019b). Second, considering the high mortality rate of the 82 83 disease in nestlings (Weimerskirch 2004; Bourret et al. 2018), we predicted that Pm would be 84 detected at high prevalence years of large nestling die-offs, in addition to lesions suggestive 85 of avian cholera such as necrosis and bacterial colonisation of several organs following 86 septicaemia, but not in years of high fledging success. Finally, nestlings were expected to die following infection, while adults were expected to either clear out the infection or chronically 87 88 carry it, as suggested in other wild systems (Samuel et al. 2005) and as generally admitted in 89 poultry (Glisson 2013).

- 90
- 91 Methods
- 92 Bird sampling

93 Fieldwork was conducted between December 2013 and March 2017, in the Entrecasteaux 94 cliffs (south-western coast of Amsterdam Island) where approximately 20,000 pairs of 95 yellow-nosed albatrosses nest from September to March (Rolland et al. 2009). Yellow-nosed 96 albatrosses lav a single egg in early September, that hatches between late November and mid-97 December (Jouventin et al. 1989). Nest attendance by adults is high until January. Nestlings 98 are then mostly on their own in their nest until fledging in April, except during feeding visits 99 by their parents (Jaeger et al. 2018). We surveyed a naturally-delineated subcolony of 100 approximately 250 albatross pairs where bird exposure to Pm has been monitored since the 101 2013/2014 breeding season (Bourret et al. 2018; Gamble et al. 2019b). Monitored nests were 102 georeferenced and marked with alphanumeric tags to individually identify the nestlings within 103 a breeding season. Adults were marked with alphanumeric rings, allowing individual 104 identification.

105 During the four studied seasons, cloacal swabs were collected from adults (n = 197) 106 individuals, including 100 with two samples or more) during the early chick-rearing period 107 (late November to early January) using sterile applicators (one to two samples per breeding 108 season, per individual) and from nestlings (n = 67 individuals, including 50 with two samples 109 or more) between hatching and fledging (up to five samples per breeding season, per 110 individual). Sample sizes per sampling occasion and per individual are detailed in Figures 2 111 and 3, and Table S2 of the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) respectively. Swabs 112 were conserved in 0.5 mL Longmire lysis buffer (Longmire et al. 1988) and kept at 0-4°C in 113 the field, and then at -20°C when brought back to the main station, and eventually stored at -114 80°C at the research facilities until analysis. In addition, when apparently fresh and intact 115 dead birds were opportunistically found on the colony, necropsies were carried out and tissue 116 samples were collected (n = 21 individuals). Samples were stored in 4% formaldehyde, 117 routinely processed and stained with haematoxylin-and-eosin for histological examination

essentially as previously described (see https://www.protocols.io/view/haematoxylin-eosin-he-staining-ihxcb7n). Additional Gram staining was used for bacterial characterization (see
ESM for additional details).

121 Concomitantly, nestling survival was monitored during four monthly visits to the subcolony

122 per year, between early December (following hatching peak) and late March (before

123 fledging). Survival monitoring was focused on the sampled nestlings, in addition to up to 30

unmanipulated (*i.e.*, visually monitored without any handling) nestlings in 2014-2015, 2015-

125 2016 and 2016-2017. Because sampling of the nestlings appeared to have no detectable effect

126 on their survival (Figure S1; Bourret et al. 2018), the two groups (sampled and

127 unmanipulated) were pooled together in the survival analyses. Additional details are given in

128 ESM.

129 Nucleic acid extraction and PCR detection of P. multocida

130 Nucleic acids were prepared from cloacal swab samples using manual QiaAmp cador

131 pathogen Mini kits (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) following the manufacturer's protocol. A

132 Real-Time probe-based PCR protocol was developed using *Pm*-for (5'-

133 ACGGCGCAACTGATTGGACG-3') and Pm-rev (5'-

134 GGCCATAAGAAACGTAACTCAACA-3') primers allowing the amplification of a 116

nucleotides amplicon within KMT1 gene, a locus routinely used for the detection of *Pm*

through end-point PCR (Townsend et al. 1998). Amplification was monitored in a Stratagene

137 MX3005P (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) thermocycler using the fluorescent Pm-

138 probe (5'FAM-TCAGCTTATTGTTATTTGCCGGT3'BHQ1). Amplifications were

139 performed in 25 µL final volume containing 12.5 µL of Absolute Blue real-time PCR Low

- 140 Rox Mix (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 0.4 μ M of each primer and 0.2 μ M of
- 141 Pm-probe. The PCR conditions included a first Taq-Polymerase activation step (95°C for 15
- 142 min), followed by 40 cycles each composed of a denaturation (95°C for 15 sec.), an annealing

143 (54°C for 30 sec.) and an extension (72°C for 30 sec.) step. The sensitivity of the PCR was

144 measured by serially diluting genomic DNA prepared from the D2C *Pm* strain (Jaeger et al.

145 2018). The assay specificity was not addressed, as previous microbiological analyses

146 suggested the occurrence of a single *Pm* clone in albatrosses from Amsterdam Island (Jaeger

147 et al. 2018) and the seasonal dynamics of this clone were the focus of this study.

148 Statistical analyses

149 Prevalence (with 95% Clopper-Pearson confidence interval) was calculated as the proportion 150 of adults or nestlings testing positive among all sampled individuals during a given period. 151 Variation in prevalence was quantified using logistic regressions, with *Pm*-PCR status 152 (negative or positive) used as the response variable, and breeding season (categorical), day 153 within the season (continuous) and their interaction as potential explicative variables. The 154 best model was selected using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Burnham and Anderson 155 2002). As many individuals were sampled several times and a large proportion of adult 156 individuals were partners, we used generalized linear mixed models in the "lme4" R package 157 (Bates et al. 2015), with the individual and nest (for adults only) as random effects. 158 Likelihood-ratio (LR) tests are reported between parentheses, and effect sizes, odds ratios and 159 AIC are reported in Tables S3-5. Because the timing of presence in the colony differed 160 between adults and nestlings, two distinct models were used. The potential effects of handling 161 and breeding season on nestling survival was investigated by fitting a Cox proportional 162 hazards model (Andersen and Gill 1982) to the data using the "survival" package (Therneau 163 and Lumley 2019). Finally, a potential association between *Pm*-PCR status and fledging 164 probability at the individual or nest level was investigated. To do so, we classified as PCR-165 positive all the nestlings from which Pm DNA was detected in at least one sample (individual 166 level), or that belonged to a nest from which Pm DNA was detected in at least one sample 167 (*i.e.*, including its parents; nest level). We then fitted logistic regressions, with fledging

success used as the response variable, and *Pm*-PCR status (negative or positive), breeding season (categorical) and their interaction as potential explicative variables. All statistical analyses were conducted in R 3.3.3. Additional methods and scripts are provided as ESM.

172 Results

The sensitivity of the developed RT-PCR scheme showed a positivity threshold of 9 DNA
molecule templates per reaction. We screened 391 samples from 197 adults, and 192 samples
from 67 nestlings. *Pm* DNA was detected in 157/583 tested samples (Table S2).

176 In adults, which were sampled during the early chick-rearing period only, prevalence varied significantly among breeding seasons (LR $\chi^2 = 79$, p < 0.01; Tables S3-4), reaching its 177 maximum in 2015 (0.60 [0.50; 0.69]) and minimum in 2017 (0.01 [0.00; 0.07]; Figure 1a). In 178 nestlings, the model with an effect of the breeding season (LR $\chi^2 = 35$, p < 0.01), day (LR $\chi^2 =$ 179 180 13, p < 0.01) and their interaction (LR $\chi^2 = 7$, p = 0.07) on prevalence was selected, indicating 181 variations among and within breeding seasons (Figure 1a; Tables S3 and 5). Notably, 182 prevalence was generally low at the beginning of the chick-rearing periods (≤ 0.14), except in 183 2014/2015 when prevalence was high already in December (0.70 [0.46; 0.88]), mirroring 184 prevalence in adults during the same period. Each year, prevalence tended to increase 185 throughout the season (see Table S2 for numerical data). Remarkably, prevalence in nestlings 186 was maximal in 2014/2015, 2015/2016 and 2016/2017, corresponding to seasons with very 187 low fledging success (≤ 0.20) while fledging success reached 0.57 [0.40; 0.81] in 2013/2014 188 (Figure 1b).

The longitudinal survey of a subset of birds also revealed that some individuals testing positive at a given time point may test negative at one following time point (47/191 adults, and 9/50 nestlings; Figures 2 and 3), suggesting that a fraction of the birds could possibly clear out the infection. In 2014/2015, 2015/2016 and 2016/2017, all the fledged nestlings

193 sampled longitudinally from hatching were detected as positive at least once although 194 we did not detect any association between infection status and survival at the considered 195 monthly sampling scale (Figure S6). We did not detect any spatial structuration in Pm 196 infection status among nests either (Figure S5). Lastly, a histological analyses carried out to 197 assess the extent of tissue damage resulting from Pm infection and to rule out other 198 underlying processes revealed necrotic lesions in the heart, spleen and/or liver together with 199 Gram-negative bacterial sepsis in nine of 21 necropsied albatross nestlings (Figures S2-4), 200 together with hepatic and pulmonary congestion as well as haemorrhagic myocarditis (not 201 shown).

202 Discussion

203 This study reports the first multi-year investigation of *Pm* infection in an albatross population, 204 hence contributing to fill the important knowledge gap regarding the impact of infectious 205 diseases on one of the most rapidly declining bird taxa (Phillips et al. 2016). The results 206 reveal that yellow-nosed albatrosses on Amsterdam Island have been facing *Pm* infection at 207 their breeding sites every year throughout the study duration, even when the fledging success is relatively high. Such epizootics are in line with the massive nestling die-offs that have been 208 209 recorded over the last three decades on the island, especially in yellow-nosed albatrosses 210 (Weimerskirch 2004; Jaeger et al. 2018).

211 *Temporal variations of* P. multocida *prevalence*

212 Infection prevalence was overall high, but varied among and within breeding seasons. As

expected considering the high pathogenicity of *Pm* in the study system (Weimerskirch 2004;

Bourret et al. 2018; Jaeger et al. 2018; and this study), very few nestlings ($\leq 20\%$) fledged

- 215 in 2014/2015, 2015/2016 and 2016/2017, corresponding to the years with highest *Pm*
- 216 prevalence. Interestingly, all the fledged nestlings sampled longitudinally during these
- three breeding seasons from hatching were detected as positive at least once. In contrast,

218 in 2013/2014, prevalence was minimal (but non-null) and nestling survival exceeded 50%. A 219 salient pattern highlighted here is the temporality of the infection. Indeed, prevalence was 220 most often low at the beginning of the chick-rearing period, then increases onwards until 221 fledging. The estimates obtained for 2014/2015 do not follow this trend, though: prevalence 222 was already high upon the first sampling date (*i.e.*, at the time of egg hatching), with over 223 50% of the birds testing positive, and remained high throughout the season. During 224 2014/2015 season, the epizootic may thus have started earlier for reasons that remain to be 225 elucidated. The magnitude of the infection prevalence together with its temporal dynamics 226 suggest that epizootics occur quasi-annually in this subcolony.

227 Enlightening mechanisms of pathogen maintenance

228 The recurrence of avian cholera outbreaks on Amsterdam Island raises the question of 229 the compartments involved in pathogen maintenance between two successive breeding 230 seasons, when most seabirds are absent from the island. We can hypothesize (H1) that some 231 of the infected birds surviving the infection become chronic shedders, hence re-infecting the 232 island every year as suggested in other wild systems (Samuel et al. 2005). The role of chronic 233 shedders may be especially important to explain outbreak recurrence in a system such as 234 Amsterdam Island where a high proportion of individuals, adults in particular, seems to 235 survive exposure, contrasting with other systems where adult survival is strongly affected by 236 the disease (e.g., Crawford et al. 1992; Österblom et al. 2004; Leotta et al. 2006; Descamps et 237 al. 2012; Wille et al. 2016; Iverson et al. 2016). Alternatively, the pathogen may persist on the 238 island during winter in the absence of yellow-nosed albatrosses, either in the environment 239 (Samuel et al., 2004) or in one of the resident avian/rodent species (H2). These non-exclusive 240 hypotheses may be tested by screening (i) environmental samples, (ii) adult birds at their 241 return to the island in September, and (iii) the putative reservoir species that permanently 242 settle on the island. Indeed, Pm circulation on Amsterdam Island is not restricted to yellow-

243 nosed albatrosses. Notably, predators and scavengers such as brown skuas (Stercorarius 244 antarcticus) and introduced rodents (Rattus norvegicus and Mus musculus) may contribute to 245 the maintenance and/or circulation of the pathogen (Curtis 1983; Gamble et al. 2019a). 246 Screening the environment and the resident animal species, especially before the return of 247 yellow-nosed albatrosses, will improve our understanding of the whole epidemiological 248 network. The novel PCR protocol we developed here is pivotal in this perspective. Overall, 249 the results presented herein will help to design field studies in an iterative process, as future 250 sampling protocols designed to elucidate the transmission and maintenance cycles will need 251 to be updated (Restif et al. 2012; see below).

252 *Methodological implications*

253 Avian cholera outbreaks on Amsterdam Island are characterized by a rapid epidemiological 254 process, as highlighted by the steep increase of *Pm* prevalence and decrease of nestling 255 survival throughout the breeding season, although some inter-annual variation exists. Hence, 256 a finer temporal scale of sampling is needed to precisely quantify key epidemiological 257 parameters, such as the case fatality rate and the probability to clear out the infection, as well 258 as to assess the presence of chronic shedders, as suggested by the longitudinal survey. Indeed, 259 the discrepancy between the within-year temporal scales of the sampling (once a month) and 260 the epidemiological process (Pm being believed to cause death within a few days; Wobeser 261 1997) prevented us from quantifying these parameters in this study (see Figure S7 for detailed 262 explanation). In addition, future studies could include repeated sampling (e.g., successive 263 collection of several swabs from a given individual at a given time point) in order to account 264 for detection probability issues. Indeed, most molecular assays have a sensitivity below unity, 265 and repeated samples can allow to estimate the protocol detection probability, and ultimately 266 account for it analysing the data using the patch occupancy framework(McClintock et al. 267 2010; DiRenzo et al. 2018). Designing the optimal sampling protocol requires preliminary

knowledge on test sensitivity, but also infection prevalence (Mackenzie and Royle 2005),

which our study brings here. Finally, the reported inter-annual variations of outbreak onset

support the need of a monitoring scheme covering the full breeding season to identify the

determinants of outbreak onset.

272 Conclusion

273 In this study, we showed the relevance of a multi-scale (inter- and intra-seasonal) approach to 274 better understand the infection dynamics of *Pm* in endangered insular wildlife. Altogether, the 275 results highlight the need for a comprehensive monitoring of *Pm* infection spanning the 276 different biotic and abiotic compartments possibly involved in pathogen maintenance on the 277 Island. This study will guide future research on Amsterdam Island and other sites affected by 278 avian cholera and comparable diseases (e.g., Crawford et al. 1992; Österblom et al. 2004; 279 Blanchong et al. 2006b; Leotta et al. 2006; Descamps et al. 2012; Wille et al. 2016; Iverson et 280 al. 2016). Eventually, it may also guide stakeholders to refine conservation measures and 281 target the most relevant compartments of the pathosystem for the control of severe diseases 282 affecting endangered wildlife populations.

283

284 Authors' contributions

AJ, CL and PT set up the quantitative PCR. TB, CB and HW are responsible of the field

286 research programs. AJ, TB, KD, JT, VB, JBT and AG implemented the study in the field. AJ

and EL implemented the molecular analyses. KL conducted the histological analyses. AG

288 managed the data. AG and AJ conducted the data analyses. PT and AG led the writing of the

289 manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

290

291 Conflict of interest

292 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

293

294 Data availability

- 295 The datasets and R code used in the current study are available on the OSU OREME online
- 296 repository: <u>https://data.oreme.org/doi/view/e34e5542-f819-4c88-a048-655f77e3668e</u>.

297

298 Statement of animal ethics

- 299 The experimental design was approved by the Comité de l'Environnement Polaire and
- 300 Comité National de Protection de la Nature (TAAF A-2013-71, A-2014-134, A-2015-107 and
- A-2016-80) and the French Ministry of Research (license #04939.03).

302 Figures

Figure 1. Proportion of yellow-nosed albatrosses shedding *Pm* DNA (a) and nestling survival (b) during the four breeding seasons on Amsterdam Island. Raw prevalence is represented by black triangles (adults) and grey dots (nestlings). The temporal variation of prevalence in nestlings (grey line) was predicted based on the generalized linear mixed model that fitted best to nestling data (prevalence ~ breeding season : day; Table S3). Bars (a and b) and shaded area (b) represent the corresponding 95% confidence intervals; sample sizes are given in Table S2.

310 Figure 2. Individual histories of *Pm* detection by PCR in cloacal swab samples of adult

311 yellow-nosed albatrosses. Each line represents an individual and each column a month.

312 Individuals sampled several times within a month were considered PCR-positive if at least

313 one of these samples tested positive.

Figure 3. Individual histories of *Pm* detection by PCR in cloacal swab samples of yellow-

nosed albatross nestlings. Each line represents an individual and each column a month.

316 Individuals sampled several times within a month were considered PCR-positive if at least

317 one of these samples tested positive.

318

References

321 322	Andersen PK, Gill RD (1982) Cox's regression model for counting processes: a large sample study. The Annals of Statistics 10:1100–1120
323 324	Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01
325 326 327	Blanchong JA, Samuel MD, Mack G (2006a) Multi-species patterns of avian cholera mortality in Nebraska's Rainwater Basin. J Wildl Dis 42:81–91 . https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-42.1.81
328 329	Blanchong JA, Samuel MD, Mack G (2006b) Multi-species patterns of avian cholera mortality in Nebraska's Rainwater Basin. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 42:81–91
330 331 332 333 334	Boulinier T, Kada S, Ponchon A, Dupraz M, Dietrich M, Gamble A, Bourret V, Duriez O, Bazire R, Tornos J, Tveraa T, Chambert T, Garnier R, McCoy KD (2016) Migration, prospecting, dispersal? What host movement matters for infectious agent circulation? Integrative and Comparative Biology 56:330–342. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icw015
335 336 337 338	Bourret V, Gamble A, Tornos J, Jaeger A, Delord K, Barbraud C, Tortosa P, Kada S, Thiebot JB, Eric T, Gantelet H, Weimerskirch H, Garnier R, Boulinier T (2018) Vaccination protects endangered albatross chicks against avian cholera. Conservation Letters e12443. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12443
339 340	Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach, 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, New York.
341 342 343	Crawford RJM, Allwright DM, Heyl CW (1992) High Mortality of Cape Cormorants (Phalacrocorax capensis) off Western South Africa in 1991 Caused by Pasteurella multocida. Colonial Waterbirds 15:236–238 . https://doi.org/10.2307/1521458
344 345	Curtis PE (1983) Transmission of Pasteurella multocida infection from the brown rat (Rattus norvegicus) to domestic poultry. Veterinary Record 113:133–134.
346 347 348	Daszak P (2000) Emerging Infectious Diseases of Wildlife. Threats to Biodiversity and Human Health. Science 287:443–449. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5452.443
349 350 351	Descamps S, Jenouvrier S, Gilchrist HG, Forbes MR (2012) Avian Cholera, a Threat to the Viability of an Arctic Seabird Colony? PLOS ONE 7:e29659. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029659
352 353 354 355	DiRenzo GV, Grant EHC, Longo AV, Che-Castaldo C, Zamudio KR, Lips KR (2018) Imperfect pathogen detection from non-invasive skin swabs biases disease inference. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 9:380–389. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12868
356 357 358 359 360	 Gamble A, Bazire R, Delord K, Barbraud C, Jaeger A, Gantelet H, Thibault E, Lebarbenchon C, Lagadec E, Tortosa P, Weimerskirch H, Thiebot J-B, Garnier R, Tornos J, Boulinier T (2019a) Movements of an apex consumer among and within endangered seabird colonies: opportunities for pathogen spread. Journal of Applied Ecology in press.

361 Gamble A, Garnier R, Jaeger A, Gantelet H, Thibault E, Tortosa P, Bourret V, Thiebot J-B,

362 363 364 365	Delord K, Weimerskirch H, Tornos J, Barbraud C, Boulinier T (2019b) Exposure of breeding albatrosses to the agent of avian cholera: dynamics of antibody levels and ecological implications. Oecologia 189:939–949. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04369-1
366 367	Glisson JR (2013) Pasteurellosis and other respiratory bacterial infections. In: Diseases of poultry, 13th edn. John Wiley & Sons, Ames, Iowa, p 17
368	IUCN (2018) BirdLife International: 2918. Diomedea amsterdamensis. The IUCN Red List of
369	Threatened Species 2018: e.T22698310A13239783
370	Iverson SA, Gilchrist HG, Soos C, Buttler EI, Harms NJ, Forbes MR (2016) Injecting
371	epidemiology into population viability analysis: avian cholera transmission
372	dynamics at an Arctic seabird colony. J Anim Ecol 85:1481–1490 .
373	https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12585
374	Jaeger A, Lebarbenchon C, Bourret V, Bastien M, Lagadec E, Thiebot J-B, Boulinier T,
375	Delord K, Barbraud C, Marteau C, Dellagi K, Tortosa P, Weimerskirch H (2018)
376	Avian cholera outbreaks threaten seabird species on Amsterdam Island. PLOS
377	ONE 13:e0197291. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197291
378	Jouventin P, Martinez J, Roux JP (1989) Breeding biology and current status of the
379	Amsterdam Island Albatross Diomedea amsterdamensis. Ibis 131:171–182 .
380	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1989.tb02760.x
381	Kier G, Kreft H, Lee TM, Jetz W, Ibisch PL, Nowicki C, Mutke J, Barthlott W (2009) A
382	global assessment of endemism and species richness across island and mainland
383	regions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106:9322–9327.
384	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810306106
385	Leotta GA, Chinen I, Vigo GB, Pecoraro M, Rivas M (2006) Outbreaks of avian cholera in
386	Hope Bay, Antarctica. Journal of Wildlife Disease 42:259–270.
387	https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-42.2.259
388	Longmire JL, Lewis AK, Brown NC, Buckingham JM, Clark LM, Jones MD, Meincke LJ,
389	Meyne J, Ratliff RL, Ray FA (1988) Isolation and molecular characterization of a
390	highly polymorphic centromeric tandem repeat in the family Falconidae. Genomics
391	2:14–24.
392	MacArthur RH, Wilson EO (1967) The theory of island biogeography. Princeton University
393	Press
394	Mackenzie DI, Royle JA (2005) Designing occupancy studies: general advice and allocating
395	survey effort. Journal of Applied Ecology 42:1105–1114.
396	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01098.x
397	McClintock BT, Nichols JD, Bailey LL, MacKenzie DI, Kendall WL, Franklin AB (2010)
398	Seeking a second opinion: uncertainty in disease ecology. Ecology Letters 13:659–
399	674. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01472.x
400	Micol T, Jouventin P (1995) Restoration of Amsterdam Island, South Indian Ocean, following
401	control of feral cattle. Biological Conservation 73:199–206.
402	https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(94)00109-4
403	Österblom H, Jeugd HPVD, Olsson O (2004) Adult survival and avian cholera in Common
404	Guillemots Uria aalge in the Baltic Sea. Ibis 146:531–534.
405	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2004.00266.x

406	Phillips RA, Gales R, Baker GB, Double MC, Favero M, Quintana F, Tasker ML,
407	Weimerskirch H, Uhart M, Wolfaardt A (2016) The conservation status and
408	priorities for albatrosses and large petrels. Biological Conservation 201:169–183.
409	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.06.017
410	Restif O, Hayman DTS, Pulliam JRC, Plowright RK, George DB, Luis AD, Cunningham AA,
411	Bowen RA, Fooks AR, O'Shea TJ, Wood JLN, Webb CT (2012) Model-guided
412	fieldwork: practical guidelines for multidisciplinary research on wildlife ecological
413	and epidemiological dynamics. Ecology Letters 15:1083–1094.
414	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01836.x
415	Rolland V, Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H (2009) Assessing the impact of fisheries, climate
416	and disease on the dynamics of the Indian yellow-nosed Albatross. Biological
417	Conservation 142:1084–1095. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.12.030
418	Samuel MD, Shadduck DJ, Goldberg DR, Johnson WP (2005) Avian cholera in waterfowl:
419	the role of lesser snow and Ross's geese as disease carriers in the Playa Lakes
420	Region. Journal of wildlife diseases 41:48–57. https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-
421	41.1.48
422	Therneau T, Lumley T (2019) Survival analysis. 3.1.8. R pacakge.
423	https://github.com/therneau/survival
424	Tortosa P, Pascalis H, Guernier V, Cardinale E, Le Corre M, Goodman SM, Dellagi K (2012)
425	Deciphering arboviral emergence within insular ecosystems. Infection, Genetics
426	and Evolution 12:1333–1339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2012.03.024
427 428 429	Townsend KM, Frost AJ, Lee CW, Papadimitriou JM, Dawkins HJS (1998) Development of PCR Assays for Species- and Type-Specific Identification of Pasteurella multocida Isolates. J Clin Microbiol 36:1096–1100.
430	Weimerskirch H (2004) Diseases threaten Southern Ocean albatrosses. Polar Biology 27:374–
431	379. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-004-0600-x
432	Wille M, McBurney S, Robertson GJ, Wilhelm SI, Blehert DS, Soos C, Dunphy R, Whitney
433	H (2016) A pelagic outbreak of avian cholera in North American gulls: scavenging
434	as a primary mechanism for transmission? Journal of Wildlife Diseases 52:793–802
435	. https://doi.org/10.7589/2015-12-342
436 437	Wobeser GA (1997) Avian cholera. In: Diseases of Wild Waterfowl, 2nd edn. Springer US, pp 5–69
100	





