Archimer
https://archimer.ifremer.fr

Variability in growth and tissue composition (CNP, natural isotopes) of the three morphotypes of holopelagic *Sargassum*

Changeux Thomas [1, *], Berline Léo [2], Podlejski Witold [2], Guillot Tym, Stiger-Pouvreau Valerie [4], Connan Solene [4], Thibaut Thierry [2]

[1] Mediterranean institute of oceanography (MIO); Postal address: Institut Méditerranéen d'Océanologie (MIO) - Equipe 5 EMBIO, Campus de Luminy, Case 901, Océanomed, Bât. Méditerranée 26M/102, 13288 Marseille Cédex 9, France
[2] MIO, France
[3] Ifremer Martinique, 79 route de Pointe Fort 97231 Le Robert, France
[4] Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, LEMAR, F-29280. Plouzane, France

* Corresponding author : Thomas Changeux, email address : thomas.changeux@ird.fr

leo.berline@mio.osupytheas.fr ; witold.podlejski@mio.osupytheas.fr ; tymguillot@gmail.com ; valerie.stiger@univ-brest.fr ; solene.connan@univ-brest.fr ; thierry.thibaut@univ-amu.fr

Abstract :

Holopelagic Sargassum blooms in the tropical North Atlantic since 2011 are composed of two species, Sargassum natans and S. fluitans, and three morphotypes: S. natans VIII, S. natans I and S. fluitans III. The distinct morphology and the variations in space and time of the proportion of these three morphotypes suggest that they may have different physiology. For the first time, we have quantified the growth rates of these three morphotypes through in situ 9-day experiments on the coast of Martinique Island (French West Indies). Despite the non-optimal conditions for growth for these pelagic species and the short time of the experiment, we have observed that Sargassum fluitans III was growing faster (approximately twice as fast) than S. natans VIII and S. natans I. Sargassum natans I exhibited the slowest growth. The differences in tissue composition (CNP and CN natural isotopes) of morphotypes point to a greater benefit for S. fluitans III from the coastal localization of our experiment than for the two S. natans morphotypes, and suggest that S. natans I had achieved its last growth further offshore before our experiment. These contrasting growth performances are consistent with the dominance of S. fluitans III in recent observations in the Caribbean region and along the path from the Sargassum belt. This also makes this last morphotype the best candidate for cultivation. Making the distinction between the growth performances of morphotypes may improve the current predictive models about dispersal of these species.

Highlights

► *Sargassum fluitans* III growth rate is the highest. ► *Sargassum natans* I growth rate is the lowest. ► Tissue composition differs between morphotypes.

Keywords : Seaweed, Brown macroalgae, Sargasso, Carbon, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Algal bloom, In-situ culture

1. Introduction

Since 2011, the tropical North Atlantic Ocean has been the site of seasonal blooms of holopelagic *Sargassum*, rooted in the North Equatorial Recirculation Region. Holopelagic *Sargassum* are currently forming the Great Atlantic *Sargassum* Belt that can be observed from space (Wang et al., 2019), and causes strandings westwards, along the whole of the North Atlantic coast of South America and the Caribbean area, including the Gulf of Mexico, and eastwards along the West African coasts (Berline et al., 2020).

These strandings are composed of three distinct morphotypes: *Sargassum natans* VIII Parr, *S. natans* I Parr, and *S. fluitans* III Parr (Schell et al., 2015). Each morphotype shows a distinct morphology especially blade size, number of blades and air bladders (floats) per stem, and presence of thorns on the stem (García-Sánchez et al., 2020; Schell et al., 2015) suggesting that the three morphotypes may have different biological characteristics. This is confirmed by the recent growth rates observed *in-situ* and *ex-situ* for *S. natans* VIII and *S. fluitans* III (Magaña-Gallegos et al., 2023a), and *ex-situ* for the three morphotypes (Magaña-Gallegos et al., 2023b).

Since the beginning of *Sargassum* blooms in 2011, significant variations of the abundance in morphotype composition have been observed. Initially, S. *natans* VIII was dominant in the south (Antilles Current, Eastern Caribbean and Western Tropical Atlantic) and *S. natans* I in the north (south of the Sargasso Sea) (Schell et al., 2015; November 2014 to May 2015). In 2017, during two open ocean campaigns along a latitudinal gradient from Guyana to the Sargasso Sea (https://doi.org/10.17600/17004300) in May/June and following a longitudinal transatlantic route (https://doi.org/10.17600/17016900) in October from Cabo Verde Island to Guadeloupe, *S. fluitans* III appeared to be dominant north of Guadeloupe for the first cruise and everywhere for the second cruise. More recently, studies have shown a quasi-permanent dominance of *S. fluitans* III in *Sargassum* strandings on Mexican Caribbean shores from 2016 to 2020 (Vázquez-Delfín et al., 2021; García-Sánchez et al., 2020), along the Jamaican coast (Machado et al., 2022), and on the Caribbean,

Florida and Bahamas coasts (Iporac et al., 2022) as well as on a transatlantic cruise in 2022 (https://energieaugrandlarg.wixsite.com/website).

Biological models of *Sargassum* dynamics in the Atlantic Ocean (Brooks et al., 2018; Jouanno et al., 2021) use parameters based on physiological studies that do not differentiate between morphotypes (Hanisak and Samuel, 1987; Lapointe, 1995; Lapointe et al., 2014). However in macroalgae, the life traits are often taxon-dependent (Vranken et al., 2022) and therefore could explain the variations in dominance between morphotypes with time and across the North Atlantic Ocean. Taking into account differential growth rate may improve the model simulations.

Differential physiology would also impact tissue composition of *Sargassum* in CNP including C:N, N:P, C:P ratios and δ^{13}C, δ^{15}N isotopes, as it integrates *Sargassum* environmental history along its drift path (Lapointe et al., 2021; Vázquez-Delfín et al., 2021).

The aim of this work was then to quantify the growth rates and tissue CNP composition of the three morphotypes through *in situ* short term experiments in Martinique Island (French West Indies).

1 Materials and methods

1.1 *Location of experimental site and* Sargassum sampling

Experiments were performed on the east coast of Martinique Island, in Baie du Robert, close to the Ifremer marine station, where a meteorological station is located. It took place in May-June 2021, when the Island is frequently supplied with *Sargassum* (Johns et al., 2020). This shallow bay (<30 m depth) faces the Atlantic Ocean and receives *Sargassum* pushed by the northeast trade winds after passing over the continental shelf, which extends for more than 15 km offshore (Fig. S1).

The nutrient concentrations (NO_3^-, NO_2^-, NH_4^+, PO_4^{2-}) of surface seawater in the bay was monitored once every 2 months since 2017 as part of an extension of Ifremer's REPHY network (Belin et al., 2021) to the French overseas territories. The values (mean ± SD) measured at the REPHY station, situated 400 m from our experimental site (S1), were low for a coastal station, especially when

considering the different forms of N, $NO_3^-+NO_2^-$ (0.3±0.3 µmol/L) and NH_4^+ (0.3±0.3 µmole/L), with regard to PO_4^{2-} (0.07±0.05 µmol/L). This absence of pollution is confirmed by a previous detailed study of the bay (De Rock et al., 2019).

1.2 *Growth experiment*

Sargassum individuals were collected off the coast within the bay selecting the young clumps following the criteria of Stoner and Greening (1984) to age the clumps. For each morphotype, we cut fragments of 5 to 20 cm length from the apical part, free from visible epiphytes. To be consistent with field observations, the three morphotypes were grown together. Approximately 20 g of wet weight of each morphotype (5-10 fragments, 60 g in total) hereinafter called a batch, were placed in 5 L transparent plastic bottles, perforated with one hundred holes to allow good water circulation (see Fig. S2 showing cultivation device). The density of 60 g for 5 L was chosen after trials to increase the time before first signs of degradation while maintaining a sufficient quantity of *Sargassum* for the analyses. These bottles were attached to mooring cables at 2 m depth to avoid destruction of the devices by wave effect. Temperature and light inside two of the four bottles was recorded with UA-002-08 (HOBO) data loggers.

The entire experiment lasted 9 days, from May 25th to June 3rd 2021. The wet weight was measured every 3 days both for batches and individuals. The wet weight of each batch was measured on a BAXTRAN BR balance (0.1 g readability) after dewatering using absorbent paper in a salad spinner. Inside each batch, three individuals per morphotype (n = 36) were identified with colored beads strung on a nylon thread attached to the fragment. The wet weight of each individual (n = 12 per morphotype) was obtained as for the batch but by using a more accurate balance (PRECISA 321LT, 0.1 mg readability). In addition, the number of floats was counted for each individual.

1.3 *Water, tissue, and data analysis*

At the beginning of the experiment, and before each measurement session, we sampled the water in 200 mL plastic bottles to measure nutrient composition. The sample was fixed with 100 µL $HgCl_2$ per

bottle, and then stored in a cool place protected from light. The analyses were carried out by automated colorimetry for NO_3^-, NO_2^-, NH_4^+, PO_4^{2-} (Aminot and Kérouel, 2007) and for NH_4^+ (Holmes et al., 1999).

At the end of the experiment, eight samples (mix of individuals) of 5 g wet weight of each morphotype were analyzed for C, N, P, $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ tissue composition. These samples were dried in an oven at 60°C during 48 h, reduced into powder, acidified to eliminate mineral sources of carbon, and analyzed by spectrometry following Raimbault et al. (2008).

The growth rate (GR) in weight was calculated in d^{-1} following:

$$GR_d = \frac{1}{d} ln \left(\frac{W_d}{W_0} \right)$$

were d = number of days (d = 9 for the entire experiment) and W_d = wet weight at day d, W_0 = wet weight at day 0.

The floats ratio (FR) was calculated (in %) with reference to the initial number of floats for the entire experiment following:

$$FR = \frac{N_9}{N_0} . 100$$

were N_9 = number of floats at day 9 and N_0 = number of floats at day 0.

Non parametric Kruskal-Wallis test (KW test) followed by Dunns post-hoc test were used to test the morphotype effect on *Sargassum* GR, FR and tissue composition with a significance level of 0.05.

2   Results

2.1   *Field conditions*

During the 9 days of experiment, water temperature inside the bottles varied from 28°C at night to 31°C during the day (06:00 AM-6:00 PM) when light inside the bottle varied from 74 to 740 μmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ with a mean value of 137 μmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$. The nutrient concentrations

were high and variable compared to REPHY measurements (respectively 1.7 ± 2.0 vs 0.3 ± 0.3 µmol/L for $NO_3^-+NO_2^-$, 2.1 ± 1.7 vs 0.3 ± 0.3 µmol/L for NH_4^+ and 0.3 ± 0.3 vs 0.07 ± 0.05 µmol/L for PO_4^{2-}).

The daily rainfall, including one day before the start of the experiment, varied from 0 to 10.6 mm, with a mean of 1.52 mm which is below the average of 2.07 mm from May to June 2021 at the station. The wind speed and direction were regular for the season (9.73 $m.s^{-1}$ oriented WNW (67.27°)). The high nutrient values compared to REPHY station were mainly related to the location of our experimental site closer to the coast and human activities.

2.2   *Patterns of change in the* Sargassum *weight and floats ratio*

The increase in *Sargassum* weight along the experiment was clearly visible when considering the batches (Fig. S3). After 9 days, the initial 20 g were exceeded by all morphotypes, reaching about 25 g for *S. natans* VIII and *S. natans* I and approaching 30 g for *S. fluitans* III (see pictures Fig. S4). After 6 days, the weight increase slowed down for all morphotypes. In contrast, this increase was lower and more variable in the individual measurements (Fig. S3). The floats ratio (FR) after 9 days was overall below 100%, showing a loss of floats for all morphotypes (Fig. S5). This was especially the case for *S. natans* I.

2.3   *Growth rate*

For all morphotypes, the GR over every 3-day period decreased overall over time from the beginning of the experiment (Fig. 1 A). The median value of batch GR varied from 0.063 to 0.022 d^{-1} after 3 days, from 0.044 to 0.018 d^{-1} after 6 days, and from 0.019 to -0.006 d^{-1} after 9 days. *Sargassum fluitans* III had always the highest GR values and *S. natans* I the lowest. *Sargassum natans* VIII GR was intermediate. After 9 days, the individual GR showed a significant variation between morphotypes (KW test χ^2= 16.244, df = 2, p-value = 0.0002969). The Dunns post hoc test gives two significant results: *S. fluitans* III vs *S. natans* I (p=0.0000678***) and *S. fluitans* III vs *S. natans* VIII (p=0.0313*). Even if the mean individual GR of *S. natans* I was negative, linked with the first signs of senescence, the mean batch GR of this morphotype was positive (Fig. 1 B).

2.4 *Tissue elemental composition (C, N, P, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$) of* Sargassum

The effect of morphotype was significant only for %N, $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{13}C$ and C:N (Table S1). For other elements, the median values were %C = 23.52%, %P = 0.07%, N:P = 30.33 and C:P = 827.43.

The post hoc Dunn tests (Fig. 2; Table S1) showed that *S. fluitans* III was characterized by a high %N, $\delta^{15}N$ and low C:N and $\delta^{13}C$. In contrast, *S. natans* VIII showed low %N, $\delta^{15}N$ and high C:N and $\delta^{13}C$ and *S. natans* I was essentially characterized by a low $\delta^{15}N$.

3 Discussion

3.1 *Changes in growth performance during the experiment*

For the three morphotypes, GR (0.02-0.04 d^{-1} for batches) were in the low range of literature growth data reported by Brooks et al. (2018), *i.e.* [0.029-0.11] d^{-1} relying on *in situ* (Lapointe, 1986; Lapointe et al., 2014) and laboratory experiments (Hanisak and Samuel, 1987). In addition, GR decreased with time for all morphotypes. This does not align with the neritic origin of our samples, generally associated with low nutrient limitation and high GR following Lapointe (1995). These results, for both batches and individuals, indicate that algae were not in optimal growth conditions. This decrease of GR may be due:

- to excessively high seawater temperatures [28-31°C] observed during the experiment, as decrease in growth after 24°C was observed by Hanisak and Samuel (1987) for *S. natans*;

- to light limitation since our mean light measurement of 137 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} in the bottle corresponds to intermediate GR of 0.02 d^{-1} (Hanisak and Samuel, 1987);

- to stress related to the confinement in the bottles despite the numerous holes made in order to renew the water. On the one hand, pelagic *Sargassum* are known to produce large quantities of dissolved organic carbon (Powers et al., 2019) that promote, together with high nutrient level, bacterial growth (Michotey et al., 2020). On the other hand, the lack of ventilation may lead to micronutrient depletion.

GR did not correspond to maximum growth values, taking into account both the phenomenon of growth and senescence over 9 days. Although culture conditions may be limiting, our results clearly show contrasting performances among morphotypes.

3.2 *Differential growth between the 3 morphotypes and implications*

Sargassum fluitans III was growing faster, approximately twice as fast as *S. natans* VIII and *S. natans* I. This is consistent with lab experiment results of Hanisak and Samuel (1987), and *ex-situ* experiments of Magaña-Gallegos et al. (2023b), but differs from *ex-situ* and *in-situ* experiments for only two morphotypes of Magaña-Gallegos et al. (2023a). Moreover, *S. natans* I exhibited the slowest growth rate. This suggests that growth is morphotype dependent. When exposed to high temperature, high nutrient concentration and a slight light limitation, *S. fluitans* III does better than *S. natans* I.

These differences may have implications with regard to the relative abundance of morphotypes observed at sea and in strandings. However GR cannot be simply translated into abundances. The coexistence of the three morphotypes suggests that processes other than growth maintain competitive success of the *S. natans* morphotypes despite lower GR. Morphotypes may have differing environmental niches that were not spanned by our experimental conditions. For instance, in a more oligotrophic and colder environment than ours, *S. natans* I dominated during 2014 and 2015 north of 24°N (Schell et al., 2015). Magaña-Gallegos et al. (2023b) also found that morphotypes had distinct temperature optima.

Future measurements of growth in contrasted conditions may help to explain field observations of morphotype composition and the dominance of *S. fluitans* III in the Caribbean region and along the path from the *Sargassum* belt.

3.3 *Significance of CNP and isotope composition*

Our results showed significant differences of %N, δ^{15}N, δ^{13}C and C:N between morphotypes while no difference has been found between *S. natans* and *S. fluitans* in the large (n = 488) and long-term

dataset of Lapointe et al. (2021). Even if the tissue composition prior to experiment is unknown this discrepancy can be explained by the particular environmental history of our samples.

Overall, %N and %P cannot explain the different GR among morphotypes. Both *S. fluitans* III and *S. natans* I have similar %N and %P values, but different GR. It may be related to nutrient uptake that occurred before the experiment. To improve interpretations, future experiments should include isotopes analyses on freshly arrived *Sargassum* before starting the experiment.

The high N:P (30.33) and C:P value (827) of all morphotypes in our experiment suggests a limitation in P, as pointed out by Lapointe et al. (2021) for samples collected after 2010s. This P limitation may explain why %N differences do not result in growth rate variations.

The value of %C (23.5%) was low compared to the recent Mexican samples of Vázquez-Delfín et al. (2021). Conversely, %N values were high in agreement with the Lapointe et al. (2021) data for the 2010s, except for *S. natans* VIII which were lower in our study. The high C:N values (36) of *S. natans* VIII suggest that this morphotype was not in good growing conditions.

The isotopic composition showed high values in δ^{13}C which are footprints of the continental origin of C as a consequence of the coastal situation of our samples. The low values of δ^{15}N of *S. natans* I may be indicative of diazotrophic fixation, common in pelagic *Sargassum* (Carpenter, 1972; Phlips and Zeman, 1990) while higher values may indicate enrichment by NO_3^- present along the coast (Lapointe et al., 2021; Montoya, 2008). It is interesting to note that δ^{15}N order among morphotypes follow the GR. This suggests that higher δ^{15}N indicate more recent growth fueled by coastal NO_3^-. That implies that the last growth of *S. natans* I was achieved at a greater distance in time and offshore. However, we would need the composition before the experiment to conclude with certainty on this point.

Thus, the significant variations of the elemental composition point to a greater benefit for *S. fluitans* III from the coastal situation of our experiment than for the two *S. natans* morphotypes.

In conclusion, despite the non optimal conditions encountered in this *in situ* experiment, it shows for the first time contrasting growth performances between the three morphotypes that are consistent

with their abundance in the field. Current models including algal growth, which do not distinguish between morphotypes, can be improved by taking these morphotype growth differences into account. These differences in growth are probably linked to photosynthetic processes between morphotypes that will have to be specified with new experiments. *Sargassum fluitans* III appears here as the most tolerant morphotype, best candidate for nearshore and indoor cultivation.

4   Funding

5   Credit authorship contribution statement

Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization (TC, LB), Formal analysis (TC, LB, WP), Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources (TC,LB, TT), Investigation (TC, TG), Writing (TC, LB, SC, VSP, TT).

6   Declaration of Competing Interest

None

7   Acknowledgements

8   References

Aminot, A., Kérouel, R., 2007. Dosage automatique des nutriments dans les eaux marines: méthodes en flux continu. Ifremer, France.

Belin, C., Soudant, D., Amzil, Z., 2021. Three decades of data on phytoplankton and phycotoxins on the French coast: Lessons from REPHY and REPHYTOX. Harmful Algae 102, 101733. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101733

Berline, L., Ody, A., Jouanno, J., Chevalier, C., André, J.-M., Thibaut, T., Ménard, F., 2020. Hindcasting the 2017 dispersal of *Sargassum* algae in the Tropical North Atlantic. Marine Pollution Bulletin 158, 111431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111431

Brooks, M., Coles, V., Hood, R., Gower, J., 2018. Factors controlling the seasonal distribution of pelagic *Sargassum*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 599, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12646

Brooks, M.T., Coles, V.J., Coles, W.C., 2019. Inertia Influences Pelagic *Sargassum* Advection and Distribution. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 2610–2618. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081489

Carpenter, E.J., 1972. Nitrogen Fixation by a Blue-Green Epiphyte on Pelagic *Sargassum*. Science 178, 1207–1209. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.178.4066.1207

De Rock, P., Cimiterra, N., Allenou, J.-P., 2019. Réalisation d'un suivi du milieu marin en vue de la mise en oeuvre de la nouvelle station d'épuration (Pontaléry) au Robert - Caractérisation de l'état initial (Rapport Ifremer). Le Robert (Martinique).

García-Sánchez, M., Graham, C., Vera, E., Escalante-Mancera, E., Álvarez-Filip, L., van Tussenbroek, B.I., 2020. Temporal changes in the composition and biomass of beached pelagic *Sargassum* species in the Mexican Caribbean. Aquatic Botany 167, 103275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2020.103275

Hanisak, M.D., Samuel, M.A., 1987. Growth rates in culture of several species of *Sargassum* from Florida, USA, in: Ragan, M.A., Bird, C.J. (Eds.), Twelfth International Seaweed Symposium. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 399–404. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4057-4_59

Holmes, R.M., Aminot, A., Kérouel, R., Hooker, B.A., Peterson, B.J., 1999. A simple and precise method for measuring ammonium in marine and freshwater ecosystems. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 56, 1801–1808. https://doi.org/10.1139/f99-128

Iporac, L.A.R., Hatt, D.C., Bally, N.K., Castro, A., Cardet, E., Mesidor, R., Olszak, S., Duran, A., Burkholder, D.A., Collado-Vides, L., 2022. Community-based monitoring reveals spatiotemporal variation of sargasso inundation levels and morphotype dominance across the Caribbean and South Florida. Aquatic Botany 182, 103546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2022.103546

Johns, E.M., Lumpkin, R., Putman, N.F., Smith, R.H., Muller-Karger, F.E., T. Rueda-Roa, D., Hu, C., Wang, M., Brooks, M.T., Gramer, L.J., Werner, F.E., 2020. The establishment of a pelagic *Sargassum* population in the tropical Atlantic: Biological consequences of a basin-scale long distance dispersal event. Progress in Oceanography 182, 102269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102269

Jouanno, J., Benshila, R., Berline, L., Soulié, A., Radenac, M.-H., Morvan, G., Diaz, F., Sheinbaum, J., Chevalier, C., Thibaut, T., Changeux, T., Menard, F., Berthet, S., Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Nabat, P., Mallet, M., 2021. A NEMO-based model of *Sargassum* distribution in the tropical Atlantic: description of the model and sensitivity analysis (NEMO-Sarg1.0). Geosci. Model Dev. 14, 4069–4086. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-4069-2021

Lapointe, B.E., 1995. A comparison of nutrient-limited productivity in *Sargassum natans* from neritic vs. oceanic waters of the western North Atlantic Ocean. Limnol. Oceanogr. 40, 625–633. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.3.0625

Lapointe, B.E., Brewton, R.A., Herren, L.W., Wang, M., Hu, C., McGillicuddy, D.J., Lindell, S., Hernandez, F.J., Morton, P.L., 2021. Nutrient content and stoichiometry of pelagic *Sargassum* reflects increasing nitrogen availability in the Atlantic Basin. Nat Commun 12, 3060. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23135-7

Lapointe, B.E., West, L.E., Sutton, T.T., Hu, C., 2014. Ryther revisited: nutrient excretions by fishes enhance productivity of pelagic *Sargassum* in the western North Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 458, 46–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2014.05.002

Machado, C.B., Maddix, G.-M., Francis, P., Thomas, S.-L., Burton, J.-A., Langer, S., Larson, T.R., Marsh, R., Webber, M., Tonon, T., 2022. Pelagic *Sargassum* events in Jamaica: Provenance, morphotype abundance, and influence of sample processing on biochemical composition of the biomass. Science of The Total Environment 817, 152761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152761

Magaña-Gallegos, Eden, García-Sánchez, M., Graham, C., Olivos-Ortiz, A., Siuda, A.N.S., van Tussenbroek, B.I., 2023a. Growth rates of pelagic *Sargassum* species in the Mexican Caribbean. Aquatic Botany 185, 103614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2022.103614

Magaña-Gallegos, Edén, Villegas-Muñoz, E., Salas-Acosta, E.R., Barba-Santos, M.G., Silva, R., van Tussenbroek, B.I., 2023b. The Effect of Temperature on the Growth of Holopelagic *Sargassum* Species. Phycology 3, 138–146. https://doi.org/10.3390/phycology3010009

Michotey, V., Blanfuné, A., Chevalier, C., Garel, M., Diaz, F., Berline, L., Le Grand, L., Armougom, F., Guasco, S., Ruitton, S., Changeux, T., Belloni, B., Blanchot, J., Ménard, F., Thibaut, T., 2020. In situ observations and modelling revealed environmental factors favouring occurrence of *Vibrio* in microbiome of the pelagic *Sargassum* responsible for strandings. Science of The Total Environment 748, 141216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141216

Montoya, J.P., 2008. Nitrogen Stable Isotopes in Marine Environments, in: Nitrogen in the Marine Environment. Elsevier, pp. 1277–1302. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372522-6.00029-3

Phlips, E., Zeman, C., 1990. Photosynthesis, growth and nitrogen-fixation by epiphytic forms of filamentous cyanobacteria from pelagic *Sargassum*. Bulletin of Marine Science 47(3):613-621

Powers, L.C., Hertkorn, N., McDonald, N., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Del Vecchio, R., Blough, N.V., Gonsior, M., 2019. *Sargassum* sp. Act as a Large Regional Source of Marine Dissolved Organic Carbon and Polyphenols. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 33, 1423–1439. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006225

Raimbault, P., Garcia, N., Cerutti, F., 2008. Distribution of inorganic and organic nutrients in the South Pacific Ocean – evidence for long-term accumulation of organic matter in nitrogen-depleted waters. Biogeosciences 5, 281–298. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-281-2008

Schell, J., Goodwin, D., Siuda, A., 2015. Recent *Sargassum* Inundation Events in the Caribbean: Shipboard Observations Reveal Dominance of a Previously Rare Form. Oceanog 28, 8–10. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2015.70

Stoner, A., Greening, H., 1984. Geographic-variation in the macrofaunal associates of pelagic *Sargassum* and some biogeographic implications. Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 20, 185–192. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps020185

Vázquez-Delfín, E., Freile-Pelegrín, Y., Salazar-Garibay, A., Serviere-Zaragoza, E., Méndez-Rodríguez, L.C., Robledo, D., 2021. Species composition and chemical characterization of *Sargassum* influx at six different locations along the Mexican Caribbean coast. Science of The Total Environment 795, 148852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148852

Vranken, S., Robuchon, M., Dekeyzer, S., Bárbara, I., Bartsch, I., Blanfuné, A., Boudouresque, C.-F., Decock, W., Destombe, C., de Reviers, B., Díaz-Tapia, P., Herbst, A., Julliard, R., Karez, R., Kersen, P., Krueger-Hadfield, S.A., Kuhlenkamp, R., Peters, A.F., Peña, V., Piñeiro-Corbeira, C., Rindi, F., Rousseau, F., Rueness, J., Schubert, H., Sjøtun, K., Sansón, M., Smale, D., Thibaut, T.,

Valero, M., Vandepitte, L., Vanhoorne, B., Vergés, A., Verlaque, M., Vieira, C., Le Gall, L., Leliaert, F., De Clerck, O., 2022. AlgaeTraits: a trait database for (European) seaweeds (preprint). ESSD – Ocean/Biological oceanography. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2022-329

Wang, M., Hu, C., Barnes, B.B., Mitchum, G., Lapointe, B., Montoya, J.P., 2019. The great Atlantic *Sargassum* belt. Science 365, 83–87. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw7912

Fig. 1: Holopelagic *Sargassum* growth rate (d^{-1}) for each morphotype measured on batches (n=4) and

individuals (n = 12 per morphotype) every 3 days (A.) and over the 9 days of the experiment (B.). Box

shows the sample median and the first and third quartiles. Whiskers extend to the last data point

which is no more than 1.5 times the interquartile range. Outliers are shown as dots. The letter

identifies the significant differences (p-value<0.05).

Fig. 2: Tissue composition (%N, C:N, δ^{15}N, δ^{13}C) between *Sargassum* morphotypes. Box, whiskers and

letters are shown as in Fig. 1.

Declaration of interests

☑ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relation-ships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Highlights

- *Sargassum fluitans* III growth rate is the highest.
- *Sargassum natans* I growth rate is the lowest.
- Tissue composition differs between morphotypes.