A microfluidic mechano-chemostat for tissues and organisms reveals that confined growth is accompanied with increased macromolecular crowding

Ben Meriem Zacchari ¹, Mateo Tiphaine ¹, Faccini Julien ¹, Denais Céline ¹, Dusfour-Castan Romane ², Guynet Catherine ², Merle Tatiana ³, Suzanne Magali ³, Di-Luoffo Mickaël ⁴, Guillermet-Guibert Julie ⁴, Alric Baptiste ¹, Landiech Sylvain ¹, Malaquin Laurent ¹, Mesnilgrente Fabien ¹, Laborde Adrian ¹, Mazenq Laurent ¹, Courson Remi ⁵, Delarue Morgan ^{1,*}

¹ LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France

² Laboratoire de Microbiologie et de Génétique Moléculaires, Centre de Biologie Intégrative (CBI), CNRS, Université de Toulouse, F-31000, Toulouse, France

³ Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology unit (MCD), Centre de Biologie Intégrative (CBI), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, France

⁴ INSERM U1037, CRCT, Université de Toulouse, F-31037 Toulouse, France

⁵ Ifremer, RDT, F-29280 Plouzané, France

* Corresponding author : Morgan Delarue, email address : morgan.delarue@laas.fr

Abstract :

Conventional culture conditions are oftentimes insufficient to study tissues, organisms, or 3D multicellular assemblies. They lack both dynamic chemical and mechanical control over the microenvironment. While specific microfluidic devices have been developed to address chemical control, they often do not allow the control of compressive forces emerging when cells proliferate in a confined environment. Here, we present a generic microfluidic device to control both chemical and mechanical compressive forces. This device relies on the use of sliding elements consisting of microfabricated rods that can be inserted inside a microfluidic device. Sliding elements enable the creation of reconfigurable closed culture chambers for the study of whole organisms or model micro-tissues. By confining the micro-tissues, we studied the biophysical impact of growth-induced pressure and showed that this mechanical stress is associated with an increase in macromolecular crowding, shedding light on this understudied type of mechanical stress. Our mechano-chemostat allows the long-term culture of biological samples and can be used to study both the impact of specific conditions as well as the consequences of mechanical compression.

36 Introduction

37 Cells in tissues and organisms, or during development, are constantly subjected to dynamic chemical and mechanical cues. Imposing dynamic chemical conditions on 3D cellular assemblies is a technical 38 challenge that requires the use of complex microfluidic devices¹⁻⁴. However, despite the large 39 40 parallelization enabled by some of these devices, they do not necessarily allow easy dynamic control, and very few enable the establishment of chemical spatial gradients^{5,6} which are essential 41 42 to study 3D chemotaxis or drug screening. Mechanically, and apart from devices allowing control of 43 shear or tensile stresses^{7,8}, the appropriate 3D mechanical conditions to study the effect of spatial 44 confinement and compressive stresses are lacking.

45

Compressive stresses can either be dynamic, such as peristalsis during digestion or the compression of articular cartilage during motion⁹, or self-inflicted in the case of spatially constrained growth¹⁰ – the so-called growth-induced pressure. Indeed, compressive stress naturally arises when cells proliferate in a confined space, like solid tumors growing within an organ¹¹. Compressive stresses can be deleterious for tumor treatment since they can clamp blood vessels¹², modulate cell proliferation^{13–15}, and even participate in a mechanical form of drug resistance¹⁵. In contrast with tensile and shear stresses^{16–21}, very little is known about the sensing of mechanical pressure.

53

Growth-induced pressure is notoriously hard to study. Current methods to impose spatial confinement either rely on open-facing devices²² or spheroid embedding in a hydrogel^{13–15}. While hydrogel embedding displays natural limitations in terms of the type and size of the studied sample as well as its retrieval for further biological characterization and the dynamic control of the culture conditions, open-facing devices do not fully confine tissues which can grow in the third dimension, leading to a poor buildup of growth-induced pressure in the Pa range²³, far from the typical kPa range of pressure measured during hydrogel embedding¹⁵.

61

In general, the culture of organisms inside microfluidic devices remains difficult to do, even though microfluidic systems can offer much tighter control than classical culture. In this paper, we present a generic microfluidic device that takes advantage of an innovative technology called sliding elements. Sliding elements are microfabricated rods that can be inserted inside a microfluidic device. Using this technology, we created reconfigurable <u>easy-to-use</u> confining culture chambers which could be loaded with biological objects such as spheroids in order to study the impact of growth-induced pressure. This device permits great chemical and mechanical control, real-time 69 imaging, and the possibility to recover the sample. Novel pressure sensors have been developed to 70 measure growth-induced pressure. We demonstrated that our device was fitted for the controlled 71 culture of multicellular spheroids, and showed that growth-induced pressure was associated with 72 increased macromolecular crowding, thus shedding light on a novel biophysical regulation of 73 confined growth in mammalian cells. Prospectively, we showed that our device can be used for the 74 culture of other organisms, such as the nematode *C. elegans* or imaginal discs of the *D. melanogaster.*

76

77 <u>Results</u>

78 Sliding elements to create a microfluidic chemostat for biological samples

79 The realization of a microfluidic chemostat resides in our ability to load a sample at a given position 80 and define the chemical environment around it (Fig. 1a). Valves could be used to trap a sample, but 81 the feeding remains difficult. Solutions relying on one-way valves have been developed for microbes^{10,24}, but are not directly amenable to larger and deformable samples. To overcome this 82 difficulty, we underwent a key technological development: sliding elements, tiny 3D-structured rods 83 84 which can be inserted inside a microfluidic system to bring specific functions of interest²⁵. By 85 coupling standard photolithography and the use of dry film photoresists, we created well-defined 86 and transparent sliding elements with cylindrical holes or slits depending on the direction of 87 fabrication (Fig. 1b). They were centimetric in length and squared in the other dimensions with a 88 cross size of 500µm, making them easy to manipulate and slide into a designated channel (Fig. 1c). 89 We created them by the hundreds in one batch (Fig. 1d, inset).

90

91 Culture chambers were molded in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) from molds created using multi-92 level photolithography, the first one defining the height of the culture chamber, while the second 93 one delineated the channel into which the sliding element would be inserted (Fig. 1c). The height of this channel had to be optimized to ensure tight sealing and avoid medium leakage from one 94 95 compartment to the next. We find that the channel with the sliding element did not leak for fluid 96 pressure below 200 kPa, which was above the typical maximum 50 kPa pressure needed in our experiments to culture cells (Fig. S1). The leakage occurred along the sliding element, probably 97 98 because of slight misalignment during the fabrication process, and even at 200kPa, no liquid went 99 through the main channel. This tight sealing was essential to enable perfect control over the 100 chemical environment. Notably, we showed that we could instantaneously change the chemical 101 conditions in the chamber (Fig. S2). We could have a fresh medium with constant chemical



Figure 1: Design of the microfluidic chemostat. a. The microfluidic chemostat is composed of a culture chamber that is closed on both sides by structured sliding elements. These elements enable to load the chamber and feed the sample thanks to channels on both sides. **b.** Standard photolithography is used on dry films to structure in 3D the element. Depending on the direction of construction, we can either construct slits or holes. Scanning electron images of the sliding elements are presented. **c.** Picture of the microfluidic device with the sliding elements inserted. **d.** The sliding elements are centimetric in length and structured at the tens of micrometer resolution. They are fabricated by the hundreds and can be inserted in a PDMS chip.

102 conditions circulating or allow a fixed volume of medium to cyclically re-circulate in the chamber to

- 103 either decrease waste or perform specific enrichment experiments.
- 104

105 Steady culture of multicellular spheroids

106 The chemostat could be easily smoothly loaded with various biological objects. Sliding one element 107 down opens one side of the chamber so that by adjusting the inlet flow, we could control the 108 position of a multicellular spheroid inside the chamber, pushing it to the end, or retrieving it. We showed that spheroids can be cultured in the device for days (Fig. 2a and supplementary video S1), 109 with no significant differences in growth measured inside the device in comparison with classical 110 111 culture in well plates (Fig. 2b). Each replicate in Fig. 2b is made with a different PDMS chip, a 112 different set of sliding elements, and a different spheroid, demonstrating the robustness and reproducibility of the experiments. Of note, we could parallelize the chambers, different spheroids 113 114 could be loaded in different chambers (Fig. 2c), to increase throughput or parallelize experiments. 115 Interestingly, we can also load two different samples in the same chamber (Fig. 2di-ii). This unique feature, which cannot be done in open-facing devices or in hydrogels, is of particular interest to 116



Figure 2: Culture of multicellular spheroids in the microfluidic chemostat. a. Multicellular spheroids can be loaded in the chemostat. They can grow until they fill the chamber. **b.** Growth curves of spheroids in the chemostat (6 independent replicates – unique spheroid, unique PDMS chip and unique set of sliding elements - in light black) and in classical round bottom well plates (mean ± SEM). Thick lines represent median ± standard deviation. **c.** We designed devices with two parallel chambers where different samples can be loaded and cultured. **d.** Two different spheroids can be loaded and cultured in the same chamber (i). They grow until the chamber is filled (ii).

- 117 study interactions (mechanical and chemical) between different samples, and perform mechanical
- **118** competition for space²⁶.
- 119

120 Confined proliferation and growth-induced pressure

Fully confining cells would require to decrease the size of holes or slits in the sliding elements to 121 122 avoid cells escaping from them. Cells are indeed able to migrate and deform through constrictions 123 as small as 5µm²⁷, which was a resolution not reachable during sliding element fabrication. To 124 overcome this issue, we designed a three-layer system with a culture chamber connected on its side 125 to much smaller channels (2µm x 2µm in cross-section) which fully blocked the spheroid (Fig. 3a). 126 We adapted the design of the sliding element to load and close these chambers (Fig. 3b and supplementary video S2), and observed that spheroids grew fully confined in this geometry 127 128 (supplementary video S3), without invading the side channels. Normal growth of the spheroid was 129 measured before being spatially confined (Fig. 3c), suggesting optimal feeding.

130

Confined growth eventually leads to the buildup of growth-induced pressure²⁸. Evaluating growth-131 induced pressure often relies on the measurement of the surrounding deformation^{13,15,29}, or the 132 deformation of exogenous sensors such as hydrogel beads^{30,31}. Alternatively, micropillars have been 133 widely used to measure kPa stresses exerted by moving cells³² or growing spheroids in open-facing 134 135 devices^{22,23}, due to their high deformation when sufficiently thin. We adapted this technology to design a thin suspended membrane to measure growth-induced pressure (Fig. 3d). We performed 136 finite element simulations to tune its dimensions to be sensitive to the kPa range¹⁵ (Fig. 3e). We 137 138 observed that at similar dimensions, a fully attached membrane was much less deformable than one attached only at the top (Fig. S3). In order to calibrate the mechanical properties of the PDMS, 139 140 a crucial parameter to perfectly infer the pressure exerted onto the membrane from its 141 deformation, we designed a fully attached membrane and measured its deformation with a fixed pressure. The deformation as a function of pressure was used to determine the mechanical 142 properties of the PDMS of the chip thanks to finite element simulations, allowing the proper 143 144 calibration of the mechanical properties (Fig. S4). Of note, we could also use this membrane to 145 instantaneously compress a trapped multicellular spheroid or a collagen gel (Fig. S5).

146

147 We observed that the confined proliferation of a spheroid led to the progressive build-up of growth-148 induced pressure over the kPa range for several days (Fig. 3f and supplementary video S3). The 149 dynamics did not depend on the width of the suspended membrane (Fig. 3g) and was very



Figure 3: Confined growth of multicellular spheroids and pressure sensor. a. The design can be parallelized and built on three levels to create multiple closed culture chambers. **b.** The sliding element is structured in such a way as to allow the loading and closing of the chambers. **c.** The growth rate of multicellular spheroids before confinement is similar to that of free spheroids (median ± standard deviation, N = 4 independent experiments). **d.** Scanning electron microscopy image of the chamber containing the suspended membrane. Image of a finite element simulation showing its deformation when a fixed pressure is applied. **e.** Deformation of the membrane with applied pressure as a function of membrane width. **f.** Confined growth leads to growth-induced pressure measured by the deformation of the suspended membrane. **g.** Pressure is independent of the suspended membrane. After a slow increase which corresponds to a change of spherical shape to a cube, pressure increases roughly linearly for hours. The grayed area corresponds to the time points for which pressure is underestimated owing to the aggregate not fully contacting the surface. 10 spheroids over 4 independent experiments.

150 comparable to what would be expected for these cells using a standard hydrogel embedding (Fig.

151 S6). This indicated that cells were similarly fed in both conditions and that growth-induced pressure 152 development did not depend on the type of spatial confinement. Note that we needed to apply a 153 correction factor when the spheroid did not fully contact the membrane (Fig. S7). Because this factor 154 could not be easily determined with our imaging conditions, for pressures below 250 Pa, the 155 pressure was underestimated – these points were grayed on the figure. Interestingly, we observed 156 that during the first 24h, the spheroid deformed into a cuboid, while developing a growth-induced 157 pressure of \sim 300 Pa. We showed (see Methods) that this information can be used to quantify the 158 surface tension of a spheroid, which in this case is in the range of 1.5 mN/m, consistent with 159 measurements in other cell types done with classical micropipette aspiration³³.

160

161 Importantly, the chambers can be re-opened to allow a non-chemical relaxation of the mechanical 162 stress. The samples can be retrieved for further biological analysis, even after having been under 163 mechanical pressure (Fig. S8). Note that the tissue remained cuboidal after being retrieved from the 164 chamber. This essential point was often a bottleneck in microfluidics, and relaxing mechanical stress 165 in hydrogel embedding systems requires the use of chemicals³⁴, both of which the use of sliding 166 elements easily overcame.

167

168 Growth-induced pressure increased intracellular crowding and decreased proliferation

We sought to investigate the cellular response to growth-induced pressure. We measured cellular densification within the compressed tissue, suggesting that single cells were more compressed under confined growth (Fig. S9). Taking advantage of the fact that microfluidics allows highresolution imaging, we used the FUCCI cell-cycle marker (Fig. 4a) and measured a progressive accumulation of G1 cells as growth-induced pressure increased (Fig. 4b). This result was consistent with former findings showing an association between growth-induced pressure and physiological changes, and notably a decrease in cell proliferation^{13,15,29,34,35}.

176

An elusive question in mechano-biology relates to how growth-induced pressure is integrated and, especially which cellular biophysical properties are modified. It has recently been shown in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that growth-induced pressure is accompanied by an increase in intracellular crowding²⁸, which relates to the high packing fraction of macromolecules in cells³⁶. Genetically-encoded multimeric nanoparticles (GEMs) can be imaged at the single cell level in order to infer intracellular crowding through single particle tracking³⁷ (Fig. 4c). Using GEMs, we sought to investigate how intracellular crowding was modified in mammalian cells during the



Figure 4: Confined growth leads to growth-induced pressure which impacts cell proliferation and intracellular crowding. a. FUCCI cell cycle reporter to fluorescently label cell cycle phases. Representative images of FUCCI-labeled cells in the device for different growth-induced pressure values. **b.** Cells accumulate in G1 as growth-induced pressure builds up. 6 spheroids over 4 independent experiments were analyzed. **c.** Time projection of GEMs nanoparticles trajectories shows that particles are less diffusive under growth-induced pressure. **d.** Diffusion progressively decreases as growth-induced pressure increases. $N \ge 10$ cells for each point coming from 6 spheroids over 3 independent experiments. For all points, we computed the mean ± standard error of the mean.

184 buildup of growth-induced pressure. We found that the mean diffusion coefficient was decreasing 185 with increased growth-induced pressure (Fig. 4d), suggesting that, similarly to S. cerevisiae, 186 intracellular crowding increased during confined proliferation and with the buildup of growth-187 induced pressure. Note that the control condition of unconfined growth in the chip corresponded 188 to partial confinement of the spheroid: it was only allowed to grow in one direction, similar to what happens in an open-facing device²². In this case, we noticed no change in the diffusion of the 189 190 nanoparticles, further illustrating the difference in the impact of full confinement in contrast to 191 partial one.

192

193 Conclusions

194 We reported in this article a generic microfluidic device allowing the controlled confined culture of

195 multicellular spheroids. Its operation relied on a key and novel technological development, sliding

elements, which could be inserted inside a PDMS device to create reconfigurable culture chambers.

197 Sliding elements could be produced by the hundreds, and allowed exquisite resolution thanks to the

power of photolithography. In particular, they could be structured by channels or holes, which allowed us to close a culture chamber while retaining the ability to feed the sample loaded in this chamber, something that a classical valve could not do.

201

202 The full confinement of a spheroid allows the study of growth-induced pressure. While hydrogel 203 embedding can appear as an easier alternative, they do not offer the control that microfluidics 204 permits. In particular, retrieving the spheroid after the experiment or relaxing growth-induced 205 pressure without potential chemical stress is a challenge. Moreover, our device uniquely allows us 206 to study the direct mechanical interaction of multicellular spheroids²⁶ (Fig. 2d), which is not possible 207 through hydrogel embedding. Finally, open-facing systems do not fully confine spheroids, which 208 leads to a poor buildup of mechanical stress and makes the study of this key mechanical stress 209 impossible.

210

The confined growth of multicellular spheroids led to the buildup of growth-induced pressure, which 211 212 has a number of physiological consequences. We developed a novel mechanical sensor to measure 213 mechanical pressure and demonstrated that spheroids in our device could develop growth-induced 214 pressure. In particular, their transition from a spheroid to a cuboid shape allows the estimation of 215 the tissue surface tension independently of other viscoelastic and poromechanics parameters. How 216 growth-induced pressure is integrated and impacts cells are mostly unknown, in contrast to other types of mechanical stresses, such as tensile¹⁶ or shear²¹. We showed that while cell proliferation 217 was decreased, as previously reported^{15,34}, intracellular crowding increased concomitantly with 218 219 growth-induced pressure in mammalian cells, yielding a novel biological insight on the mechanisms 220 that can be associated with the integration of growth-induced pressure. To our knowledge, this is 221 the first demonstration in mammalian cells that growth-induced pressure is associated with increased crowding. This was previously shown in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae²⁸, raising the 222 223 question of the universality of this phenomenon.

224

Our device could be used for the culture of other organisms. The system could be loaded with different organisms the same way a spheroid was (Fig. 1 and 2). Prospectively, we demonstrated that both moving nematodes and imaginal discs could be cultured in the device. We showed that we could harmlessly load the nematode *C. elegans* and culture it for at least 10h (Fig. 5a and supplementary video S4). The worm remained trapped in the culture chamber, permitting its imaging under fixed chemical conditions. Additionally, we validated the loading and culture of



Figure 5: Culture of moving organism or imaginal discs. a. Moving samples such as the nematode C. elegans can be cultured in the device. N = 5 independent experiments. Worms have been culture for 10 hours. **b.** Imaginal discs such as a drosophila leg can be loaed, and display normal development in the microfluidic chemostat, as seen by the timing of PE removal and leg elongation. N = 3 independent experiments. The wing has been cultured for 20h.

231 imaginal discs, such as the *Drosophila melanogaster* leg (Fig. 5b, supplementary video S5). The

smooth manipulation and culture in the chamber allowed us to monitor its development for 20h
 which was similar in the chemostat compared to classical culture conditions³⁸. The steady chemical
 environment, produced using syringe pumps, allowed long culture times, typically hard to reach

234 Children, produced damg synnige pumps, anowed long culture times, typically hard to reach

- with classic culture conditions where culture medium volume is fixed³⁹.
- 236

In conclusion, we developed single-cast microfluidic devices for the long-term culture of biological
samples and their confinement. These devices are easy to use, parallelable to increase throughput,
and can be used to study both the impact of specific chemical conditions and the consequences of
mechanical compression as well as mechanically characterizing a multicellular spheroid.
Compressive stress is still poorly understood owing to the lack of tools available to researchers. Our
device offers an elegant solution to its study.

243

244 Author contribution

ZBM, TiM, BA and MD designed the culture chambers. ZBM, TiM, BA, LM, RC and MD designed the
sliding elements. FM, AL, LM and RC helped with microfabrication. TiM, CD, MDL, JGG and MD
developed cell lines and performed spheroids experiments. ZBM, RDC, CG and MD performed the *C. elegans* experiments. ZBM, TaM and MS performed the *D. melanogaster* experiments. SL and MD
developed the mathematical analysis. ZBM, TiM and MD wrote the manuscript. All authors brought
corrections to the manuscript.

251

252 Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank B. Venzac for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was partly supported by the French Renatech network. MD would like to thank Inserm Plan Cancer (Press-Diag-Therapy and MechaEvo grants), INCa PLBIO and Cancéropôle Grand Sud-Ouest. This work is partly

- funded by the European Union (ERC, UnderPressure, grant agreement number 101039998). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.
- 260

261 **References**

- Greco G, Agostini M, Barone S, Cecchini M. Embryo development in dynamic microfluidic
 systems. *Sensors Actuators B Chem*. 2017;250:525-532. doi:10.1016/J.SNB.2017.04.186
- Letizia MC, Cornaglia M, Trouillon R, et al. Microfluidics-enabled phenotyping of a whole
 population of C. elegans worms over their embryonic and post-embryonic development at
 single-organism resolution. *Microsystems Nanoeng 2018 41*. 2018;4(1):1-11.
- 267 doi:10.1038/s41378-018-0003-8
- Levario TJ, Zhan M, Lim B, Shvartsman SY, Lu H. Microfluidic trap array for massively parallel
 imaging of Drosophila embryos. *Nat Protoc 2013 84*. 2013;8(4):721-736.
- 270 doi:10.1038/nprot.2013.034
- Li X, Valadez A V., Zuo P, Nie Z. Microfluidic 3D cell culture: potential application for tissuebased bioassays. *https://doi.org/104155/bio12133*. 2012;4(12):1509-1525.

273 doi:10.4155/BIO.12.133

- Mulholland T, McAllister M, Patek S, et al. Drug screening of biopsy-derived spheroids using
 a self-generated microfluidic concentration gradient. *Sci Reports 2018 81*. 2018;8(1):1-12.
 doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33055-0
- 277 6. Lim W, Park S. A Microfluidic Spheroid Culture Device with a Concentration Gradient

278 Generator for High-Throughput Screening of Drug Efficacy. *Mol 2018, Vol 23, Page 3355*.
 279 2018;23(12):3355. doi:10.3390/MOLECULES23123355

280 7. Huh D, Matthews BD, Mammoto A, Montoya-Zavala M, Hsin HY, Ingber DE. Reconstituting

281 Organ-Level Lung Functions on a Chip. *Science (80-)*. 2010;328(5986):1662-1668.

- 282 doi:10.1126/science.1188302
- Bourn MD, Batchelor DVB, Ingram N, et al. High-throughput microfluidics for evaluating
 microbubble enhanced delivery of cancer therapeutics in spheroid cultures. *J Control Release*. 2020;326:13-24. doi:10.1016/J.JCONREL.2020.06.011
- Paggi CA, Venzac B, Karperien M, Leijten JCH, Le Gac S. Monolithic microfluidic platform for
 exerting gradients of compression on cell-laden hydrogels, and application to a model of the
 articular cartilage. *Sensors Actuators, B Chem.* 2020;315:127917.

289 doi:10.1016/j.snb.2020.127917

- Holt LJ, Hallatschek O, Delarue M. Mechano-chemostats to study the effects of compressive
 stress on yeast. *Methods Cell Biol*. 2018;147:215-231. doi:10.1016/bs.mcb.2018.06.010
- 292 11. Nia HT, Liu H, Seano G, et al. Solid stress and elastic energy as measures of tumour
- 293 mechanopathology. *Nat Biomed Eng*. 2016;1(1):0004. doi:10.1038/s41551-016-0004
- 29412.Provenzano PP, Cuevas C, Chang AE, Goel VK, Von Hoff DD, Hingorani SR. Enzymatic295Targeting of the Stroma Ablates Physical Barriers to Treatment of Pancreatic Ductal
- Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2012;21(3):418-429. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2012.01.007
- 29713.Alessandri K, Sarangi BR, Gurchenkov VV, et al. Cellular capsules as a tool for multicellular298spheroid production and for investigating the mechanics of tumor progression in vitro. *Proc*
- 299
 Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(37):14843-14848. doi:10.1073/pnas.1309482110
- Nam S, Gupta VK, Lee H, et al. Cell cycle progression in confining microenvironments is
 regulated by a growth-responsive TRPV4-PI3K/Akt-p27 ^{Kip1} signaling axis. *Sci Adv*.
- 302 2019;5(8):eaaw6171. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw6171
- Rizzuti I, Mascheroni P, Arcucci S, et al. Mechanical Control of Cell Proliferation Increases
 Resistance to Chemotherapeutic Agents. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2020;125(12):128103.
- 305 https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.128103
- 306 16. Charras G, Yap AS. Tensile Forces and Mechanotransduction at Cell–Cell Junctions. *Curr Biol.*307 2018;28(8):445-457. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.02.003
- Faurobert E, Bouin A-P, Albiges-Rizo C. Microenvironment, tumor cell plasticity, and cancer.
 Curr Opin Oncol. 2015;27(1):64-70. doi:10.1097/CCO.0000000000154
- 310 18. Athirasala A, Hirsch N, Buxboim A. Nuclear mechanotransduction: sensing the force from
 311 within. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 2017;46:119-127. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2017.04.004
- 312 19. Santoro M, Lamhamedi-Cherradi S-E, Menegaz BA, Ludwig JA, Mikos AG. Flow perfusion
- 313 effects on three-dimensional culture and drug sensitivity of Ewing sarcoma. *Proc Natl Acad*
- 314 *Sci*. 2015;112(33):10304-10309. doi:10.1073/pnas.1506684112
- 315 20. Xu J, Mathur J, Vessières E, et al. GPR68 Senses Flow and Is Essential for Vascular
- 316 Physiology. *Cell*. 2018;173(3):762-775.e16. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.076
- 317 21. Heo J, Sachs F, Wang J, Hua SZ. Shear-induced volume decrease in MDCK cells. *Cell Physiol*318 *Biochem*. 2012;30(2):395-406. doi:10.1159/000339033
- 319 22. Aoun L, Weiss P, Laborde A, Ducommun B, Lobjois V, Vieu C. Microdevice arrays of high
- 320 aspect ratio poly(dimethylsiloxane) pillars for the investigation of multicellular tumour
- 321 spheroid mechanical properties. *Lab Chip*. 2014;14(13):2344-2353.

322 doi:10.1039/C4LC00197D

- 323 23. Aoun L, Larnier S, Weiss P, et al. Measure and characterization of the forces exerted by
- 324 growing multicellular spheroids using microdevice arrays. Oberai AA, ed. *PLoS One*.
- 325 2019;14(5):e0217227. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217227
- 326 24. Groisman A, Lobo C, Cho H, et al. A microfluidic chemostat for experiments with bacterial
 327 and yeast cells. *Nat Methods*. 2005;2(9):685-689. doi:10.1038/nmeth784
- Venzac B, Liu Y, Ferrante I, et al. Sliding walls: a new paradigm for fluidic actuation and
 protocol implementation in microfluidics. *Microsystems Nanoeng*. 2020;6(1):1-10.

330 doi:10.1038/s41378-019-0125-7

- Basan M, Risler T, Joanny J-F, Sastre-Garau X, Prost J. Homeostatic competition drives tumor
 growth and metastasis nucleation. *HFSP J*. 2009;3(4):265-272. doi:10.2976/1.3086732
- 333 27. Denais CM, Gilbert RM, Isermann P, et al. Nuclear envelope rupture and repair during
 334 cancer cell migration. *Science (80-)*. 2016;352(6283):353-358.
- 335 doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.AAD7297/SUPPL_FILE/PAPV2.PDF
- 336 28. Alric B, Formosa-Dague C, Dague E, Holt L, Delarue Macromolecular M. Macromolecular
 337 crowding limits growth under pressure. *Nat Phys*. Published online November 15, 2022.
 338 doi:10.1101/2021.06.04.446859
- Helmlinger G, Netti PA, Lichtenbeld HC, Melder RJ, Jain RK. Solid stress inhibits the growth
 of multicellular tumor spheroids. *Nat Biotechnol*. 1997;15(8):778-783.
- 341 doi:10.1038/nbt0897-778
- 30. Dolega ME, Delarue M, Ingremeau F, Prost J, Delon A, Cappello G. Cell-like pressure sensors
 reveal increase of mechanical stress towards the core of multicellular spheroids under
 compression. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8:14056. doi:10.1038/ncomms14056
- 345 31. Lee W, Kalashnikov N, Mok S, et al. Dispersible hydrogel force sensors reveal patterns of
 346 solid mechanical stress in multicellular spheroid cultures. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1):144.
- 347 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07967-4
- 348 32. Gupta M, Kocgozlu L, Sarangi BR, Margadant F, Ashraf M, Ladoux B. Micropillar substrates:
 349 A tool for studying cell mechanobiology. *Methods Cell Biol.* 2015;125:289-308.
- 350 doi:10.1016/BS.MCB.2014.10.009
- 35. Guevorkian K, Colbert MJ, Durth M, Dufour S, Brochard-Wyart F. Aspiration of biological
 viscoelastic drops. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2010;104(21):218101.
- 353 doi:10.1103/PHYSREVLETT.104.218101/FIGURES/4/MEDIUM
- 354 34. Nam S, Gupta VK, Lee H-P, et al. Cell cycle progression in confining microenvironments is

- 355 regulated by a growth-responsive TRPV4-PI3K/Akt-p27Kip1 signaling axis. *Sci Adv*.
- 356 2019;5(8):eaaw6171. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw6171
- 357 35. Cheng G, Tse J, Jain RK, Munn LL. Micro-environmental mechanical stress controls tumor
 358 spheroid size and morphology by suppressing proliferation and inducing apoptosis in cancer
 359 cells. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004632
- 36. Ellis RJ. Macromolecular crowding: An important but neglected aspect of the intracellular
 an important but neglected aspect of the intracellular
 environment. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2001;11(1):114-119. doi:10.1016/S0959-
- 362 440X(00)00172-X
- 363 37. Delarue M, Brittingham GP, Pfeffer S, et al. mTORC1 Controls Phase Separation and the
 364 Biophysical Properties of the Cytoplasm by Tuning Crowding. *Cell*. 2018;174:1-12.
 365 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.042
- 366 38. Proag A, Monier B, Suzanne M. Physical and functional cell-matrix uncoupling in a
 367 developing tissue under tension. *Dev.* 2019;146(11). doi:10.1242/DEV.172577/VIDEO-6
- 368 39. Mandaron P, Glillermet C, Sengel P. In Vitro Development of Drosophila Imaginal Discs:
- 369 Hormonal Control and Mechanism of Evagination. *Integr Comp Biol*. 1977;17(3):661-670.
 370 doi:10.1093/ICB/17.3.661
- 371 40. Stiernagle T. Maintenance of C. elegans. *WormBook*. Published online 2006:1-11.
- 372 doi:10.1895/WORMBOOK.1.101.1
- 373
- 374

375 Material and Methods

376 Device microfabrication

The chemostat is made from a two-layer silicon mold. The high-throughput tumor-on-chip is made from a three-layer silicon mold. For the high-throughput device, we have an initial layer allowing to create the culture channels. This layer is not present in the chemostat where feeding is ensured through the sliding element. All layers are created using dry film technology.

381 In order to generate channels alimentation which are characterized by a very tiny section of 2x2µm, 382 an initial layer made of a mix of two SU8 photoresist (SU8-6000.5 and SU8 60005, ratio 1:1) is spin-383 coated (speed: 2500rpm, acceleration: 3000rpm/s, time: 30s) with the spin coater Suss Microtec, 384 on a silicon wafer substrate and cured (2min at 100°c). The photoresist is exposed with the MA6 Gen4 machine (I-line 37% at 300mJ/cm²) with the first mask design and cured (100°C for 2min) by 385 386 following standard photolithography processes. To create the second layer defining the culture 387 chamber, a 100µm dry film is laminated above the mold (pressure: 2.5bars, speed: 0.5m/min, 388 temperature: 100°c for all lamination), and is exposed using a second mask (I-line 37% at 240mJ/cm²) and cured (100°c during 6min). The last layer is created from a stack lamination of four 389 390 100µm dry-film sheets in order to create the 500µm channel used to insert the sliding element. 391 Then, exposure is performed (I-line 37% at 2000mJ/cm²) and the mold is cured (PEB of 100°c during 392 20min). During exposure steps, particular caution is necessary to align each level with the previous 393 one.

A chemical development in SU8-developper bath is done at the end of the process in order to reveal the channels. Afterward, a hard-bake is performed to reinforce the mold's mechanical resistance through time. A perfluorodecyltrichlorosilane (FDTS) self-assembled monolayer is grafted onto the surface to prevent polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) adhesion.

398 PDMS is cast onto the mold and cured at 65°c overnight. The chip is initially sealed with a thin 50 399 µm PDMS layer by plasma activating the two surfaces with oxygen plasma (0.2mBar, 0.7sccm, 25s) 400 with the Diener Electronics machine in order to have the same material onto the culture chamber 401 walls. Finally, the whole chip is sealed on a glass slide using the same parameters for plasma O2 402 activation.

Once made, the mold surface is controlled by Scanning Electron Microscopy (MEB Hitachi S-4800).
Tension and current are respectively set at 0.6kV and 8µA. To correct astigmatism, magnification is
set at x3000. The image definition is about 1200x900px.

- 406
- 407

408 Sliding element fabrication

409 The sliding element is made of two different levels (300µm and 200µm), using dry film technology, 410 which allows additive fabrication. Each level required stack lamination of 100µm dry film sheet and 411 is laminated using the same parameters as the mold fabrication. Starting from a silicon wafer 412 substrate, three dry films of 100µm are successively laminated on it. This one is exposed with a first 413 mask (i-line HR 66mW at 1400mJ/cm²) and cured (6min at 100°c) by following standard 414 photolithography processes. The second level is made from two successive laminations of 100µm 415 dry film sheets. Insolation is done using the second mask (I-line HR 66mW, 900mJ/cm²) and the 416 mold is finally cured (100°c for 3min). While performing the development bath overnight in SU8 417 developer, all the sliding elements progressively detach from the wafer substrate, as no adhesion promoter was used. Surface control is done using Scanning Electron Microscopy (MEB Hitachi S-418 419 4800). Finally, a perfluorodecyltrichlorosilane (FDTS) self-assembled monolayer is grafted onto the 420 surface to prevent cell adhesion.

421

422 Cell culture and spheroid formation

423 A338 cell line¹⁵ derived from a murine pancreatic tumor with an activating mutation of KRas oncogene (KRas^{G12D}) are culture in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% SVF (Sigma-424 425 Aldrich) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Spheroids are formed 426 using hanging droplet protocol. Typically, 15µL droplets of a cell suspension (at approximatively 13 427 cells/µL) are dropped on a petri dish cover. To limit evaporation, 7mL of PBS is placed on the other 428 cover part. Spheroids of 100 µm in diameter are formed in two days. In this study, we transfected 429 PIP-FUCCI into mouse pancreatic cancer cells (A338), and used HeLa transfected with 40nm-GEMs 430 (Genetically Encoded Multimeric nanoparticles) as in³⁷.

431

432 Agarose confinement experiments

A 48-well plate is placed on ice. We prepare a low-melting agarose solution of 2% concentration and
leave it at 37°C to thermalize. 200 μL of medium containing the spheroid of 2/3 days old is then
mixed with 200 μL of 2% low-melting agarose within the pipette. The 400 μL solution is placed on
the 48-well plate on ice, to enable rapid polymerization of agarose at a final concentration of 1%.
We find that this step is necessary to obtain a fully-embedded spheroid: if the polymerization occurs
at room temperature, the spheroid sediments most of the time at the bottom of the well, and is not
embedded in 3D.

440

441 *C. elegans culture*

442 We use the C. elegans strain N2 (wild type), which is kindly provided by Alfonso Pérez-Escudero. C. 443 *elegans* populations are grown, maintained, and manipulated with standard techniques⁴⁰, except 444 that the NGM medium is replaced by M9 agar minimal medium (M9 minimal salts supplemented with 0.2% casamino acids, 0.4% glycerol, 2.0 µg/mL thiamine and 2.5 µg/mL cholesterol). 445 446 Synchronized worms are grown on agar plates seeded with a lawn of the bacteria Ochrobactrum 447 vermis at 22.5 °C. Adult worms are collected in an Eppendorf tube containing 1 mL of M9 liquid 448 medium (M9 minimal salts) and then loaded inside the microfluidic chip with a syringe. A single 449 worm is blocked inside the chamber of the chip, grown for 48 h, and fed with a unidirectional flow 450 of a culture of *Ochrobactrum vermis* in M9 liquid, at a rate of 500 μ L/h.

451

452 **D.** melanogaster culture and leg preparation

453 Leg discs from SqhKI[RFP]3B background D. melanogaster are dissected at a white pupal stage in 454 Schneider's insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich, S9895) supplemented with 15% fetal calf serum and 455 0.5% penicillin-streptomycin, as well as 2 µg/ml 20-hydroxyecdysone (Sigma-Aldrich, H5142). Legs 456 are then transferred into the microfluidic chamber. Leg discs are imaged with a LSM880 confocal 457 microscope fitted with a Fast Airyscan module (Carl Zeiss) and equipped with a 40x Water NA-1.2 458 objective. Stacks of 150 images with a z-step of 1µm are taken every 30 minutes, with a pixel size 459 of 0.0171µm/pixel. The laser power is set at 1%. Airyscan Z-stacks are processed through the ZEN 460 software. Max projection images are computed and displayed in Fig. 2.

461

462 Loading spheroids and other organisms

First, the chip is filled with DMEM medium supplemented with 10% SVF and 1% Penicillin-463 Streptomycin. Then, the sliding element is inserted carefully in the device such that the cavities are 464 465 aligned in front of the culture chambers. Spheroids and organisms are taken one by one using a 466 tubing connected to a syringe. Their injection is done at the inlet localized on the side of the sliding 467 element channel. Once a spheroid is in the channel, it will go through the sliding element and will 468 enter the desired chamber for the high-throughput device, or the only chamber for the chemostat. 469 This step is repeated until all the culture chambers are filled with spheroids for the high-throughput device. Then, the sliding element is moved so that each chamber is closed with a wall, or aligned 470 471 with the slits / holes for feeding. The medium channel is connected to a syringe pump and a flow of 472 400µL/h is applied.

473

474 Imaging conditions

A Zeiss observer microscope is used to perform the acquisition for several days. Biological samples
were observed through a 63x objective. In bright-field, the exposure time was about 100ms with
30% intensity. The environment is fixed at 37°C with 5% CO2 during the experiment, thanks to a
small incubator (Tokai-hit).

479

480 Experiment with the FUCCI cell cycle reporter

481 The PIP-FUCCI cell cycle reporter allows us to monitor cell cycle progression through the oscillatory 482 expression of green and red fluorophores marking different phases of the cell cycle (Fig. 4a). We 483 recorded 3 z-positions (every 5 µm) of both the GFP and RFP signals (150 ms at 15% intensity), on 484 top of bright field, during the confined growth of spheroids, with one image every hour. We 485 performed z-projections of the images and manually counted the green, red, and both green and 486 red nuclei. We analyzed for each spheroid the total number of tagged cells as well as green alone 487 cells (G1 cells), to extract the percentage of G1 cells and the percentage of cells in the S, G2, or M 488 phases of the cell cycle (denoted S/G2/M). Statistics are presented in the caption of Fig. 4b.

489

490 Finite element simulations

491 The geometry of the microfluidic cages including the pressure sensor is simulated using Comsol 492 multiphysics software with the solid mechanics module in stationary conditions. Once the geometry 493 of the chamber is created, PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) is set as a linear elastic material 494 characterized by Young's modulus of 2 MPa, a Poisson coefficient of 0.49, and a density of 970kg/m³. 495 Concerning boundary conditions, the pressure is applied on the chamber walls which are all free to 496 deform. Finally, a mesh controlled by physics is applied to the structure and built with tetrahedron 497 elements. For each applied pressure, the total displacement of the membrane is calculated. A 498 calibration curve describing the deformation as a function of pressure is used to calibrate all the 499 experiments.

500

501 Surface tension measurement

502 During the buildup of growth-induced pressure, the aggregate morphs from a spheroid shape to a 503 cuboid, where the curvature decreases from the radius of the spheroid to the radius of a cell, at a 504 given mechanical pressure. Denoting P_{ext}^0 the external pressure, P_{int} the internal pressure, R the 505 radius of curvature, and γ the surface tension, the Laplace pressure equation can be written

506
$$P_{\rm int}^0 = P_{\rm ext}^0 + \frac{2\gamma}{R_0}$$

507 when the aggregate is a sphere, with R_0 its radius, and

508
$$P_{\text{int}} = P_{\text{ext}}^0 + P_{\text{mecha}}(R = R_c) = P_{\text{ext}}^0 + \frac{2\gamma}{R_c}$$

509 when the spheroid has morphed into a cuboid shape with curvature radius R_c which corresponds 510 to the radius of a cell, and $P_{\text{mecha}}(R = R_c)$ the mechanical pressure at this time point. 511 $P_{\text{mecha}}(R = R_c)$ is the pressure measured by the pressure sensor. At this surface, the curvature of 512 the spheroid is ~ 0 μ m⁻¹, the spheroid flattening on the sensor. Given that $P_{\text{mecha}}(R =$ 513 $R_c) \sim 300$ Pa, and $R_c \sim 10\mu$ m, one gets $\gamma \sim 1.5$ mN/m as a surface tension value.

514

515 Genetically-encoded multimeric nanoparticles imaging and diffusion analysis

516 Experiments are performed on a Leica DM IRB microscope with spinning-disk confocal (Yokogawa CSU-X1) with a nominal power of 100mW and a Hamamatsu sCMOS camera (Orca flash 4.0 C13440) 517 518 with a 63x objective. GEM nanoparticle movies are acquired by illumination with a 488 nm laser at 519 full power. 30 images are acquired with no delay during 300 ms continual exposure at 100 Hz frame-520 rate. Particle tracking is achieved with the FIJI MOSAIC Suite to extract the trajectories of each 521 particles. For each trajectory, we then compute the single particle time-averaged mean-square 522 displacement, and fit the first 10 points (100ms) with a linear model, to extract a single-particle 523 diffusion coefficient at 100 ms, as in²⁸. We then compute the mean and standard error of the mean for the thousands of trajectories collected. 524

525

526 Supplementary information

527

- 528 Title of supplementary videos
- 529 Video S1 Growth of a multicellular spheroid in the microfluidic chemostat
- 530 <u>link to video</u>
- 531
- 532 *Video S2 –* Loading of a spheroid in the confining chambers through the sliding element
- 533 <u>link to video</u>
- 534
- 535 Video S3 Confined growth of a spheroid and deformation of the suspended membrane with
- 536 mechanical growth-induced pressure
- 537 <u>link to video</u>

- *Video S4 –* Motion of the nematode *C. elegans* in the microfluidic chemostat
- 539 <u>link to video</u>
- *Video S5* Development of a drosophila leg in the microfluidic chemostat
- 542 <u>link to video</u>

545



Figure S1: Increased inlet pressure can lead to leakage in the device, through the sliding element.



Figure S2: Changing of culture medium inside the device can be achieved within seconds.



Figure S3. Finite element simulation of different membrane configurations to measure growth-induced pressure. a. Membrane only attached at the top, and **b.** membrane attached to the four sides. We notice the much higher deformability of the membrane only attached at the top.



Figure S4: Calibration of the mechanical properties of the PDMS to use the pressure sensor. a. Simulation and displacement of membrane attached to its four sides as a function of the pressure for different Young's moduli of the material. **b.** Experiment using a membrane attached to its four sides, and its deformation as a function of imposed pressure. **c.** The slope of the deformation of the simulated membrane is inversely proportional to the Young's modulus. We use the simulation to infer the experimental Young's modulus, and use this information together with Fig. S1 to measure growth-induced pressure.

Spheroid compression



Collagen compression



Figure S5: Spheroid and hydrogel compression. Using the membrane attached to every sides, we can impose a give compression onto a loaded sample, either a spheroid (*a*.) or a collagen hydrogel (*b*.).

а



Figure S6: Agarose confined growth vs. microfluidic confinement. After the deformation of the spheroid to contact the whole surface of the microfluidic chamber, the spheroid is fully confined. This situation is then comparable to the case where the spheroid is fully embedded as a sphere in agarose. We thus shifted in time (24h) and in pressure (250 Pa) the agarose curve to compare the dynamics of growth-induced pressure buildup with the microfluidic confinement, and observe a similar dynamic. A potential decrease for later points inside agarose is observed, and could potentially be attributed to lesser feeding, the spheroid in agarose also being larger than in the chamber.



Simulations results for 1kPa pressure

Figure S7: Correction factor when the spheroid does not fully contact the membrane. When the aggregate does not fully contact the surface, the pressure is applied on a smaller surface. We performed Finite Element simulations where the contact surface is either a small circle (at early time points, a) or fully contact the surface (at confluency, b). We observed that displacement increased with surface contact diameter (c). We showed that a correction factor of the ratio of the membrane surface to the contact surface needs to be applied (d). However, because the membrane does not deform uniformly, this correction factor is not exactly the ratio of the surfaces, and tends to decrease with increased contact surface.

Spheroid retrieval illustrated in 3 steps



1- Open the chamber 2- Flush spheroid out



3- Flow spheroid towards outlet

Figure S8 - Procedure to retrieve the spheroid

Density measurements



Figure S9: Cell density increases under confined growth. At the end of an experiment, cells were fixed and nuclei stained with DAPI. 3D stacks were taken and cell density was measured. We observe an almost doubling of cell density under an increase in growth-induced pressure.

555 556