Whales in warming water: Assessing breeding habitat diversity and adaptability in Oceania's changing climate

Derville Solène ^{1, 2, 3, 4, *}, Torres Leigh G. ³, Albertson Renee ^{3, 5}, Andrews Olive ^{5, 6}, Baker C. Scott ^{3, 5}, Carzon Pamela ⁷, Constantine Rochelle ^{5, 8}, Donoghue Michael ^{5, 9, 10}, Dutheil Cyril ^{2, 11}, Gannier Alexandre ¹², Oremus Marc ^{5, 13}, Poole Michael M. ^{5, 14}, Robbins Jooke ^{5, 15}, Garrigue Claire ⁵

¹ UMR ENTROPIE (IRD, Université de La Réunion, CNRS), Nouméa, New Caledonia, France

² Sorbonne Université, Collège Doctoral, Paris, France

³ Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Marine Mammal Institute, Oregon State University, HMSC, Newport, Oregon .

⁴ Operation Cétacés, Nouméa, New Caledonia, France

⁵ South Pacific Whale Research Consortium, Avarua, Cook Islands

⁶ Conservation International (New Zealand & Pacific Islands), University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

⁷ Groupe d'Etude des Mammifères Marins, Rangiroa, French Polynesia, France

⁸ School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

⁹ Waiwhenua Consultants, Coromandel, New Zealand

¹⁰ Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), Apia, Samoa

¹¹ Inst Rech Dev, LOCEAN Lab, Noumea, New Caledonia, France.

¹² Grp Rech Cetaces, Antibes, France.

¹³ WWF France, Noumea, New Caledonia, France.

¹⁴ Marine Mammal Res Program, Moorea, French Polynesi, France.

¹⁵ Ctr Coastal Studies, Provincetown, MA USA.

* Corresponding author : Solène Derville, email address : s.derville@live.fr

Abstract :

In the context of a changing climate, understanding the environmental drivers of marine megafauna distribution is important for conservation success. The extent of humpback whale breeding habitats and the impact of temperature variation on their availability are both unknown. We used 19 years of dedicated survey data from seven countries and territories of Oceania (1,376 survey days), to investigate humpback whale breeding habitat diversity and adaptability to climate change. At a fine scale (1 km resolution), seabed topography was identified as an important influence on humpback whale distribution. The shallowest waters close to shore or in lagoons were favored, although humpback whales also showed flexible habitat use patterns with respect to shallow offshore features such as seamounts. At a coarse scale (1 degrees resolution), humpback whale breeding habitats in Oceania spanned a thermal range of 22.3-27.8 degrees C in August, with interannual variation up to 2.0 degrees C. Within this range, both fine and coarse scale analyses of humpback whale distribution suggested local responses to temperature. Notably, the most detailed dataset was available from New Caledonia (774 survey days, 1996-2017), where encounter rates showed a negative relationship to sea surface temperature, but were not related

to the El Nino Southern Oscillation or the Antarctic Oscillation from previous summer, a proxy for feeding conditions that may impact breeding patterns. Many breeding sites that are currently occupied are predicted to become unsuitably warm for this species (>28 degrees C) by the end of the 21st century. Based on modeled ecological relationships, there are suitable habitats for relocation in archipelagos and seamounts of southern Oceania. Although distribution shifts might be restrained by philopatry, the apparent plasticity of humpback whale habitat use patterns and the extent of suitable habitats support an adaptive capacity to ocean warming in Oceania breeding grounds.

Keywords : climate change, habitat modeling, humpback whales, Oceania, prediction, sea surface temperature, seamounts, species distribution

67 INTRODUCTION

68 In recent decades, evidence for global climate change has spurred ecologists and conservationists to increase research efforts to better understand species-climate relationships. 69 70 In marine ecosystems, changes in average temperatures around the world are affecting species 71 throughout all trophic levels (Doney et al., 2012; Hoegh-Guldberg & Bruno, 2010; 72 Poloczanska et al., 2013; Sydeman, Poloczanska, Reed, & Thompson, 2015), yet the impact 73 of climate change on marine megafauna, including cetaceans, is considered a 'big unknown' 74 (Clapham, 2016; Thomas, Reeves, & Brownell, 2015). Distribution shifts are expected to 75 occur at various geographic scales (Hazen et al., 2013; Kaschner, Watson, Trites, & Pauly, 76 2006; Macleod, 2009) and resulting population impacts are expected to vary across species, 77 depending notably on the vulnerability and extent of their critical habitats (Macleod, 2009; 78 Simmonds & Eliott, 2009; Sydeman et al., 2015). Yet, current knowledge remains insufficient 79 to estimate the adaptive plasticity of most species to thermal changes, which is one of the key 80 elements needed to predict the impact of climate change on marine ecosystems (Macleod, 81 2009; Silber et al., 2017; Sydeman et al., 2015). In recent years, Species Distribution Models 82 (SDMs) have become a popular tool to predict distribution changes in response to climate 83 change (Hazen et al., 2013; Legrand et al., 2016; Morán-Ordóñez, Lahoz-Monfort, Elith, & 84 Wintle, 2017; Torres et al., 2013), but limited long-term empirical data exist to calibrate and 85 validate these models of long-lived marine species such as cetaceans (Silber et al., 2017).

Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) may be impacted by global ocean warming in both polar and tropical ecosystems, as they spend summers feeding in polar areas and seasonally migrate toward tropical breeding grounds where they fast during winter (Chittleborough, 1958). The reasons for such extensive migrations are still debated but could be linked to increased calf fitness in warmer waters of the tropical and subtropical breeding grounds (Clapham, 2000). Although this hypothesis suggests a direct link between humpback

92 whale life history and water temperature, it remains unclear how sea surface temperature (SST) drives distributions within breeding latitudes, as studies have shown both strong 93 94 relationships (Bortolotto, Danilewicz, Hammond, Thomas, & Zerbini, 2017; Guidino, 95 Llapapasca, Silva, Alcorta, & Pacheco, 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2012) and 96 weak or no effects of this variable (Trudelle et al., 2016; Dulau et al., 2017). SST is dynamic, 97 with complex changes through time as it fluctuates on multiple temporal scales (monthly, seasonally, annually) and follows patterns that may be stochastic, cyclic (e.g., El Niño 98 99 Southern Oscillation, Pacific Decadal Oscillation, Antarctic Oscillation) or continuous 100 (climate change). Models studying the effect of temperature on species' distribution should 101 explicitly reflect these variations (Fernandez, Yesson, Gannier, Miller, & Azevedo, 2017; 102 Mannocci, Boustany, et al., 2017; Scales et al., 2017). Hence, datasets collected over large 103 temporal and spatial scales are necessary to understand the effect of SST on the distribution of 104 wide-ranging and long-lived species such as humpback whales.

Industrial whaling decimated humpback whales during the 20th century (Rocha, Clapham, & 105 106 Ivashchenko, 2015). Since the mid-1980s, populations have shown variable signs of recovery 107 across the globe. The Oceania humpback whale population, which encompasses humpback 108 whales wintering in the South Pacific Islands, is still classified as 'endangered' (Childerhouse 109 et al., 2009) because of its small size and slow recovery rate (Constantine et al., 2012; Jackson 110 et al., 2015). Compared to other breeding regions of the world, Oceania encompasses a 111 remarkably large extent of potential breeding habitat (Valsecchi, Corkeron, Galli, Sherwin, & 112 Bertorelle, 2010). It covers thousands of islands and reefs that offer the conditions usually 113 regarded as preferred for humpback whale breeding and nursing behaviour: sheltered, shallow 114 and warm waters (Bortolotto et al., 2017; Cartwright et al., 2012; Derville, Torres, Iovan, & 115 Garrigue, 2018; Lindsay et al., 2016; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2012; Trudelle et 116 al., 2016).

117 In Oceania, humpback whales are structured into geographically separated sub-populations 118 (Childerhouse et al., 2009; Garland et al., 2015; Olavarría et al., 2007) that show varying 119 degrees of connectivity (Garland et al., 2011; Garrigue et al., 2011; Steel et al., 2017). Hence, 120 the International Whaling Commission (IWC) recognizes several breeding stocks and sub-121 stocks across Oceania with limited exchange (IWC, 2005). Across this vast ocean basin, 122 social factors and culture likely play a large role in humpback whale distribution (Clapham & 123 Zerbini, 2015; Garland et al., 2011; Rendell & Whitehead, 2001), specifically through natal 124 philopatry (Baker et al., 2013) and lek attraction (Herman, 2017). Social aggregation is a 125 proposed hypothesis to explain distribution dynamics (Clapham & Zerbini, 2015), but the 126 effect of environmental drivers has never been explored at a basin scale.

127 Using a compilation of humpback whale survey data across the South Pacific, this study aims 128 to describe the environmental drivers of humpback whale distribution on breeding grounds, 129 with particular focus on the influence of SST and topography. Variation in SST is 130 hypothesized to influence both current and predicted habitat availability in the context of 131 warming ocean temperatures. Patterns of space use in relation to SST are estimated from 132 coarse scale encounter rates (with spatial resolutions of 0.25° to 1°) and fine scale sampling of 133 used versus available environmental conditions (with a spatial resolution of one kilometre). 134 This study contributes to broad efforts to understand the temporal and spatial scales at which 135 highly mobile marine megafauna species may respond to climate change.

136 MATERIALS AND METHODS

137 Study regions and data collection

A database was compiled from dedicated surveys for cetaceans conducted throughout Oceania
by several research teams (Table 1), in austral winter and shoulder seasons months (MayDecember) between 1999 and 2017. Surveys were conducted in various study sites located in

New Caledonia, Vanuatu, Tonga, Niue, Samoa, American Samoa and French Polynesia (Fig. 1). Study sites were grouped in study regions based on their geographic location and affiliation to IWC definitions (Fig. 1): the "western region" (New Caledonia, Vanuatu), the "central region" (Tonga, Niue, Samoa, American Samoa), and the "eastern region" (French Polynesia). This grouping was specifically chosen to reflect genetically differentiated stocks or management units, while still producing relatively homogeneous samples in terms of survey effort and latitudinal SST gradients.

148 Non-systematic surveys were conducted in a closing-mode (i.e., cetaceans were approached 149 after detection), as the primary objective for most research teams was to locate humpback 150 whales for the purposes of photo-identification and/or genetic sampling. Though field 151 protocols and equipment varied among surveys (e.g., vessel type, number of observers), the 152 following variables were consistently recorded by all teams: 1) whale observations, 2) 153 duration of survey effort, and 3) spatial extent of survey effort. At each whale observation, 154 group size, time of day, GPS position (WGS84 latitude-longitude), and social group types 155 (Singleton, Pair, Mother-calf, Mother-calf-escort, Competitive group, Mother-calfcompetitive group) were recorded. 156

157 In most surveys, the spatial extent of search effort was precisely recorded with a GPS trackline at a sampling frequency varying from 1 position.hour⁻¹ to 2 positions.min⁻¹ (84 % 158 159 survey days). In the remaining 16 % of survey days, search effort was concentrated in small 160 and well-defined areas that could be spatially bounded into georeferenced polygons drawn by 161 the data suppliers (Appendix S1). Four polygons were manually produced in a QGIS 162 graphical interface around the study sites of Hao (Gambier Islands), Huahine and Moorea 163 (Society Islands), and Niue (covering 362 to 2,360 km²). Finally, for 93 % of the survey days, 164 the time at the beginning and end of the effort was recorded, enabling a daily time on effort to 165 be deduced. When this information was lacking, the time on effort was deduced from the

distance travelled along the boat GPS trackline and the average speed calculated over all 166 surveys (estimated at 12.8 km.h⁻¹). Daily times on effort included the time spent to search for 167 168 whales, plus the time spent with whale groups (during which observers are less likely to 169 detect other whales). Land-based observers were employed to help the boat-based team detect 170 nearshore humpback whales in the South Lagoon of New Caledonia (Derville, Torres, & 171 Garrigue, 2018). This additional observer effort was not accounted for as it only moderately 172 contributed to the group detections. Data processing and statistical analysis were performed 173 with R (version 3.4.4, R Core Team, 2016) and QGIS (version 2.18.3, QGIS Development 174 Team, 2016).

175 Coarse scale encounter rate analyses

The variation in humpback whale encounter rates, specifically whale encounter rate per survey day, was analysed in relation to coarse scale SST patterns. This measure of SST, referred to as " SST_{coarse} ", was based on daily measurements from Reynolds NCEP Level 4 Optimally Interpolated SST with a spatial resolution of 0.25° of latitude-longitude, equivalent to approximately 28 km resolution (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oisst).

181 Current SST range over Oceania - The average SST_{coarse} from 1999 to 2017 was estimated 182 for each archipelago included in the study, during the month of August to reflect SST at the 183 peak of the breeding season (Rasmussen et al., 2007). As breeding season is reported later in 184 some breeding sites (American Samoa; Munger, Lammers, Fisher-Pool, & Wong, 2012; 185 French Polynesia; Poole, 2002), the average SST_{coarse} in October was also estimated. SST_{coarse} 186 was extracted and averaged at several reference points centred in the main known breeding 187 aggregations or study sites (see Appendix S2 for exact positions). To approximate the surface 188 area of these main breeding grounds and match the rest of the coarse scale encounter rate analysis, the average SST_{coarse} over a 1° radius was used to describe conditions surrounding 189 190 the reference points.

191 Future predicted SST range over Oceania - The future SST conditions for the end of the 21st 192 century were assessed under the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP 8.5) of 193 aerosols and greenhouse gases scenario, commonly used as a pessimistic baseline if no 194 climate change mitigation is achieved (Moss et al., 2010). The future SST was computed with 195 a "pseudo- global warming approach" (Kimura & Kitoh, 2007; Knutson, Sirutis, Garner, 196 Vecchi, & Held, 2008; Walsh, 2015; Appendix S3). Here, the pseudo-global warming 197 approach was based on an ensemble of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project models 198 (CMIP5; Taylor, Stouffer, & Meehl, 2012). The CMIP5 models are climate model simulations 199 employed to detect anthropogenic effects in the climate record and project them into the 200 future. The pseudo-global warming approach allowed the production of a raster of future SST 201 conditions for 2080-2100 at 0.25° resolution in Oceania (see modelling details in Appendix 202 S3). Isotherms at 21 °C and 28 °C corresponding to the breeding range described in Rasmussen et al., (2007) were estimated from 1) the current observed August SST_{coarse} (1999-203 2017), and 2) the projected future August SST_{coarse} for the end of the 21st century (2080-2100). 204

205 Local and regional coarse scale encounter rate models - The encounter rate per survey day, in number of whales per hour of survey (whales.h⁻¹) was computed by dividing the total 206 207 number of whales observed (number of groups multiplied by group size) by the total time on 208 effort per day. Daily encounter rates were modelled with a Generalized Additive Model 209 (GAM, Hastie & Tibshirani, 1990) applied with a Gaussian log link as a function of year, day 210 of year and SST_{coarse} . Variables were modelled with penalized thin-plate regression splines 211 optimized with a Restricted Maximum Likelihood and basis size limited to 5 to prevent 212 overfitting (Wood, 2017). Two separate GAMs were produced: the first, Moc, estimated the 213 effect of SST_{coarse} on encounter rate through space at the regional Oceania scale, and the 214 second, M_{NC} , estimated the local effect of SST_{coarse} and periodic climatic fluctuations at a specific study site, the New Caledonia South Lagoon. This site was chosen as a case study as
it provides the most consistent and prolonged survey effort in Oceania (1996 - 2017).

217 In M_{NC} , SST_{coarse} was extracted at the centre of the New Caledonia South Lagoon (167°E, 218 22.5°S). This location and the resolution of SST_{coarse} were considered to produce a 219 representative estimate of temperatures in the study site, which had a core survey area of 220 about 20 km wide. For this model, encounter rates were calculated for study days from 1996 221 to 2017 (Garrigue et al., 2001, Appendix S4). Also, in place of using SST_{coarse} as a predictor of 222 encounter rate in M_{NC} , two variables reflecting conditions during the previous feeding season 223 were also tested. Indeed, Pacific Ocean conditions change in relation to periodic climatic 224 fluctuations such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation phenomenon (ENSO, McPhaden, 225 Zebiak, & Glantz, 2006), the strength of which is measured by the Southern Oscillation Index 226 (SOI). The Antarctic Oscillation (AAO) also affects the Southern Ocean and is measured by 227 the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) index. Consequently, changes in migration length, timing 228 or path can be hypothesized as a result of environmental variability in the Southern Ocean, 229 and in turn could be reflected in humpback whale encounter rates measured at the breeding 230 grounds. In order to assess the effect of the conditions in the feeding grounds and migratory 231 corridors on humpback whale presence in the South Lagoon breeding ground, SAM was 232 obtained from the British Antarctic Survey and SOI was obtained from the National 233 Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (Appendix S4). SAM and SOI monthly 234 indexes were averaged between November and April each year to reflect the summer feeding 235 conditions of humpback whales prior to the following breeding season in Oceania (Bengtson 236 Nash et al., 2018).

In M_{OC} , SST_{coarse} was extracted at the centre of each 1° grid cell in which daily encounter rates were calculated. In order to account for spatial autocorrelation in this large scale model across breeding regions, projected geographical coordinates were added as covariates in the M_{OC} model. These terms corresponded with an isotropic smoother of x- and y-coordinates at which
the encounter rates were estimated. Smoothing was performed with a Gaussian process model
parametrized with a power exponential correlation function of range based on Kamman &
Wand (2003) and basis size 50.

The performance of models was assessed through the computation of the proportion of deviance explained (Guisan & Zimmermann, 2000). Partial dependence plots were produced to visualize the effect of one variable while all others were held constant at their mean (Friedman, 2001). When predicting fitted responses in the M_{OC} model, latitude and longitude were held constant to a fixed position in the South Lagoon (167°E, 22.5°S) to ensure comparability with the M_{NC} predictions.

250 Fine scale habitat use model

251 Habitat preferences of humpback whales were modelled based on a binomial response variable comparing 'used' to 'available' environmental conditions. Indeed, non-systematic 252 253 cetacean surveys were not designed to record true presence-absence data, but included some 254 information about the area surveyed and time on-effort. In this context, constraining the 255 available background space is known to improve model performance (Engler, Guisan, & 256 Rechsteiner, 2004; Phillips et al., 2009) and can be informed by the extent of survey effort at 257 sea (e.g., Torres, Read, & Halpin, 2008). Following the method in Derville, Torres, Iovan et 258 al., (2018), the area surrounding GPS survey tracklines was used to approximate available 259 environment where background points were sampled. Daily survey track strip-width spanning 260 10 km to each side of the tracklines were generated to reflect areas surveyed, resulting in daily background areas of 125 to 4,463 km². The 10 km width of the background sampling area 261 262 reflected the maximum detection distance of a humpback whale surface activity, calculated 263 with the geometrical horizon distance for observers standing in a small survey boat (less than 264 1 m high, as mostly used in Oceania study sites). In the few cases where tracklines were not recorded, background areas were approximated in small polygons enclosing the survey sites (Appendix S1). Background points were sampled randomly within these areas, with a minimum distance of 2 km from each other and independently of presence locations. The number of background points was proportional to the number of hours of effort per day (on average 4 points per hour of survey).

270 Humpback whales in Pacific tropical breeding grounds have been shown to associate with 271 small seabed and reefs features ranging a few dozen meters to kilometres (model resolution: 272 50 m, Cartwright et al., 2012; 100 - 150 m, Lindsay et al., 2016; 4.8 km, Smith et al., 2012). 273 Given this potential to select habitat at very fine scale, the effect of topography and SST on 274 habitat suitability within each region of Oceania was assessed at a resolution of 1 km. 275 Moreover, seasonally predictable and persistent SST conditions were assumed to be important 276 factors for humpback whales seeking breeding and nursing habitats; therefore, climatological 277 estimates of SST and its temporal variability were used in this model (Mannocci, Boustany, et 278 al., 2017). Hence, the variable "SST_{fine}" was obtained from a climatology averaging SST from 279 2003 to 2014 at a daily scale based on the Multi-scale Ultra-high Resolution SST with a fine 280 spatial resolution of 1 km (https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/MUR-JPL-L4-GLOB-v4.1). 281 The variable "SST_{fine.CV}" was derived as the coefficient of variation (in %) of SST_{fine} at a given 282 day of the year over 11 years. Furthermore, bathymetric charts at 1 km resolution ("DEPTH", 283 in meters) were obtained from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO). 284 Seabed slope ("SLOPE", in degrees) was calculated from bathymetry using the raster R 285 package (version 2.6-7; Hijmans, 2017). Coastlines were obtained from the OpenStreetMap 286 dataset (http://openstreetmapdata.com/data/coastlines) and coral reef contours were obtained 287 from the UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish-Centre, 288 WRI, & TNC, 2010). A raster of the distance to the closest shallow reef (emerging at low tide) 289 or coastline ("DISSURF", in km) was calculated.

290 Environmental variables were extracted at presence and background locations. DEPTH, 291 SLOPE and DISSURF were log-transformed to prevent an inflated influence of outliers as 292 recommended by Wood, (2006). DEPTH and DISSURF showed a medium to strong 293 correlation depending on the region (Spearman coefficient > 0.7) in the presence-background 294 dataset (Appendix S5). Collinearity among explanatory variables is known to affect a model's 295 stability and capacity to assess the relative influence of each variable (Dormann et al., 2013). 296 Sequential regression was used to correct for collinearity (Graham, 2003). A linear regression 297 between DEPTH and DISSURF at the points of presence and background was developed 298 (Appendix S5). The residuals of this regression ("DISSURF_{RES}") were subsequently used 299 instead of DISSURF as they represent the contribution of DISSURF after accounting for 300 DEPTH. For instance, high $DISSURF_{RES}$ values represent waters 'abnormally' shallow 301 considering how far they are from land or reef (e.g. an offshore shallow seamount).

302 GAMs were used to model the presence-background response as a function of DEPTH, 303 SLOPE, DISSURF_{RES}, SST_{fine}, SST_{fine,CV}, day of year, and year. The smoothed effect of each of 304 these variables, except for year, was assessed as an interaction with the region (i.e., western, 305 central, or eastern Oceania, Fig. 1) in order to capture potentially contrasting habitat selection 306 patterns across regions. Variables were modelled with penalized thin-plate regression splines 307 optimized with a Restricted Maximum Likelihood and basis size limited to 5 to prevent 308 overfitting (Wood, 2017). Finally, local differences in humpback whale prevalence were 309 accounted for by including an isotropic Gaussian process smoother on projected latitude and 310 longitude coordinates similar to that used in M_{OC} .

311 Stratified Monte Carlo cross-validation was used to assess the significance of predictors' 312 contributions. Models were produced over 50 training subsets containing presence and 313 background points from 90 % randomly selected survey days per region (Derville, Torres, 314 Iovan, et al., 2018), and the proportion of runs with p-values less than 0.001 or 0.05 was 315 reported (Hazen et al., 2016). Partial dependence plots were produced for each significant 316 environmental predictor/region combination. Fitted responses for each region were estimated 317 while holding the latitude and longitude to a fixed location central to the main study site per 318 region, namely: the New Caledonia South Lagoon for the western region (167.00°E, 22.50°S), 319 American Samoa for the central region (170.74°W, 14.29°S) and the Society Islands for the 320 eastern region (149.48°W, 17.54°S). Finally, humpback whale habitat suitability with respect 321 to DEPTH, SLOPE, DISSURFRES, SST fine and SST fine.CV was predicted over 1 km resolution 322 maps. Day of year was fixed to its mean per region dataset, and year was fixed to 2017. Areas 323 where environmental conditions strayed outside the model training ranges by region were 324 dashed out on the final predicted maps relative to each region respectively, as they should be 325 considered with caution (Mannocci, Roberts, Miller, & Halpin, 2017).

326 In order to account for habitat-associated sampling bias between regions – particularly the 327 dominant tendency in eastern and central Oceania to survey near islands instead of pelagic 328 waters – a predicted map of habitat suitability was also produced for eastern Oceania using 329 the fitted habitat use trends from the western region, where survey effort occurred both near 330 and off shore. However, the SST_{fine} range in the eastern region was largely above that of the 331 western region. To ensure model transferability and prevent environmental extrapolation, 332 predictions were produced with fixed values of SST_{fine} and SST_{fine.CV} (22°C and 0.9 333 respectively; the preferred SST_{fine} conditions for humpback whales in the western region). As 334 a result, predictions reflected potentially suitable seabed topography, without regard to 335 temperature. Areas where topographic variables strayed outside the training range observed in 336 the western region were removed from the predicted map.

337 **RESULTS**

A total of 1,376 days of survey were compiled over years from 1999 to 2017 (for years of survey per country see Table 1). The majority of surveys were conducted in August (36 %), September (33 %), October (16 %) and July (12 %). Overall, 8 % of survey days were conducted more than 10 km off shore. From all survey effort, 6,454 humpback whales were observed (Table 1).

343

344 Coarse scale encounter rate and SST

The mean encounter rate per day of survey at the Oceania scale was 0.69 whales. h^{-1} (SD \pm 345 346 0.90). Averaged in 1° grid cells, the highest encounter rates were recorded southwest of New Caledonia, over the Antigonia seamount (2.4 whales. $h^{-1} \pm SD$ 1.6) and Orne bank (2.0 347 whales. $h^{-1} \pm SD 0.9$), followed by Tutuila (American Samoa, 1.5 whales. $h^{-1} \pm SD 1.1$), Vava'u 348 (Tonga, 1.3 whales. $h^{-1} \pm SD 0.9$) and Rurutu (Austral Islands, French Polynesia, 1.3 whales. h^{-1} 349 350 1 ± SD 3.1; Fig. 2). Antigonia showed significantly higher encounter rates than the other four top sites (Kruskal-Wallis test: $X^2 = 13.4$, p < 0.001). The lowest encounter rates were recorded 351 352 in pelagic offshore waters (e.g., French Polynesia, Fig. 2) and in nearshore waters of the 353 Marquesas, Samoas, northwestern New Caledonia, and some of the Tuamotus.

SST_{coarse} measured in each reference point in August (n = 12, Appendix S2) from 1999 to 2017 varied from 22.3 to 27.8 °C. SST_{coarse} fluctuated by 1.1 to 2.0 °C between years at a given site, with the larger annual anomalies recorded in the Tonga (2.0 °C), Niue (1.9 °C), Vanuatu (1.8 °C), and the Gambier islands (1.8 °C). SST_{coarse} measured in October was warmer at all sites (Appendix S2), even those with a breeding season peak reported later in the year (e.g., American Samoa: mean SST_{coarse} Aug = 27.7 °C vs mean SST_{coarse} Oct = 28.2 °C).

The map of mean encounter rate at 1° resolution was overlaid with current and future isotherms estimated from SST_{coarse} with a 0.25° resolution (Fig. 2). Following the climate change predictions for the end of the 21st century, an average SST of 28 °C or greater in August is expected at the northern parts of Vanuatu and Tonga (Vava'u), Niue, Samoa, 365 American Samoa and the northern part of French Polynesia (Society, Tuamotu and Marquesas366 Islands).

At the Oceania scale between 1999 and 2017, in the M_{OC} model, 1,376 daily encounter rates 367 368 showed a significant increase with year, particularly between 2003 and 2012 (Fig. 3a). The 369 day of year also affected encounter rates, which followed a bell-shaped trend with a peak 370 around the end of August. After accounting for spatial autocorrelation using an interaction 371 covariate between latitude and longitude (edf = 22.8, F = 10.6, p-value < 0.001), encounter 372 rates showed a decreasing trend with increasing SST_{coarse} , but the relationship was slightly 373 non-significant (F = 0.6, p = 0.06, Fig. 3a). The deviance explained by the model reached 41.4 374 %.

375 Similar trends were found in the New Caledonia South Lagoon M_{NC} model of encounter rates 376 between 1996 and 2017 (n = 774 days of survey, Fig. 3b). Encounter rates showed a 377 decreasing trend with increasing SST_{coarse} . Encounter rate also increased with year and 378 reached a peak in 2012 - 2013. The seasonal peak was estimated to occur around the end of August. The deviance explained by the model reached 25.4 %, including 1.1 % that could be 379 380 attributed to SST_{coarse} . The alternative models of M_{NC} that replaced SST_{coarse} with the SOI or 381 SAM from the previous summer led to slightly lower deviance explained (24.7 % and 24.5 % 382 respectively, Appendix S4), and both variables had no significant effect on encounter rate in 383 the New Caledonia South Lagoon (SOI: F = 0.5, p-value = 0.08; SAM: F = 0.0, p-value = 384 0.86).

385

Fine scale habitat use

The fine scale humpback whale habitat preference model explained 21.7 % of the deviance in
the presence-background dataset counting 46,426 data points (including 2,872 presences) over
a spatial extent of 192,500 km².

390 Depth was a main predictor of fine scale distribution (n-significant = 50; Table 2). The 391 relationship between humpback whale presence and shallow depth was similar between the 392 three regions (Fig. 4), although favouring deeper waters in eastern (mean depth at whale 393 presence positions = $360 \text{ m} \pm \text{SD} 480$) and central Oceania (mean = $198 \text{ m} \pm \text{SD} 296$), compared to western Oceania (mean = 43 m \pm SD 89; Anova: $F_{(2, 2869)} = 523$, p < 0.001). In 394 395 contrast, the relationship with $DISSURF_{RES}$ differed between regions. The trend was 396 significant and positive in western Oceania (Table 2; Fig. 4), indicating a preference for 397 shallow waters away from surfacing reefs or coasts, such as offshore seamounts and banks. 398 This trend was reflected in predicted habitat suitability maps for the region, where the 399 seamounts of the Norfolk and Loyalty Ridges were particularly suitable (Fig. 5b). On the 400 contrary, in both central and eastern Oceania, the trend between humpback whale presence 401 and $DISSURF_{RES}$ was mostly negative (and less robust to cross-validation in the central 402 region; Table 2), indicating that whales were found in waters closest to coasts or reefs and 403 also relatively deep. In the eastern region, steep slopes were more represented and favoured 404 by whales (Fig. 4). Again, these relationships manifested in the predicted habitat suitability 405 maps, which emphasized the importance of the external slope of fringing/barrier reefs and 406 coastal waters of high islands such as Tutuila (Fig. 5c), Tahiti (Fig. 5e) or Niue (Fig. 5f). 407 The western region had the highest amount of offshore survey effort. Hence, transferring the

western fitted trends to eastern Oceania revealed potentially suitable habitats in offshore seamounts located south of the Society archipelago and in the southeastern part of the Austral archipelago (Fig. 6). Based on these predictions, when comparing the areas of highest habitat suitability (values > 0.9 quantile) in the French Polynesia Economic Exclusive Zone (EEZ) with current and predicted future 21°C and 28°C isotherms, it appeared that 90.1 % of the EEZ suitable habitats are currently included in this preferred *SST_{coarse}* range, against 48.9 % by the end of 21st century. 415 Temperature and its variability affected fine scale humpback whale distribution less 416 consistently and significantly than topography. Indeed, SST_{fine} ranges were different from one 417 region to the other (the western region displayed the coldest temperatures and the central 418 region the warmest, Fig. 4), and the relationships to SST_{fine} among the regions were generally 419 weak. In eastern Oceania, neither SST_{fine} nor SST_{fine.CV} significantly affected distribution 420 within the region (Table 2). In central Oceania, humpback whale presence was positively 421 correlated to SST_{fine}, as many whales were observed in the warmest site of American Samoa 422 (Fig. 4). In western Oceania, a marginal preference for cooler SST_{fine} was found, as well as a 423 stronger relationship with SST_{fine.CV} (Table 2). Humpback whale presence increased in waters 424 with low $SST_{fine,CV}$, reflecting a preference for persistent temperature conditions across years in western Oceania (Fig. 4). 425

426

427 **DISCUSSION**

428 This study describes the relationship between humpback whale habitat use and SST on the 429 breeding grounds of Oceania, using a large-scale dedicated survey dataset collected over 430 almost two decades. At a fine scale, topography was an important driver of humpback whale 431 distribution, and their habitat use patterns geographically varied with respect to shallow 432 waters in islands, reefs, and seamounts. At a coarse scale, humpback whales displayed local 433 responses to SST spatio-temporal variations. Overall, within the average 22.3 to 27.8 °C SST 434 breeding range of Oceania humpback whales, breeding habitat appears to be primarily driven 435 by topography, but is locally influenced by SST temporal variations that affect the 436 predictability of suitable conditions. Global warming is predicted to impact habitat suitability 437 in a great part of current breeding grounds in Oceania, based on shifting isotherms towards 438 higher latitudes.

All of the study sites in Oceania exhibited current SST values within the 21 - 28°C range, 439 440 suggesting tolerance to SST variations within the relatively narrow temperature range that has 441 previously been established for humpback whale breeding grounds (Rasmussen et al., 2007). 442 However, there may also be differential temperature preferences both within and among 443 breeding ground sites; a pattern that is to be expected from ecological theory describing 444 species thermal niches (Beaugrand & Kirby, 2016). Indeed, the sites with the highest 445 encounter rates in Oceania exhibited both some of the lowest and the highest average SST 446 values for the region. American Samoa was a preferred site in Oceania and was at the high 447 end of the known acceptable breeding ground temperature range (27.7°C). In contrast, the site 448 with highest encounter rates (New Caledonia) was at the lower end (22.3°C) and long-term 449 observations in the South Lagoon suggested slightly greater encounter rates when water 450 temperatures were cooler ($< 22^{\circ}$ C). Moreover, in the western region, the local predictability of 451 these preferred conditions was also identified as a factor of suitability for humpback whales. 452 Waters that showed low SST_{fine} variability across years were preferentially selected. However, 453 SST conditions were no more or less anomalous in the western region compared to the rest of 454 Oceania. Hence, if SST variability had a similar effect in the latter, it could have been masked 455 by temporally uneven survey effort over the years. Nonetheless, these results suggest that 456 humpback whales may have locally acquired specific responses to water temperature. As 457 seabed topography appears to primarily drive breeding ground distribution within the 458 acceptable temperature range of 21 - 28 °C, local temperature responses could have emerged 459 as by-products of sub-population philopatric structure in Oceania. Hence, when visiting its 460 traditional breeding region, a whale driven by the need to find mating opportunities and/or a 461 suitable calving ground could be targeting preferred topographic conditions and secondarily 462 associate locally with predictable appropriate temperatures. It remains to be seen whether subpopulations will keep visiting their historical breeding grounds in the future, even if thetemperature rises above what is currently locally optimal.

465 In the New Caledonia South Lagoon, where survey effort was most consistent over a long 466 time period, temporal fluctuation of SST was found to affect humpback whale presence. The 467 potentially delayed impact of basin wide climatic phenomena was investigated to explain the 468 changes in encounter rate, but these signals did not seem to covary. The climatic fluctuations 469 of ENSO and the Antarctic Oscillation are known to interact and affect sea-ice concentration 470 in the Antarctic (Curran, van Ommen, Morgan, Phillips, & Palmer, 2003; Meehl, Arblaster, 471 Bitz, Chung, & Teng, 2016), which in turn impacts biological productivity (Zhang et al., 472 2014) and potential humpback whale foraging success (Bengtson Nash et al., 2018). Although 473 varying feeding conditions in the Antarctic could influence northbound migration, this study 474 suggests that climatic phenomena affecting humpback whale habitats basin wide could not 475 solely explain the variability of humpback whale presence observed at a given breeding site. 476 Encounter rates estimated through time in the South Lagoon were influenced by local SST 477 conditions rather than wider climatic variations.

478 Distribution shifts are considered the most likely response of large mobile cetaceans to 479 climate change (Silber et al., 2017; Sydeman et al., 2015). History has shown that humpback 480 whale distribution can change on the scale of a few decades, particularly in cases of over-481 exploitation and local extirpation. For instance, humpback whales historically visited Fijian 482 waters in great numbers but relatively few currently do so (Dawbin, 1959; Gibbs, 483 Childerhouse, Paton, & Clapham, 2006; Miller, Batibasiga, & Solomona, 2015; Paton & 484 Clapham, 2002). By contrast, whales seem to have appeared rather recently in other breeding 485 grounds such as Hawaii (Herman, 1979) and French Polynesia (Olavarría et al., 2007; Poole, 486 2002). Social aggregation is thought to be a key factor influencing humpback whale breeding 487 ground use of otherwise suitable habitats (Clapham & Zerbini, 2015). Male songs may play a 488 role in attracting conspecifics towards breeding spots as they form (Clapham, Aguilar, & 489 Hatch, 2008; Herman, 2017), but their propagation range is limited (~20 km; Garland et al., 490 2015). Hence, humpback whales might not disperse to areas with suitable environmental 491 conditions that may have been erased from the cultural memory of individuals (Clapham et 492 al., 2008) or that may be too remote.

493 To be successful, distribution shifts of humpback whales therefore require the availability of 494 suitable habitats in proximity to the previously occupied ranges. In Oceania, climate change 495 scenarios suggest a shift of the 28°C surface isotherm by several degrees of latitude south by 496 the end of the 21st century (in the high CO2 emission scenario RCP 8.5; Moss et al., 2010). It 497 must be noted that other more optimistic scenarios of climate change, such as the RCP 4.5 498 (Moss et al., 2010), would have likely predicted a weaker southward shift of the 28°C 499 isotherm. Nonetheless, to follow this shift and remain in a 21 - 28 °C range, humpback whales 500 would need to relocate their breeding and nursing activities, either to shallow waters currently 501 considered as part of the migratory corridors, such as the Kermadec Islands (Riekkola et al., 502 2018), Cook Islands (Hauser, Peckham, & Clapham, 2000), Norfolk Island (Constantine, 503 Russell, Gibbs, Childerhouse, & Baker, 2007), and Pitcairn Island (Horswill & Jackson, 504 2012), or to already existing breeding grounds such as New Caledonia, southern Vanuatu, or 505 the Austral Islands. Considering that the sub-populations of Oceania are still well below their 506 pre-exploitation numbers (< 50 % recovered, Jackson et al., 2015), carrying capacity 507 limitations may not be a factor on the southernmost breeding grounds, if some sub-508 populations were to relocate there in response to climate change.

Survey effort biased towards nearshore waters has likely underestimated the extent of suitable breeding and nursing habitat in Oceania. Offshore shallow banks and seamounts surveyed in western Oceania have revealed the highest encounter rates (Antigonia seamount > 2 whales.h⁻ 1). This unexpected preference for unsheltered offshore shallow waters contradicts the 513 paradigm that humpback whales obligatorily seek shelter for breeding and nursing. In central 514 and eastern Oceania, humpback whales were mainly observed in waters closest to islands or 515 on the external slope of fringing and barrier reefs (see also Gannier, 2004; Poole, Albertson, & 516 Oremus, 2014). However, the surveys in these regions have focused on waters surrounding 517 islands, with only occasional transits through offshore deep waters separating archipelagos. 518 Hence, humpback whale presence on offshore shallow seamounts could have gone 519 undetected. Transferred predictions using the ecological relationships fitted in western 520 Oceania support the potential for suitable seamount habitats in French Polynesia. These 521 predictions are sustained by a few anecdotal observations over the President Thiers Bank (19 522 m), Arago seamount (28 m), and Neilson Reef (3 m, Fig. 6) in the southeastern Austral Islands 523 (Gannier, Bourreau, & Casacci, 2000). Such previously undescribed suitable habitats 524 constitute potential areas for relocation in response to climate change. Further research into 525 offshore shallow habitats is warranted to build a more comprehensive assessment of present 526 and future humpback whale distribution at basin scale.

527 This study suggests that a great part of the currently occupied breeding sites in Oceania might 528 become unsuitably warm for humpback whales by the end of the 21st century. The thermal 529 tolerance displayed by humpback whales in Oceania, combined with flexible patterns of 530 habitat use and the great extent of available suitable habitats, suggest an adaptive capacity of 531 these sub-populations on their breeding grounds. Sensitive breeding habitats lying at the 532 northern "thermal edge" of the Oceania range should be the focus of future monitoring to 533 clarify the acceptable temperature range of breeding humpback whales, and their organismal 534 response to climate change. Finally, with growing anthropogenic pressure on both coastal and 535 offshore habitats in Oceania and worldwide, whales are potentially facing cumulative 536 stressors (Avila, Kaschner, & Dormann, 2018), which need to be included in future efforts to 537 model distribution dynamics. In response to global warming, humpback whales risk relocating to areas where other threats are currently unidentified and deserve investigation. In this context, understanding and predicting the distribution of suitable habitats for whales is an important step to support the implementation of appropriate conservation measures.

541 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

542 This research benefited from collaboration and data-sharing agreements among members of 543 the South Pacific Whale Research Consortium (SPWRC), the Groupe d'Etude des 544 Mammiferes Marins (Rangiroa) and the Groupe de Recherche sur les Cetaces (Antibes). Funding for the SPWRC was provided by the International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW), 545 546 the Fond Pacifique, the US National Marine Mammal Laboratory, the Australian Department of Water, Heritage and Arts RNHP Fund, and the International Whaling Commission 547 548 Scientific Committee. Financial support for data analysis was provided by the French 549 Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs (Fond Pacifique grant), under collaboration with the 550 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP). Additional 551 acknowledgments per study sites are reported in Appendix S1.

552 **REFERENCES**

- Avila, I. C., Kaschner, K., & Dormann, C. F. (2018). Current global risks to marine mammals:
 Taking stock of the threats. *Biological Conservation*, *221*, 44–58.
- 555 Baker, C. S., Steel, D., Calambokidis, J., Falcone, E., González-peral, U., Barlow, J., ...
- 556 Yamaguchi, M. (2013). Strong maternal fidelity and natal philopatry shape genetic
- structure in North Pacific humpback whales. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 494, 291–
 306.
- Beaugrand, G., & Kirby, R. R. (2016). Quasi-deterministic responses of marine species to
 climate change. *Climate Research*, 69, 117–128.
- 561 Bengtson Nash, S. M., Castrillon, J., Eisenmann, P., Fry, B., Shuker, J. D., Cropp, R. A., ...

562	Mclagan, D. (2018). Signals from the south; humpback whales carry messages of
563	Antarctic sea-ice ecosystem variability. Global Change Biology, 24, 1500–1510.
564	Bortolotto, G.A., Danilewicz, D., Hammond, P. S., Thomas, L., & Zerbini, A. N. (2017).
565	Whale distribution in a breeding area: spatial models of habitat use and abundance of
566	western South Atlantic humpback whales. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 585, 213-
567	227.
568	Cartwright, R., Gillespie, B., Labonte, K., Mangold, T., Venema, A., Eden, K., & Sullivan, M.
569	(2012). Between a Rock and a Hard Place : Habitat Selection in Female-Calf Humpback
570	Whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) Pairs on the Hawaiian Breeding Grounds. PLOS One,
571	7, e38004.
572	Childerhouse, S., Jackson, J., Baker, C. S., Gales, N., Clapham, P. J., & Brownell, R. J.
573	(2009). Megaptera novaeangliae (Oceania subpopulation) In: IUCN 2009 IUCN Red
574	List of Threatened Species Version 2009 2. Retrieved from www.iucnredlist.org
575	Chittleborough, R. G. (1958). The breeding cycle of the female humpback whale, Megaptera
576	nodosa (Bonnaterre). Marine and Freshwater Research, 9, 1–18.
577	Clapham, P. J. (2000). Why do Baleen whales migrate? A response to Corkeron and Connor.
578	Marine Mammal Science, 17, 432–436.
579	Clapham, P. J. (2016). Managing leviathan: Conservation challenges for the great whales in a
580	post-whaling world. Oceanography, 29, 214–225.
581	Clapham, P. J., Aguilar, A., & Hatch, L. T. (2008). Determining spatial and temporal scales for
582	management: Lessons from whaling. Marine Mammal Science, 24, 183–201.
583	Clapham, P. J., & Zerbini, A. N. (2015). Are social aggregation and temporary immigration
584	driving high rates of increase in some Southern Hemisphere humpback whale

585 populations? *Marine Biology*, *162*, 625–634.

- 586 Constantine, R., Jackson, J. A., Steel, D., Baker, C. S., Brooks, L., Burns, D., ... Garrigue, C.
- 587 (2012). Abundance of humpback whales in Oceania using photo-identification and

588 microsatellite genotyping. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 453, 249–261.

- 589 Constantine, R., Russell, K., Gibbs, N., Childerhouse, S., & Baker, C. S. (2007). Photo-
- 590 identification of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in New Zealand waters
- and their migratory connections to breeding grounds of Oceania. *Marine Mammal Science*, 23, 715–720.
- 593 Curran, M. A. J., van Ommen, T. D., Morgan, V. I., Phillips, K. L., & Palmer, A. S. (2003). Ice
- 594 core evidence for Antarctic sea ice decline since the 1950s. *Science*, *302*, 1203–1206.
- Dawbin, W. H. (1959). New Zealand and South Pacific Whale marking and recoveries to the
 end of 1958. *Norsk Hvalfangsttid*, *5*, 214–238.
- 597 Derville, S., Torres, L. G., & Garrigue, C. (2018). Social segregation of humpback whales in
 598 contrasted coastal and oceanic breeding habitats. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *99*, 41–54.
- 599 Derville, S., Torres, L. G., Iovan, C., & Garrigue, C. (2018). Finding the right fit: Comparative
- 600 cetacean distribution models using multiple data sources and statistical approaches.
- 601 *Diversity and Distribution*, 24, 1657–1673.
- Doney, S. C., Ruckelshaus, M., Duffy, E. J., Barry, J. P., Chan, F., English, C. A., ... Talley, L.
- D. (2012). Climate Change Impacts on Marine Ecosystems. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 4, 11–37.
- 605 Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., ... Lautenbach, S.
- 606 (2013). Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study
- 607 evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, *36*, 027–046.

608	Dulau, V., Pinet, P., Geyer, Y., Fayan, J., Mongin, P., Cottarel, G., Cerchio, S. (2017).
609	Continuous movement behavior of humpback whales during the breeding season in the
610	southwest Indian Ocean: on the road again! Movement Ecology, 5, 11.

- 611 Engler, R., Guisan, A., & Rechsteiner, L. (2004). An improved approach for predicting the
- 612 distribution of rare and endangered species from occurrence and pseudo-absence data.
- 613 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *41*, 263–274.
- 614 Fernandez, M., Yesson, C., Gannier, A., Miller, P. I., & Azevedo, J. M. N. (2017). The
- 615 importance of temporal resolution for niche modelling in dynamic marine environments.
- 616 *Journal of Biogeography*, 44, 2816–2827.
- Friedman, J. H. (2001). Greedy Function Approximation: A gradient boosting machine. *The Annals of Statistics*, 29, 1189–1232.
- 619 Gannier, A. (2004). The large-scale distribution of humpback whales (Megaptera
- 620 *novaeangliae*) wintering in French Polynesia during 1997-2002. *Aquatic Mammals*, *30*,
 621 227–236.
- 622 Gannier, A., Bourreau, S., & Casacci, C. (2000). Preliminary results on the distribution of
- 623 wintering humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in French Polynesia 1997-1999.
- 624 *Report to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission*, *SC/52/1A*.
- 625 Garland, E. C., Goldizen, A. W., Lilley, M. S., Rekdahl, M. L., Garrigue, C., Constantine, R.,
- 626 ... Noad, M. J. (2015). Population structure of humpback whales in the western and
- 627 central South Pacific Ocean as determined by vocal exchange among populations.
- 628 *Conservation Biology*, 29, 1198–1207.
- 629 Garland, E. C., Goldizen, A. W., Rekdahl, M. L., Constantine, R., Garrigue, C., Hauser, N. D.,
- 630 ... Noad, M. J. (2011). Dynamic horizontal cultural transmission of humpback whale
- 631 song at the ocean basin scale. *Current Biology*, *21*, 687–691.

632	Garrigue, C., Constantine, R., Poole, M., Hauser, N., Clapham, P. J., Donoghue, M., Baker,
633	C. S. (2011). Movement of individual humpback whales between wintering grounds of
634	Oceania (South Pacific), 1999 to 2004. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management,
635	3, 275–281.

- Garrigue, C., Greaves, J., & Chambellant, M. (2001). Characteristics of the New Caledonian
 Humpback whale population. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum*, 47, 69–75.
- 638 Gibbs, N., Childerhouse, S., Paton, D., & Clapham, P. J. (2006). Assessment of the current

abundance of humpback whales in the Lomaiviti Island group of Fiji and a comparison

- 640 with historical data. *Report to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling*
- 641 *Commission*, *SC/A06/H34*.
- Graham, M. (2003). Confronting multicollinearity in ecological multiple regression. *Ecology*,
 84, 2809–2815.
- 644 Guidino, C., Llapapasca, M. A., Silva, S., Alcorta, B., & Pacheco, A. S. (2014). Patterns of

645 spatial and temporal distribution of humpback whales at the southern limit of the

646 Southeast Pacific breeding area. *PLOS One*, 9, e112627.

- 647 Guisan, A., & Zimmermann, N. E. (2000). Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology.
 648 *Ecological Modelling*, *135*, 147–186.
- Hastie, T. J., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1990). Generalized Additive Models. In *Monographs on statistics and Applied Probability* (p. 352). London: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Hauser, N., Peckham, H., & Clapham, P. (2000). Humpback whales in the southern Cook
- islands, South Pacific. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 2, 159–164.
- Hazen, E. L., Jorgensen, S., Rykaczewski, R. R., Bograd, S. J., Foley, D. G., Jonsen, I. D., ...
- Block, B. A. (2013). Predicted habitat shifts of Pacific top predators in a changing

- 655 climate. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*, 234–238.
- Hazen, E. L., Palacios, D. M., Forney, K. A., Howell, E. A., Becker, E., Hoover, A. L., ...
- Bailey, H. (2016). WhaleWatch : a dynamic management tool for predicting blue whale
- density in the California Current. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *54*, 1415–1428.
- Herman, L. M. (1979). Humpback whales in Hawaiian waters: A study in historical ecology. *Pacific Science*, *33*, 1–15.
- Herman, L. M. (2017). The multiple functions of male song within the humpback whale
- 662 (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) mating system: Review, evaluation, and synthesis. *Biological*
- 663 *Reviews*, 92, 1795–1818.
- Hijmans, R. J. (2017). raster: Geographic analysis and modeling with raster data. R package
 version 2.6-7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Bruno, J. (2010). The Impact of Climate Change on the World's
 Marine Ecosystems. *Science*, *328*, 1523–1528.
- Horswill, C., & Jackson, J. A. (2012). Humpback whales wintering at Pitcairn Island, South
 Pacific. *Marine Biodiversity Records*, *5*, 1–5.
- 670 IWC. (2005). Report of the sub-committee on other Southern Hemisphere whale stocks.
- 671 *Journal of Cetacean Research & Management*, 7, 235–244.
- Jackson, J. A., Ross-Gillespie, A., Butterworth, D., Findlay, K., Holloway, S., Robbins, J., ...
- 673 Zerbini, A. (2015). Southern Hemisphere Humpback Whale Comprehensive Assessment
- A synthesis and summary: 2005-2015. *Report to the Scientific Committee of the*
- 675 International Whaling Commission, SC/66a/SH/, 1–38.
- 676 Kamman, E. E., & Wand, M. P. (2003). Geoadditive models. Journal of the Royal Statistical
- 677 Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), 52, 1–18.

- Kaschner, K., Watson, R., Trites, A. W., & Pauly, D. (2006). Mapping world-wide
- distributions of marine mammal species using a relative environmental suitability (RES)
 model. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *316*, 285–310.
- 681 Kimura, F., & Kitoh, A. (2007). Downscaling by Pseudo Global Warning Method Fujio.
- 682 *Report of the Research Institute for Humanity and Nature (RIHN), Kyoto, Japan.*
- 683 *Accessed 19 October 2018.*
- Knutson, T. R., Sirutis, J. J., Garner, S. T., Vecchi, G. A., & Held, I. M. (2008). Simulated

reduction in Atlantic hurricane frequency under twenty-first-century warming conditions.

686 *Nature Geoscience*, *1*, 359–364.

- 687 Legrand, B., Benneveau, A., Jaeger, A., Pinet, P., Potin, G., Jaquemet, S., & Le Corre, M.
- 688 (2016). Current wintering habitat of an endemic seabird of Réunion Island, Barau's
- petrel *Pterodroma baraui*, and predicted changes induced by global warming. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 550, 235–248.
- 691 Lindsay, R., Constantine, R., Robbins, J., Mattila, D. K., Tagarino, A., & Dennis, T. (2016).
- 692 Characterising essential breeding habitat for whales informs the development of large-
- scale Marine Protected Areas in the South Pacific. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 548,
 263–275.
- Macleod, C. D. (2009). Global climate change, range changes and potential implications for
 the conservation of marine cetaceans: A review and synthesis. *Endangered Species Research*, 7, 125–136.
- Mannocci, L., Boustany, A. M., Roberts, J. J., Palacios, D. M., Dunn, D. C., Halpin, P. N., ...
- 699 Winship, A. J. (2017). Temporal resolutions in species distribution models of highly
- 700 mobile marine animals: Recommendations for ecologists and managers. *Diversity and*
- 701 *Distributions*, 23, 1098–1109.

- 702 Mannocci, L., Roberts, J. J., Miller, D. L., & Halpin, P. N. (2017). Extrapolating cetacean
- 703 densities to quantitatively assess human impacts on populations in the high seas.

704 *Conservation Biology*, *31*, 601–614.

- McPhaden, M. J., Zebiak, S. E., & Glantz, M. H. (2006). ENSO as an integrating concept in
 earth science. *Science*, *314*, 1740–1745.
- Meehl, G. A., Arblaster, J. M., Bitz, C. M., Chung, C. T. Y., & Teng, H. (2016). Antarctic seaice expansion between 2000 and 2014 driven by tropical Pacific decadal climate
- 709 variability. *Nature Geoscience*, *9*, 590–595.
- 710 Miller, C., Batibasiga, A., & Solomona, P. (2015). Very Low Numbers of Endangered Oceania
- Humpback Whales Seen in Fijian Waters. *The South Pacific Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*, *33*, 39–45.
- 713 Morán-Ordóñez, A., Lahoz-Monfort, J. J., Elith, J., & Wintle, B. A. (2017). Evaluating 318
- 714 continental-scale species distribution models over a 60-year prediction horizon: what
- factors influence the reliability of predictions? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26,
- 716 371–384.
- 717 Moss, R. H., Edmonds, J. A., Hibbard, K. A., Manning, M. R., Rose, S. K., van Vuuren, D. P.,
- 718 ... Wilbanks, T. J. (2010). The next generation of scenarios for climate change research
 719 and assessment. *Nature*, 463, 747–756.
- 720 Munger, L. M., Lammers, M. O., Fisher-Pool, P., & Wong, K. (2012). Humpback whale
- (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) song occurrence at American Samoa in long-term passive
 acoustic recordings, 2008-2009. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *132*,
 2265–2272.
- 724 Olavarría, C., Baker, C. S., Garrigue, C., Poole, M., Hauser, N., Caballero, S., ... Russell, K.
- 725 (2007). Population structure of South Pacific humpback whales and the origin of the

- eastern Polynesian breeding grounds. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 330, 257–268.
- 727 Paton, D., & Clapham, P. J. (2002). Preliminary analysis of humpback whale sighting survey
- data collected in Fiji, 1956-1958. *Report to the Scientific Committee of the International*
- 729 Whaling Commission, SC/54/H7.
- 730 Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M., Elith, J., Graham, C. H., Lehmann, A., Leathwick, J. R., & Ferrier,
- S. (2009). Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: Implications for
 background and pseudo-absence data. *Ecological Applications*, *19*, 181–197.
- 733 Poloczanska, E., Brown, C. J., Sydeman, W. J., Kiessling, W., Schoeman, D. S., Moore, P. J.,
- 734 ... Richardson, A. J. (2013). Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nature*735 *Climate Change*, *3*, 919–925.
- Poole, M. M. (2002). Occurrence Of Humpback Whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in French
 Polynesia 1988-2001. *Report to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling*
- 738 *Commission*, *SC/54/H14*, 16.
- 739 Poole, M. M., Albertson, G. R., & Oremus, M. (2014). Expedition Austral Islands : Photo-
- 740 identification, song recording, and biopsy sampling of humpback whales (Megaptera
- 741 novaeangliae) in southern French Polynesia. Report to the Scientific Committee of the
- 742 International Whaling Commission, 1–8.
- 743 QGIS Development Team. (2016). QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source
- 744 Geospatial Foundation Project. *Version 2.18 La Palma*. Retrieved from
- 745 http://qgis.osgeo.org
- 746 R Core Team. (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from http://www.r-project.org/.
- Rasmussen, K., Palacios, D. M., Calambokidis, J., Saborío, M. T., Dalla Rosa, L., Secchi, E.

- R., ... Stone, G. S. (2007). Southern Hemisphere humpback whales wintering off Central
 America: insights from water temperature into the longest mammalian migration.
- 751 *Biology Letters*, *3*, 302–5.
- Rendell, L., & Whitehead, H. (2001). Culture in Whales and Dolphins. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24, 309–382.
- 754 Riekkola, L., Zerbini, A. N., Andrews, O., Andrews-Goff, V., Baker, C. S., Chandler, D., ...
- 755 Constantine, R. (2018). Application of a multi-disciplinary approach to reveal population
- structure and Southern Ocean feeding grounds of humpback whales. *Ecological*
- 757 *Indicators*, 89, 455–465.
- Rocha, J. R. C., Clapham, P. J., & Ivashchenko, Y. (2015). Emptying the Oceans: A Summary
 of Industrial Whaling Catches in the 20th Century. *Marine Fisheries Review*, *76*, 37–48.
- 760 Scales, K. L., Hazen, E. L., Jacox, M. G., Edwards, C. A., Boustany, A. M., Oliver, M. J., &
- 761 Bograd, S. J. (2017). Scale of inference: On the sensitivity of habitat models for wide-
- ranging marine predators to the resolution of environmental data. *Ecography*, 40, 210–
 220.
- 764 Silber, G. K., Lettrich, M. D., Thomas, P. O., Baker, J. D., Baumgartner, M., Becker, E. A., ...
- Waples, R. S. (2017). Projecting Marine Mammal Distribution in a Changing Climate.
 Frontiers in Marine Science, *4*, 413.
- Simmonds, M. P., & Eliott, W. J. (2009). Climate change and cetaceans: concerns and recent
 developments. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 89,
 203–210.
- 770 Smith, J., Grantham, H., Gales, N., Double, M., Noad, M., & Paton, D. (2012). Identification
- of humpback whale breeding and calving habitat in the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine*
- 772 Ecology Progress Series, 447, 259–272.

- 573 Steel, D., Anderson, M., Garrigue, C., Olavarría, C., Caballero, S., Childerhouse, S., ... Baker,
- 774 C. S. (2017). Migratory interchange of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*)
- among breeding grounds of Oceania and connections to Antarctic feeding areas based on
 genotype matching. *Polar Biology*, *3*, 1–10.
- Sydeman, W. J., Poloczanska, E. S., Reed, T. E., & Thompson, S. A. (2015). Climate change
 and marine vertebrates. *Science*, *350*, 171–193.
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., & Meehl, G. A. (2012). An overview of CMIP5 and the
 experiment design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *93*, 485–498.
- Thomas, P. O., Reeves, R. R., & Brownell, R. L. (2015). Status of the world's baleen whales. *Marine Mammal Science*, *32*, 682–734.
- Torres, L. G., Read, A. J., & Halpin, P. (2008). Fine-scale habitat modelling of top marine
 predator: Do prey data improve predictive capacity? *Ecological Applications*, *18*, 1702–
 1717.
- Torres, L. G., Smith, T. D., Sutton, P., MacDiarmid, A., Bannister, J., & Miyashita, T. (2013).
 From exploitation to conservation: habitat models using whaling data predict ditribution
 patterns and threat exposure of an endangered whale. *Diversity and Distribution*, *19*,
 1138–1152.
- 790 Trudelle, L., Cerchio, S., Zerbini, A. N., Geyer, Y., Mayer, F., Jung, J., ... Charassin, J.-B.

humpback whales in the Madagascar breeding ground. *Royal Society Open Science*, *3*,
160616.

(2016). Influence of environmental parameters on movements and habitat utilization of

- 794 UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish-Centre, WRI, & TNC. (2010). Global distribution of warm-water
- coral reefs, compiled from multiple sources including the Millennium Coral Reef
- 796 Mapping Project. Version 2.0. Includes contributions from IMaRS-USF and IRD (2005),

- 797 IMaRS-USF (2005) and Spalding et al. (2001). *Cambridge (UK): UN Environment*
- 798 World Conservation Monitoring. Retrieved from http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/1
- 799 Valsecchi, E., Corkeron, P., Galli, P., Sherwin, W., & Bertorelle, G. (2010). Genetic evidence
- 800 for sex-specific migratory behaviour in western South Pacific humpback whales. *Marine*
- 801 Ecology Progress Series, 398, 275–286.
- Walsh, K. (2015). Fine resolution simulations of the effect of climate change on tropical
 cyclones in the South Pacific. *Climate Dynamics*, 45, 2619–2631.
- Wood, S. N. (2006). *Generalized Additive Models: An introduction with R*. Chapman and
 Hall/CRC.
- Wood, S. N. (2017). *Generalized Additive Models: An introduction with R* (second edi). CRC
 press.
- 808 Zhang, H. S., Han, Z. B., Zhao, J., Yu, P. S., Hu, C. Y., Sun, W. P., ... Vetter, W. (2014).
- 809 Phytoplankton and chlorophyll a relationships with ENSO in Prydz Bay, East Antarctica.
- 810 Science China Earth Sciences, 57, 3073–3083.
- 811
- 812
- 813
- 814
- 815
- 816
- 817
- 818
- 819
- 017
- 820

821 **TABLES**

- 822 Table 1: Survey effort and observations of humpback whales in Oceania between 1999 and
- 823 2017 that were used for this study. The total number of groups and number of whales
- 824 observed is reported per country (#) and overall.

Region	Country	Survey years ^a	Effort (days)	Effort (hours)	# groups	# whales	
western	New Caledonia	2003-2017 ^b	702	5,145	1,589	3,801	
Oceania	Vanuatu	2003	8	56	10	15	
	Total		7,10	5,201	1,599	3,816	
	Tonga	2000, 2001, 2003-2005	88	453	274	593	
central	Niue	2010, 2011, 2014, 2016	44	259	54	78	
Oceania	American Samoa	2003-2011, 2014-2017	113	745	495	1167	
	Samoa	2012	8	77	3	4	
	Total		253	1,534	826	1,842	
eastern Oceania	French Polynesia	1999-2002, 2007, 2008, 2010-2014	413	2432	447	796	
Total			1,376	9,167	2,872	6,454	

825 ^a These numbers are not an exhaustive estimate of research in the region, but only represent the surveys that

826 could be included in this study.

827 ^b Additional data from 1996 to 2002 was used in the M_{NC} model of encounter rate but could not be used in the

828 whole study because boat GPS tracklines were not recorded.

829

830

831

832

- 834
- 835

Table 2: Summary of the fine scale model of humpback whale habitat use in Oceania. Approximate significance of smooth terms is reported for variables in interaction with region (western, central or eastern Oceania) or with no interaction (year and projected coordinates X * Y). Edf = estimated degrees of freedom. *N-significant* correspond to the number of cross-validation runs (out of 50) where the variables were significant with P-values less than 0.001 or 0.05.

	Western						Central				Eastern					
	edf	Chi ²	P-value	n-significant		edf	Chi ²	P-value	n-significant		edf	Chi ²	P-value	n-significant		
				<0.001	<0.05				<0.001	<0.05	-			<0.001	<0.05	
DEPTH	3.5	240	< 0.001	50	50	3.9	449	< 0.001	50	50	3.8	66	< 0.001	50	50	
DISSURFres	3.6	132	< 0.001	50	50	2.0	26	< 0.001	23	41	3.5	170	< 0.001	50	50	
SLOPE	3.1	28	< 0.001	49	50	0	0	0.562	0	3	3.3	61	< 0.001	50	50	
SST_{fine}	1.0	5	0.011	2	30	1.7	9	0.003	42	45	0	0	0.322	0	0	
$SST_{fine.CV}$	3.0	15	< 0.001	8	49	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0.856	0	0	
day of year	2.1	25	< 0.001	48	48	2.0	10	0.004	43	44	2.3	30	< 0.001	43	50	
year				Edf =	1.9, Chi ²	= 19, p	o-value <	0.001, <i>n-sigr</i>	nificant < (0.001 = 5	0;<0.	05 = 50				
X * Y	Edf = 40.2, Chi ² = 916, p-value < 0.001, <i>n-significant</i> < $0.001 = 50$; < $0.05 = 50$															

840

841

842



845

Figure 1: Humpback whale breeding grounds and study sites of Oceania. a) Overview of 846 847 Oceania with Economic Exclusive Zones included in the study represented by coloured 848 polygons (from left to right: western, central and eastern regions). Country names are shown 849 in bold, localities are shown in italics. Other panels zoom in on specific study sites, with land 850 in black, reefs in grey and presence locations in colour: b) the southern New Caledonia area; 851 c) Vava'u archipelago in Tonga; d) Tahiti and Moorea Islands in the Society archipelago of 852 French Polynesia; e) Tutuila island in American Samoa; f) Rangiroa atoll in the Tuamotu 853 archipelago of French Polynesia. Isobaths are represented with grey lines.



Figure 2: Coarse scale gridded encounter rate of humpback whales (whales.h⁻¹) averaged in 1° cells in Oceania between 1999 and 2017 (n = 1,376 days of survey, from the months of May to December). The map is overlaid with average August SST_{coarse} isotherms at 28 °C and 21 °C in the current (solid line: average August SST from Reynolds NCEP Level 4 Optimally Interpolated dataset, between 1999 and 2017) and future period (dashed line: 2080-2100, prediction based on CMIP5 models and RCP 8.5 scenario using the method by Kimura & Kitoh, 2007). Lands and islands are represented in black.





Figure 3: Coarse scale humpback whale encounter rate trends from, a) model M_{OC} at Oceania scale between 1999 and 2017 (n = 1,376), and b) model M_{NC} in the New Caledonia South Lagoon between 1996 and 2017 (n = 774). Solid lines represent the marginal effect of each variable relative to encounter rate. Rug plots show the distribution of values for each predictor. Shaded areas represent approximate 95% confidence intervals.



873

874 Figure 4: Functional response curves from fine scale GAM between humpback whale 875 presence and significant environmental predictors: seabed depth in meters (DEPTH), residual 876 distance to coast/reef accounting for depth (DISSURF_{RES}: larger values indicate regions that 877 are shallower than what would be expected considering their distance to closest coast/reef, no 878 unit), seabed slope in degrees (SLOPE), SST climatology at fine resolution in °C (SST_{fine}) and 879 its coefficient of variation in % (SST_{fine,CV}). Predictors relative to time and space (year, day of year and spatial covariates) were held constant during predictions and are not represented. The 880 881 y-axis indicates the effect of the smooth function of each predictor upon the trend in 882 humpback whale presence; with higher values indicating increased presence. Regional smooth 883 estimates are shown with different colours. Solid lines represent the marginal effect of each 884 significant variable (with p-value < 0.05) relative to humpback whale presence. Rug plots 885 show the distribution of values per region for each predictor. Shaded areas represent 886 approximate 95% confidence intervals.



887

Figure 5: Maps of humpback whale habitat suitability predicted from a fine scale presencebackground GAM based on surveys conducted in Oceania from 1999 to 2017. Habitat suitability is shown on a coloured log-scale. Dashed areas represent where the model extrapolated at least one environmental variable beyond the range observed in the training datasets of that region. Land is represented in black and reefs in grey.



894 Figure 6: Map of humpback whale habitat suitability predicted from fitted responses for 895 western Oceania and transferred to eastern Oceania. Predictions are based on seabed 896 topography only (DEPTH, SLOPE and DISSURF_{RES}). The map is overlaid with average 897 August SST_{coarse} isotherms at 28 °C and 21 °C in the current (solid line: average August SST from Reynolds NCEP Level 4 Optimally Interpolated dataset, between 1999 and 2017) and 898 899 future period (dashed line: 2080-2100 prediction based on CMIP5 models and RCP 8.5 900 scenario using the method by Kimura & Kitoh, 2007). Habitat suitability is shown on a 901 coloured log-scale. White areas represent where the model extrapolated at least one 902 environmental variable beyond the range observed in western Oceania surveys. Islands and 903 reefs are represented in black. Moorea and Tahiti are labelled to allow the comparison with 904 the predictions for the eastern region in Fig. 5e.

905

907 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

- 908 S1: Effort and observation summaries per country
- 909 S2: Sea Surface Temperature Oceania humpback whale breeding range
- 910 S3: Predicting future SST conditions associated to climate change
- 911 S4: Effect of the Southern Oscillation Index and the Southern Annular Mode on encounter
- 912 rates
- 913 S5: Dealing with predictor collinearity in habitat models