1

Organophosphate esters (OPEs) in the marine environment: Spatial distribution and profiles in French coastal bivalves

Aminot Yann ^{1, *}, Tao Lin ², Heas Moisan Karine ¹, Pollono Charles ¹, O'Loghlin Margaret ¹, Munschy Catherine ¹

¹ Ifremer, CCEM Contamination Chimique des Écosystèmes Marins, F-44000, Nantes, France
 ² Department of Toxicology, School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, 81 Meishan Rd, Hefei, 230032, China

* Corresponding author : Yann Aminot, email address : yann.aminot@ifremer.fr

Abstract :

Organophosphate esters (OPEs), chemicals widely used in industrial production, electronics and domestic products, have become ubiquitous environmental contaminants. In this study, the levels and spatial distribution of 11 OPEs (aryl, alkyl and halogenated) were investigated in over 100 samples of filter-feeding bivalves collected yearly between 2014 and 2021 at sites of contrasted pressure along the French coasts. OPEs were found in virtually all samples, indicating their widespread spatial and temporal occurrence in coastal bivalves and the relevance of their biomonitoring. The median concentrations were between 0.4 (TMPP) and 4.9 ng g-1 dry weight (TCIPP), with TCIPP, TNBP and EHDPP found at the highest median values. TCEP and TBOEP were not frequently detected overall, but each year, the same sites showed repeatedly high concentrations. Structurally-related OPEs generally correlated, but the geographical distributions were not predictable from known anthropogenic pressures (population in the catchment area, industry), with little comparability with other hydrophobic contaminants. If the relation between sources of OPEs and bioaccumulated levels remains uncertain, local hotspots, rather than riverine/atmospheric transportation, could account for their geographical distribution. A systematic review of the levels of OPEs found in filter-feeding bivalves worldwide revealed comparable levels in our study with those reported elsewhere; however, the levels across and within (when available) studies generally spanned several orders of magnitude, indicating high spatial and temporal heterogeneity. In view of the growing concerns regarding OPEs, this study provides essential reference data for future studies of their occurrence on European coasts and supports the need for a more systematic (bio)monitoring of this class of contaminant.

Graphical abstract



Highlights

▶ Investigation of OPEs in bivalves from French coasts collected between 2014 and 2021. ▶ TDCIPP, TPHP and TNBP found in over half of the samples. ▶ Highest median concentrations were found for TCIPP, EHDPP, and TNBP. ▶ OPE profiles are site-specific. ▶ OPE spatial distribution differs from that of other bioaccumulative contaminants.

Keywords : Coastal marine environment, Bioaccumulation, Shellfish, Flame retardants, Plasticizers

1. Introduction

49	Organophosphate esters (OPEs), chemicals used as flame retardants, plasticizers, antifoaming
50	agents, lubricants, and hydraulic fluids, have been extensively added to a variety of
51	commercial products like plastics, textiles, electronics, and building materials (van der Veen
52	and de Boer, 2012; Wei et al., 2015). In 2006, the total consumption of flame retardants in
53	Europe was estimated to be 465,000 tons, 20% of which were OPEs (93,000 tons) (van der
54	Veen and de Boer, 2012). The global market volume of OPEs was estimated to be 620,000
55	tons in 2013 (Sühring et al., 2016); in 2022, OPEs are still assumed to account for
56	approximately 15% of the total flame-retardant market (Xie et al., 2022). With over 1,000
57	tons imported and/or produced in the European Union per year, several OPEs are considered
58	high production volume (HPV) chemicals, including: triethyl phosphate (TEP), tri-n-butyl
59	phosphate (TNBP), tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (TBOEP), tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate
60	(TEHP), tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCIPP), tri-phenyl phosphate (TPHP), tri-
61	methylphenyl phosphate (TMPP), and 2-ethylhexyl diphenyl phosphate (EHDPP). Beside
62	their high usage, OPEs are suspected to exert toxic effects on humans and ecosystems (Wei et

63 al., 2015). OPEs have been proposed as an alternative to brominated flame retardants (BFRs), since the production and use of several BFRs, including penta-, octa-, and decabromodiphenyl 64 ethers (PBDEs) have been banned for their persistence, toxicity, and bioaccumulation 65 (Stockholm Convention, 2009; van der Veen and de Boer, 2012). Unlike BFRs, OPEs differ 66 widely in their structures and chemical and physical properties, resulting in potentially 67 contrasted environmental behaviour within this class. OPEs span log K_{ow} from 0.8 for TEP to 68 9.49 for TEHP (Reemtsma et al., 2008). Light-weight OPEs, such as TEP and tris(2-69 chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP), have high volatility, with a vapor pressure higher than that of 70 naphthalene (a known volatile contaminant) and 6 orders of magnitude higher than the least 71 72 volatile of the HPV OPEs, TMPP and TEHP (van der Veen and de Boer, 2012). The soil adsorption coefficient and bioconcentration factors computed in their study also showed a 73 wide range of values. 74

OPEs are released from consumer and industrial products to the environment by 75 volatilization, leaching, and abrasion (Xie et al., 2022). Emissions from direct industrial 76 77 inputs, wastewater treatment facilities, and e-waste recycling activities are of importance, and their relative contributions depend on the anthropogenic pressures on a given watershed (Liu 78 et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2015). To better apportion OPE sources in the Guangdong-Hong 79 Kong-Macao Greater Bay (China), the correlations between water concentrations from 16 80 sites were tested against several socio-economic indicators (Gao et al., 2021). The authors 81 showed that population density, wastewater discharge, manufacturing industry, construction 82 industry, vehicles, and shipping transport were determinants of OPE levels. In addition to 83 these multiple sources, leaching from plastic debris is also a speculated source (Xie et al., 84 85 2022), but a substantiated evaluation of its magnitude with regards to other sources is lacking. Riverine and atmospheric transportation, as well as direct coastal discharges, lead to their 86 presence in the global ocean and in remote marine regions (McDonough et al., 2018). 87

First detected in river waters in the USA (Sheldon and Hites, 1978), OPEs have been 88 reported ubiquitously in the marine environment. In surface waters of the German Bight, the 89 total concentration of 16 OPEs ranged from 5 to 50 ng L⁻¹, with a riverine export of 50 t y⁻¹ 90 into the North Sea from the German tributaries alone (Bollmann et al., 2012). Concentrations 91 of individual OPEs in harbour waters in the United Kingdom were as high as 100–260 ng L⁻¹ 92 (EHDPP, TCIPP), with an enrichment in the surface microlayer (Aminot et al., 2017). In 93 sediments of the Korean coast, 10 out of the 18 studied OPEs were detected with >50% 94 detection rate, with TCIPP having the highest median concentrations of 30 ng g⁻¹ dry weight 95 (dw) (Choi et al., 2020). With significantly higher concentrations in harbour zones, the 96 97 authors identified shipping activity as a putative source of OPEs in coastal environments. In San Francisco Bay (USA), OPEs were also widely detected in sediment, particularly the most 98 hydrophobic OPEs like TEHP (median: 8.2 ng g⁻¹ dw), TMPP (3.4 ng g⁻¹ dw) and TPHP 99 $(1.9 \text{ ng g}^{-1} \text{ dw})$ (Sutton et al., 2019). Water and sediment concentrations were over one order 100 of magnitude higher for OPEs than for PBDEs. 101

102 Alongside hydrophobicity, metabolizability is a key driver of bioaccumulation (Walters et al., 2016). With reports of OPE biotransformation (Muir and Grift, 1981; Wang et al., 2019), 103 there is conflicting evidence on the potential for bioaccumulation and biomagnification of 104 OPEs, although they are found in measurable quantities in marine organisms (Xie et al., 105 2022). Here, we focus on bivalves, organisms of lower trophic levels which show a low 106 ability to metabolize organic contaminants in comparison to fish and crustaceans (Farrington 107 et al., 2016). In mussels (Mytilus edulis) and oysters (Crassostrea gigas) from Korea, 5 OPEs 108 (TEHP, TCIPP, EHDPP, TEP and TCEP) were found in over half of the samples, with 109 median concentrations between 0.56 and 1.4 ng g⁻¹ dw, except for TCIPP, which was found at 110 11.7 ng g⁻¹ dw (Choi et al., 2020). In San Francisco Bay, TDCIPP was found in all 6 samples of 111 transplanted mussels (Mytilus californianus) at the highest levels (median: 4.5 ng g⁻¹ dw, 112

113	comparable to BDE-47, 3.1 ng g^{-1} dw), with TPHP, EHDPP, TNBP, TBOEP, and TCIPP
114	being detected in over half of the samples (Sutton et al., 2019). In several European
115	aquaculture sites, OPE levels were several orders of magnitude higher than other chlorinated
116	and brominated FRs, with a predominance of TNBP in the studied mussels (Aznar-Alemany
117	et al., 2018). Filter-feeding bivalves, particularly mussels and oysters, are widely-used
118	organisms for the biomonitoring of bioaccumulative contaminants (Beyer et al., 2017).
119	Beyond the commonly-monitored legacy contaminants (PCDD/Fs, PCBs, OCPs, and PAHs),
120	contaminants of emerging concern have been included in such biomonitoring programs
121	worldwide, ultimately contributing evidence for their widespread occurrence in biota and
122	leading to regulations (e.g. PBDEs, HBCDDs).

In light of the paucity of data on OPEs in marine bivalves, we have expanded the French national biomonitoring program to this class of compounds on a yearly basis since 2017. The objective of this study was to investigate their occurrence and spatial distribution in marine shellfish collected from sites under contrasted anthropogenic pressure along French coasts.

127

128 2. Materials and methods

129 2.1 Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals were of trace analysis grade. Picograde® solvents (methanol, ethyl acetate,
acetone, cyclohexane, n-hexane) and Florisil® (100–200 mesh) were purchased from
Promochem (Germany) or LGC Standards (France). Aminopropyl cartridges (Discovery®
DSC-NH₂, 500 mg, 6 mL) and graphite cartridges (SupelcleanTM ENVI-CarbTM, 500 mg, 6 mL)
were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Trimethylphenyl phosphate (TMPP),
methylphenyldiphenyl phosphate (MPDPP), 2-ethylhexyldiphenyl phosphate (EHDPP),
isodecyldiphenyl phosphate (IDDPP), triphenyl phosphate (TPHP), triethyl phosphate (TEP),

tripropyl phosphate (TPRP), tripentyl phosphate (TPEP), tri-n-butyl phosphate (TNBP), tris(2-137 ethylhexyl) phosphate (TEHP), tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (TBOEP), tris(2-chloroethyl) 138 phosphate (TCEP), tris(chloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TCIPP), tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) 139 tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate (TDBPP), 140 phosphate (TDCIPP), and tris(tribromoneopentyl) phosphate (TTBNPP) were acquired from Accustandards (New Haven, 141 USA). Triphenyl phosphate- ${}^{13}C_8$ (TPHP- ${}^{13}C_8$), triphenyl phosphate-D₁₅ (TPHP-D₁₅), triethyl 142 phosphate-D₁₅ (TEP-D₁₅), tripropyl phosphate-D₂₁ (TPRP-D₂₁), tri-n-butyl phosphate-D₂₇ 143 (TNBP-D₂₇), tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate- ${}^{13}C_2$ (TBOEP- ${}^{13}C_2$), tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate-144 D₁₂ (TCEP-D₁₂), and tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate-D₁₅ (TDCIPP-D₁₅) were acquired 145 146 from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, Canada). Trimethylphenyl phosphate-D₂₁ (TMPP-D₂₁), tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate-D₅₁ (TEHP-D₅₁), tris(2-butyloxyethyl) phosphate-D₂₇ (TBOEP-147 D₂₇), tris(1-chloro-2-propyl) phosphate-D₁₈ (TCIPP-D₁₈), and tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) 148 phosphate-D₁₅ (TDBPP-D₁₅) were acquired from TRC Canada (Toronto, Canada). 149

150

2.2 Sampling strategy

Mussels (Mytilus spp) and oysters (Crassostrea gigas) were collected annually in autumn (out 151 of spawning season) from 2017 to 2021 at the same selected locations in the English Channel, 152 the Atlantic Ocean and along Mediterranean coasts, as shown in Figure 1, for a total of 103 153 samples (an additional 5 samples from 2014–2016 were analysed to confirm site specificities). 154 These locations cover main estuaries and deltas (Seine, Loire, Gironde, Rhône), together with 155 smaller tributaries and sites away from direct sources. The shellfish were collected and handled 156 157 in accordance with international guidelines for the monitoring of contaminants in biota 158 (OSPAR, 2018). Briefly, a composite sample consisted of at least 50 mussels of similar size (30–60 mm shell length) or 10 oysters (90–140 mm shell length), and each individual had spent 159 at least 6 months in situ beforehand. All samples were depurated in settled water from the site 160 161 for 24 h, shelled, homogenized (whole soft body), and stored at -20 °C prior to freeze-drying.

The average dry weight (dw) was $19.9 \pm 5.2 \%$ (n = 108), and the average total lipid content was $9.7 \pm 1.8\%$ dw (determined gravimetrically from a dried hexane/acetone [80:20 v:v] extract). The dried samples were stored in amber glass containers in a cool, dry, dark place until further analysis.



166

Figure 1. Sampling sites for bivalves collected from 2017 to 2021 from the English Channel,
 Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean coasts.

169

170 2.3 Extraction method

Samples were extracted using pressurized liquid extraction (ASE350, Dionex, USA), in a pre-171 cleaned 66 mL stainless steel cell, filled with: cellulose filter, Florisil (15 g, 100-200 mesh), 172 and dry sample (1 g). Before extraction, 5 ng (50 μ L, 0.1 ng μ L⁻¹ in toluene) of each labelled 173 standard (Table S2) were added to the sample for internal standard quantification by isotopic 174 175 dilution. The extraction cell was filled with ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (4:1 v:v) and brought to 100 °C and 100 bar for a 5 min static extraction (constant P and T). Fresh solvent was introduced 176 into the cell (flushing volume 100%), and the extraction cycle was repeated once more. The 177 extraction cells were precleaned by running a first complete cycle with Florisil and no sample, 178

a step found to be essential to limit blank contamination. The extract was concentrated to 1 mLwith a rotary evaporator before clean-up.

181

2.4 Solid phase extraction clean-up method

Clean-up was performed on NH2 and graphite sorbents. The NH2 sorbent was conditioned with 182 5 mL of ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (1:1 v:v) followed by 5 mL of cyclohexane. After sample 183 loading, OPEs were eluted in two separate fractions of: (1) ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (4 mL, 184 1:4 v:v) and (2) ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (5 mL, 1:1 v:v). Each fraction was concentrated to 185 100 µL under nitrogen, and 1 mL of hexane/acetone (1:1 v:v) was added before loading on an 186 ENVI-Carb sorbent (conditioned with 10 mL of acetone and 10 mL of hexane/acetone, 1:1, 187 v/v). OPEs were eluted with 20 mL of hexane/acetone (1:1, v/v) and this fraction was 188 concentrated to 100 µL under nitrogen and transferred to a glass injection vial. The recoveries 189 obtained for standards on NH₂ and graphite sorbents are provided in Figure S1. Injection 190 standards (Table S2) were added (5 ng), and the final extract was evaporated to dryness and 191 192 further reconstituted in 100 μ L of methanol and 100 μ L of water.

193

2.5 Instrumental method

Analysis of the OPEs was performed on an ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) 194 195 I-Class coupled to a triple quadrupole Xevo TQS-µ (Waters, USA) using positive electrospray ionization mode (ESI+). Separation was achieved on a 50 mm x 2.1 mm BEH C₁₈ column 196 (particle size 1.7 µm, Waters, France), maintained at 50 °C, using a gradient of methanol and 197 ammonium acetate (20 mM) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The gradient from solvent A 198 (ammonium acetate 20 mM) to solvent B (100% methanol) was as follows: 0 min (25% B), 0.5 199 min (25% B), 5 min (85% B), 5.1 min (100% B), 6 min (100% B), 6.1 min (25% B), 9 min 200 (25% B). 201

The mass spectrometric detection was completed in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode (Table S2), with the following source parameters: capillary voltage 3 kV, cone voltage 10 V, and desolvation flow 900 L/hr.

205

206 2.6 Method validation, quality assurance and quality control

The method was validated according to the accuracy profile procedure (NFT90-210, 2009). Briefly, it consisted of assessing the accuracy of the calibration function, the intermediate precision, and bias and comparing it to tolerance intervals (more details in supplementary information Text S1 and S2, Table S3). Validation criteria were met for 11 of the 16 targeted OPEs. With high absolute bias for TPEP, IDDPP and MPDPP, their analysis was considered semi-quantitative only. In addition, TEHP and TTBNPP were not detected because of an important matrix effect.

The method limit of quantification (LOQ), as per the EU commission regulation 2017/644, is 214 defined for each analyte in each sample as the concentration for which the instrumental response 215 of the less sensitive MRM transition yields a S/N (signal/noise) ratio of 3:1 (Table 1). TBOEP, 216 TCIPP, TPHP, and TDCIPP have been commonly detected at high levels (>1 ng m⁻³) in both 217 indoor particulate and gas phases (Brandsma et al., 2013; Brommer et al., 2014). OPE glassware 218 contamination can be expected from deposition from ambient air and potential carryover. All 219 glassware was treated at 450 °C overnight and pre-cleaned with ethyl acetate before use. The 220 entire sample preparation and subsequent analyses were performed in clean laboratories, under 221 222 a low-dust atmosphere and positive pressure (ISO 8 class according to NF EN ISO 14644). As contamination can also come from the detection system itself (Brandsma et al., 2013), a delay 223 column was installed between the pump and the injector to separate instrument-related peaks 224 from the actual sample peaks. This approach, commonly used in the analysis of analyses 225

perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), was successfully implemented for OPEs here (see Figure 226 S2). Procedural blanks (excluding sampling, freeze-drying and homogenization) were 227 extracted, purified, and analyzed within each sample batch. Concentrations in samples were 228 corrected for blank contamination: the mass of analyte (ng) found in the blanks was subtracted 229 from the mass (ng) found in the samples, provided that the analyte mass in the sample was 230 above 3 times that of the blank. According to the EU commission regulation 2017/644, the 231 reporting level shall be demonstrated to be different from the procedure's blank samples at least 232 by a factor of three. The LOQ was therefore fixed at three times the value of blank. 233

In addition to the initial method validation described in SI Text S2, one in-house spiked 234 reference material was extracted for each batch of 10-12 analyses, with overall deviation 235 between 7% (TNBP) and 48% (TDBPP). In all samples together (n = 108), the labelled internal 236 standards were recovered with a mean between 24% (TMPP-D₂₁) and 137% (TNBP-D₂₇), 237 indicative of a matrix effect. Laboratory blank levels (8 blanks processed in total) were between 238 73 pg g^{-1} dw (TMPP) and 1379 pg g^{-1} dw (TCIPP), with acceptable repeatability over the 5 239 240 years of analysis (23%–88%). The highest values (>500 pg) were observed for the chlorinated OPEs TCIPP and TCEP, TEP and TNBP (alkyl), and EHDPP (aryl). These values led to LOQs 241 higher than those strictly instrument-derived and ranged on average from 54 pg g^{-1} dw (TPRP) 242 to 3089 pg g⁻¹ dw (TCIPP). Blank contamination (see Figure S3) was partly attributed to the 243 contribution of the solvents used and concentrated in the final extract as between 5% (TBOEP) 244 and 64% (TCEP) of the blank contamination could be traced to the solvents. The levels of OPEs 245 found after evaporation of an ASE cell blank, without Florisil, were not significantly different 246 from the levels found after evaporation of the same volumes, without running through the ASE 247 248 system, suggesting its minimal contribution. Analysis of diatomaceous earth (Hydromatrix, Agilent Technologies) exposed prior to sample extraction revealed that neither sampling, 249 handling or freeze-drying caused a significant contamination of the samples (Figure S4). 250

Table 1. QA/QC parameters: labeled compound recoveries, limits of quantification (LOQs) resulting from blank contamination, LOQs extrapolated from the method sensitivity, and detection frequencies per contaminant.

Individual compound	n samples / n batches	² H / ¹³ C standard recoveries	LOQ blank	LOQ method	Detection frequency
<u>r</u>		(%)	pg g ⁻¹ dw	pg g ⁻¹ dw	(%)
TEP	108 / 12	80 ± 15	678 ± 677	223 ± 30	0
TPRP		no labelled std	not found in blanks	54 ± 38	0
TNBP		137 ± 53	669 ± 223	27 ± 2	61
TBOEP		98 ± 16	254 ± 130	53 ± 3	13
TCEP		65 ± 17	994 ± 910	53 ± 3	37
TCIPP		73 ± 17	3089 ± 1158	214 ± 14	42
TDCIPP		64 ± 24	252 ± 107	53 ± 3	85
TDBPP		44 ± 23	not found in blanks	95 ± 33	0
TPHP		80 ± 9	281 ± 96	53 ± 3	69
TMPP		24 ± 9	159 ± 81	88 ± 51	43
EHDPP		no labelled std	806 ± 208	107 ±7	37

255

256 **3. Results**

257 **3.1 Levels and profiles of OPEs**

Among the 103 samples analysed over the 5-year study, TDCIPP, TPHP, and TNBP were quantified 258 in over half of the samples (detection frequency, DF: 85%, 69%, and 61%, respectively), TMPP, 259 TCIPP, TCEP and EHDPP in 37%-43%, and TBOEP in 13% of the samples (DFs). OPEs were not 260 261 quantified in only 3 samples, indicating their widespread occurrence. The highest median concentrations (Figure 2) were found for TCIPP at 4.93 ng g⁻¹ dw (range: 1.9–18.6 ng g⁻¹ dw), EHDPP 262 at 2.07 ng g^{-1} dw (range: 0.6–12.3 ng g^{-1} dw), and TNBP at 1.82 ng g^{-1} dw (range: 0.4–13.9 ng g^{-1} dw). 263 264 As reported by the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA; https://echa.europa.eu/), these higher concentrations are consistent with their usage in Europe (TCIPP reported at 10 000-100 000 t y⁻¹ in 265 2018 [no production/import reported in 2022]; TNBP and EHDPP tonnage band 1,000-10,000 t y⁻¹ in 266 2022). Conversely, the absence of the bromine-containing TDBPP is consistent with its heavy 267

restrictions in the EU; this compound is indeed rarely found in the environment or at very low 268 269 concentrations (Allan et al., 2018). Also undetected despite high production/import tonnage (>10,000 t 270 y^{-1} for TEP), the alkyl OPEs TEP and TPRP, have low log K_{ow} (0.8 and 1.87, respectively), suggesting 271 lower bioaccumulative tendencies (Mackay and Fraser, 2000). However, the chlorinated OPEs (TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP) are also of relatively low log Kow (1.47, 2.59, and 3.27, respectively) but were 272 273 found in our study at relatively higher concentrations and/or detection frequencies. This observation 274 suggests that hydrophobicity only is insufficient to describe their bioaccumulation or that levels in 275 surrounding waters are high. The field-derived bioconcentration factor (BCF) of TCEP in zooplankton 276 has also been reported to be one of the highest among the monitored OPEs (Schmidt et al., 2021), whilst no relationship was found between BCFs and log Kow. In Korean coastal waters, the biota-277 278 sediment accumulation factor calculated for TCEP in mussels and oysters was higher than those determined for TEP, TCIPP, and TEHP (Choi et al., 2020), suggesting the peculiarity of this 279 280 compound.



281

Figure 2. Concentration (ng g⁻¹ dw) distributions of the OPEs found in coastal bivalves of the French coasts above LOQs (n = 14 [TBOEP] to 92 [TDCIPP]). The black circles represent the mean value for individual OPEs.

286 Statistically significant correlations (Spearman's rank correlations) were generally observed for 287 structurally-related OPE concentrations. In particular, all three aryl OPEs were correlated, suggesting 288 similar sources and/or environmental behaviour (Table S4), with TMPP and TPHP having the 289 strongest correlations ($\rho = 0.69$, p < 0.0001; compounds co-occurring in 39% of the samples). Other significantly correlated OPEs were TCIPP and TDCIPP ($\rho = 0.43$, p = 0.004; co-occurrence 40%), 290 TPHP and TNBP ($\rho = 0.41$, p = 0.002; co-occurrence 50%), and TCEP and EHDPP ($\rho = -0.671$, p = -0.671, p =291 292 0.02, but co-occurrence only 11%). In a previous study, it was found that similar OPEs to those 293 studied here did not correlate in bivalve tissues, even if they had strong positive correlations in the 294 surrounding sediments, a result attributed to their likely rapid biotransformation (Choi et al., 2020). 295 Similarly, the significant correlation observed between the chlorinated OPEs TCEP, TCIPP, and 296 TDCIPP, in the dissolved phase were not found in mussel tissues nor in harbour seal blubber from San 297 Francisco Bay (Sutton et al., 2019). If the existence of OPE-specific differences in biotransformation 298 kinetics is a plausible hypothesis, it may also relate to the range of log Kow (and subsequent 299 bioaccumulation factor, BAF) spanned by the whole family, as compounds with higher BAF take 300 longer to reach steady state. This would cause compound-specific exchange kinetics between bivalves 301 and the dynamic tidal waters and confound relative concentrations in water vs. in bivalves. In our 302 study, the correlation found between TCIPP and TDCIPP still suggests some similarities in their partition behaviour. Regarding aryl OPEs, concentrations of MPDPP and IDDPP were not calculated 303 304 because of their low accuracy in our method (see Section 2.6); however, it is noteworthy that the 305 highest signals for these aryl compounds were measured in samples with high \sum aryl OPE levels 306 (TPHP, EHDPP, TMPP). A Mann-Whitney non-parametric test indicates significantly higher TPHP levels (p = 0.0002) in those 59% of samples for which MPDPP was also qualitatively detected in 307 308 comparison with those where it was not. The same difference was observed when considering the 18% 309 of samples in which IDDPP was also detected (p = 0.003).

310 OPEs were the most abundant of all hydrophobic contaminants analysed in the same samples (for

further details, refer to Aminot et al., 2021; Munschy et al., 2019, 2015, 2013), with concentrations

decreasing as follows: OPEs > polycyclic musks (ng g⁻¹ dw); followed by: perfluorocarboxylates (\sum

313 C_9-C_{14} PFCAs) > perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) ~ polybrominated diphenyl ethers ($\sum 8$ OSPAR

1	5
т	J

314PBDE congeners) > hexabromocyclododecane (hundreds of pg g^{-1} dw); followed by: nitromusks and315alternative brominated flame retardants (BTBPE, DBDPE) tens of pg g^{-1} . OPEs are rarely reported316alongside other hydrophobic contaminants in the literature on mollusc contamination. When they have317been, their concentrations were comparable or exceeded those of other contaminants, which is in318agreement with our findings (Aznar-Alemany et al., 2018; Brandsma et al., 2015; Fu et al., 2020;319Sutton et al., 2019).

320

321 3.2 Geographical distribution

The relative interquartile range (RIQR; IQR divided by the median, in percentage) was calculated to evaluate the spread of OPE concentrations over the 5-year study. The RIQR was high for the sum of all quantified OPEs (147%) and for the individual compounds EHDPP (145%), TPHP (146%), TMPP (173%), TNBP (147%), TBOEP (167%), and TCEP (146%), indicating notable spatiotemporal variability; however, the RIQR for TCIPP and TDCIPP was 56% and 91%, respectively, indicating a more uniform distribution.

Interestingly, the highest concentrations of \sum OPEs were consistently found in the same sites. For each 328 329 year, concentrations found in the Nivelle (NiE), Elorn (ElE), and Etel (EtE) estuaries, as well as the Arcachon Bay (ArB), were above the 3rd guartile of all measurements. Specific OPE contributions 330 indicate different patterns in these samples (Figure 3). TNBP is abundant in these four sites with 331 median values in the range of $1.6-7.5 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$ dw and to a lesser extent in other sites of the Atlantic 332 333 coast (Vilaine: ViE; Seudre: SeE; Adour: AdE; Bidassoa: BiE; estuaries that have values above the 3rd 334 quartile). High TNBP concentrations were also found in additional samples taken prior to 2017 in the Etel estuary (2014–2016, 3–7.9 ng g^{-1} dw), in the Elorn estuary (2016, 1.8 ng g^{-1} dw), and the 335 Arcachon Bay (2016, 3.6 ng g⁻¹ dw), which suggests regular inputs of this contaminant in these sites. 336 337 If the Nivelle and Elorn estuaries have been previously identified as sites under anthropogenic 338 pressure (Aminot et al., 2021; Munschy et al., 2015), the case of the Etel estuary is peculiar, 339 considering this is a site under the influence of a small rural catchment area, with no major city or 340 particular industry, and for which the other contaminants followed in our surveys have always been 341 low. The high TNBP concentrations detected in the above-mentioned low impacted sites warrant

342 further research into the sources of this compound into coastal waters, as most of the industrial sources 343 identified by Gao et al. (2021) are unlikely here. Used in particular for its thermal stability, resistance 344 to oxidation, and viscosity, some TNBP applications include coating products, polymers, adhesives, 345 and sealants. ECHA identified potential releases of TNBP in relation with transports (ships), and 346 previous reviews indicate its inclusion as a plasticizer in marine paint in particular (Brigham and Landrigan, 1985). Yachting and/or shipbuilding, generally found in the previously mentioned sites, are 347 348 speculative sources of these compounds to the marine environment. It is corroborated by results from 349 Korea, where sediments from near-harbour sites showed the highest Σ OPE concentrations, although 350 contributions from single compounds were not specified (Choi et al., 2020). TNBP was also 351 predominant in coastal waters of the Beibu Gulf (China), a result attributed to lubricants used in fishing vessels (Liu et al., 2022), whilst shipping activity near Hong Kong remained a source of TNBP 352 353 in the bay (Gao et al., 2021). Most of the positive detections of the other alkyl OPE, TBOEP, occurred in four neighbouring sites on 354 355 the Atlantic coast (8 out of the 14 > LOQ), with consistently high concentrations in the site Pen-Bé 356 (PB) and generally low levels of other monitored contaminants. The specific sources of TBOEP in this 357 area need investigation, as none of its described uses clearly relate to local activities (used in washing 358 and cleaning products, polishes and waxes, as a plasticizer in vinyl plastics and rubber stoppers, in plant protection products and water treatment chemicals, ECHA). Consistently high concentrations of 359 TCEP occurred in the Hérault Bay (HéB, range: 3.2–23.4 ng g⁻¹ dw) and the Thau Lagoon (ThL, 360 range: 0.49–6.4 ng g⁻¹ dw), two neighbouring Mediterranean sites of otherwise low OPE 361 362 contamination. This high level of concentrations in mussel tissues is noteworthy considering its near-363 absence in most sites. Identified as a substance of very high concern by ECHA, TCEP is not currently 364 being manufactured in and / or imported to the European Economic Area, and such contamination 365 might result from historical inputs or release from articles containing it and used in this area. Regarding aryl OPEs, concentrations of all three compounds were high in the Nivelle estuary (NiE; 366 means between 3.0 and 6.7 ng g^{-1} dw), a site also showing higher contamination of PBDE and 367 HBCDD flame retardants (Munschy et al., 2013). The neighbouring sites of the Adour estuary (AdE) 368 369 and particularly the Bidassoa estuary (BiE), all three within a 30-km distance and added to the survey

370 in 2020–2021, also have high concentrations, indicating a regional contamination rather than a local hotspot. Concentrations of TPHP were generally higher on the Atlantic coast and in the Gulf of Fos 371 372 (GoF, Mediterranean coast). High EHDPP concentrations were observed on the Atlantic coast in the 373 Elorn and Etel estuaries and in Arcachon Bay, as well as in Marseille Bay (MaB) on the Mediterranean coast. These compounds have previously been attributed to the industry of electronic 374 375 equipment (in thermoplastics of electric enclosures for televisions, computers, monitors, and printers) or in relation with electronic waste recycling facilities (Gao et al., 2021). The aforementioned sites do 376 377 not share a similar pressure pattern (e.g. Arcachon Bay and the Nivelle and Etel estuaries are exempt 378 of major industrial discharge) and unequivocal source tracking of aryl OPEs remains challenging.

ournal Pres



380

Figure 3. Geographical distribution of the OPE concentrations (ng g⁻¹ dw) found in coastal bivalves of
 the French coasts above LOQs. Data shown as mean ± standard deviations (individual values in
 transparency).

384 385 Previous studies often related OPE levels in the marine coastal environment to wastewater discharges 386 (Kim et al., 2011; Sutton et al., 2019; Wei et al., 2015), as effluents have been reported as an important 387 source of OPEs (Xie et al., 2022). Here, concentrations in mussels from the Seine estuary (SeE) and 388 bay (SeB) were low for all OPEs (within the exception of the ubiquitous TCIPP), despite being under 389 the influence of its highly anthropized catchment area (ca. 17 million inhabitants and industries). A 390 Spearman correlation test between AHTN, a synthetic musk of known urban origin (Aminot et al., 391 2021 and references herein), and any of the OPEs in our dataset revealed no significance. We also observed a different geographical distribution to the one found for hydrophobic organic contaminants 392 393 (Munschy et al., 2015), which have shown higher concentrations in sites such as estuaries and deltas 394 with large catchment areas, industrial areas, and urban areas (e.g. Seine estuary and Rhône delta). 395 Previous studies on OPE distribution and source apportionment have generally focused on study sites 396 of regional scale. A thorough spatial pattern analysis of Hong Kong Bay waters revealed multiple 397 sources of OPEs, including human settlement, wastewater, manufacturing industry, construction 398 industry, vehicles, and shipping transport (Gao et al., 2021). In addition to shipping, other sources 399 include aquaculture (Aznar-Alemany et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020) or influences of local point 400 sources such as airports (Sundkvist et al., 2010). Besides, evidence for OPEs' degradability in marine 401 environments (Castro-Jiménez et al., 2022) suggests a rather limited potential for widespread 402 distribution, unlike other hydrophobic organic contaminants. Based on our data and literature 403 evidence, we hypothesize here that the OPE levels in coastal bivalves mostly relate to local hotspots 404 and that our understanding of contamination patterns in the marine environment is impeded by both the huge diversity of their applications and their lower persistence. Selecting study sites based on 405 406 expected pressure and on the knowledge gained from other contaminants may consequently fail to 407 encompass the breadth of coastal OPE contamination. This limitation affects biomonitoring studies, 408 including ours, until better source identification is achieved.

409

410 **3.3 Comparison with worldwide levels**

A systematic review was conducted to screen for OPE concentrations reported in marine bivalves in 411 the published literature (see SI Text S3 for search terms). Since 2007, 12 articles were found and 1 412 413 report was also included (NIVA - Norwegian Environment Agency), with a total of 15 distinct zones 414 available (some studies described separate sampling areas) in Europe, Asia, and North America (Figure 4 and Table 2). TCEP, TCIPP, TDCIPP, TBOEP, TPHP and TNBP were the most studied 415 compounds, reported in 11 zones. TMPP, EHDPP, and TEHP were also frequently reported (9 zones), 416 whilst TEP, MPDPP, TPEP, TPRP, and TDBPP were only studied in 1-3 zones. Globally, the 417 418 concentrations reported in our study compare with the concentrations reported worldwide, but with 419 ranges spanning several orders of magnitude, a fine comparison is challenging. TCIPP, the prevailing OPE in our study (median: 4.93 ng g⁻¹ dw) and the most homogeneously distributed, is also the most 420 abundant OPE worldwide (inter-study median: 17.3 ng g⁻¹ dw). High concentrations of TBOEP (inter-421 study median: 8.1 ng g^{-1} dw) have also been reported elsewhere, which tend to be higher than our 422 findings (median: 0.61 ng g⁻¹ dw). We showed that this compound exhibited one of the highest IQR, 423 424 indicating strong spatial and temporal variabilities. We also found that TCEP concentrations were strongly site-dependent, and if our median concentration (0.77 ng g⁻¹ dw) is lower than the inter-study 425 median of concentrations retrieved from other published studies (4.1 ng g^{-1} dw), concentrations in HeB 426 and ThL, the two sites of the Mediterranean coastline with repeatably high levels, are comparable 427 (median of both sites: 3.28 ng g⁻¹ dw. maximum 23.4 ng g⁻¹ dw). Conversely, TNBP in our study 428 (median: 1.81 ng g⁻¹ dw) was above the median of concentrations reported worldwide (inter-study 429 median: 1.40 ng g⁻¹ dw). Undetected in our study, TEP was also generally unquantified or found at 430 low levels elsewhere. Regarding aryl OPEs in our samples, comparable levels were reported 431 432 worldwide for TPHP and EHDPP. In 8 studies (9 zones), TMPP was investigated with contrasted 433 results, either unquantified or found at notably high values. With the highest interquartile range 434 reported in our study, this compound also appears to be heterogeneously distributed. Quantified across the 2 studies where MPDPP was targeted, it was qualitatively found in a large number of our samples 435 436 and deserves further interest. TEHP was not included in our work because of methodological 437 limitations, but we recommend further monitoring as it has been reported in several other studies.



Figure 4. OPE concentrations (ng g⁻¹ dw) in this study (median and minimum – maximum) and in
other studies on marine filter-feeding bivalves worldwide (median or mean, and minimum – maximum
when available). MPDPP and TEHP, not quantified in this study; TEP, not detected in this study.

Table 2. OPE concentrations (range and mean or median, in ng g^{-1} dw) in marine filter-feeding bivalves from all coastal locations found in a systematic review. na: not analysed.

	year of sampling	OPE concentration in ng/g dw. min-max/mean or median													
		EHDPP	TPHP	TMPP	MPDPP	TEP	TPRP	TNBP	TEHP	TBOEP	TCEP	TCIPP	TDCIPP	TDBPP	Reference
Europe		0.00	0.47	0.00				0.00		0.44	0.47	4.04	0.40		
France, whole coast	2014-2021	0.63- 12.3/2.0 7	0.17- 8.01/1.1 2	0.08- 7.21/0.3 8	detected	<1.0	<0.05	0.38- 13.9/1.8 2	detected	0.14- 12.6/0.6 1	0.17- 23.4/0.7 7	1.91- 18.6/4.9 3	0.12- 11.5/0.6 8	<0.10	This study
France, Bay of Marseille	2018	10.4	2.4	na	na	na	<10	1.4	11.8	na	4.1	21	19.5	na	Castro-Jiménez and Ratola, 2020
Netherlands, Scheldt estuary	2008	<0.44	1.64	<0.24	na	na	na	na	0.44	8.4	<0.24	4.4	2.04	na	Brandsma et al., 2015
Sweden, North Sea	2007	0.67	0.86	0.53	na	na	na	0.67	na	<0.34	<0.53	6.2	<0.16	na	Sundkvist et al., 2010
Sweden, Baltic Sea	2007	0.768	4.46	5.28	na	na	na	0.96	na	<0.82	2.64	62	<0.39	na	Sundkvist et al., 2010
Norway, Oslo fjord	2014	<3.6-8.4	<31.6	<0.8	na	na	na	<59	<2.4	<80-85	<206- 293	77-81	5.2-5.6	na	Ruus et al., 2019
Spain, Galician coast	2017	<1-2	<0.8- 291/64.5	na	na	na	na	<0.4- 4.4/3.1	<0.5- 2.0/1.5	2-5.8/4.7	na	<1- 14/4.2	na	na	Castro et al., 2020
Spain, Ebro Delta	2013	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	3.2	<0.75	<1.5	na	na	Álvarez-Muñoz et al., 2015
Italy, Po Delta	2013	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	39.4	<0.75	<1.5	na	na	Álvarez-Muñoz et al., 2015
Portugal, Tagus estuary	2013	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	22.8	<0.75	na	na	na	Álvarez-Muñoz et al., 2015
Portugal, Aveiro lagoon	2016-2017	<0.7	<0.7	na	<0.1- 0.24	na	na	<0.7	<0.2	<0.1	<0.7	<1.5	0.27- 0.45	na	Gadelha et al., 2019
Asia								02							
Japan, Maizuru Bay	2009	na	8	32	20	<4	<4	92- 136/112	na	<4-48/8	<4-12/4	na	24-60/44	na	Harino et al., 2014
China, Beibu Gulf	2015	na	0.12	<1.36	na	na	na	0.49- 1.3/0.9	0.11- 1.4/0.78	<1.6- 3.9/2.0	18-28/23	6.7- 25/14	<0.37- 3/1.1	na	Zhang et al. 2020
Korea, whole coast	2016	<0.3- 9.6/3.3	<0.3- 31/1.5	<0.5- 58/8.9	na	<0.1- 2.2/2.2	na	<0.08- 9.4/1.5	<0.13- 18/3.6	<0.37- 48/4.3	<0.12- 5.1/2.1	<0.3- 216/21	<0.26	na	Choi et al. 2020
China, Weizhou Island	2018	na	1.81	<0.45	na	na	na	3.8	19.4	68	37	126	45	na	Ding et al., 2020
North America															
USA, San Francisco Bay	2014	<0.4- 4/0.98	<0.4- 1.6/1.2	<0.25	na	<0.1	<0.25	<0.15- 1.6/0.69	<0.3- 1.3/0.9	<0.3- 2.0/1.0	<0.1-0.3	<0.15- 3.6/0.9	0.38- 8.9/4.5	<0.8-1.6	Sutton et al. 2019

4. Conclusions

Widespread occurrence of OPEs was revealed, confirming the relevance of their inclusion in biomonitoring programs. TCIPP, TNBP, and EHDPP were found at the highest median concentrations, indicating that out of the targeted compounds all three subclasses of OPEs (chlorinated, aryl, and alkyl) were of environmental relevance. Interestingly, we noted that TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP, of relatively low log Kow (1.47, 2.59, and 3.27, respectively), could be found at high concentrations in the sampled bivalves, highlighting that the processes leading to bioaccumulation in marine organisms remain unclear (Xie et al., 2022). Geographical distributions were not predictable from known anthropogenic pressures such as population in the catchment area, or previously identified industrial sources and differed from those established for other flame retardants (Munschy et al., 2015) or urban-related synthetic musks (Aminot et al., 2021). In line with other studies, we suggest that ports and/or marinas in relation with shipping and yachting are potential sources of TNBP, hypothesis needing further investigations. Less persistent than other POPs, local hotspots, rather than riverine/atmospheric transportation, could drive the geographical distribution of OPEs in coastal environments. Still, ubiquitous detection at levels exceeding those of brominated flame retardants deserves a stronger focus from regulators and environment monitoring authorities. With some recent regulations (e.g. TCIPP and TDCIPP in the EU) and growing scientific concerns (Blum et al., 2019), it is essential to acquire baseline levels and continue (bio)monitoring with the objective of identifying long-term time trends in the environment.

Author statement

Y. Aminot: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Statistical analysis, QA/QC, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. C. Munschy: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. L. Tao: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft.
M. O'Loghlin: Investigation, Data curation. K. Héas-Moisan: Data acquisition, QA/QC. C. Pollono: Methodology, Data acquisition, QA/QC.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the OFB (Office Français de la Biodiversité) for its financial support.

IFREMER staff from coastal laboratories are gratefully acknowledged for performing the sampling

campaigns. LT is grateful to Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Guangzhou, China, for supporting a doctoral mobility grant in Ifremer.

References

- Allan, I.J., Garmo, Ø.A., Rundberget, J.T., Terentjev, P., Christensen, G., Kashulin, N.A., 2018. Detection of tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate and other organophosphorous compounds in Arctic rivers. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25, 28730–28737. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2947-5
- Álvarez-Muñoz, D., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Maulvault, A.L., Tediosi, A., Fernández-Tejedor, M., Van den Heuvel, F., Kotterman, M., Marques, A., Barceló, D., 2015. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in macroalgaes, bivalves, and fish from coastal areas in Europe. Environmental Research, Non-regulated environmental contaminants in seafood: contributions of the ECsafeSEAFOOD EU project 143, 56–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.09.018
- Aminot, Y., Belles, A., Alary, C., Readman, J.W., 2017. Near-surface distribution of pollutants in coastal waters as assessed by novel polyethylene passive samplers. Marine Pollution Bulletin 119, 92–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.022
- Aminot, Y., Munschy, C., Héas-Moisan, K., Pollono, C., Tixier, C., 2021. Levels and trends of synthetic musks in marine bivalves from French coastal areas. Chemosphere 268, 129312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129312
- Aznar-Alemany, Ò., Aminot, Y., Vilà-Cano, J., Köck-Schulmeyer, M., Readman, J.W., Marques, A., Godinho, L., Botteon, E., Ferrari, F., Boti, V., Albanis, T., Eljarrat, E., Barceló, D., 2018. Halogenated and organophosphorus flame retardants in European aquaculture samples. Science of The Total Environment 612, 492–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.199
- Beyer, J., Green, N.W., Brooks, S., Allan, I.J., Ruus, A., Gomes, T., Bråte, I.L.N., Schøyen, M., 2017. Blue mussels (Mytilus edulis spp.) as sentinel organisms in coastal pollution monitoring: A

review. Marine Environmental Research 130, 338–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.07.024

- Blum, A., Behl, M., Birnbaum, L.S., Diamond, M.L., Phillips, A., Singla, V., Sipes, N.S., Stapleton, H.M., Venier, M., 2019. Organophosphate Ester Flame Retardants: Are They a Regrettable Substitution for Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers? Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 6, 638–649. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.9b00582
- Bollmann, U.E., Möller, A., Xie, Z., Ebinghaus, R., Einax, J.W., 2012. Occurrence and fate of organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in coastal and marine surface waters. Water Research 46, 531–538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.028
- Brandsma, S.H., de Boer, J., Leonards, P.E.G., Cofino, W.P., Covaci, A., Leonards, P.E.G., 2013. Organophosphorus flame-retardant and plasticizer analysis, including recommendations from the first worldwide interlaboratory study. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, Highlights in Sample Preparation (for Food and Environmental Analysis) 43, 217–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2012.12.004
- Brandsma, S.H., Leonards, P.E.G., Leslie, H.A., de Boer, J., 2015. Tracing organophosphorus and brominated flame retardants and plasticizers in an estuarine food web. Science of The Total Environment 505, 22–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.072
- Brigham, C.R., Landrigan, P.J., 1985. Safety and health in boatbuilding and repair. Am J Ind Med 8, 169–182. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700080303
- Brommer, S., Jantunen, L.M., Bidleman, T.F., Harrad, S., Diamond, M.L., 2014. Determination of Vapor Pressures for Organophosphate Esters. J. Chem. Eng. Data 59, 1441–1447. https://doi.org/10.1021/je401026a
- Castro, V., Montes, R., Quintana, J.B., Rodil, R., Cela, R., 2020. Determination of 18 organophosphorus flame retardants/plasticizers in mussel samples by matrix solid-phase dispersion combined to liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Talanta 208, 120470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120470
- Castro-Jiménez, J., Cuny, P., Militon, C., Sylvi, L., Royer, F., Papillon, L., Sempéré, R., 2022. Effective degradation of organophosphate ester flame retardants and plasticizers in coastal sediments under high urban pressure. Sci Rep 12, 20228. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-24685-6
- Castro-Jiménez, J., Ratola, N., 2020. An innovative approach for the simultaneous quantitative screening of organic plastic additives in complex matrices in marine coastal areas. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27, 11450–11457. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08069-9
- Choi, W., Lee, S., Lee, H.-K., Moon, H.-B., 2020. Organophosphate flame retardants and plasticizers in sediment and bivalves along the Korean coast: Occurrence, geographical distribution, and a potential for bioaccumulation. Marine Pollution Bulletin 156, 111275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111275
- Ding, Y., Han, M., Wu, Z., Zhang, R., Li, A., Yu, K., Wang, Y., Huang, W., Zheng, X., Mai, B., 2020. Bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of organophosphate esters in tropical marine food web, South China Sea. Environment International 143, 105919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105919
- Farrington, J.W., Tripp, B.W., Tanabe, S., Subramanian, A., Sericano, J.L., Wade, T.L., Knap, A.H., 2016. Edward D. Goldberg's proposal of "the Mussel Watch": Reflections after 40years. Marine Pollution Bulletin 110, 501–510. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.05.074
- Fu, Jie, Fu, K., Gao, K., Li, H., Xue, Q., Chen, Y., Wang, L., Shi, J., Fu, Jianjie, Zhang, Q., Zhang, A., Jiang, G., 2020. Occurrence and Trophic Magnification of Organophosphate Esters in an Antarctic Ecosystem: Insights into the Shift from Legacy to Emerging Pollutants. Journal of Hazardous Materials 396, 122742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122742
- Gadelha, J.R., Rocha, A.C., Camacho, C., Eljarrat, E., Peris, A., Aminot, Y., Readman, J.W., Boti, V., Nannou, C., Kapsi, M., Albanis, T., Rocha, F., Machado, A., Bordalo, A., Valente, L.M.P., Nunes, M.L., Marques, A., Almeida, C.M.R., 2019. Persistent and emerging pollutants assessment on aquaculture oysters (Crassostrea gigas) from NW Portuguese coast (Ria De Aveiro). Science of The Total Environment 666, 731–742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.280

- Gao, X., Lin, Y., Li, J., Xu, Y., Qian, Z., Lin, W., 2021. Spatial pattern analysis reveals multiple sources of organophosphorus flame retardants in coastal waters. Journal of Hazardous Materials 125882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125882
- Harino, H., Yatsuzuka, E., Yamao, C., Ueno, M., Ohji, M., 2014. Current status of organophosphorus compounds contamination in Maizuru Bay, Japan. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 94, 43–49. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531541300129X
- Kim, J.-W., Isobe, T., Chang, K.-H., Amano, A., Maneja, R.H., Zamora, P.B., Siringan, F.P., Tanabe, S., 2011. Levels and distribution of organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in fishes from Manila Bay, the Philippines. Environmental Pollution 159, 3653–3659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.07.020
- Liu, F., Wei, C., Zhang, R., Zeng, W., Han, M., Kang, Y., Zhang, Z., Wang, R., Yu, K., Wang, Y., 2022. Occurrence, distribution, source identification, and risk assessment of organophosphate esters in the coastal waters of Beibu Gulf, South China Sea: Impacts of riverine discharge and fishery. Journal of Hazardous Materials 436, 129214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.129214
- Mackay, D., Fraser, A., 2000. Bioaccumulation of persistent organic chemicals: mechanisms and models. Environmental Pollution 110, 375–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(00)00162-7
- McDonough, C.A., De Silva, A.O., Sun, C., Cabrerizo, A., Adelman, D., Soltwedel, T., Bauerfeind, E., Muir, D.C.G., Lohmann, R., 2018. Dissolved Organophosphate Esters and Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Remote Marine Environments: Arctic Surface Water Distributions and Net Transport through Fram Strait. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 6208–6216. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01127
- Muir, D.C.G., Grift, N.P., 1981. Environmental dynamics of phosphate esters. II. Uptake and bioaccumulation of 2-ethylhexyl diphenyl phosphate and diphenyl phosphate by fish. Chemosphere 10, 847–855. https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(81)90084-9
- Munschy, C., Bely, N., Pollono, C., Aminot, Y., 2019. Perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in the marine environment: Spatial distribution and temporal profile shifts in shellfish from French coasts. Chemosphere 228, 640–648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.04.205
- Munschy, C., Marchand, P., Venisseau, A., Veyrand, B., Zendong, Z., 2013. Levels and trends of the emerging contaminants HBCDs (hexabromocyclododecanes) and PFCs (perfluorinated compounds) in marine shellfish along French coasts. Chemosphere 91, 233–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.12.063
- Munschy, C., Olivier, N., Veyrand, B., Marchand, P., 2015. Occurrence of legacy and emerging halogenated organic contaminants in marine shellfish along French coasts. Chemosphere 118, 329–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.09.106
- OSPAR, 2018. CEMP Guidelines for Monitoring Contaminants in Biota (Agreement 1999-02). Revision 2018.
- Reemtsma, T., Quintana, J.B., Rodil, R., Garcı'a-López, M., Rodrı'guez, I., 2008. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in water and air I. Occurrence and fate. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 27, 727–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2008.07.002
- Ruus, A., Bæk, K., Rundberget, T., Allan, I., Beylich, B., Schlabach, M., Warner, N.A., Borgå, K., Helberg, M., 2019. Environmental Contaminants in an Urban Fjord, 2018, 99. Norsk insitutt for vannforskning.
- Schmidt, N., Castro-Jiménez, J., Oursel, B., Sempéré, R., 2021. Phthalates and organophosphate esters in surface water, sediments and zooplankton of the NW Mediterranean Sea: Exploring links with microplastic abundance and accumulation in the marine food web. Environmental Pollution 272, 115970. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115970
- Sheldon, L.S., Hites, R.A., 1978. Organic compounds in the Delaware River. Environ. Sci. Technol. 12, 1188–1194. https://doi.org/10.1021/es60146a006
- Sühring, R., Diamond, M.L., Scheringer, M., Wong, F., Pućko, M., Stern, G., Burt, A., Hung, H., Fellin, P., Li, H., Jantunen, L.M., 2016. Organophosphate Esters in Canadian Arctic Air: Occurrence, Levels and Trends. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 7409–7415. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00365

- Sundkvist, A.M., Olofsson, U., Haglund, P., 2010. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in marine and fresh water biota and in human milk. J. Environ. Monit. 12, 943–951. https://doi.org/10.1039/B921910B
- Sutton, R., Chen, D., Sun, J., Greig, D.J., Wu, Y., 2019. Characterization of brominated, chlorinated, and phosphate flame retardants in San Francisco Bay, an urban estuary. Science of The Total Environment 652, 212–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.096
- van der Veen, I., de Boer, J., 2012. Phosphorus flame retardants: Properties, production, environmental occurrence, toxicity and analysis. Chemosphere 88, 1119–1153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.067
- Walters, D.M., Jardine, T.D., Cade, B.S., Kidd, K.A., Muir, D.C.G., Leipzig-Scott, P., 2016. Trophic Magnification of Organic Chemicals: A Global Synthesis. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 4650– 4658. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00201
- Wang, X., Zhong, W., Xiao, B., Liu, Q., Yang, L., Covaci, A., Zhu, L., 2019. Bioavailability and biomagnification of organophosphate esters in the food web of Taihu Lake, China: Impacts of chemical properties and metabolism. Environment International 125, 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.018
- Wei, G.-L., Li, D.-Q., Zhuo, M.-N., Liao, Y.-S., Xie, Z.-Y., Guo, T.-L., Li, J.-J., Zhang, S.-Y., Liang, Z.-Q., 2015. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers: Sources, occurrence, toxicity and human exposure. Environmental Pollution 196, 29–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.09.012
- Xie, Z., Wang, P., Wang, X., Castro-Jiménez, J., Kallenborn, R., Liao, C., Mi, W., Lohmann, R., Vila-Costa, M., Dachs, J., 2022. Organophosphate ester pollution in the oceans. Nat Rev Earth Environ 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00277-w
- Zhang, R., Yu, K., Li, A., Zeng, W., Lin, T., Wang, Y., 2020. Occurrence, phase distribution, and bioaccumulation of organophosphate esters (OPEs) in mariculture farms of the Beibu Gulf, China: A health risk assessment through seafood consumption. Environmental Pollution 263, 114426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114426

Author statement

Y. Aminot: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Statistical analysis, QA/QC, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. C. Munschy: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. L. Tao: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft.
M. O'Loghlin: Investigation, Data curation. K. Héas-Moisan: Data acquisition, QA/QC. C. Pollono: Methodology, Data acquisition, QA/QC.

...,usition, Q/

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: