

Economic sustainable harvesting, challenges and prerequisites in fishing and conservation methods for potential mesopelagic exploitation?

Due to the global food demand and questions on how much food we can expect the ocean to sustainably produce (Branch et al., 2010; Garcia and Rosenberg, 2010; Costello et al., 2020; Van der Meer, 2020) there is an increasing interest in the potential for exploitation and harvesting of marine mesopelagic resources for use in production of fishmeal, oil, nutraceuticals as sources for dietary supplements, and in relation to bio-prospecting and production of pharmaceuticals (Grimaldo et al., 2018; 2020; Hidalgo and Browman, 2019; Wright et al. 2020; Standal and Grimaldo 2021; Paoletti et al. 2021). Proposals are emerging for fishery (e.g. Grimaldo et al., 2020), however, thorough scientific investigation on ecological sustainability and socioeconomic viability as well as need for strengthening of governance systems are fundamental prerequisites for potential exploitation (e.g. Holling, 2001; Hicks et al., 2016; Hidalgo and Browman, 2019; Wright et al., 2020; Paoletti et al. 2021).

Several studies have explored the *economic sustainability* and the challenges in fishing and conservation methods for harvesting potential mesopelagic resources and applicable harvest strategies compared to existing large scale pelagic fisheries (Paoletti et al. 2021; Prellezo, 2019; Grimaldo et al., 2020; Standal and Grimaldo, 2021).

A few pilot studies and trial fisheries have been conducted to investigate the economic viability of a potential mesopelagic fishery (reviewed in Wright et al. (2020) and Standal and Grimaldo (2021)) focusing on the fishing costs and needs of such potential fishery compared to current fisheries (Gjoesaeter and Tilseth, 1983; Gjoesaeter, 1984; Hulley, 1996; Shotton, 1997; FAO, 1997; 2001; Valinassab et al., 2007; Thorvik 2017; Remesan et al., 2016; 2019; Daly, 2019; Prellezo, 2019; Wright et al., 2020; Grimaldo et al., 2020; Standal and Grimaldo, 2020; Bjordal and Thorvaldsen, 2020; Paoletti et al., 2021). Those have so far not obtained high yields and proven economically viable (FAO, 1997; Standal and Grimaldo, 2021). Until recently detailed analyses of the economic performance of current large-vessel pelagic fisheries and the economic preconditions necessary for the implementation of a potential mesopelagic fishery have been lacking (Grimaldo et al., 2020; Paoletti et al., 2021). Paoletti et al. (2021) investigated the economic performance and dynamics of the current large scale Danish pelagic fishery, and compared it to evaluations of the economics of the potential exploitation of *M. muelleri* and *B. glaciale* according to different scenarios of cost and price dynamics and fishing trip length.

The economic sustainability does not only rely on the scale of resource supply, i.e. continuous availability of resources with respect to biological sustainable biomasses and aggregation in minimum needed densities, but also on quality and prices of the resources, their durability in processing, as well as on the fishing costs compared to other alternative fisheries and fishery switching possibilities (Paoletti et al. 2021; Grimaldo et al., 2020; Prellezo, 2019). Mesopelagic resources may be unlikely to be harvested for direct human consumption because they contain harmful concentrations of hazardous substances such as cadmium and arsenic (e.g. Olsen et al., 2020; Wiech et al., 2020; Alvheim et al., 2020), however, the high levels of lipid and fatty acid contents (e.g. 15-25% omega-3 fatty acids)

found in among other *B. glaciale* and *M. muelleri* (Phleger et al., 1991; Lea et al., 2002; El-Mowafi et al., 2010; Koizumi et al., 2014; Alvheim et al., 2020; Grimaldo et al., 2020) make the species commercially and economically interesting for industrial, nutraceutical, and pharmaceutical purposes besides the use for fish meal and oil in animal feed for aquaculture production (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980; Gjosaeter and Tilseth, 1983; Gjosaeter, 1984; Johannesson, 1991; Lamhauge et al., 2008; Tacon and Metian, 2009; Koizumi et al., 2014; Irigoien et al., 2014; Olsen and Torrissen, 2015; Grimaldo et al., 2018, 2020; Davidson et al., 2019; Paoletti et al., 2021).

Selective fishing gears need to be developed to secure high-quality oils and protein, and other challenges that may limit the efficiency of commercial mesopelagic fishing operations will need to be addressed to improve acoustic species identification and ensure cost-effective fishery (Grimaldo et al., 2020; Standal and Grimaldo, 2021; Paoletti et al., 2021). Adaptations or new developments in catch and processing methods also taking into account behavioral avoidance patterns of mesopelagic fish species to fishing gears will be necessary to efficiently exploit the potential resource, including vessel investments, and gear modifications (e.g., Kaardtved et al., 2008; 2012; Grimaldo et al., 2018; 2020; Standal and Grimaldo, 2021; Paoletti et al., 2021). The design and development of new fishing methods fit for mesopelagic resource harvesting may lead to efficient fishery, but thorough investigations in fishing patterns and the needed and investments are crucial as it will influence the fishing costs (Grimaldo et al., 2018, 2020; Standal and Grimaldo, 2021; Paoletti et al., 2021). Investments into the fishery will among others be more profitable on-board processing methods to deal with the high fat content of the species and their fast deterioration after harvest (Olsen and Torrissen, 2015). This makes the catching methods, catch handling, on-board processing, and conservation methods essential to assure a cost-efficient yield of high-value components (El-Mowafi et al., 2010; Vang et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2021). Also, it will be necessary to develop methods for on board analyses of chemical composition of catches including analysis of contents of omega-3 fatty acids and lipid classes but also the presence of hazardous substances (e.g. Grimaldo et al., 2020).

References

- Alvheim, A.R., Kjellevold, M., Strand, E., Sanden, M., Wiech, M. (2020). Mesopelagic species and their potential contribution to food and feed security—a case study from Norway. *Foods* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9030344>.
- Bjordal, A., Thorvaldsen, K.J. (2020). Forsøksfiske etter mesopelagiske arter 2019— Oppsummerende rapport. Rapportserie: Rapport fra havforskningen 2020-5 ISSN: 1893-4536. Publisert: 19.02.2020 (In Norwegian).
- Branch, T. A., Watson, R., Fulton, E. A., Jennings, S., McGilliard, C. R., Pablico, G. T., et al. (2010). The trophic fingerprint of marine fisheries. *Nature* 468, 431–435. <https://doi:10.1038/nature09528>.
- Costello, C., Cao, L., Gelcich, S., Cisneros-Mata, M., Free, C. M., Froehlich, H. E., et al. (2020). The future of food from the sea. *Nature* 588, 95–100. <https://doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2616-y>.
- Costello, C., Cao, L., Gelcich, S., Cisneros-Mata, M., Free, C. M., Froehlich, H. E., et al. (2020). The future of food from the sea. *Nature* 588, 95–100. <https://doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2616-y>.
- Daly, J. (2019). South Africa – Multi-species Experimental Pelagic trawl (mesopelagics). Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients: Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0.
- Davidson, F., Alvera-Azcárate, A., Barth, A., Brassington, G. B., Chassignet, E. P., Clementi, E., et al. (2019). Synergies in operational oceanography: the intrinsic need for sustained ocean observations. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6:450. <https://doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00450>.

- FAO (1997). Review of the State of the World Fisheries Resources: Marine Fisheries. FAO Fisheries Circular No. 920, Rome, Italy 1997. 173pp. ISSN 0429–9329. 1997. <http://www.fao.org/3/w4248e/w4248e00.htm>.
- FAO (2001). Trilateral workshop on Lanternfish in the Gulf of Oman, Fisheries Report No. 665. FAO, Rome.
- Garcia, S. M., and Rosenberg, A. A. (2010). Food security and marine capture fisheries: characteristics, trends, drivers and future perspectives. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 365, 2869–2880. <https://doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0171>.
- Gjosæter, J. (1984). Mesopelagic fish, a large potential resource in the Arabian Sea. *Deep. Res.* 31, 1019–1035. [https://doi:10.1016/0198-0149\(84\)90054-2](https://doi:10.1016/0198-0149(84)90054-2).
- Gjosæter, J., and Kawaguchi, K. (1980). A Review of the World Resources of Mesopelagic Fish. FAO Fisher. Rome: FAO Fisheries Technical Paper.
- Gjosæter, J., and Tilseth, S. (1983). Survey on Mesopelagic Fish Resources in the Gulf of Oman. February 1983. Reports on surveys with R.V. “Dr. Fridtjof Nansen” Institute of Marine Research, Bergen. NORAD / FAO / UNDP project GLO / 82 / 001. Bergen: Institute of Marine Research.
- Grimaldo, E., Grimsmo, L., Schei, M., Toldnes, B., and Selnes, M. (2018). Experimental Fishery and Utilization of Mesopelagic Fish Species and Krill in the Northeast Atlantic—Report of the ICES-FAO Working Group on Fishing Technology and Fish Behaviour (WGFTFB), ICES CM 2018/EOSG. Hirtshals: ICES.
- Grimaldo, E., Grimsmo, L., Alvarez, P., Herrmann, B., Møen Tveit, G., Tiller, R., et al. (2020). Investigating the potential for a commercial fishery in the Northeast Atlantic utilizing mesopelagic species. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 77, 2541–2556. <https://doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsaa114>.
- Hicks, C. C., Levine, A., Agrawal, A., Basurto, X., Breslow, S. J., Carothers, C., et al. (2016). Engage key social concepts for sustainability. *Science* 352, 38–40. <https://doi:10.1126/science.aad4977>.
- Hidalgo, M., Browman, H.I. (2019). Developing the knowledge base needed to sustainably manage mesopelagic resources. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 76, 609–615. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz067>.
- Holling, C. S. (2001). Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social systems. *Ecosystems* 4, 390–405. <https://doi:10.1007/s10021-001-0101-5>.
- Hulley, P.A. (1996). Lantern fishes, in: Paxton, J.R., Eschmeyer, W.N. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Fishes*. Academic Press, London.
- Irigoiien, X., Klevjer, T. A., Røstad, A., Martinez, U., Boyra, G., Acuña, J. L., et al. (2014). Large mesopelagic fishes biomass and trophic efficiency in the open ocean. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3271. <https://doi:10.1038/ncomms4271>.
- Johannesson, K. (1991). Stock Assessment of Myctophid Resources in the Sultanate of Oman Waters of the Oman Sea. Final Report. Muscat, Oman. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries. Muscat, Oman.
- Kaartvedt, S., Staby, A., and Aksnes, D. (2012). Efficient trawl avoidance by mesopelagic fishes causes large underestimation of their biomass. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 456: 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09785>.
- Kaartvedt, S., Torgersen, T., Klevjer, T., Røstad, A., and Devine, J. (2008). Behavior of individual mesopelagic fish in acoustic scattering layers of Norwegian fjords. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 360: 201–209.
- Koizumi, K., Hiratsuka, S., Saito, H. (2014). Lipid and Fatty Acids of Three Edible Myctophids, *Diaphus watasei*, *Diaphus suborbitalis*, and *Benthosema pterotum*: High Levels of Icosapentaenoic and Docosahexaenoic Acids. *Journal of Oleo Science* 63, 461–470. <https://doi.org/10.5650/jos.ess13224>.
- Lamhauge, S., Jacobsen, J. A., Valdemarsen, J. W., Sigurdsson, T., Bardarsson, B., and Filin, A. (2008). Fishery and Utilization of Mesopelagic Fishes and Krill in the North Atlantic. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Lea, M. A., Nichols, P. D., and Wilson, G. (2002). Fatty acid composition of lipidrich myctophids and mackerel icefish (*Champsocephalus gunnari*)—Southern Ocean food-web implications. *Polar Biol.* 25, 843–854. <https://doi:10.1007/s00300-002-0428-1>.
- Olsen, R. E., and Torrisen, O. (2015). Mesopelagic fish—a potentially new source for marine proteins and fat. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Global Food Security*, Itchaca, NY.
- Olsen, R.E., Strand, E., Melle, W., Nørstebø, J.T., Lall, S.P., Ringø, E., Tocher, D.R., Sprague, M. (2020). Can mesopelagic mixed layers be used as feed sources for salmon aquaculture? *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 104722. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2019.104722>.
- Paoletti S, Nielsen JR, Sparrevohn CR, Bastardie F and Vastenhou BMJ. (2021). Potential for Mesopelagic Fishery Compared to Economy and Fisheries Dynamics in Current Large Scale Danish Pelagic Fishery. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 08:720897. <https://doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.720897>.

- Phleger, C.F., Nichols, P. D., Virtue, P. (1991). The lipid, fatty acid and fatty alcohol composition of the myctophid fish *Electrona antarctica*: high level of wax esters and food-chain implications. *Antarctic Science* 9 (3): 258-265.
- Prellezo, R. (2019). Exploring the economic viability of a mesopelagic fishery in the Bay of Biscay. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 76, 771–779. <https://doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsy001>.
- Remesan, M.P., Prajith, K.K., Raj, F.D., Joseph, R., Boopendranath, M.R. (2016). Investigations on Aimer Midwater Trawling for Myctophids in the Arabian Sea. *Fishery Technology* 53, 190–196.
- Remesan, M.P., Prakash, R.R., Prajith, K.K., Jha, P.N., Renjith, R.K., Boopendranath, M.R. (2019). A Review on Techniques and Challenges in the Harvest of Mesopelagics, in: *Fishery Technology*. pp. 243–253.
- Shotton, R. (1997). Lantern Fisheries: a potential fishery in the Northern Arabian Sea?, in: *Review of the State of World Fishery Resources: Marine Fisheries*, FAO Fisheries Circular No. 920 FIRM/C920. FAO, Rome.
- Standal, D., Grimaldo, E. (2021). Lost in translation? Practical- and scientific input to the mesopelagic fisheries discourse. *Marine Policy* 134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2021.104785>.
- Tacon, A. G. J., and Metian, M. (2009). Fishing for feed or fishing for food: increasing global competition for small pelagic forage fish. *AMBIO J. Hum. Environ.* 38, 294–302. <https://doi:10.1579/08-A-574.1>.
- Thorvik, T. (2017). Strategy for sustainable harvesting of mesopelagic species, in: *North Atlantic Seafood Forum*. Bergen.
- Valinassab, B.T., Pierce, G.J., Johannesson, K. (2007). Lantern fish (*Benthosema pterotum*) resources as a target for commercial exploitation in the Oman Sea Lantern fish (*Benthosema pterotum*) resources as a target for commercial exploitation in the Oman Sea. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2007.01034.x>.
- van der Meer, J. (2020). Limits to food production from the sea. *Nature Food*, 1(12):762-764. <https://doi:10.1038/s43016-020-00202-8>.
- Vang, B., Altintzoglou, T., Måge, I., Wubshet, S. G., Afseth, N. K., and Whitaker, R. D. (2017). Nofima: Peptide Recovery and Commercialization by Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Marine Biomass. In *Biocatalysis: An Industrial Perspective*, ed. G. de Gonzalo (London: Royal Society of Chemistry), 459–476. <https://doi:10.1039/9781782629993-00459>.
- Wiech, M., Silva, M., Meier, S., Tibon, J., Berntssen, M.H.G., Duinker, A., Sanden, M. (2020). Undesirables in mesopelagic species and implications for food and feed safety: insights from norwegian fjords. *Foods* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091162>.
- Wright, G., Gjerde, K., Finkelstein, A., Currie, D. (2020). Fishing in the Twilight Zone. Illuminating governance challenges at the next fisheries frontier. *IDDR, Study N°06/20*. ISSN: 2258-7535.