÷

Ecological responses of squamate reptiles to nocturnal warming

Rutschmann Alexis ¹, Perry Constant ¹, Le Galliard Jean-françois ^{2, 3}, Dupoué Andreaz ⁴, Lourdais Olivier ^{5, 6}, Guillon Michaël ^{5, 7}, Brusch George ⁸, Cote Julien ⁹, Richard Murielle ¹, Clobert Jean ¹, Miles Donal B. ¹⁰

¹ Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale de Moulis, CNRS UAR2029 02 route du CNRS Moulis 09200 ,France

² Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7618, IRD, INRAE, Institut d'écologie et des sciences de l'environnement (iEES Paris) Tours 44-45, 4 Place Jussieu Paris 75005 ,France

³ Département de Biologie, École Normale Supérieure PSL Research University, CNRS, UMS 3194, Centre de Recherche en écologie expérimentale et Prédictive (CEREEP-Ecotron IleDeFrance) 78 rue du château Saint-Pierre-Lès-Nemours 77140, France

⁴ Ifremer, Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, UMR 6539, LEMAR 1625 Rte de Sainte-Anne Plouzané 29280 ,France

⁵ Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, CNRS UMR 7372-Université de La Rochelle 405 Route de Prissé la Charrière Villiers-en-Bois 79630 ,France

⁶ School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Life Sciences Center Building 427E Tyler Mall Tempe AZ 85281, USA

⁷ Cistude Nature Chemin du Moulinat-33185 Le Haillan, France

⁸ Department of Biological Sciences California State University San Marcos 333 S. Twin Oaks Valley Rd. San Marcos CA 92096, USA

⁹ Laboratoire Evolution et Diversité Biologique (EDB), UMR5174 Université Toulouse 3 Paul Sabatier, CNRS, IRD 118 Rte de Narbonne Toulouse 31077 ,France

1 ^o Department of Biological Sciences, 131 Life Science Building Ohio University Athens OH 45701, USA

Abstract

Nocturnal temperatures are increasing at a pace exceeding diurnal temperatures in most parts of the world. The role of warmer nocturnal temperatures in animal ecology has received scant attention and most studies focus on diurnal or daily descriptors of thermal environments' temporal trends. Yet, available evidence from plant and insect studies suggests that organisms can exhibit contrasting physiological responses to diurnal and nocturnal warming. Limiting studies to diurnal trends can thus result in incomplete and misleading interpretations of the ability of species to cope with global warming. Although they are expected to be impacted by warmer nocturnal temperatures, insufficient data are available regarding the night-time ecology of vertebrate ectotherms. Here, we illustrate the complex effects of nocturnal warming on squamate reptiles, a keystone group of vertebrate ectotherms. Our review includes discussion of diurnal and nocturnal ectotherms, but we mainly focus on diurnal species for which nocturnal

warming affects a period dedicated to physiological recovery, and thus may perturb activity patterns and energy balance. We first summarise the physical consequences of nocturnal warming on habitats used by squamate reptiles. Second, we describe how such changes can alter the energy balance of diurnal species. We illustrate this with empirical data from the asp viper (Vipera aspis) and common wall lizard (Podarcis muralis), two diurnal species found throughout western Europe. Third, we make use of a mechanistic approach based on an energy-balance model to draw general conclusions about the effects of nocturnal temperatures. Fourth, we examine how warmer nights may affect squamates over their lifetime, with potential consequences on individual fitness and population dynamics. We review quantitative evidence for such lifetime effects using recent data derived from a range of studies on the European common lizard (Zootoca vivipara). Finally, we consider the broader eco-evolutionary ramifications of nocturnal warming and highlight several research questions that require future attention. Our work emphasises the importance of considering the joint influence of diurnal and nocturnal warming on the responses of vertebrate ectotherms to climate warming.

Keywords : ectotherms, energy-balance model, global warming, minimum temperature, squamates, thermal performance curve

- 62 CONTENTS
- 63 I. Introduction
- 64 II. Nocturnal warming and the thermal microhabitats of squamates
- 65 (1) The asymmetry in warming between diurnal and nocturnal air temperatures
- 66 (2) Nocturnal warming and microhabitats exploited by squamates: the value of legacy data
- 67 III. Impact of nocturnal warming: short-term effects on the cost-to-benefit balance?
- 68 (1) Increased performance and new opportunities for activity for diurnal ectotherms
- 69 (2) Limits to nocturnal activity for diurnal ectotherms
- 70 (3) Summer heatwave and nocturnal warming: a case study in a diurnal snake
- 71 IV. Balance between costs and benefits: a modelling approach
- 72 (1) Model development
- 73 (2) Modelled scenarios: nocturnal temperature and thermoregulation pattern
- 74 (3) Results and conclusions

- 75 (4) Empirical analysis of energy balance in the European common lizard
- 76 V. Chronic effects of nocturnal warming
- 77 (1) Warmer nights entail delayed costs in diurnal species
- 78 (2) Can diurnal ectotherms mitigate the impacts of chronic nocturnal warming?
- 79 (3) Quantitative insights from the European common lizard
- 80 VI. Nocturnal warming: open questions and future research
- 81 (1) Are the effects of warmer nights similar for diurnal and nocturnal species?
- 82 (2) Are the effects of warmer nights similar in tropical, arid and temperate areas?
- 83 (3) Will warmer nocturnal temperatures shift life-history strategies?
- 84 (4) Will warmer nights alter interactions between squamates and other species?
- 85 (5) Will interactions between warmer nights and other climatic factors alter squamate
- 86 ecology?
- 87 VII. Conclusions
- 88 VIII. Acknowledgments
- 89 IX. Author contributions
- 90 X. References
- 91 XI. Supporting information
- 92

93 I. INTRODUCTION

94 Over the past century, mean air temperatures have been increasing across most regions of the

- globe, threatening numerous species and ecosystems (Pörtner *et al.*, 2022). Yet, in many parts
- 96 of the world, climate warming appears to be an asymmetrical process driven by a more rapid
- 97 increase of nocturnal (T_N) than diurnal air temperatures (T_D) (Dai, Trenberth & Karl, 1999;
- 98 Sun et al., 2000; Vose, Easterling & Gleason, 2005; Alexander et al., 2006). Despite this,
- 99 most studies evaluating the impact of global warming either consider temperature increments

100 to be constant over a 24-h cycle or focus solely on diurnal patterns (e.g. maximum daily temperature), with nocturnal warming often considered an inconsequential aspect of climate 101 change (Speights et al., 2018). Ignoring nocturnal warming is however of particular concern 102 103 because the available data show that organisms can exhibit different, and sometimes 104 opposing, eco-physiological responses to T_D and T_N (Xia et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2014; Freixa et al., 2017; Speights & Barton, 2019). Thus, studies that focus only on diurnal 105 106 warming may provide a somewhat simplified and incomplete assessment of the vulnerability and capacity of organisms to cope with climate change (Vickerman & Sunderland, 1975; 107 108 Peng et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2014; Speights, Harmon & Barton, 2017; Ma, Ma & Pincebourde, 2021). 109

Plant ecologists have been at the forefront of investigations of the impacts of nocturnal 110 warming on functional traits and ecological interactions of organisms. For example, in an 111 early study, Alward, Detling & Milchunas (1999) demonstrated that warmer T_N altered the 112 composition of plant communities of the north-eastern Colorado steppes, with a decrease of 113 the dominant C_4 grass species in favour of exotic and native C_3 forbs. Warmer T_N s were later 114 shown to influence vegetation productivity (Peng et al., 2013; Mu et al., 2015), growth 115 (Clark, Clark & Oberbauer, 2010; Xia et al., 2018) and phenology (Wang, Luo & Shafeeque, 116 2019). Mechanistic responses by plants to warmer T_N are complex and operate at different 117 118 levels (Sadok & Krishna Jagadish, 2020). Leaf morphology and physiology appear to play a 119 crucial role, with warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ leading to a reduction in leaf quality and earlier senescence, which results in higher respiration rates at night and a decline in photosynthesis-dependent 120 processes. Ultimately, these modifications can have cascading effects on plant growth, seed 121 122 production and fitness (García et al., 2015; Lesjak & Calderini, 2017). Recently, entomologists have begun to investigate the effects of the diel patterns of climate change. 123 124 Studies focusing on insect species have revealed heterogeneous and complex responses (i.e.

125 neutral, positive and/or negative) to warmer $T_{\rm NS}$, ranging from individual physiology to community dynamics (Whitney-Johnson, Thompson & Hon, 2005; Warren & Chick, 2013; 126 Ma, Hoffmann & Ma, 2015; Barton, 2017; Speights et al., 2017; Bai et al., 2019). 127 The susceptibility of insects to warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ is not surprising: ectotherms rely on external 128 heat sources to regulate their body temperature and to maintain it within a range of 129 temperatures that optimises performance (Angilletta et al., 2002; Seebacher & Franklin, 130 2005; Clusella-Trullas, Blackburn & Chown, 2011). The temperature dependence of 131 physiological performance in ectotherms is classically conceptualised as a thermal 132 133 performance curve (TPC; Fig. 1). The curve describes the response of a focal performance trait [e.g. activity, metabolic rate (MR), individual growth rate, locomotion, digestion, 134 population growth rates] to changes in body temperature. Most TPCs are assumed to follow a 135 left-skewed bell-shaped curve: the performance increases steadily from the critical thermal 136 minimum (CT_{min}) up to a peak value attained at the optimal body temperature (T_{opt}). Above 137 T_{opt} , performance usually exhibits a sharp decline towards the critical thermal maximum 138 (CT_{max}). The range between CT_{min} and CT_{max} therefore defines an organism's critical thermal 139 range for nocturnal and diurnal activities. Given the rapid decline of performance above T_{opt} , 140 ectotherms are highly sensitive to increases in maximum environmental temperatures as these 141 temperatures are likely to push an organism's body temperature beyond T_{opt} and towards 142 CT_{max} (Deutsch et al., 2008; Buckley, Tewksbury & Deutsch, 2013; Rohr & Palmer, 2013; 143 144 Burraco et al., 2020; Clusella-Trullas et al., 2021). Yet patterns of activity can also be influenced by minimum temperatures: daily or seasonal increase in $T_{\rm N}$ can push organism 145 body temperatures towards higher values, away from their CT_{min} and therefore to within an 146 147 adequate thermal range for activity. An increase in minimum temperatures can, for example, facilitate the colonisation of new habitats by offering new opportunities for foraging and 148 hunting or by increasing intrinsic physiological rates (e.g. digestion, metabolic rates) (Battisti 149

150 *et al.*, 2005). Another potential consequence is the alteration of an individual's resting

thermal range: warmer minimum temperatures may trigger an increase in resting

152 physiological rates (e.g. resting MR, cellular damage reparation rates) that could increase

energy consumption (Speights *et al.*, 2017; Ma *et al.*, 2020).

Because research on the impact of nocturnal warming is at an early stage, our goal here is 154 threefold. We first discuss the potential responses of vertebrate ectotherms to warmer nights. 155 156 Next, we use published data, legacy data and analytical models to illustrate such responses. Finally, we propose avenues for future research studying the impact of nocturnal warming on 157 158 organisms. To do so, we present a comprehensive assessment of the consequences of warmer nights on an emblematic group of vertebrate ectotherms, the order Squamata. This order 159 160 includes three keystone groups (amphisbaenians, lizards and snakes) and represents the largest group of non-avian reptiles (11,549 species; Uetz et al., 2023). Squamates occur in 161 most biomes of the globe and exhibit a wide diversity of morphological, physiological, 162 behavioural and ecological strategies (Meiri, 2018). The sensitivity of squamates to 163 164 increasing maximum air temperature is well documented (Thomas et al., 2004; Araújo & Rahbek, 2006; Dupoué et al., 2022; Stark et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023) and one study 165 forecasts that climate warming will lead to the extinction of almost 40% of these species by 166 2080 (Sinervo et al., 2010). Yet little is known about the impact (positive or negative) of 167 168 warmer minimum air temperatures (i.e. T_N) on this extinction rate. The well-studied group of 169 squamates thus provides an opportunity to clarify the impacts of nocturnal warming on ectothermic vertebrates (Taylor et al., 2020), and we anticipate the general conclusions drawn 170 from our work are likely to be applicable to other taxonomic groups. Although our review 171 172 discusses such impacts on both diurnal and nocturnal ectotherms (see Sections IV.2 and VI.1), we mainly focus on diurnal squamates. For diurnal species, nocturnal warming affects 173 174 a period dedicated to physiological recovery, and may perturb activity patterns and energy

balance in a complex manner (Fig. 2). By contrast, warmer T_N affects the primary activity period of nocturnal species and it is possible that nocturnal reptiles mainly benefit from increasing physiological performance and foraging opportunities, although there are fewer data to confirm this hypothesis.

We first discuss the physical basis of nocturnal warming and the implications of warmer 179 nights on habitats used by squamates. Here, we emphasise the importance of legacy data to 180 understand better the microhabitat dynamics of nocturnal warming. Second, we focus on the 181 immediate effects of warmer nights on an individual's physiology and behaviour. In 182 particular, we illustrate how warmer nights may change the activity window of many diurnal 183 species while also entailing a concomitant increase in metabolic expenditure. Third, we 184 explore the immediate energetic costs and benefits of warmer nights for a range of 185 behavioural and foraging strategies used by ectothermic predators by extending the energy 186 balance model of Huey & Kingsolver (2019). Fourth, we expand our discussion beyond 187 short-term effects and consider the potential chronic implications of nocturnal warming on 188 the physiology, life history, and population ecology of squamates. We illustrate this by 189 synthesising extensive quantitative evidence from studies of the European common lizard 190 (Zootoca vivipara) in our laboratories. Finally, we highlight the eco-evolutionary 191 ramifications of nocturnal warming by proposing future avenues of research and emphasise 192 the importance of considering the multifaceted aspects of climate change when studying 193 194 nocturnal warming.

195 II. NOCTURNAL WARMING AND THE THERMAL MICROHABITATS OF 196 SQUAMATES

197 (1) The asymmetry in warming between diurnal and nocturnal air temperatures

Between 1950 and 2004, $T_{\rm NS}$ increased at a rate exceeding 1.4 times the observed change in

199 maximum T_D on average across the world (0.204 *versus* 0.141 °C per decade) (Karl *et al.*,

200 1993; Easterling et al., 1997; Dai et al., 1999; Vose et al., 2005; Thorne et al., 2016). This trend was observed over most regions of the globe (Alexander et al., 2006), but is much 201 stronger in the northern hemisphere (e.g. North America, Europe, China, Himalaya region) 202 203 and Australia than in the rest of the world (e.g. India, New Zealand, parts of Africa) (Davy et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2018). The physical mechanisms involved in this pattern are still debated 204 and seem to be multi-factorial. The most likely explanations include the thickening of global 205 cloud cover (Easterling et al., 1997; Dai et al., 1999; Cox et al., 2020), increased soil 206 moisture and its positive effect on diurnal plant transpiration (Dai et al., 1999), changes in 207 208 land use due to rapid urbanisation (Small, Sloan & Nychka, 2001; Zhou et al., 2009), and variation in global atmospheric circulation (Vose et al., 2005) and in the planetary boundary 209 layer thickness (Davy et al., 2017). Regardless of the underlying physical reasons for the 210 asymmetry in diel warming, this trend is expected to continue in the future. For example, the 211 percentage of unusually warm nights recorded each year is expected to rise by 20-40% by 212 2100 under realistic greenhouse gas emissions scenarios (Karl et al., 2008; Sillmann et al., 213 2013). 214

Consequences of warmer nights are not limited to changes in air temperatures above 215 ground. Changes in ground temperatures related to nocturnal warming have been well 216 documented (Wu et al., 2012, Shi et al., 2021) and could modify the microclimatic conditions 217 218 of ground shelters used by ectotherms. During the day, the organic layer of the soil (first 5 219 cm) intercepts solar energy that radiates to lower soil horizons (subsoil). At night, the top 220 ground layer cools down faster than deeper ones, because of contact with cooler air temperatures. A fraction of the thermal energy accumulated in the subsoil is transferred back 221 222 towards the surface, warming the top layer of the ground (Shi et al., 2021). As atmospheric $T_{\rm N}$ increases due to global warming, the cooling effect from the air is reduced, without a 223 radical change in the restoration of heat energy coming from deeper layers, which causes a 224

225 disproportionate augmentation of temperature in the top soil layers. To illustrate this, field experiments using large infrared heaters suspended above the ground generated asymmetric 226 warming patterns between daytime and night (Wu et al., 2012). Minimum temperatures 227 228 recorded 10 cm above the ground significantly increased from 0.65 °C to 1.33 °C (0.68 °C difference) through nocturnal warming, but were not affected by daytime warming 229 treatments. In parallel, minimum ground temperatures significantly increased from 4.05 °C to 230 231 5.34 °C (1.29 °C difference) through the nocturnal warming treatment but, again, remained unaffected by experimental diurnal warming. Nocturnal warming at the soil surface was 232 233 found to shorten significantly the development of eggs and nymphs in three grasshopper species, leading to an advance in adult emergence time by 2–6 days depending on species 234 (Wu et al., 2012). 235

(2) Nocturnal warming and microhabitats exploited by squamates: the value of legacy data

Squamates can be found in myriad microhabitats. They notably rely on burrows, substrate 238 239 elements, canopy leaves, rock cracks, holes in trees or water to regulate their body temperature, lay their eggs, evade predators or stressful thermal conditions during their 240 activity periods, and shelter during periods of rest and inactivity (Huey et al., 1989; 241 Goldenberg et al., 2021; Mohanty et al., 2022; Nordberg & McKnight, 2023). For 242 243 ectotherms, appropriate selection of nesting, retreat and resting sites is key as such sites offer a variety of thermoregulatory opportunities that influence many physiological rates (e.g. 244 developmental, metabolic and digestive rates). Alternatively, this choice may have 245 deleterious consequences and reduce an individual's fitness if the retreat-site temperature is 246 247 inappropriate (e.g. too deep or too shallow) (Huey et al., 1989; Kearney, 2002; Lelièvre et al., 2010; Bentley et al., 2020; Chukwuka, Monks & Cree, 2020). As a consequence, the thermal 248 249 quality of the habitat cannot simply be reduced to air temperature, but should rather be

described by the mosaic of operative environmental temperatures (T_e , the temperature of an object with no heat capacity and resulting from both radiation and convective heat transfer) present in the environment (Bakken & Gates, 1975; Kearney, Isaac & Porter, 2014; Ma *et al.*, 2021).

Unfortunately, there are insufficient published data to provide an exhaustive description of 254 the impact of nocturnal warming on all relevant microhabitats exploited by squamate species 255 256 (e.g. ground substrates, leaf litter, boulders, rock cavities, tree trunks, branches or canopy). However, some inferences can be made from legacy data re-analysed under the prism of 257 258 nocturnality (Huey, Miles & Pianka, 2021b). As an example, we analysed T_{es} originally recorded at Saguaro National Park (SNP, Arizona, USA; D.B. Miles, unpublished data) to 259 estimate the diurnal thermal quality of the habitat exploited by the ornate tree lizard 260 (Urosaurus ornatus) (see online Supporting Information, Appendix S1). This diurnal lizard 261 species is arboreal and occupies mesquite (Prosopis velutina) and paloverde (Parkinsonia 262 aculeata) trees. Analysis of the data shows that both the minimum $T_{\rm NS}$ and maximum $T_{\rm DS}$ 263 264 increased over the 2001–2022 period. However, the minimum $T_{\rm NS}$ increased faster, as indicated by the significant interaction between time (in years) and temperature parameter 265 detected in our linear model ($F_{1.63} = 111.1$, P < 0.005; see Table S1 in Appendix S1) (Fig. 3). 266 For comparison, between 2001 and 2022, minimum T_N have increased by 9.4 °C while T_{DS} 267 have increased by 5.6 °C. For U. ornatus, mid-late spring coincides with a critical period of 268 269 reproduction: females have already mated and a clutch of eggs is developing in utero. Rising 270 temperatures during this period not only have the potential to influence the phenotypic traits of hatchlings but can also impact the post-gestation state of females. We encourage re-271 272 analysis of similar historical data (Huey et al., 1989; Kearney, 2002; Sabo, 2003; Lelièvre et al., 2010; Gunderson et al., 2019) to investigate the nocturnal thermal characteristics of other 273 274 substrates and environments.

275 III. IMPACT OF NOCTURNAL WARMING: SHORT-TERM EFFECTS ON THE

276 COST-TO-BENEFIT BALANCE?

(1) Increased performance and new opportunities for activity for diurnal ectotherms 277 At first sight, warmer nights appear beneficial for diurnal ectotherms. Based on the shape of 278 the thermal performance curve (Fig. 1), diurnal ectotherms are expected to benefit from a 279 passive increase in performance as warmer T_N creates a thermal environment (air or substrate) 280 characterised by prolonged exposure to temperatures above CT_{min} (and closer to T_{opt}). For 281 temperature-sensitive traits, such as metabolism, gut passage rate or development time, small 282 283 increments in temperature can be sufficient to lead to a significant performance advantage. For example, an increase of approximately 1 °C in air temperature could potentially result in 284 an 8.9% increase in metabolic expenditure of ectotherms in temperate regions (Dillon, Wang 285 & Huey, 2010). To illustrate this passive temperature effect, we collected MR data from 65 286 male wall lizards (Podarcis muralis) at four body temperatures (30, 25, 20 and 15 °C), during 287 both their active (day) and inactive (night) phases (see Appendix S2 for methodology). We 288 289 therefore compared the resting metabolic rate (RMR) measured over the active phase with the standard metabolic rate (SMR) measured over the inactive phase, as defined in Andrews & 290 Pough (1985). We observed lower MRs at night (i.e. SMR), regardless of air temperature 291 (Fig. 4). We also found a positive relationship between body temperature and both RMR and 292 293 SMR. The slope of this relationship was steeper for RMR (i.e. during the day) than for SMR 294 (i.e. during the night) (see Table S2 in Appendix S2). Interestingly, we observed that despite individuals being at rest, SMR at warm $T_{\rm NS}$ could exceed RMR recorded during the day at 295 colder $T_{\rm DS}$. Further, the variance in SMR was significantly smaller than the variance in RMR 296 at each temperature (Levene's test for homogeneity of variance; $F_{7,1594} = 221.4$, P < 0.005). 297 Together, these observations confirm that, in diurnal ectotherms, increasing T_N over the 298 299 resting period leads to a passive increase in physiological rates. The results also highlight a

potential inability to buffer the effect of temperature on metabolic rate physiologically or
behaviourally during a nocturnal period of inactivity, even where MRs are high (e.g. mean
SMR at 30 °C exceeds mean RMR at 20 °C; Fig. 4).

303 Another possible response of diurnal species to increased $T_{\rm NS}$ is to extend their daily foraging activity window at dusk, dawn, and eventually over part of the night (Sperry, Ward 304 & Weatherhead, 2013; Lara Resendiz, 2019; Levy et al., 2019) (Fig. 2A). Such increased 305 306 activity has been well documented in insects. For example, warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ enhanced the opportunity for dispersal outbreaks in the pine processionary moth (*Thaumetopoea* 307 308 pityocampa) in USA and Canada (Battisti et al., 2005), facilitated elevational shifts in a North American ant species (Aphaenogaster rudis) (Warren & Chick, 2013), increased 309 nocturnal foraging activity in different species of soil-dwelling ants (McMunn & Pepi, 2022), 310 311 and augmented nocturnal hunting activity in the American nursery web spider (Pisaurina mira) (Barton & Schmitz, 2018) and the harlequin ladybird (Harmonia axyridis) (Speights & 312 Barton, 2019). In squamates, similar patterns have been observed in ratsnakes (Pantherophis 313 spp.) (Sperry et al., 2013) and rock rattlesnakes (Crotalus lepidus) (Mata-Silva et al., 2018), 314 both diurnal species that extend their foraging activity into the night when $T_{\rm NS}$ are favourable. 315 Note however that, in a globally warming environment, the benefits of extension of activity 316 into part of the night may be counterbalanced by a reduction in midday activity due to $T_{\rm D}$ 317 exceeding CT_{max} (Vickers, Manicom & Schwarzkopf, 2011). 318

Together with increased foraging activity, higher physiological rates could translate into an increase in the daily opportunities for conversion or storage of energy, which may improve body growth, shorten the gestation period, and ultimately increase individual fitness (Ma *et al.*, 2020). Such positive effects of warmer nights have been documented in insects (reviewed in Ma *et al.*, 2020), where warmer T_N can reduce the development time of eggs (Wu *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2014; Speights & Barton, 2019) or larvae (Whitney-Johnson *et al.*, 2005;

Wu *et al.*, 2012; Kingsolver, Higgins & Augustine, 2015). Similar results have been found

326 for squamates, with warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ accelerating gestation and embryonic development in lizards

327 (Clarke & Zani, 2012; Brusch IV *et al.*, 2023) and geckos (Moore, Penniket & Cree, 2020).

328 Warmer nights also increased hatchling size (Clarke & Zani, 2012), adult body condition

329 (Moore *et al.*, 2020) and juvenile growth rates (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017*b*; Rutschmann *et al.*,

330 2021) in different squamate species.

331 (2) Limits to nocturnal activity for diurnal ectotherms

A key question is whether diurnal squamates always benefit from a warmer nocturnal 332 333 environment, whether by switching from strict diurnal to cathemeral or nocturnal activity, or via an increase in their metabolic and physiological rates. Although physiological traits such 334 as metabolic, gut passage, or assimilation rates should be faster with small increases in $T_{\rm N}$ 335 (Dillon *et al.*, 2010), this increase in T_N might not be sufficient to initiate locomotor, foraging 336 or hunting activities. First, even if the thermal environment becomes optimal, foraging 337 activity at night could remain constrained by factors independent of temperature, such as 338 higher risks of predation (Berger & Gotthard, 2008; Amadi et al., 2021), or a requirement for 339 light for visual detection of prey (Brown & Shine, 2006; Sperry et al., 2013; Mukherjee & 340 Mohan, 2022). An individual's intrinsic circadian cycle may also inhibit nocturnal activity if 341 it is relatively inflexible (Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2009; Tougeron & Abram, 2017; Shemesh, 342 343 Cohen & Bloch, 2007; Coomans et al., 2015; Krzeptowski & Hess, 2018). 344 Second, differences in the TPCs for different physiological traits are expected under the 'multiple performances-multiple optima' hypothesis (Huey, 1982; Clark, Sandblom & 345 Jutfelt, 2013). Therefore, although they could increase metabolic activity, nocturnal thermal 346 conditions may remain well below the preferred body temperature (T_{pref}) for other traits such 347 as locomotion. $T_{\rm NS}$ are indeed frequently lower than the thermoregulatory requirements of a 348 species to initiate activity [i.e. voluntary minimum temperature (VT_{min}), often estimated as 349

350 the 25th percentile of T_{pref} , or as the lowest recorded active body temperature when lizards first emerge from a refuge] (Kubisch et al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2020). For example, Kubisch 351 et al. (2016) found VT_{min} to vary from 24.6 to 31.3 °C in three lizard species from Patagonia. 352 353 Similarly, Diele-Vegas et al. (2018) found VT_{min} to vary between 19.9 and 32.9 °C among 27 different species of South American lizards. In both studies, the lower limit for voluntary 354 foraging activity was quite high (ca. 25 °C for diurnal lizard species), hence limiting 355 opportunities for these species to engage in foraging activities despite warm nocturnal 356 conditions. Whether warmer nocturnal thermal conditions are sufficient to increase 357 358 performance levels of all traits necessary for activity therefore will rely on an individual's thermoregulation capacities and requirements (Kearney, Shine & Porter, 2009; Vickers et al., 359 2011). Among diurnal thermoregulators, species predominantly use heat from the sun to 360 reach a body temperature within the range that favours performance (Angilletta, 2009; 361 Kearney et al., 2009). In the absence of solar radiation, the potential for thermoregulation is 362 restricted to heat conduction from substrates that emit heat accumulated during the day and 363 364 thus requires the use of a behavioural thermoregulation strategy based on thigmothermy rather than heliothermy (Huey et al., 1989; Kearney & Predavec, 2000; Nordberg & 365 Schwarzkopf, 2019). Despite numerous studies showing that nocturnal species are capable of 366 exploiting such thermal opportunities (Kearney & Predavec, 2000; Vidan et al., 2017), little 367 368 is known about this ability in diurnal thermoregulators (see Section VI.1). 369 Third, the use of a favourable nocturnal thermal environment can also be constrained by diurnal activity patterns and thermoregulation preferences. For example, one field study 370 showed that exploitation of warmer artificial shelters differed between two sympatric 371 372 colubrid snakes (Hierophis viridiflavus and Zamenis longissimus). Such artificial shelters improved nocturnal digestion rates significantly for *H. viridiflavus* (by up to 25%) compared 373 to Z. longissimus (4.4% increase) (Lelièvre et al., 2010). This pattern could be explained by 374

375 differences in diurnal thermal preferences between these species: H. viridiflavus is considered to be thermophilic, with higher preferred body temperatures (27.5-31.1 °C) relative to Z. 376 longissimus. As such, H. viridiflavus favoured the warmer artificial refuges over natural ones 377 during the daytime. This preferential diurnal use of artificial structures also allowed this 378 species to benefit from warmer nocturnal conditions and therefore to increase its RMR and 379 nocturnal digestion rate. By contrast, Z. longissimus favoured cooler environments (21.5-380 25.5 °C) and did not exploit the artificial shelters during the daytime, hence missing an 381 opportunity to exploit a warmer nocturnal thermal habitat. 382

383 Overall, although occasional nocturnal activity (de Mesquita, Passos & Rodrigues, 2012)

384 or prolonged switches to nocturnal and cathemeral patterns have been reported for squamates

385 (Seifan *et al.*, 2010; Sperry *et al.*, 2013; Mata-Silva *et al.*, 2018; Lara Resendiz, 2019;

386 Mukherjee & Mohan, 2022), there remains a need for further studies of nocturnal

387 thermoregulation strategies of squamates and their relationship with daytime

388 thermoregulation.

389 (3) Summer heatwave and nocturnal warming: a case study in a diurnal snake

To address further the impact of warm nocturnal conditions on resting body temperature and 390 nocturnal thermoregulation, we analysed unpublished body temperature data for the asp viper 391 (Vipera aspis) and Te data from a viper biomimetic model, both collected by M. Guillon and 392 393 O. Lourdais during the 2003 European mega-heatwave (Garcia-Herrera et al., 2010; Russo, 394 Sillmann & Fischer, 2015) (see Appendix S3 for details). The asp viper is a typical diurnal and heliothermic snake species. Mean \pm SD T_{pref} for non-reproductive individuals is 30.6 \pm 395 4.2 °C (Lorioux, Lisse & Lourdais, 2013). At night, vipers' shelter in underground refuges to 396 avoid predation. 397

398 During the study, seventeen hot days (maximum air temperature in the shade 30–35 °C)
399 and eleven very hot days (>35 °C) were identified by the French national meteorological

400 agency (Météo France) classification (Fig. 5). Daily maximum surface temperature in the enclosure (mean \pm SE; 40.48 \pm 9.27 °C) significantly influenced the minimum temperature in 401 the refuge in the following night (19.98 ± 2.57 °C; ANOVA, $\beta = 0.24 \pm 0.02$, $F_{1.64} = 87.61$, 402 403 P<0.005; Table S3 in Appendix S3), likely due to ground thermal inertia (Rosen & Lowe, 1994). Despite extremely high operative temperatures during the daytime (Fig. 5), vipers 404 were able to thermoregulate efficiently during the daytime: individuals avoided overheating 405 and maintained a mean diurnal maximum body temperature of 31.8 ± 2.7 °C, which is very 406 close to their T_{pref} . At night, body temperature followed closely the thermal conditions 407 408 recorded in the shelters (Figs 5 and 6). Mean nocturnal body temperatures (recorded between 22:00 and 08:00) were influenced both by previous day type ($F_{2,60} = 256.95$, P < 0.005) and 409 minimum shelter temperature during that night ($\beta = 0.62 \pm 0.06$, $F_{1,60} = 127.92$, P<0.005; the 410 interaction term was not significant ($F_{2,60} = 1.28$, P = 0.28; Table S4 in Appendix S3). 411 Nocturnal body temperatures were higher during nights following very hot days and hot days 412 compared to those following normal days (mean \pm SE = 25.37 \pm 1.14 °C and 22.42 \pm 1.43 °C 413 *versus* 19.34 ± 1.51 °C, respectively). During the night, temperatures within a burrow are 414 often warmer than temperatures at the surface (Fig. 5), allowing vipers within their overnight 415 416 refuge to maintain nocturnal body temperatures sometimes exceeding the body temperature recorded for actively thermoregulating vipers during the day. This pattern was particularly 417 pronounced during the peak of the heatwave from 1 to 12 August (Fig. 5). 418 419 Together, these observations confirm, for this heliothermic diurnal species, a 'passive'

influence of nocturnal refuge conditions on body temperature. While individuals remained in
their shelter we did not detect any foraging attempts (as shown by the synchrony between
nocturnal body temperature and shelter temperature despite warm surface temperatures)
(mean 25.97 ± 10.76 °C). Shelter use by this diurnal species may allow them to avoid
predation or may result from an intrinsic circadian rhythm. It is also likely that shelter-use

behaviours limit water loss and are thus important in hydroregulation, as demonstrated
experimentally by Dezetter, Le Gallliard & Lourdais (2022). However, the likely increase in
SMR during the warmest nights could potentially affect energy balance and lead to a loss of
body condition (Zhao *et al.*, 2014; Speights *et al.*, 2017). Further, any negative impacts on
body reserves during non-reproductive years in capital breeding species such as the asp viper
could influence reproductive success in the following year (Lourdais *et al.*, 2002; Lourdais *et al.*, 2003; see Section VI.3).

432 IV. BALANCE BETWEEN COSTS AND BENEFITS: A MODELLING APPROACH

433 That ectotherms might be constrained in their capacity to forage at night despite warmer nocturnal conditions, themselves associated with higher SMRs, raises a second question: do 434 warmer nights entail energetic costs that cannot be compensated by diurnal feeding activity? 435 To answer this question and to explore the energetic costs and benefits of warmer $T_{\rm NS}$, we 436 437 extended the energy-balance model of Huey & Kingsolver (2019) (see Appendix S4). Their original model quantifies the daily net energy gain (NEG_d) of an active, thermoconforming 438 439 ectothermic predator at different environmental temperatures. Their model assumes that the net energy budget depends on energetic input from food intake and assimilation, and output 440 from energy expenditure. By extending this model we partition an active phase [i.e. diurnal 441 phase with positive attack rates (AR) and metabolic rates (MR)] from a resting phase (i.e. 442 nocturnal phase with zero AR but positive MR) and disentangle the effects of warmer $T_{\rm D}$ and 443 444 $T_{\rm N}$. Note that whereas Huey & Kingsolver (2019) assumed a Type I functional response where food intake increases linearly with food density, we assume a more realistic Type II 445 functional response (Englund et al., 2011) where food intake increases with resource density 446 447 at low prey availability (in proportion to AR) but saturates at high prey availability (in proportion to handling and ingestion time and satiation; see Fig. S1 in Appendix S4). Also 448

note that we chose default parameters to match the thermal biology of an "average" species,

450 but our qualitative predictions should hold for different parameterisations.

451 (1) Model development

We provide here a brief description of our model and refer readers to Appendix S4 for a full 452 description and the R code. The NEG_d (i.e. the energy derived from food consumption and 453 assimilation) includes a positive input from a temperature (T)- and resource (R)-dependent 454 455 functional response (FR_{R,T}) and a negative output (MR_{τ}) from energy expenditure associated with basal metabolism and body maintenance. Energy input is scaled by the conversion 456 efficiency during food assimilation α set to 69% and assumed to be independent of 457 temperature in all simulations (Levy et al., 2017). Energy output is implemented as a 458 temperature-dependent MR (the energy expended per unit time): 459

$$NEG_{R,T} = \alpha * FR_{R,T} - MR_T$$
(1)

461 The Type II functional response $(FR_{R,T})$ is given by:

462
$$FR_{R,T} = \frac{AR_{T}*R}{1 + AR_{T}*R/IR_{T}}$$
(2)

where R denotes the resource density (arbitrarily fixed to R = 2 in all examples), AR_T is the 463 temperature-dependent attack rate, and IR_T is the temperature-dependent ingestion rate. The 464 body temperature dependence of the AR and IR were both modelled using Arrhenius-like 465 equations as recommended by Englund et al. (2011). We scaled all parameters of AR and IR 466 467 (see equations S6 and S7 in Appendix S4) to have a unimodal relationship with body temperature and a maximum of 1 at an optimal body temperature [T_{opt} ; here, $T_{opt} = 30$ °C, 468 which is within the range of optimal temperatures for locomotion and food consumption in 469 470 lizards (Clusella-Trullas & Chown, 2014)], and used empirical data from the meta-analysis of Englund et al. (2011) to derive parameters for these equations (see Fig. S2 in Appendix S4). 471 We first set a default metabolic rate with a basal value of 1 at 20 °C (DMR₂₀) and assumed 472 that it would increase exponentially with the inverse of body temperature (in °K) according to 473

474 equation S10. Note that in our simulations, DMR₂₀ was then set to 1, 5, 10 or 15% of the maximum energy intake at 20 °C (Andrews & Pough, 1985). At each time-step, the air 475 temperature T was estimated using an asymmetric 24-h periodic function that provides a good 476 477 description of diel cycles in above-ground air temperature (Parton & Logan, 1981) (see Fig. S3 in Appendix S4). Because our focus was on nocturnal warming, we kept maximum 478 diurnal temperatures ($T_{D, max}$) constant (40 °C) across all simulations, while varying minimum 479 nocturnal temperatures ($T_{N, min}$) from 0 to 30 °C (Fig. S3). 480 We computed the net energy gain for each hour (NEG_h), summing these over the 24-h 481 482 cycle to obtain NEG_d. All simulations and statistical analyses were performed with R

483 statistical software (version 3.3.2, R Development Core Team, 2023).

484 (2) Modelled scenarios: nocturnal temperature and thermoregulation pattern

485 To validate our model, we first (Scenario 0, see Appendix S4) simulated a perfect 486 thermoconformer (i.e. an animal that spends no time or metabolic energy on behavioural thermoregulation). Unlike other scenarios, Scenario 0 was set in an environment with 487 variations in $T_{D, max}$ and in resource density, but no variations in $T_{N, min}$. As in Huey & 488 Kingsolver (2019), this model highlighted that reduced resource levels in warmer diurnal 489 490 environments trigger a 'metabolic meltdown', i.e. declining energy intake paired with increased energetic expenditure and a reduced activity opportunity due to warmer diurnal 491 492 maximum temperatures in the middle of the day.

We then compared five alternative scenarios to investigate the impacts of warmer nights (i.e. $T_{N, min}$) on species with different thermoregulation strategies and foraging styles (Table 1). In Scenario 1, we assumed a thermoconforming ectotherm with 24 h foraging activity. This allowed us to investigate effects of minimum T_N in the absence of time partitioning of activity and of any behavioural control of body temperature. In Scenario 2, we extended the model to the case of a thermoconforming ectotherm with an activity cycle based on

499 photoperiod. This organism was active during the day (07:00 to 20:00) and inactive over the night (21:00 to 06:00). To make this tractable without explicitly modelling kinematics of 500 handling, gut passage time and assimilation of food (Levy et al., 2017), we assumed that 501 502 foraging behaviour (i.e. AR) was only possible between 07:00 and 20:00 (AR, IR & MR all >0); IR and MR were calculated all over the full 24-h period (AR = 0 while IR & MR >0). In 503 Scenario 3, we applied the model to a perfectly thermoregulating ectotherm (i.e. a species 504 505 that maintains body temperature within a range of temperatures optimal for performance) for which the activity window was possible over the 24-h daily cycle but limited by 506 507 environmental temperatures. This scenario thus represents an ectothermic predator able to extend its foraging activity into the night when environmental temperatures are suitable. 508 509 Here, we assumed that AR was limited by a lower (18 °C) and upper threshold (40 °C) corresponding to typical values of VT_{min} and VT_{max} for foraging in many terrestrial lizards 510 [see Rozen-Rechels et al. (2020) for an example]. Moreover, as the organism was able to 511 thermoregulate, we assumed that it could maintain a body temperature of 30 °C matching the 512 T_{opt} for foraging whenever environmental temperatures exceeded T_{opt} . Scenario 4 illustrates 513 the case of a perfect thermoregulator with an activity window delimited by both temperature 514 and photoperiod. As in Scenario 2, AR was limited by daylight, while IR and MR were 515 dependent only on body temperature. As in Scenario 3, this scenario assumes body 516 517 temperature during daytime was 30 °C whenever environmental temperatures exceeded 30°C 518 (i.e. efficient behavioural thermoregulation). The final scenario (Scenario 5) describes a 519 nocturnal thermoregulator, with a foraging activity window strictly delimited to the night (20:00 to 07:00). For this scenario, AR was positive at night and depended on body 520 521 temperature, while IR and MR depend only on body temperature. We assume the species is capable of selecting retreat sites to maintain a diurnal body temperature close to 30 °C (T_{pref}) 522 whenever diurnal environmental temperatures exceeded 30 °C (Kearney & Predavec, 2000; 523

Tan & Schwanz, 2015; Chukwuka *et al.*, 2021). At night, foraging activity is delimited by VT_{min} and VT_{max}, which are fixed at 15 °C and 35 °C because those values are typically lower in nocturnal than diurnal ectotherm species.

For all scenarios (with the exception of Scenario 0), we evaluated the response of NEG_d to varying minimum $T_{\rm NS}$ ranging from 0 to 30 °C. We also evaluated varying resting metabolic expenditure by using DMR₂₀ values of 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.15 (Andrews & Pough, 1985) to allow us to characterise the effects of nocturnal warming on species with increasing resting metabolic rates.

532 (3) Results and conclusions

The model highlights that the consequences of nocturnal warming for an organism's daily 533 energy budget depend on a species' thermoregulatory behaviour and activity patterns. First, 534 535 the model shows that, for diurnal species, for a given foraging activity window and regardless of the thermal ecology of the species (Scenarios 1–4), a higher DMR₂₀ (lower lines in blue 536 and green on Fig. 7) involves a lower net energy gain. In natural conditions, this difference 537 could be compensated by increased food intake provided that sufficient resources are 538 available in the environment and until the required amount of energy exceeds the satiation 539 point in the case of a Type II functional response (Huey & Kingsolver, 2019). 540 Second, in all scenarios the model has an optimal $T_N(T_{N,opt})$ for the NEG_d (Fig. 7, circles). 541 Below this optimum, an increase of T_N increases NEG_d. Above it, NEG_d decreases and, in 542 543 some cases, even becomes negative (Scenario 2). Thus, whenever T_N exceeds the $T_{N,opt}$, the concomitant increase in MR is not compensated by the AR, resulting in an energetic debt. 544

- 545 Note that for higher DMR₂₀ (and more restricted activity patterns), $T_{N,opt}$ is lower. To
- 546 compensate for this, we expect foraging effort to increase in ectotherms with higher energy
- 547 demands when T_N is higher in the absence of specific constraints on nocturnal foraging
- 548 activity (i.e. Scenarios 2 & 4; see Section III.2). Such a change in foraging effort driven by

nocturnal warming could have important consequences on food-web dynamics (see Section VI.4) as already demonstrated by several studies on insects (Barton & Schmitz, 2018; Ma *et al.*, 2020). It is also possible that changes of DMR *via* metabolic plasticity (Norin, Malte & Clark, 2016; Sun *et al.*, 2022) would help individuals to acclimatise to warmer T_N . Similarly, inter-individual variation in DMR may facilitate adaptation to higher T_N by lowering DMR in the population through natural selection.

Third, comparing different activity patterns and thermoregulation strategies, we found that 555 species limited only by temperature in their activity (Scenarios 1 and 3) generally performed 556 better than species also limited by daylight (Scenarios 2 and 4), even when $T_{\rm NS}$ were high. 557 This confirms the idea that ectotherms with flexible daily activity patterns should benefit (up 558 to a certain point) from warmer T_N , whereas strictly diurnal species are likely to be more 559 constrained. Furthermore, compared to thermoconformers (Scenarios 1 and 2), the ability of 560 thermoregulators (Scenarios 3 and 4) to optimise their body temperature over a larger part of 561 the daytime provides a buffer against the negative energetic impacts of warmer nights beyond 562 the $T_{N,opt}$ for NEG_d (i.e. slopes are less negative beyond $T_{N,opt}$). This is not surprising as 563 efficient thermoregulators can maintain a higher foraging rate despite diurnal environmental 564 temperatures exceeding T_{opt} , and can therefore reduce the cost of a higher metabolism during 565 the warmest part of the day. 566

Finally, nocturnal species (Scenario 5) show the greatest relative energetic benefit from warmer nights, as illustrated by the largest amplitude of NEG_d gained at warmer nocturnal temperatures compared to diurnal species (Fig. 7). From low to intermediate minimum temperatures, NEG_d benefits exponentially from increased ARs with increasing temperatures. Once minimum T_N reaches VT_{min}, the increase in NEG_d plateaus until it reaches $T_{N,opt}$. There are two possible explanations for this pattern. First, foraging activity (AR) may be maximal and therefore there is no further opportunity to increase energetic income. Second, with

574 increasing minimum T_N thermal conditions will exceed VT_{max} over a larger part of the night, reducing AR and energetic income. Above $T_{N,opt}$, minimum temperature exceeds VT_{max} for 575 the majority of the night and foraging is highly restricted, resulting in an drop in NEG_d. Also 576 577 note that for the highest DMR₂₀, NEG_d is only positive over a short range of temperature in nocturnal species. This result may be related to our parameterisation of the model where we 578 decided not to modify the AR functions for the nocturnal species. Instead, several studies 579 have reported higher efficiency of locomotion at low temperatures in nocturnal squamates, 580 which would translate to a higher AR at low temperatures (Autumn et al., 1999; Hare et al., 581 2007) (see Fig. S15 in Appendix S4). Similarly, a higher MR efficiency at low temperatures 582 is a common assumption for such species (Autumn et al., 1999; Kearney & Porter, 2004). 583 Adjusting these two characteristics could reduce $T_{N,opt}$ and thereby increase the benefit of 584 585 warmer nights for nocturnal species (see Scenario 5 in Appendix S4 for an illustration). Whether warmer nights will benefit all nocturnal species yet remains to be confirmed. 586 Nocturnal ectotherms are rarely active over the entire night and temperatures below VT_{min} 587 also represent thermal refugia for these species (Kearney & Porter, 2004). A reduction in the 588 time dedicated to rest and recovery thus could entail negative fitness effects in nocturnal 589 590 ectotherms too (see Section VI.1).

Altogether, our model confirms that approaches based only on instantaneous TPCs will 591 provide an incomplete picture, by failing to consider the temporal dynamics and trade-offs of 592 593 different performances involved in maintenance, foraging, digestion and energy balance. Energetic intake and expenditure must be integrated over the entire day, and potentially over 594 an individual's lifetime to measure the actual cost-benefit balance of warmer nights. This 595 596 point has been made previously in other recent studies using TPCs to make predictions about the temperature dependence of fitness traits (Kingsolver & Woods, 2016; Sinclair et al., 597 598 2016).

599 (4) Empirical analysis of energy balance in the European common lizard

Testing our model's predictions would require empirical data on food intake and energy 600 balance in ectotherms at different $T_{\rm NS}$ with different activity and foraging patterns, as well as 601 602 different thermoregulation strategies. Suitable unpublished information about food intake and energy balance was recorded by Brusch IV et al. (2023) in an experiment designed to 603 quantify the effects of $T_{\rm N}$, $T_{\rm D}$ and water availability on the costs of reproduction and 604 reproductive success in European common lizards (see Appendix S5 for details). Female 605 common lizards use mixed income and capital breeding strategies and feed during 606 607 reproduction to fuel their energy investment during mating, vitellogenesis (i.e. yolk formation) and gestation (Bleu et al., 2013; Brusch IV et al., 2023). In this experiment, body 608 609 mass of females increased during gestation (+1.8 g during 40-60 days) due to an increase in somatic mass at the beginning of gestation and to egg growth at the end of gestation, mostly 610 from water uptake. In addition, there was a temporal pattern in mass change with a rapid 611 increase in body mass during the first two-thirds of gestation followed by a plateau in the last 612 third of gestation (Brusch IV et al., 2023). The average weekly mass gain of gravid females 613 correlated positively with their weekly food intake with a food mass to body mass conversion 614 rate of 0.31 (linear regression, $F_{1.631}$ =311.6, P < 0.001, $\beta \pm SE = 0.31 \pm 0.02$). The best 615 repeated measures model describing the weekly food mass to body mass conversion revealed 616 617 a positive interaction term between $T_{\rm D}$ and time ($F_{1.498}$ =12.2, P < 0.005; Table S5 in Appendix S5) but a negative effect of T_N ($F_{1,128} = 4.05$, P = 0.046, $\beta \pm SE = -0.55 \pm 0.02$), 618 thus confirming our models' predictions of potential negative effects of night-time warming 619 on energy balance. In addition, it was found that females had lower post-parturition body 620 621 condition in hot- than in cold-night conditions (see Brusch IV et al., 2023). Together, these results indicate asymmetric effects of nocturnal and daytime warming on the energy budget 622

of a strictly diurnal species, unable to extend its activity and foraging into the night (see alsoSection VI.3).

625 V. CHRONIC EFFECTS OF NOCTURNAL WARMING

626 (1) Warmer nights entail delayed costs in diurnal species

In an experimental study, Zhao et al. (2014) demonstrated that nocturnal warming 627 significantly enhanced the development rate of English grain aphid (*Sitobion avenae*) 628 629 nymphs. However, chronic exposure to warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ also resulted in a dramatic reduction in the survival and reproductive performance of adults, leading to a 30% decrease in population 630 631 growth rate. These results highlight the importance of considering the lifetime and chronic consequences of warmer nights. To explore this in more detail, we first review different 632 mechanisms by which warmer nights could entail long-term fitness costs, before illustrating 633 634 these costs using the common lizard as a model species.

First, warmer nocturnal environments are known to affect sleep quality and therefore to 635 compromise rest and recovery (Tougeron & Abram, 2017) (Fig. 2). During the sleep phase, 636 metabolism usually decreases, providing an opportunity to repair cellular or tissue damage 637 (Didomenico, Bugaisky & Lindquist, 1982) and to modulate immune responses, cognition 638 (e.g. memory consolidation) and waste clearance (e.g. reactive oxygen species, ROS) 639 (Sharma & Kavuru, 2010). For young individuals (e.g. neonates) sleep is also important for 640 641 brain maturation and neuromuscular development in reptiles (Libourel & Herrel, 2016). In 642 insects, warmer nights affect the central oscillator complex (i.e. a transcription-translation feedback loop of five genes regulating circadian rhythms) (Saunders *et al.*, 2002; Beck, 2012) 643 and perturb the internal clock (Dunlap, 1999). In both cases, the end result is 644 645 desynchronisation of the photo- and thermoperiod, forcing an active state when individuals are typically asleep (Kayser, Yue & Sehgal, 2014). 646

647 Examples of costs related to sleep disturbance for vertebrate ectotherms are rare in the literature. Yet, an indirect demonstration of such costs comes from studies of warming effects 648 on the resistance of amphibians to environmental stressors such as heavy metal pollution 649 650 (Hallman & Brooks, 2015) or pathogenic contamination (Neely et al., 2020). In both cases, experimental reduction of $T_{\rm NS}$ (hence, a better resting environment) significantly increased 651 the survival potential of individuals to the stressor, especially for cold-adapted species. In 652 other words, the higher the quantity of resources mobilised to cope with a nocturnal heat 653 stress and the lower the resting quality, the fewer resources remained to cope with the 654 655 contaminant.

Another long-term cost of warmer nights may be the accumulation of metabolic by-656 products resulting from nocturnal activity. In squamates, the maintenance of active metabolic 657 rates below T_{opt} (i.e. typical scenario during warmer nights; Fig. 1) can be particularly 658 challenging. Physiological activity at suboptimal temperatures (i.e. below the thermal 659 performance optimum) may induce stronger oxidative stress via sustained mitochondrial 660 activities and associated ROS production, while also impeding antioxidant defences and 661 lowering repair capabilities [see Ritchie & Friesen (2022) for a review]. Eventually, chronic 662 exposure to warmer conditions at night and the resulting oxidative stress may affect 663 individual life-history trajectories by trading off higher investments in immediate 664 665 physiological activities (and in the management of their by-products) against longevity and/or 666 lifetime reproductive success (Monaghan, Metcalfe & Torres, 2009; Speakman et al., 2015). Among the cellular mechanisms involved in such a response, oxidative stress can favour 667 telomere erosion (i.e. the protective DNA sequences capping the end of chromosomes), 668 669 accelerate aging rates and hasten senescence (Reichert, Stier & Stier, 2017; Chatelain, Drobniak & Szulkin, 2020; Burraco et al., 2022). To illustrate this, a recent conceptual model 670 (i.e. the 'aging loop hypothesis') was developed from empirical evidence on the European 671

common lizard (Dupoué *et al.*, 2022). This mechanistic model investigated the effects of
increasing temperatures, physiological stress and population dynamics and posited that
warmer conditions during resting phases can accelerate the pace of life of a diurnal
ectotherm, with deleterious effects accumulating across generations through biomarkers such
as telomeric DNA, leading eventually to population collapse.

Several other short-term benefits associated with increased metabolic activity (e.g. faster 677 678 growth rate, higher gut-passage rates, earlier onset of reproduction) may require trade-offs in energy allocation between maintenance, growth or reproduction and survival, ultimately 679 imposing long-term costs that reduce an individual's fitness (see Section VI.3) (Metcalfe, 680 Monaghan & Metcalfe, 2001; Bestion et al., 2015; Dupoué et al., 2017b). It is thus clear that 681 it will only be possible to understand the impacts of nocturnal warming by integrating its 682 683 effects over the entire life of an individual, rather than by focusing only on short-term positive effects (Zhao et al., 2014; Rutschmann et al., 2021). 684

685 (2) Can diurnal ectotherms mitigate the impacts of chronic nocturnal warming?

Without further empirical studies, it is difficult to evaluate to what extent long-term effects of 686 warmer $T_{\rm N}$ will impact ectotherms in natural conditions, or whether some species have the 687 capacity to mitigate at least some of the chronic costs associated with nocturnal warming, and 688 thus maintain high fitness (Battisti et al., 2005; Clarke & Zani, 2012; Moore et al., 2020). 689 690 One potential way to mitigate energetic costs associated with warmer nocturnal environments 691 lies in the capacity of squamates to select cooler refugia when usual resting environments are too warm. Yet limited data are available regarding the ability of diurnal thermoregulators to 692 extend their thermoregulatory behaviour overnight. A common assumption is that such 693 694 capacities are limited, because $T_{\rm NS}$ are uniformly low among thermal refugia, i.e. natural selection operating on nocturnal thermoregulation (which depends on the spatial thermal 695 heterogeneity of retreat sites) is likely to be weak for diurnal species (Huey et al., 2021a). 696

697 Recent studies have reported previously undocumented nocturnal basking activity for tropical crocodilians and turtles (McKnight et al., 2023; Nordberg & McKnight, 2023). While 698 individuals normally rest in water at night, occurrences of terrestrial nocturnal basking were 699 700 recorded in nights with high water surface temperatures. One hypothesis is that air temperatures offer a cool thermal refuge from waters exceeding T_{pref} (e.g. > 30 °C). Such 701 702 nocturnal basking behaviour was however seen in only 13 of the 29 freshwater turtle species 703 studied by McKnight et al. (2023), highlighting heterogeneity in the ability of diurnal species to alter their nocturnal behaviour in a context of warming nights. 704 705 Further, because warmer nocturnal environments come with some immediate benefits (e.g. digestion, growth), it is difficult to confirm whether organisms seek cooler resting 706 707 temperatures via thermoregulation behaviour and thus forfeit such benefits to avoid potential 708 long-term fitness costs. Most studies focusing on the responses of individuals to immediate benefits versus long-term costs suggest that animals favour short-term over long-term 709 consequences (Drent & Daan, 1980; Metcalfe et al., 2001; Stephens & Anderson, 2001; 710 711 Stephens, Kerr & Ferna, 2004; Stevens & Stephens, 2010). Another possible route for diurnal ectotherms to cope with warmer T_N is through plasticity 712 of their diurnal behaviour or physiology (Sun et al., 2022) so as to limit the negative effects 713 of exposure to warmer $T_{\rm N}$. Studies on the European common lizard found correlations among 714 715 several physiological traits and warmer nocturnal environments, implying that plasticity may 716 serve as a buffer to nocturnal warming (see Section V.3 for detailed examples). It is also 717 possible that, despite costs associated with foraging and exploring a nocturnal environment (e.g. predation risk, absence of light, adjustments to internal clock), individuals could adopt 718 719 an energetically conservative hunting behaviour at night such as 'sit-and-wait' or 'sit-andpursue' strategies rather than active foraging-hunting (Kruse, Toft & Suncerland, 2008; 720 721 Schmitz & Barton, 2014), although this requires empirical investigation.

722 (3) Quantitative insights from the European common lizard

To illustrate the chronic effects of warming nights, we gathered published data collected by 723 our laboratories on the European common lizard (see Fig. 8). This species has a broad 724 725 geographic distribution, extending across Europe and Asia, and evolved in cold mesic environments. The common lizard has been a key model squamate species used to understand 726 the responses of vertebrate ectotherms to global warming (Chamaille-Jammes et al., 2006; 727 728 Bestion et al., 2015; Massot et al., 2017; Rozen-Rechels et al., 2020; Horreo & Fitze, 2022). As expected, experimental and comparative studies have highlighted positive effects of 729 730 warmer nights. Notably, lizards exposed to warmer T_N showed increased growth rates and a shorter gestation time. For example, a 40 day-long experimental exposure to warmer nights 731 (+5 °C) increased mean body growth rate in yearling individuals by almost 20% 732 (Rutschmann et al., 2021). Furthermore, both experimental and comparative studies reported 733 positive effects on female reproductive phenology, with warmer nights (+5 °C) accelerating 734 gestation time by almost 30% (Brusch IV et al., 2023). Other studies identified potential costs 735 736 of warmer $T_{\rm N}$. First, energy allocation to rapid growth involves a trade-off with energy reserves (i.e. energy storage). For example, yearling lizards exposed to warmer T_N during 38 737 days displayed higher growth rates, but also lower body condition and lower levels of fat 738 reserves (i.e. tail volume) than yearlings in the control treatment (Rutschmann et al., 2021). 739 740 Similarly, in females, earlier parturition in a warmer nocturnal treatment was associated with 741 a smaller litter size (~5 versus 6 juveniles per litter) (Brusch IV et al., 2023, Dupoué et al., in preparation), lower litter mass (~33% reduction in mass per juvenile) and lower *postpartum* 742 body condition (Brusch IV et al., 2023). In both cases, it appears that significant quantities of 743 744 energy had to be mobilised to compensate for the accelerated pace of life, potentially reducing the amount of energy available for other critical maintenance functions, such as 745 746 immunity (e.g. ectoparasite infestations; Rutschmann et al., 2021). Contrary to our previous

747 predictions regarding enhanced generation of ROS from elevated metabolic rates, warmer $T_{\rm N}$ did not result in higher levels of oxidative stress (no significant effect on oxygen metabolites 748 and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity; Fig. 8) (Dupoué et al., 2020; Rutschmann et al., 749 750 2021). It remains difficult to conclude whether the absence of an oxidative stress response 751 reflects an actual absence of stress, whether individuals managed to mitigate this acute stress, or whether the markers analysed were not appropriate to characterise the oxidative stress 752 related to increased resting metabolic activity and/or sleep deprivation (Zhang et al., 2023). 753 In fact, in a comparative study across 10 populations, telomere length (an integrative measure 754 of cellular aging) was found to decrease at higher T_N (no effect of T_D was detected), 755 confirming that common lizards are physiologically challenged in warmer nocturnal 756 757 environments (Dupoué et al., 2017b). This finding also confirms that T_N-related stresses can 758 have chronic effects. This conclusion was strengthened by a non-linear correlation between $T_{\rm N}$ and the extinction risk of a population: collapsing populations experienced warmer 759 minimum T_N (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017*b*). 760

761 Other indirect arguments supporting a detrimental impact of warmer nights come from the many relationships found between $T_{\rm N}$ and physiological and behavioural traits of common 762 lizards. There was a negative relationship between minimum $T_{\rm N}$ and baseline corticosterone 763 levels (a glucocorticoid-type hormone involved in energy regulation, immune reactions and 764 stress responses) of adult and yearling females (Dupoué et al., 2018), with warmer nights 765 766 correlated with lower baseline corticosterone levels. The authors suggested that high $T_{\rm N}$ may exacerbate chronic stress such that individuals regularly exposed to increased $T_{\rm N}$ 767 768 downregulate corticosterone secretion to reduce activity levels, mitigate maintenance costs, 769 and ultimately conserve energy and water. Other physiological parameters such as osmolality changes (Brusch IV et al., 2023), evaporative water loss (Dupoué et al., 2017c), heating rates 770 771 (Rutschmann et al., 2020), prey consumption rate (Brusch IV et al., 2023) or changes in

772 dorsal colouration (Rutschmann et al., 2021) were all correlated with T_N consistently with buffering of the impact of warmer nights (Fig. 8). Note however that no behavioural 773 adjustment via thermoregulation preferences has been detected so far for the common lizard 774 (Rutschmann et al., 2021; Rozen-Rechels et al., 2021). Rather, unpublished results show an 775 opposite pattern: male common lizards favoured relatively warm nocturnal body temperatures 776 when exposed to a temperature gradient (10-50 °C) in thermal preference laboratory tests 777 (mean \pm SE: diurnal $T_{\text{pref}} = 29.9 \pm 2.35$ °C; nocturnal $T_{\text{pref}} = 26.7 \pm 3.3$ °C; C. Perry & J. Cote, 778

unpublished observations). 779

783

780 VI. NOCTURNAL WARMING: OPEN QUESTIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Above we considered both immediate and long-term costs and benefits of nocturnal warming. 781 It may also have wider eco-evolutionary ramifications and many other questions remain 782 unaddressed. Below we consider five questions that could be explored in future research.

(1) Are the effects of warmer nights similar for diurnal and nocturnal species? 784

The diel activity pattern describes when a species is most active during the day-night cycle 785 (i.e. diurnal, nocturnal or crepuscular), or whether it exhibits activity during both day and 786 night (i.e. cathemeral species) or whether it shows flexibility between different activity 787

strategies. It is thought that the ancestral state in reptiles was strict nocturnality. Yet, 788

diurnality is now the dominant pattern for many lizard species (around 70%) with 789

790 heterogeneous frequencies among clades (Kearney & Predavec, 2000; Gamble et al., 2015;

791 Vidan et al., 2017; Slavenko et al., 2022). In snakes, ancestral clades are mostly nocturnal,

with the exception of derived species within the family Colubridae, which are mainly diurnal 792

(Gibbons & Semlitsch, 1987; Anderson & Wiens, 2017). In general, crepuscular or 793

794 cathemeral species are rarer in reptiles (i.e. 254 species identified in a recent review of the

literature; Cox & Gaston, 2023), and examples of intra-specific flexibility in daily activity 795

796 patterns are scarce (Abom et al., 2012; Degregorio et al., 2014; Vidan et al., 2017). Reasons

for this lack of flexibility include sensory constraints, the role of predation or resource
availability, and adaptations to nocturnal life, including more efficient performance at low
than high temperatures, especially for locomotion (Autumn *et al.*, 1999; Llewelyn, Shine &
Webb, 2005; Ibargüengoytía *et al.*, 2007; Anderson & Wiens, 2017; Dayananda, Jeffree &
Webb, 2020). Thus, most species might be constrained by their strict diurnal or nocturnal
lifestyles, with only a minority able to adjust their activity to warmer nocturnal climates
(McCain & King, 2014).

In nocturnal species, which can be good thermoregulators (Kearney & Predavec, 2000; 804 805 Nordberg & Schwarzkopf, 2019; Chukwuka et al., 2021), metabolism, physiological performance, locomotor activity and prey capture are generally constrained by low T_N 806 807 (Autumn et al., 1999; Kruse et al., 2008; Hare et al., 2010). As long as they do not exceed CT_{max} (Sunday et al., 2014; Garcia-Robledo et al., 2018), warmer T_{NS} should therefore 808 favour these species by extending their opportunities for activity and foraging (Kearney & 809 Porter, 2004; Scenario 5 in Fig. 7). For example, Kearney & Porter (2004) estimated that 810 811 food consumption dedicated to maintenance in the Australian nocturnal gecko Heteronotia *binoei* would differ by 6.3 g per night between the coldest (2.2 g) and warmest (8.5 g) part of 812 the species distribution. On warmer nights, the encounter rate necessary to fulfil such 813 requirements was calculated to be relatively low (0.03 g/h), because the activity window is 814 815 large. On colder nights, due to activity restrictions, this encounter rate was estimated to be 816 almost six times higher (0.17 g/h). This example illustrates how warmer nights can increase activity opportunities and facilitate resource acquisition in nocturnal reptiles. 817 However, just as for diurnal species, an extension of activity into longer periods of the 818

However, just as for diurnal species, an extension of activity into longer periods of the
night does not necessarily translate into fitness benefits in all nocturnal species. First, greater
opportunities for nocturnal activity can be limited by an increased risk of encountering
predators. Apart from nocturnal predators (which could also see their activity period

822 enlarged), diurnal predators could show a switch towards nocturnal activity and act as new agents of selection. Second, as seen in H. binoei higher MRs during warmer nights need to be 823 balanced by higher resource acquisition. If prey activity does not match nocturnal predators' 824 825 increase in activity, encounter rates could decrease and nocturnal predators could face metabolic meltdown (Huey & Kingsolver, 2019). Finally, for nocturnal species subject to 826 increasing $T_{\rm N}$, the time spent at low temperatures ($T_{\rm e}$ <VT_{min}) will be restricted to shorter 827 portions of the late night. Over the day, temperatures are often high enough to allow 828 thermoregulation and physiological activity, even within diurnal refuges (Kearney & 829 830 Predavec, 2000; Croak et al., 2012; Chukwuka et al., 2020). Thus, the time window available for metabolic recovery may be limited and could shrink further in a context of nocturnal 831 warming, leading to long-term negative effects. 832

833 The long-term effects of nocturnal warming on nocturnal species are however difficult to predict without further data. We suggest that a formal framework for comparing different 834 modes of activity should take into account differences in exposure to nocturnal warming (i.e. 835 modes are not evenly distributed across the globe) and in thermal sensitivity (especially using 836 the formalism of TPCs). We also suggest that future research focuses on nocturnal 837 thermoregulatory abilities and nocturnal heating and cooling rates of diurnal species (Lelièvre 838 et al., 2010). The analysis of species with flexible diel activity patterns, such as some snakes 839 840 and lizards, or comparisons of closely related taxa that display a diversity of diel activity 841 patterns (e.g. Gekkonidae or Scincidae) could represent a promising research perspective. (2) Are the effects of warmer nights similar in tropical, arid and temperate areas? 842 One major difference between climate zones is the magnitude of temperature variation at 843 844 annual, seasonal and diel scales (Janzen, 1967). Temperate-zone climates are highly seasonal, with a pronounced difference in daily maximum and minimum temperatures during the 845 846 activity seasons of squamate reptiles (spring and summer). Daily temperature variation can be

higher in mediterranean, arid and semi-arid environments where the difference between daily 847 maximum and minimum T_e during summer often exceeds 30 °C. By contrast, tropical 848 environments, notably tropical forests, tend to exhibit low annual mean thermal oscillations, 849 850 even at high elevations (e.g. Janzen, 1967). As a consequence, the majority of tropical squamate species tend to be thermal specialists (stenothermic) [see Van Berkum (1986) and 851 de Souza Terra, Ortega & Ferreira (2018) for tropical savannas] because of the low 852 853 seasonality in temperature and the relative homogeneity of their thermal environment (Tewksbury, Huey & Deutsch, 2008; Huey et al., 2009). By contrast, high heterogeneity in 854 855 the thermal landscape across temperate or arid habitats has favoured the evolution of heliothermy, which allows squamates to regulate their body temperature over a wide thermal 856 tolerance range. It is therefore likely that the effect of warmer nights on squamate reptiles 857 858 will vary across biomes because of differences in exposure and behavioural sensitivity to 859 nocturnal warming.

It is crucial also to consider physiological adaptations to climatic zones. So far, we have 860 mainly focused our attention on species inhabiting temperate zones. Cold-adapted squamates 861 are often strictly diurnal and have higher SMRs than warm-adapted species (Lourdais et al., 862 2013; Dupoué, Brischoux & Lourdais, 2017a; Sun et al., 2022), which may be particularly 863 disadvantageous in the context of a passive resting temperature increase induced by nocturnal 864 warming. On the other hand, tropical squamates often show lower CT_{max} and narrower TPCs 865 866 (Garcia-Robledo et al., 2018) than squamates from temperate climate zones. Because nights are already warm in tropical environments, an increase in T_N should not impact the low-867 temperature region of the TPC, but could affect its upper region, moving individuals closer to 868 869 their CT_{max}. One consequence of this is that the effects of warmer nights could vary across biomes: being chronic and long-term in some areas (e.g. temperature zone), whereas acute 870 871 and immediate in others (e.g. tropics).

872 Finally, rising $T_{\rm D}$ s may depress the activity of squamates during the hottest part of the day, leading to a larger number of hours of restricted activity (Vickers et al., 2011), and this may 873 differ among tropical, temperate and arid areas (Deutsch et al., 2008; Tewksbury et al., 2008; 874 Huey, Losos & Moritz, 2010). The expected result is a switch of activity towards early 875 morning and early evening, which may be facilitated by warmer T_N . However, as previously 876 discussed, it is not certain that this reduction in diurnal activity and consequent lost feeding 877 opportunities can be offset by increased nocturnal activity. We encourage future research to 878 compare the responses of species from different climatic zones to assess whether warming 879 880 nights have similar impacts across the globe.

881 (3) Will warmer nocturnal temperatures shift life-history strategies?

Because of seasonal variation, fluctuations in prey density or predation risk, reptiles face 882 883 constraints on their ability to acquire, store and spend energy (Sinervo & Licht, 1991; Bleu et al., 2013; Arnall et al., 2019), and there will be multiple trade-offs in energy allocation 884 among maintenance, growth, reproduction and survival. Such trade-offs among life-history 885 traits are known to vary with individual characteristics, but also with local conditions 886 (Ernande et al., 2004; Sgrò & Hoffmann, 2004; Rutschmann et al., 2016). Through its 887 contrasting influence on different physiological and behavioural processes (Clark et al., 888 2013), nocturnal warming may also affect these life-history trade-offs (e.g. levy their 889 890 intensity or shift their relative importance). For example, nocturnal warming alters energy 891 allocation rules in juvenile common lizards, with individuals exposed to warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ being more likely to invest in immediate growth rather than long-term reserves (Rutschmann et al., 892 2021). How this could influence winter survival and next-season reproduction is yet to be 893 894 explored (Bestion *et al.*, 2015). In the same species, T_N also influenced energy allocation in adult females (Brusch IV et al., 2023), with colder T_N resulting in lower litter mass and 895
longer gestation. Interestingly, warmer days had the opposite effect, highlighting the contrasting impacts of T_D and T_N (Speights & Barton, 2019).

Another illustration of life-history shifts driven by warmer T_N comes from the nocturnal 898 Woodworthia 'Otago/Southland' gecko species from southern New Zealand. This species 899 classically reproduces biennially, with embryos retained in utero over winter (Cree & 900 Guillette, 1995). However, experimental exposure to warmer $T_{\rm N}$ during late summer was 901 902 shown to advance the parturition season to the autumn, leading to changes in female life history (e.g. doubling reproductive rate) and population dynamics (Moore *et al.*, 2020). 903 904 Further work is needed to explore the long-term implications of nocturnal warming on lifehistory trade-offs and strategies of energy investment. 905

906 (4) Will warmer nights alter interactions between squamates and other species?

907 Squamates are keystone species in their ecosystems and are engaged in numerous predatorprey relationships. Thus, by affecting the ecology of ectotherms, warmer T_N may also perturb 908 the trophic networks in which they are embedded. Further, because the thermal preferences 909 and TPCs of prey and predator species do not usually overlap (Bennett et al., 2018), it is 910 unlikely that all elements of a trophic network will respond uniformly to warmer nights. 911 Thus, one might expect the destabilisation of prey-predator systems or the emergence of new 912 ones, leading to a potential reorganisation of trophic communities. For example, extension of 913 914 the activity window in insects has been shown to increase the top-down effects of insects on 915 plants (see review by Ma et al., 2020). Similarly, bottom-up effects have been documented to be altered through modified nectar production in plants (Mu et al., 2015) or through altered 916 nutrient allocation within plants (Jing et al., 2016). Community-level studies specifically 917 918 focusing on vertebrate ectotherms are still rare but it has already been shown that shifts from diurnal towards cathemeral/nocturnal activity during periods of warmer temperatures (i.e. 919

920 summer or heatwaves) can result in diet and microhabitat-use changes (Sperry *et al.*, 2013;
921 Mata-Silva *et al.*, 2018; Lara Resendiz, 2019).

Further, ectothermic vertebrates are frequently involved in mutualistic associations with 922 symbionts and microbiota crucial for digestion or nutrient acquisition (Shigenobu, Watanabe 923 & Hattori, 2000). The microbiome also plays essential roles in behaviour, immunity, and life 924 history (Macke et al., 2016). Whether effects of nocturnal warming on an individual's 925 microbiota differ from effects of diurnal warming (Bestion et al., 2017; Moeller et al., 2020) 926 is difficult to determine. However, the dynamics of the microbiome is shaped both by the 927 928 thermal tolerance capacities of the symbionts and by the temperature-dependent immune responses of the individual hosts (Ferguson, Heinrichs & Sinclair, 2016; Goessling & 929 Mendonça, 2016; Abram & Dixon, 2017). By affecting the body temperature or the 930 931 thermoregulatory behaviour of the host, warmer nights therefore have the potential to affect microbiome dynamics and ultimately to impact the fitness of the ectotherm (Burke et al., 932 2010; Higashi, Barton & Oliver, 2020). 933

934 (5) Will interactions between warmer nights and other climatic factors alter squamate935 ecology?

Global change is undoubtedly multi-factorial and, among other parameters, warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ are 936 likely to be accompanied by changing precipitation and snow regimes (Yang et al., 2016; 937 938 Dai, Zhao & Chen, 2018), water temperatures (Nordberg & McKnight, 2023), heatwave 939 intensity and frequency (Meehl & Tebaldi, 2004; Sanderson, Hemming & Betts, 2011; Murali et al., 2023), or habitat quality and diversity (Hoekstra et al., 2005). Nocturnal 940 warming is likely to reduce the quality of rest periods for diurnal species and their ability to 941 942 recover from diurnal stressors. Thus, it could exacerbate the already detrimental effects of other diurnal environmental changes. For example, warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ have been found to reduce 943 944 the longevity and fecundity of English grain aphids when combined with warm $T_{\rm DS}$ (Zhao et

al., 2014). Warmer nocturnal temperatures were also reported to exacerbate the detrimental
effects of metallic pollutants on amphibian species (Hallman & Brooks, 2015) and to interact
with light pollution to alter the food-chain dynamics of aphids and ladybirds (Miller *et al.*,
2017). Further research investigating interactions between distinct components of global
change and nocturnal warming could provide a more complete picture of how ectotherms will
respond to future modifications of their environment.

951 VII. CONCLUSIONS

952 (1) Nocturnal warming is an understudied aspect of global warming. Using diurnal squamates 953 as a model taxon, we demonstrated that impacts of warmer nights can be very different from 954 those of $T_{\rm D}$ increases.

955 (2) Because they affect the coldest hours of the diel cycle, usually dedicated to rest in diurnal 956 species, warmer $T_{\rm NS}$ have the potential to alter the time budget dedicated to the different activity phases of diurnal ectotherms. Whether warmer nights are beneficial or detrimental 957 will ultimately depend on the balance between energy acquisition and expenditure. 958 (3) Because warmer nights allow an increase in performance, they may have positive effects 959 as long as they also allow species to (i) acquire sufficient energy to meet their increased 960 metabolism, and (ii) rest sufficiently to recover and eliminate metabolic stressors produced 961 by elevated metabolic activity. If individuals cannot fulfil these conditions, warmer nights 962 could be deleterious. 963 964 (4) Because $T_{\rm NS}$ are far from upper $CT_{\rm max}$, any effects of increasing $T_{\rm N}$ are not as immediate as effects of warmer maximum $T_{\rm D}$. Instead, warmer nights may impose chronic costs 965 accumulating over an individual's lifetime and leading to a reduction in lifetime fitness. 966 967 Whether such negative effects can be compensated by behavioural or physiological

968 adjustments is yet to be evaluated for most ectothermic species.

(5) Many important questions remain unanswered, including whether there are differences
among species with different behavioural strategies, differences between climate zones and
effects on ecological interactions between squamates and their prey, predators and
microbiome. New research programs and new protocols will be necessary to explore
nocturnal warming as a key element of global warming. While legacy data can be re-analysed
to answer some of these questions, others will require novel explorations of the night-time
behaviour, physiology and population ecology of reptiles.

976 VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

977 The authors are greatly indebted to F. Aubret, E. Bestion, P. Blaimont, E. Gangloff, M.

978 Gifford, S. Meylan, C. Parmesan, D. Rozen-Rechels and A. Santure for inspiring discussions.

A.R. was supported by a French "Make Our Planet Great Again award" to C. Parmesan

980 (project CCISS, number ANR-17-MPGA-0007). D.B.M. acknowledges support from NSF

981 (DEB-1950636). J.F.IG and G.B. acknowledge funding support provided by the "Agence"

982 Nationale de la Recherche" under the "Aquatherm" project (ANR-17-CE02-0013). J.Co. was

supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon

984 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 81777). O.L. and M.G.

acknowledge support from the "Conseil Régional de Nouvelle-Aquitaine" under the

986 "Aquastress" project (2018-1R20214 to OL) and from the Climate Sentinels Program 2016–

987 2021 funded by the European Regional Development Fund, the region Nouvelle Aquitaine,

and the departement of Gironde and Pyrénées-Atlantiques (coordinator: Cistude Nature). We

989 are grateful to Dr D. Cox, Associate Editor Alison Cooper and an anonymous reviewer for

990 their insightful comments on an earlier version.

991 IX. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.R. drafted the manuscript, performed the literature review and supervised the project. C.P.

helped with the literature review, carried out the experiment for Section III.1 and analysed

994	data together with A	A.R. J.F.IG. designed	l the model and	l scenarios for	Sections IV.1–3 and
-----	----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------------

- 995 performed computation together with A.R., analysed data (collected by G.B.) and drafted
- 996 Sections IV.4 and VI.1. A.D. performed the statistical analyses for Section V.3 and drafted
- that section. M.G. collected data for Section III.3 under the supervision of O.L. who analysed
- data and drafted that section. M.R., J.Co. and J.Cl. helped discuss the results and provided
- 999 critical feedback on the manuscript. D.B.M. collected data for Section II.3, analysed the data
- and drafted that section, helped with the literature review and drafted Sections VI.2 and VI.3.
- 1001 A.R., A.D., J.Cl. and D.B.M. conceived the original idea of the project. All authors
- 1002 contributed to the final version.
- 1003 X. REFERENCES
- 1004 References identified with an asterisk (*) are cited only within the online supporting1005 information.
- ABOM, R., BELL, K., HODGSON, L. & SCHWARZKOPF, L. (2012). Moving day and night:
- highly labile diel activity patterns in a tropical snake. *Biotropica* **44**, 554–559.
- 1008 ABRAM, Q.H. & DIXON, B. (2017). Impacts of low temperature on the teleost immune
- 1009 system. *Biology* **6** (4), 39.
- 1010 ALEXANDER, L. V., ZHANG, X., PETERSON, T.C., CAESAR, J., GLEASON, B., KLEIN TANK,
- 1011 A.M.G., HAYLOCK, M., COLLINS, D., TREWIN, B., RAHIMZADEH, F., TAGIPOUR, A., RUPA
- 1012 KUMAR, K., REVADEKAR, J., GRIFFITHS, G., VINCENT, L., *ET AL*. (2006). Global observed
- 1013 changes in daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. *Journal of*
- 1014 *Geophysical Research Atmospheres* **111**, D5.
- 1015 ALWARD, R.D., DETLING, J.K. & MILCHUNAS, D.G. (1999). Grassland vegetation changes
- and nocturnal global warming. *Science* **283**, 229–231.
- 1017 Amadi, N., Luiselli, L., Belema, R., Nyiwale, G.A., Urubia, N., Meek, R., Amadi, N.,
- 1018 LUISELLI, L., BELEMA, R. & NYIWALE, G.A. (2021). From diurnal to nocturnal activity: a

1019 case study of night-light niche expansion in *Agama agama* lizards. *Ethology Ecology &*

1020 *Evolution* **33**, 515–527.

- ANDERSON, S.R. & WIENS, J.J. (2017). Out of the dark : 350 million years of conservatism
 and evolution in diel activity patterns in vertebrates. *Evolution* **71**, 1944–1959.
- 1023 ANDREWS, R.M. & POUGH, F.H. (1985). Metabolism of Squamate Reptiles: Allometric and
- 1025 ANGILLETTA, M.J. (2009). *Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis*. Oxford

Ecological Relationships. *Physiological Zoology* 58, 214–231.

1026 Uni. Oxford, UK.

1024

- 1027 ANGILLETTA, M.J., COOPER, B.S., SCHULER, M.S. & BOYLES, J.G. (2002). The Evolution of
- 1028 Thermal Physiology in Ectotherms. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 27, 249–268.
- 1029 ARAÚJO, M.B. & RAHBEK, C. (2006). How does climate change affect biodiversity? *Science*1030 **313**, 1396–1397.
- 1031 ARNALL, S.G., MITCHELL, N.J., KUCHLING, G., DURELL, B., KOOIJMAN, S.A.L.M. &
- 1032 KEARNEY, M.R. (2019). Life in the slow lane? A dynamic energy budget model for the
 1033 western swamp turtle, *Pseudemydura umbrina*. *Journal of Sea Research* 143, 89–99.
- 1034 AUTUMN, K., JINDRICH, D., DENARDO, D. & MUELLER, R. (1999). Locomotor Performance at
- 1035 Low Temperature and the Evolution of Nocturnality in Geckos. *Evolution* **53**, 580–599.
- 1036 BAI, C.-M., MA, G., CAI, W.-Z. & MA, C.-S. (2019). Independent and combined effects of
- 1037 daytime heat stress and night-time recovery determine thermal performance. *Biology*1038 *Open* 8, bio038141.
- 1039 BAKKEN, G.S. & GATES, D.M. (1975). Heat-transfer analysis of animals: some implications
- 1040 for field ecology, physiology, and evolution. In *Perspectives of Biophysical Ecology* (eds
- 1041 D.M. GATES & R.B. SCHMERL), pp. 225–290. Springer, New York.
- 1042 BARTON, B.T. (2017). Beyond global warming: Putting the "climate" back into "climate
- 1043 change ecology". *Food Webs* **13**, 51–52.

- 1044 BARTON, B.T. & SCHMITZ, O.J. (2018). Opposite effects of daytime and nighttime warming
- 1045 on top-down control of plant diversity. *Ecology* **99**, 13–20.
- 1046 BATTISTI, A., STASTNY, M., NETHERER, S., ROBINET, C., SCHOPF, A., ROQUES, A. &
- 1047 LARSSON, S. (2005). Expansion of geographic range in the pine processionary moth
- 1048 caused by increased winter temperatures. *Ecological Applications* **15**, 2084–2096.
- 1049 BECK, S.D. (2012). *Insect photoperiodism*, 2nd edition. New York.
- 1050 BEN-SHACHAR, M., LÜDECKE, D. & MAKOWSKI, D. (2020) effectsize: estimation of effect
- size indices and standardized parameters. *Journal of Open Source Software* **5**, 2815.
- 1052 BENNETT, J.M., CALOSI, P., CLUSELLA-TRULLAS, S., MARTÍNEZ, B., SUNDAY, J.M., ALGAR,
- 1053 A.C., ARAÚJO, M.B., HAWKINS, B.A., KEITH, S., KÜHN, I., RAHBEK, C., RODRÍGUEZ, L.,
- 1054 SINGER, A., VILLALOBOS, F., OLALLA-TÁRRAGA, M.A., *ET AL.* (2018). GlobTherm, a
- 1055 global database on thermal tolerances for aquatic and terrestrial organisms. *Scientific*1056 *Data* 5, 180022.
- 1057 BENTLEY, B.P., KEARNEY, M.R., WHITING, S.D. & MITCHELL, N.J. (2020) Microclimate
- modelling of beach sand temperatures reveals high spatial and temporal variation at sea
 turtle rookeries. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 88, 102522.
- 1060 BERGER, D. & GOTTHARD, K. (2008). Time stress, predation risk and diurnal nocturnal
- 1061 foraging trade-offs in larval prey. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **62**, 1655–1663.
- 1062 BESTION, E., JACOB, S., ZINGER, L., DI GESU, L., RICHARD, M., WHITE, J. & COTE, J. (2017).
- 1063 Climate warming reduces gut microbiota diversity in a vertebrate ectotherm. *Nature* 1064 *Ecology and Evolution* 1, 0161.
- 1065 BESTION, E., TEYSSIER, A., RICHARD, M., CLOBERT, J. & COTE, J. (2015). Live fast, die
- 1066 young: experimental evidence of population extinction risk due to climate change. *PLOS*
- 1067 *biology* **13**, e1002281-19.
- 1068 BLEU, J., LE GALLIARD, J.F., FITZE, P.S., MEYLAN, S., CLOBERT, J. & MASSOT, M. (2013).

1069 Reproductive allocation strategies: A long-term study on proximate factors and temporal
1070 adjustments in a viviparous lizard. *Oecologia* 171, 141–151.

1071 *BLOMBERG, S., SHINE, R. (2006) Reptiles. In Ecological Census Techniques: A Handbook,

- 2nd ed.; SUTHERLAND, W.J., Ed.; Cambridge University, Press: Cambridge, UK; pp.
 297–307.
- 1074 *BODENSTEINER, B. L., GANGLOFF, E. J., KOUYOUMDJIAN, L., MUNOZ, M. M., & AUBRET, F.
- 1075 (2021). Thermal-metabolic phenotypes of the lizard *Podarcis muralis* differ across
- 1076 elevation, but converge in high elevation hypoxia. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 224,
 1077 jeb243660.
- 1078 BRADSHAW, W.E. & HOLZAPFEL, C.M. (2009). Light, time, and the physiology of biotic
- 1079 response to rapid climate change in animals. *Annual Review of Physiology* **72**, 147–166.
- *BROWN, J.H., GILLOLY, J.F., ALLEN, A.P., SAVAGE, V.M. & WEST, G.B. (2004) toward a
 metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* 85, 1771–1789.
- BROWN, G.P. & SHINE, R. (2006). Influence of weather conditions on activity of tropical
 snakes. *Austral Ecology* 27, 596–605.
- 1084 BRUSCH IV, G.A., LE GALLIARD, J.F., VITON, R., GAVIRA, R.S.B., CLOBERT, J. & LOURDAIS,
- O. (2023). Reproducing in a changing world: combined effects of thermal conditions by
 day and night and of water constraints during pregnancy in a cold-adapted ectotherm.
- 1087 *Oikos* **3**, e09536.
- 1088 BUCKLEY, L.B., TEWKSBURY, J.J. & DEUTSCH, C.A. (2013). Can terrestrial ectotherms escape
- the heat of climate change by moving? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 280, 20131149.
- 1091 BURKE, G.R., MCLAUGHLIN, H.J., SIMON, J. & MORAN, N.A. (2010). Dynamics of a recurrent
- 1092 Buchnera mutation that affects thermal tolerance of pea aphid hosts. Genetics 186, 367–
- 1093 372.

BURRACO, P., LUCAS, P.M., SALMÓN, P., GAILLARD, J. & HOLT, E.R.D. (2022). Telomeres in
a spatial context: a tool for understanding ageing pattern variation in wild populations.

1096 *Ecography* **6**, e05565.

- BURRACO, P., ORIZAOLA, G., MONAGHAN, P. & METCALFE, N.B. (2020). Climate change and
 ageing in ectotherms. *Global Change Biology* 26, 5371–5381.
- 1099 CHAMAILLE-JAMMES, S., MASSOT, M., ARAGON, P. & CLOBERT, J. (2006). Global warming
- and positive fitness response in mountain populations of common lizards *Lacerta*

1101 *vivipara. Global Change Biology* **12**, 392–402.

- 1102 CHATELAIN, M., DROBNIAK, S.M. & SZULKIN, M. (2020). The association between stressors
- and telomeres in non-human vertebrates : a meta-analysis. *Ecology Letters* 23, 381–398.
- 1104 CHUKWUKA, C.O., MELLO, R.S.R., CREE, A. & MONKS, J.M. (2021). Thermal heterogeneity
- of selected retreats in cool-temperate viviparous lizards suggests a potential benefit of
 future climate warming. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 97, 102869.
- 1107 CHUKWUKA, C.O., MONKS, J.M. & CREE, A. (2020). Heat and water loss versus shelter: A
- dilemma in thermoregulatory decision making for a retreat-dwelling nocturnal gecko.
- 1109 *Journal of Experimental Biology* **223**, jeb231241.
- 1110 CLARK, D.B., CLARK, D.A. & OBERBAUER, S.F. (2010). Annual wood production in a tropical
- rain forest in NE Costa Rica linked to climatic variation but not to increasing CO2.
- 1112 *Global Change Biology* **16**, 747–759.
- 1113 CLARK, T.D., SANDBLOM, E. & JUTFELT, F. (2013). Aerobic scope measurements of fishes in
- an era of climate change: Respirometry, relevance and recommendations. *Journal of*
- 1115 *Experimental Biology* **216**, 2771–2782.
- 1116 CLARKE, D.N. & ZANI, P.A. (2012). Effects of night-time warming on temperate ectotherm
- reproduction: Potential fitness benefits of climate change for side-blotched lizards.
- 1118 *Journal of Experimental Biology* **215**, 1117–1127.

- 1119 CLUSELLA-TRULLAS, S., BLACKBURN, T.M. & CHOWN, S.L. (2011). Climatic predictors of
- temperature performance curve parameters in ectotherms imply complex responses toclimate change. *The American Naturalist* 177, 738–751.
- 1122 CLUSELLA-TRULLAS, S. & CHOWN, S.L. (2014). Lizard thermal trait variation at multiple
- scales: a review. Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and
- 1124 Environmental Physiology 184, 5–21.
- 1125 CLUSELLA-TRULLAS, S., GARCIA, R.A., TERBLANCHE, J.S. & HOFFMANN, A.A. (2021). How
- useful are thermal vulnerability indices ? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **36**, 1000–1010.
- 1127 COOMANS, C.P., LUCASSEN, E.A., KOOIJMAN, S., FIFEL, K., DEBOER, T., RENSEN, P.C.N.,
- 1128 MICHEL, S. & MEIJER, J.H. (2015). Plasticity of circadian clocks and consequences for
- 1129 metabolism. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* **17**, 65–75.
- 1130 COX, D.T.C. & GASTON, K.J. (2023). Cathemerality: a key temporal niche. *Biological*1131 *Reviews*.
- 1132 COX, D.T.C., MACLEAN, I.M.D., GARDNER, A.S. & GASTON, K.J. (2020). Global variation in
- diurnal asymmetry in temperature, cloud cover, specific humidity and precipitation and

its association with leaf area index. *Global Change Biology* **26**, 7099–7111.

- 1135 CREE, A. & GUILLETTE, L.J. (1995). Biennial reproduction with a fourteen-month pregnancy
- 1136 in the gecko *Hoplodactylus maculatus* from southern New Zealand. Journal of
- 1137 *Herpetology* **29**, 163-173.
- 1138 CROAK, B.M., PIKE, D.A., WEBB, J.K. & SHINE, R. (2012). Habitat selection in a rocky
- 1139 landscape: Experimentally decoupling the influence of retreat site attributes from that of
- 1140 landscape features. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e37982.
- 1141 DAI, A., TRENBERTH, K.E. & KARL, T.R. (1999). Effects of clouds, soil moisture,
- 1142 precipitation, and water vapor on diurnal temperature range. *Journal of Climate* 12,
- 1143 2451–2473.

- DAI, A., ZHAO, T. & CHEN, J. (2018). Climate change and drought: a precipitation and
 evaporation perspective. *Current Climate Change Reports* 4, 301–312.
- 1146 DAVY, R., ESAU, I., CHERNOKULSKY, A., OUTTEN, S. & ZILITINKEVICH, S. (2017). Diurnal
- asymmetry to the observed global warming. *International Journal of Climatology* 37,
 79–93.
- 1149 DAYANANDA, B., JEFFREE, R.A. & WEBB, J.K. (2020). Body temperature and time of day
- both affect nocturnal lizard performance: An experimental investigation. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 93, 102728.
- 1152 DE MESQUITA, P.C.M.D., PASSOS, D.C. & RODRIGUES, J.F.M. (2012). Philodryas olfersii
- 1153 (Squamata, Serpentes, Dipsadidae): nocturnal mating behavior. *Herpetologia Brasileira*1154 1, 41–42.
- 1155 DE SOUZA TERRA, J., ORTEGA, Z. & FERREIRA, V.L. (2018). Thermal ecology and
- 1156 microhabitat use of an arboreal lizard in two different Pantanal wetland
- 1157 phytophysionomies (Brazil). *Journal of Thermal Biology* **75**, 81–87.
- 1158 DEGREGORIO, B.A., SPERRY, J.H., VALENTE, D.P. & WEATHERHEAD, P.J. (2014). Facultative
- nocturnal behaviour in snakes : experimental examination of why and how with
- 1160 Ratsnakes (*Elaphe obsoleta*) and Racers (*Coluber constrictor*). *Canadian Journal of*
- 1161 Zoology **237**, 229–237.
- 1162 DEUTSCH, C.A., TEWKSBURY, J.J., HUEY, R.B., SHELDON, K.S., GHALAMBOR, C.K., HAAK,
- 1163 D.C. & MARTIN, P.R. (2008). Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms
- across latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
- 1165 *America* **105**, 6668–6672.
- 1166 DEZETTER, M., LE GALLIARD, J.F. & LOURDAIS, O. (2022). Behavioural hydroregulation
- 1167 protects against acute effects of drought in a dry-skinned ectotherm. *Oecologia* **201**,
- 1168 355–367.

- 1169 DIDOMENICO, B.J., BUGAISKY, G.E. & LINDQUIST, S. (1982). Heat shock and recovery are
- mediated by different translational mechanisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **79**, 6181–6185.
- 1172 DIELE-VIEGAS, L.M., VITT, L.J., SINERVO, B., COLLI, G.R., WERNECK, F.P., MILES, D.B.,
- 1173 MAGNUSSON, W.E., SANTOS, J.C., SETTE, C.M., CAETANO, G.H.O., PONTES, E. & ÁVILA-
- 1174 PIRES, T.C.S. (2018). Thermal physiology of Amazonian lizards (Reptilia: Squamata).
- 1175 *PLoS ONE* **13** (3) e0192834.
- 1176 DILLON, M.E., WANG, G. & HUEY, R.B. (2010). Global metabolic impacts of recent climate
- 1177 warming. *Nature* **467**, 704–706.
- 1178 DRENT, R.H. & DAAN, S. (1980). The prudent parent: energetic adjustments in avian
- 1179 breeding. *Ardea* **68**, 225–252.
- 1180 DUNLAP, J.C. (1999). Molecular bases for circadian clocks. *Cell* **96**, 271–290.
- 1181 DUPOUE, A., BLAIMONT, P., ROZEN-RECHELS, D., RICHARD, M., MEYLAN, S., CLOBERT, J.,
- 1182 MILES, D.B., MARTIN R., DECENCIERE, B., AGOSTINI, S. & LE GALLIARD, J.F. (2020).
- 1183 Water availability and temperature induce changes in oxidative status during pregnancy i
- a viviparous lizard. *Functional Ecology* **34**, 475–785.
- 1185 DUPOUE, A., BLAIMONT, P., ANGELIER, F., RIBOUT, C., ROZEN-RECHELS, D., RICHARD, M.,
- 1186 MILES, D.B., DE VILLEMEREUIL, P., RUTSCHMANN, A., BADIANE, A., AUBRET, F.,
- 1187 LOURDAIS, O., MEYLAN, S., COTE, J., CLOBERT, J., *ET AL*. (2022). Lizards from warm and
- declining populations are born with extremely short telomeres. *Proceedings of the*
- 1189 *National Academy of Sciences* **119**, e2201371119.
- 1190 DUPOUÉ, A., BRISCHOUX, F. & LOURDAIS, O. (2017*a*). Climate and foraging mode explain
- 1191 interspecific variation in snake metabolic rates. *Proceedings of the Biological Sciences*
- 1192 *Series B Biological Sciences* **284**, 20172108.
- 1193 DUPOUÉ, A., RUTSCHMANN, A., LE GALLIARD, J.F., CLOBERT, J., ANGELIER, F., MARCIAU, C.,

- RUAULT, S., MILES, D.B. & MEYLAN, S. (2017b). Shorter telomeres precede population
 extinction in wild lizards. *Scientific Reports* 7, 16976.
- 1196 DUPOUÉ, A., RUTSCHMANN, A., LE GALLIARD, J.F., CLOBERT, J., BLAIMONT, P., SINERVO, B.,
- 1197 MILES, D.B., HAUSSY, C. & MEYLAN, S. (2018). Reduction in baseline corticosterone
- secretion correlates with climate warming and drying across wild lizard populations.
- 1199 *Journal of Animal Ecology* **87**, 1331–1341.
- 1200 DUPOUÉ, A., RUTSCHMANN, A., LE GALLIARD, J.F., MILES, D.B., CLOBERT, J., DENARDO,
- 1201 D.F., BRUSCH, G.A. & MEYLAN, S. (2017*c*). Water availability and environmental
- temperature correlate with geographic variation in water balance in common lizards.
- 1203 *Oecologia* **185**, 561–571.
- 1204 EASTERLING, D.R., HORTON, B., JONES, P.D., PETERSON, T.C., KARL, T.R., PARKER, D.E.,
- 1205 SALINGER, M.J., RAZUVAYEV, V., PLUMMER, N., JAMASON, P. & FOLLAND, C.K. (1997).
- 1206 Maximum and minimum temperature trends for the globe. *Science* **277**, 364–367.
- 1207 ENGLUND, G., ÖHLUND, G., HEIN, C.L. & DIEHL, S. (2011). Temperature dependence of the
- 1208 functional response. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 914–921.
- 1209 ERNANDE, B., BOUDRY, P., CLOBERT, J. & HAURE, J. (2004). Plasticity in resource allocation
- based life history traits in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. I. Spatial variation in

1211 food abundance. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **17**, 342–356.

- 1212 FERGUSON, L. V., HEINRICHS, D.E. & SINCLAIR, B.J. (2016). Paradoxical acclimation
- responses in the thermal performance of insect immunity. *Oecologia* **181**, 77–85.
- 1214 *Fox, J. (2018) Applied Regression Analysis and Generalized Linear Models, Second
- 1215 Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 1216 FREIXA, A., ACUÑA, V., CASELLAS, M., PECHEVA, S. & ANNA, M. (2017). Warmer night-time
- 1217 temperature promotes microbial heterotrophic activitu and modifies stream sediment
- 1218 community. *Global Change Biology* **23**, 3825–3837.

- 1219 *FUSSMANN, K.E., SCHWARZMÜLLER, F., BROSE, U., JOUSSET, A. & RALL, B.C. (2014).
- 1220 Ecological stability in response to warming. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 206–210.
- 1221 GAMBLE, T., GREENBAUM, E.L.I., JACKMAN, T.R. & BAUER, A.M. (2015). Into the light :
- diurnality has evolved multiple times in geckos. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*
- 1223 *Society* **115**, 896–910.
- 1224 GARCÍA, G.A., DRECCER, M.F., MIRALLES, D.J. & SERRAGO, R.A. (2015). High night
- temperatures during grain number determination reduce wheat and barley grain yield: A
 field study. *Global Change Biology* 21, 4153–4164.
- 1227 GARCIA-HERRERA, R., DIAZ, J., TRIGO, R.M., LUTERBACHER, J. & FISCHER, E.M. (2010). A
- Review of the European Summer Heat Wave of 2003. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Sciences and Technology* 40, 267–306.
- 1230 GARCIA-ROBLEDO, C., CHUQUILLANQUI, H., KUPREWICZ, E.K. & ESCOBAR-SARRIA, F.
- 1231 (2018). Lower thermal tolerance in nocturnal than in diurnal ants: a challenge for
- nocturnal ectotherms facing global warming. *Ecological Entomology* **43**, 162–167.
- 1233 GIBBONS, J. & SEMLITSCH, R. (1987). Acyivity patterns. In Snakes: ecology and evolutionary
- 1234 *biology* (eds R. SEIGEL, J. COLLINS & S. NOVAK), pp. 184–209. MacMillan, New York.
- 1235 GOESSLING, J.M. & MENDONÇA, M.T. (2016). Seasonal Acclimation of Constitutive
- 1236 Immunity in Gopher Tortoises *Gopherus polyphemus*. *Physiological and Biochemical*1237 Zoology **89**, 1189-1196.
- 1238 GOLDENBERG, J., D'ALBA, L., BISSCHOP, K., VANTHOURNOUT, B. & SHAWKEY, M.D. (2021).
- 1239 Substrate thermal properties influence ventral brightness evolution in ectotherms.
- 1240 *Communications Biology* **4**:26, 42003-020-01524.
- 1241 GUNDERSON, A.R., ABEGAZ, M., CEJA, A.Y., LAM, E., BENJAMIN, F., BOYER, K., KING, E.,
- 1242 MAK, K.T.Y., TSUKIMURA, B. & STILLMAN, J.H. (2019). Hot rocks and not-so-hot rocks
- 1243 on the seashore: patterns and body-size dependent consequences of microclimatic

1244	variation in intertidal	zone boulder habitat.	Integrative (Organismal Biology 🛛	1 , obz024.
------	-------------------------	-----------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------------

- 1245 HALLMAN, T.A. & BROOKS, M.L. (2015). The deal with diel: Temperature fluctuations,
- asymmetrical warming, and ubiquitous metals contaminants. *Environmental Pollution*206, 88–94.
- 1248 HARE, K.M., PLEDGER, S., THOMPSON, M.B., MILLER, J.H. & DAUGHERTY, C.H. (2007). Low
- 1249 cost of locomotion in lizards that are active at low temperatures. *Physiological and*1250 *Biochemical Zoology* 80, 46–58.
- 1251 HARE, K.M., PLEDGER, S., THOMPSON, M.B., MILLER, J.H. & DAUGHERTY, C.H. (2010).
- 1252 Nocturnal lizards from a cool-temperate environment have high metabolic rates at low
- 1253 temperatures. Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and
- 1254 *Environmental Physiology* **180**, 1173–1181.
- HIGASHI, C.H.V., BARTON, B.T. & OLIVER, K.M. (2020). Warmer nights offer no respite for a
 defensive mutualism. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 89, 1895–1905.
- 1257 HOEKSTRA, J.M., BOUCHER, T.M., RICKETTS, T.H. & CARTER, R. (2005). Confronting a
- biome crisis : global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecology Letters* **8**, 23–29.
- 1259 HORREO, J.L. & FITZE, P.S. (2022). Global changes explain the long-term demo- graphic
- 1260 trend of the Eurasian common lizard (Squamata : Lacertidae). *Current Zoology* **68**,
- 1261 221–228.
- HUEY, R.B. (1982). Temperature, physiology, and the ecology of reptiles. In *Biology of the Reptilia, physio* (ed C.G.& F.H. POUG), pp. 25–91Academic P. London, UK.
- 1264 HUEY, R.B., DEUTSCH, C. A, TEWKSBURY, J.J., VITT, L.J., HERTZ, P.E., ALVAREZ PÉREZ, H.J.
- 1265 & GARLAND, T. (2009). Why tropical forest lizards are vulnerable to climate warming.
- 1266 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 276, 1939–1948.
- 1267 HUEY, R.B. & KINGSOLVER, J.G. (2019). Climate warming, resource availability, and the
- 1268 metabolic meltdown of ectotherms. *The American Naturalist* **194**, E140–E150.

- 1269 HUEY, R.B., LOSOS, J.B. & MORITZ, C. (2010). Are lizards toast? Science 328, 832–833.
- 1270 HUEY, R.B., MA, L., LEVI, O. & KEARNEY, M.R. (2021*a*). Three questions about the eco-
- 1271 physiology of overwintering underground. *Ecology Letters.* **24**, 170-185.
- 1272 HUEY, R.B., MILES, D.B. & PIANKA, E.R. (2021*b*). Seasonality in Kgalagadi Lizards:
- 1273 Inferences from legacy data. *The American Naturalist* **198**, 759-771.
- 1274 HUEY, R.B., PETERSON, C.R., ARNOLD, S.J., PORTER, W.P. & HUEY, R.B. (1989). Hot rocks
- and not-so-hot rocks: retreat-site selection by garter snakes and its thermal consequences. *Ecology* 70, 931–944.
- 1277 IBARGÜENGOYTÍA, N.R., RENNER, M.L., BORETTO, J.M., PIANTONI, C. & CUSSAC, V.E.
- 1278 (2007). Thermal effects on locomotion in the nocturnal gecko *Homonota darwini*
- 1279 (Gekkonidae). *Amphibia Reptilia* **28**, 235–246.
- JANZEN, D.H. (1967). Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. *The American Naturalist* 101, 233–249.
- 1282 JING, P., WANG, D., ZHU, C., CHEN, J. & ESTEBAN, R. (2016). Plant physiological,
- 1283 morphological and yield-related responses to night temperature changes across different
- species and plant functional types. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**, 1774.
- 1285 KARL, T.R., JONES, P.D., KNIGHT, R.W. & PLUMMER, N. (1993). Asymmetric trends of daily
- maximum and minimum temperature. *Bulletin of the American Meteoro/ogical Society*74, 1007–1023.
- 1288 KARL, T.R., MEEHL, G.A., MILLER, C.D., HASSOL, S.J., WAPLE, A.M. & MURRAY, W.L.
- 1289 (2008). Weather and climate extremes in a changing climate. US Climate Change
- 1290 Science Program (CCSP).
- 1291 KAYSER, M.S., YUE, Z. & SEHGAL, A. (2014). A critical period of sleep for development of
- 1292 courtship circuitry and behavior in *Drosophila*. *Science* **344**, 269–274.
- 1293 KEARNEY, M.R. (2002). Hot rocks and much-too-hot rocks: Seasonal patterns of retreat-site

- selection by a nocturnal ectotherm. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **27**, 205–218.
- 1295 KEARNEY, M.R., ISAAC, A.P. & PORTER, W.P. (2014). Microclim: Global estimates of hourly

1296 microclimate based on long-term monthly climate averages. *Scientific Data* **1**, 140006.

- 1297 KEARNEY, M.R. & PORTER, W.P. (2004). Mapping the fundamental niche: physiology,
- climate, and the distribution of a nocturnal lizard. *Ecology* **85**, 3119–3131.
- 1299 KEARNEY, M.R. & PREDAVEC, M. (2000). Do nocturnal ectotherms thermoregulate? A study

1300 of the temperate gecko *Christinus marmoratus*. *Ecology* **81**, 2984–2996.

- 1301 KEARNEY, M.R., SHINE, R. & PORTER, W.P. (2009). The potential for behavioral
- thermoregulation to buffer 'cold-blooded' animals against climate warming. *Proceedings*
- 1303 *of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**, 3835–3840.
- 1304 KINGSOLVER, J.G., HIGGINS, J.K. & AUGUSTINE, K.E. (2015). Fluctuating temperatures and
- 1305 ectotherm growth : distinguishing non-linear and time-dependent effects. *The Journal of*
- 1306 *Experimental Biology* **218**, 2218–2225.
- 1307 KINGSOLVER, J.G. & WOODS, H.A. (2016). Beyond thermal performance curves: modeling
- 1308time-dependent effects of thermal stress on ectotherm growth rates. American Naturalist
- **1309 187**, 283–294.
- 1310 KLEIMAN, E. (2022). EMAtools: Data management tools for real-time monitoring/Ecological
- 1311 momentary assessment data. R package version 0.1.3. https://CRAN.R-
- 1312 project.org/package=EMAtools.
- 1313 KRUSE, P.D., TOFT, S. & SUNCERLAND, K.D. (2008). Temperature and prey capture : opposite
- relationships in two predator taxa. *Ecological Entomology* **33**, 305–312.
- 1315 KRZEPTOWSKI, W. & HESS, G. (2018). Circadian plasticity in the brain of insects and rodents.
- 1316 Frontiers in Neural Circuits **12**, 10.3389.
- 1317 KUBISCH, E.L., CORBALÁN, V., IBARGÜENGOYTÍA, N.R. & SINERVO, B. (2016). Local
- 1318 extinction risk by global warming of three species of lizards from Patagonia. *Canadian*

- 1319 *Journal of Zoology* **94**, 49–59.
- LAADI, K., UNG, E., WAGNER, V., BEAUDEAU P. & PASCAL, M. (2012) Système d'alerte
 canicule et santé : principes, fondements et évaluation. Saint-Maurice: Institut de veille
- 1322 sanitaire; pp 19, Disponible à partir de l'URL : http://www.invs.sante.fr
- 1323 LARA RESENDIZ, A.R. (2019). What are the ecophysiological implications of nocturnal
- activity in "diurnal" reptiles? A review. *Acta Biológica Colombiana* **25**, 314–326.
- 1325 LELIÈVRE, H., BLOUIN-DEMERS, G., BONNET, X. & LOURDAIS, O. (2010). Thermal benefits of
- 1326 artificial shelters in snakes: a radiotelemetric study of two sympatric colubrids. *Journal*
- 1327 *of Thermal Biology* **35**, 324–331.
- 1328 LESJAK, J. & CALDERINI, D.F. (2017). Increased night temperature negatively affects grain
- 1329 yield, biomass and grain number in Chilean quinoa. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**, 352.
- 1330 LEVY, O., BORCHERT, J.D., RUSCH, T.W., BUCKLEY, L.B. & ANGILLETTA, M.J. (2017).
- 1331 Diminishing returns limit energetic costs of climate change. *Ecology* **98**, 1217–1228.
- 1332 LEVY, O., DAYAN, T., PORTER, W.P. & KRONFELD-SCHOR, N. (2019). Time and ecological
- resilience: can diurnal animals compensate for climate change by shifting to nocturnal
- activity? *Ecological Monographs* **89**, e01334.
- 1335 LIBOUREL, P.A. & HERREL, A. (2016). Sleep in amphibians and reptiles: a review and a
- preliminary analysis of evolutionary patterns. *Biological reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **91**, 833–866.
- 1338 LLEWELYN, J., SHINE, R. & WEBB, J.K. (2005). Thermal regimes and diel activity patterns of
- four species of small elapid snakes from south-eastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 53, ZO04037.
- 1341 LORIOUX, S., LISSE, H. & LOURDAIS, O. (2013). Dedicated mothers: predation risk and
- 1342 physical burden do not alter thermoregulatory behaviour of pregnant vipers. *Animal*
- 1343 *Behaviour* **86**, 401–408.

- 1344 LOURDAIS, O., BONNET, X., SHINE, R., DENARDO, D., NAULLEAU, G., LOURDAIS, O., J., X.B.,
- 1345 SHINE, R., DENARDO, D., NAULLEAU, G. & GUILLON, M. (2002). Capital-breeding and
- 1346 reproductive effort in a variable environment : a longitudinal study of a viviparous snake.

1347 *Journal of Animal Ecology* **71**, 470–479.

- 1348 LOURDAIS, O., BONNET, X., SHINE, R. & TAYLOR, E.N. (2003). When does a reproducing
- female viper (*Vipera aspis*) 'decide' on her litter size ? *Journal of Zoology* **259**, 123–129.
- 1350 LOURDAIS, O., GUILLON, M., DENARDO, D. & BLOUIN-DEMERS, G. (2013). Cold climate
- specialization: Adaptive covariation between metabolic rate and thermoregulation in

1352 pregnant vipers. *Physiology & Behavior* **119**, 145–155.

- 1353 MA, C.-S., MA, G. & PINCEBOURDE, S. (2021). Survive a warming climate: insect responses
- to extreme high temperatures. *Annual Review of Entomology* **66**, 163–184.
- MA, G., HOFFMANN, A.A. & MA, C.-S. (2015). Daily temperature extremes play an important
 role in predicting thermal effects. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 218, 2289–2296.
- 1357 MA, G., LE LANN, C., VAN BAAREN, J. & MA, C.-S. (2020). Night Warming Affecting
- 1358 Interspecific Interactions: Implications for Biological Control. In *Integrative Biological*
- 1359 *Control* (eds Y. GAO, H.M.T. HOKKANEN & I. MENZLER-HOKKANEN), pp. 231–248
- 1360 Springer.
- MACKE, E., TASIEMSKI, A., MASSOL, F., CALLENS, M. & DECAESTECKER, E. (2016). Life
 history and eco-evolutionary dynamics in light of the gut microbiota. *Oikos*, **126**, 508531.
- MASSOT, M., LEGENDRE, S., FÉDÉRICI, P. & CLOBERT, J. (2017). Climate warming: a loss of
 variation in populations can accompany reproductive shifts. *Ecology Letters* 20, 1140–
 1147.
- 1367 MATA-SILVA, A.V., DESANTIS, D.L., WAGLER, A.E. & JOHNSON, J.D. (2018). Spatial ecology
- 1368 of rock rattlesnakes (*Crotalus lepidus*) in far west Texas. *Herpetologica* **74**, 245–254.

MCCAIN, C.M. & KING, S.R.B. (2014). Body size and activity times mediate mammalian
responses to climate change. *Global Change Biology* 20, 1760–1769.

1371 MCKNIGHT, D.T., ARD, K., AUGUSTE, R.J., BARHADIYA, G., BENARD, M.F., BOBAN, P.,

- 1372 DILLON, M.L., DOWNS, C.T., DEGREGORIO, B.A., GLORIOSO, B.M., GOODMAN, R.M.,
- 1373 HIRD, C., HOLLENDER, E.C., KENNEDY, M., KIDMAN, R.A., *ET AL*. (2023). Nocturnal
- basking in freshwater turtles: A global assessment. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 43,
 e02444.
- 1376 MCMUNN, M. & PEPI, A. (2022). Predicted asymmetrical effects of warming on nocturnal
- and diurnal soil-dwelling ectotherms. *American Naturalist* **199**, 302–312.
- 1378 MEEHL, G.A. & TEBALDI, C. (2004). More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat
- 1379 waves in the 21st century. *Science* **305**, 994–997.
- MEIRI, S. (2018). Traits of lizards of the world: Variation around a successful evolutionary
 design. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 27, 1168–1172.
- 1382 METCALFE, N.B., MONAGHAN, P. & METCALFE, N. (2001). Compensation for a bad start:

1383 grow now, pay later? *TRENDS in Ecology & Evolution* **16**, 254–260.

- 1384 MILLER, C.R., BARTON, B.T., ZHU, L., RADELOFF, V.C., OLIVER, K.M., HARMON, J.P., IVES,
- 1385 A.R., VC, R., KM, O., JP, H., AR, I. & BARTON, B.T. (2017). Combined effects of night
- 1386 warming and light pollution on predator–prey interactions. *Proceedings of the Royal*
- 1387 *Society B: Biological Sciences* **284**, 20171195.
- 1388 MOELLER, A.H., IVEY, K., CORNWALL, M.B., HERR, K., REDE, J., TAYLOR, E.N. &
- 1389 GUNDERSON, A.R. (2020). The lizard gut microbiome changes with temperature and is
- associated with heat tolerance. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **86**, e01181-20.
- 1391 MOHANTY, N.P., WAGENER, C., HERREL, A. & THAKER, M. (2022). The ecology of sleep in
- 1392 non-avian reptiles. *Biological Reviews* **97**, 505–526.
- 1393 MONAGHAN, P., METCALFE, N.B. & TORRES, R. (2009). Oxidative stress as a mediator of life

- history trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. *Ecology Letters* **12**,
- 1395 75–92.
- 1396 MOORE, G., PENNIKET, S. & CREE, A. (2020). Greater basking opportunity and warmer nights
- during late pregnancy advance modal birth season in a live-bearing gecko, lowering the
- risk of reduced embryonic condition. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **130**,
- 1399 128–141.
- 1400 MU, J., PENG, Y., XI, X., WU, X., LI, G., NIKLAS, K.J. & SUN, S. (2015). Artificial
- 1401 asymmetric warming reduces nectar yield in a Tibetan alpine species of Asteraceae.
- 1402 *Annals of Botany* **116**, 899–906.
- MUKHERJEE, S. & MOHAN, R. (2022). Observations of nocturnal activity in diurnal Indian
 snakes. *Reptiles & Amphibians* 29, 146–147.
- MURALI, G., IWAMURA, T., MEIRI, S. & ROLL, U. (2023). Future temperature extremes
 threaten land vertebrates. *Nature* 615, 461-467.
- 1407 NEELY, W.J., GREENSPAN, S.E., RIBEIRO, L.P., CARVALHO, T., MARTINS, R.A., RODRIGUEZ,
- 1408 D., ROHR, J.R., HADDAD, C.F.B., TOLEDO, L.F. & BECKER, C.G. (2020). Synergistic
- 1409 effects of warming and disease linked to high mortality in cool-adapted terrestrial frogs.
- 1410 Biological Conservation 245, 108521.
- 1411 NORDBERG, E. & MCKNIGHT, D. (2023). Seasonal, environmental, and anthropogenic
- influences on nocturnal basking in turtles and crocodiles from north-eastern Australia.
- 1413 *Austral Ecology*. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.13320.
- 1414 NORDBERG, E.J. & SCHWARZKOPF, L. (2019). Heat seekers: a tropical nocturnal lizard uses
- 1415 behavioral thermoregulation to exploit rare microclimates at night. *Journal of Thermal*
- 1416 *Biology* **82**, 107–114.
- 1417 NORIN, T., MALTE, H. & CLARK, T.D. (2016). Differential plasticity of metabolic rate
- 1418 phenotypes in a tropical fish facing environmental change. *Functional Ecology* **30**, 369–

1419 378.

- 1420 PARTON, W.J. & LOGAN, J.A. (1981). A model for diurnal variation in soil and air
- temperature. *Agricultural meteorology* **23**, 205–216.
- 1422 PENG, S., PIAO, S., CIAIS, P., MYNENI, R.B., CHEN, A., CHEVALLIER, F., DOLMAN, A.J.,
- 1423 JANSSENS, I.A., PEÑUELAS, J., ZHANG, G., VICCA, S., WAN, S., WANG, S. & ZENG, H.
- 1424 (2013). Asymmetric effects of daytime and night-time warming on Northern Hemisphere
- 1425 vegetation. *Nature* **501**, 88–92.
- 1426 PÖRTNER, H.-O., ROBERTS, D.C., TIGNOR, M., POLOCZANSKA, E.S., MINTENBECK, K.,
- 1427 Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A. & Rama,
- 1428 B. (2022). IPCC, 2022: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability.
- 1429 Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 1430 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- 1431 UK and New York, NY, USA.
- 1432 R Development Core Team (2023). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 1433 R Foundatiion for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-
- 1434 project.org/.
- 1435 REICHERT, S., STIER, A. & STIER, A. (2017). Does oxidative stress shorten telomeres in vivo?
 1436 A review. *Biology Letters* 13, 20170463.
- 1437 RITCHIE, D.J. & FRIESEN, C.R. (2022). Thermal effects on oxidative stress in vertebrate
 1438 ectotherms. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A* 263, 111082.
- 1439 ROHR, J.R. & PALMER, B.D. (2013). Climate change, multiple stressors, and the decline of
 1440 ectotherms. *Conservation Biology* 27, 741–751.
- 1441 ROSEN, P.C. & LOWE, C.H. (1994). Highway Mortality of Snakes in the Sonoran Desert of
- 1442 Southern Arizona. *Biological Conservation* **68**, 143–148.
- 1443 ROZEN-RECHELS, D., DUPOUE, A., MEYLAN, S., QITOUT, K., DECENCIERE, B., AGOSTINI, S. &

- 1444 LE GALLIARD, J.F. (2020). Acclimation to water restriction implies different paces for
- behavioral and physiological responses in a lizard species. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 93, 160-174.
- 1447 ROZEN-RECHELS, D., RUTSCHMANN, A., DUPOUÉ, A., BLAIMONT, P., CHAUVEAU, V., MILES,
- 1448 D.B., GUILLON, M., RICHARD, M., BADIANE, A., MEYLAN, S., CLOBERT, J. & LE
- 1449 GALLIARD, J.F. (2021). Interaction of hydric and thermal conditions drive geographic
- variation in thermoregulation in a widespread lizard. *Ecological Monographs* 91 (2)
 e01440.
- 1452 RUSSO, S., SILLMANN, J. & FISCHER, E.M. (2015). Top ten European heatwaves since 1950
- and their occurrence in the coming decades. *Environmental Research Letters* **10**, 124003.
- 1454 RUTSCHMANN, A., DUPOUÉ, A., MILES, D.B., MEGÍA-PALMA, R., LAUDEN, C., RICHARD, M.,
- 1455 BADIANE, A., ROZEN-RECHELS, D., BREVET, M., BLAIMONT, P., MEYLAN, S., CLOBERT,
- 1456 J. & LE GALLIARD, J.F. (2021). Intense nocturnal warming alters growth strategies,
- 1457 colouration and parasite load in a diurnal lizard. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 90, 18641458 1877.
- 1459 RUTSCHMANN, A., MILES, D.B., CLOBERT, J. & RICHARD, M. (2016). Warmer temperatures
- 1460 attenuate the classic offspring number and reproductive investment trade-off in the

1461 common lizard, *Zootoca vivipara*. *Biology Letters* **12**, 2016–2019.

- 1462 RUTSCHMANN, A., ROZEN-RECHELS, D., DUPOUÉ, A., BLAIMONT, P., DE VILLEMEREUIL, P.,
- 1463 MILES, D.B., RICHARD, M. & CLOBERT, J. (2020). Climate dependent heating efficiency
- in the common lizard. *Ecology and Evolution* **10**, 8007–8017.
- SABO, J.L. (2003). Hot rocks or no hot rocks: Overnight retreat availability and selection by a
 diurnal lizard. *Oecologia* 136, 329–335.
- 1467 SADOK, W. & KRISHNA JAGADISH, S. V. (2020). The hidden costs of nighttime warming on
- 1468 yields. *Trends in Plant Science* **25**, 644–651.

- 1469 SANDERSON, M.G., HEMMING, D.L. & BETTS, R.A. (2011). Regional temperature and
- 1470 precipitation changes under warming. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A:*
- 1471 *Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **369**, 85–98.
- 1472 SAUNDERS, D., STEEL, C., VAFOPOULOU, X. & LEWIS, R. (2002). Insect Clocks. Third Edit.
- 1473 Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 1474 SCHMITZ, O.J. & BARTON, B.T. (2014). Climate change effects on behavioral and
- 1475 physiological ecology of predator prey interactions : Implications for conservation

1476 biological control. *Biological Control* **75**, 87–96.

- 1477 SEEBACHER, F. & FRANKLIN, C.E. (2005). Physiological mechanisms of thermoregulation in
- 1478 reptiles: A review. Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and
- 1479 *Environmental Physiology* **175**, 533–541.
- 1480 SEIFAN, T., FEDERMAN, A., MAUTZ, W.J., SMITH, K.J. & WERNER, Y.L. (2010). Nocturnal
- 1481 foraging in a diurnal tropical lizard (Squamata: Gekkonidae: *Phelsuma laticauda*) on

1482 Hawaii. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **26**, 243–246.

- 1483 SGRÒ, C.M. & HOFFMANN, A.A. (2004). Genetic correlations, tradeoffs and environmental
- 1484 variation. *Heredity* **93**, 241–248.
- SHARMA, S. & KAVURU, M. (2010). Sleep and metabolism: An overview. *International Journal of Endocrinology* 2010, 270832.
- 1487 SHEMESH, Y., COHEN, M. & BLOCH, G. (2007). Natural plasticity in circadian rhythms is
- 1488 mediated by reorganization in the molecular clockwork in honeybees. *The FASEB*
- *journal* **21**, 2304–2311.
- 1490 SHI, X., WANG, G., CHEN, T., LI, S., LU, J. & HAGAN, D.F.T. (2021). Long-term changes in
- 1491 layered soil temperature based on ground measurements in Jiangsu Province, China.
- 1492 *International Journal of Climatology* **41**, 2996–3009.
- 1493 SHIGENOBU, S., WATANABE, H. & HATTORI, M. (2000). Genome sequence of the endocellular

- 1494 bacterial symbiont of aphids Buchnera sp. APS. *Nature* **407**, 81–86.
- 1495 SILLMANN, J., KHARIN, V. V., ZHANG, X., ZWIERS, F.W. & BRONAUGH, D. (2013). Climate
- 1496 extremes indices in the CMIP5 multimodel ensemble: Part 1. Model evaluation in the
- 1497 present climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* **118**, 1716–1733.
- 1498 SINCLAIR, B.J., MARSHALL, K.E., SEWELL, M.A., LEVESQUE, D.L., WILLETT, C.S., SLOTSBO,
- 1499 S., DONG, Y., HARLEY, C.D.G., MARSHALL, D.J., HELMUTH, B.S. & HUEY, R.B. (2016).
- 1500 Can we predict ectotherm responses to climate change using thermal performance curves
 1501 and body temperatures? *Ecology Letters* 19, 1372–1385.
- 1502 SINERVO, B. & LICHT, P. (1991). Proximate constraints on the evolution of egg size, number,
- and total clutch mass in lizards. *Science* **252**, 1300–1302.
- 1504 SINERVO, B., MÉNDEZ-DE-LA-CRUZ, F., MILES, D.B., HEULIN, B., BASTIAANS, E., VILLAGRÁN-
- 1505 SANTA CRUZ, M., LARA RESENDIZ, A.R., MARTÍNEZ-MÉNDEZ, N., CALDERÓN-ESPINOSA,
- 1506 M.L., MEZA-LÁZARO, R.N., GADSDEN, H., AVILA, L.J., MORANDO, M., DE LA RIVA, I.J.,
- 1507 VICTORIANO SEPULVEDA, P., *ET AL*. (2010). Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change
- and altered thermal niches. *Science* **328**, 894–899.
- 1509 SLAVENKO, A., MEIRI, S., DROR, L., CAMAITI, M., FARQUHAR, J.E., SHEA, G.M. & CHAPPLE,
- 1510 D.G. (2022). Evolution of diel activity patterns in skinks (Squamata : Scincidae), the
- 1511 world's second-largest family of terrestrial vertebrates. *Evolution* **76**, 1195–1208.
- SMALL, E.E., SLOAN, L.C. & NYCHKA, D. (2001). Changes in surface air temperature caused
 by desiccation of the Aral Sea. *Journal of Climate* 14, 284–299.
- 1514 SPEAKMAN, J.R., BLOUNT, J.D., BRONIKOWSKI, A.M., BUFFENSTEIN, R., CRAM, D.L., BRIGA,
- 1515 M., CARR, S.K., CHRISTENSEN, L.L., COCHEM, H.M., DANTZER, B., HARPER, J.M., JURK,
- 1516 D., KING, A., NOGUERA, J.C., SALIN, K., *ET AL*. (2015). Oxidative stress and life histories :
- unresolved issues and current needs. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 5745–5757.
- 1518 SPEIGHTS, C.J. & BARTON, B.T. (2019). Timing is everything: Effects of day and night

- 1519 warming on predator functional traits. *Food Webs* **21**, e00130.
- 1520 SPEIGHTS, C.J., HARMON, J.P. & BARTON, B.T. (2017). Contrasting the potential effects of
- daytime versus nighttime warming on insects. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 23, 1–6.
- 1522 SPEIGHTS, C.J., WOLFF, C.L., BARTON, M.E. & BARTON, B.T. (2018). Why and how to create
- 1523 nighttime warming treatments for ecological field experiments. *Yale Journal of Biology*
- *and Medicine* **91**, 471–480.
- 1525 SPERRY, J.H., WARD, M.P. & WEATHERHEAD, P.J. (2013). Effects of temperature, moon
- 1526 phase, and prey on nocturnal activity in Ratsnakes: An automated telemetry study.
- 1527 *Journal of Herpetology* **47**, 105–111.
- 1528 STARK, G., MA, L., ZENG, Z.G., DU, W.G. & LEVY, O. (2023). Cool shade and not-so-cool
- 1529 shade: How habitat loss may accelerate thermal stress under current and future climate.
- 1530 *Global Change Biology* **29**, 6201–6216.
- 1531 STEPHENS, D.W. & ANDERSON, D. (2001). The adaptive value of preference for immediacy:
- 1532 when shortsighted rules have farsighted consequences. *Behavioral Ecology* **12**, 330–339.
- 1533 STEPHENS, D.W., KERR, B. & FERNA, E. (2004). Impulsiveness without discounting : the
- ecological rationality hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 271,
 2459–2465.
- 1536 STEVENS, J.R. & STEPHENS, D.W. (2010). The Adaptive Nature of Impulsivity. In
- *Impulsivity: The behavioral and neurological science of discounting* (ed G.J.M.& W.K.
 BICKEL), pp. 361–387. American Psychological Association.
- 1539 SUN, B., GROISMAN, P.Y., BRADLEY, R.S. & KEIMIG, F.T. (2000). Temporal changes in the
- 1540 observed relationship between cloud cover and surface air temperature. *Journal of*
- 1541 *Climate* **13**, 4341–4357.
- 1542 SUN, B., WILLIAMS, C.M., LI, T. & SPEAKMAN, J.R. (2022). Higher metabolic plasticity in
- temperate compared to tropical lizards suggests increased resilience to climate change.

- 1544 *Ecological Monographs* **92**, e1512.
- 1545 SUN, X., REN, G., YOU, Q., REN, Y., XU, W., XUE, X. & ZHAN, Y. (2018). Global diurnal
- temperature range (DTR) changes since 1901. *Climate Dynamics* **52**, 3343-3356.
- 1547 SUNDAY, J.M., BATES, A.E., KEARNEY, M.R., COLWELL, R.K., DULVY, N.K., LONGINO, J.T.
- 1548 & HUEY, R.B. (2014). Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory
- 1549 behavior across latitude and elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
- 1550 *of the United States of America* **111**, 5610–5615.
- 1551 TAN, W.C. & SCHWANZ, L.E. (2015). Thermoregulation across thermal environments in a
- nocturnal gecko. *Journal of Zoology* **296**, 208–216.
- 1553 TAYLOR, E.N., DIELE-VIEGAS, L.M., GANGLOFF, E.J., HALL, J.M., HALPERN, B., MASSEY,
- 1554 M.D., RÖDDER, D., ROLLINSON, N., SPEARS, S., SUN, B. JUN & TELEMECO, R.S. (2020).
- 1555 The thermal ecology and physiology of reptiles and amphibians: A user's guide. *Journal* 1556 *of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology* **335**, 13–44.
- 1557 TEWKSBURY, J.J., HUEY, R.B. & DEUTSCH, C.A. (2008). Putting the heat on tropical animals.
- 1558 Science **320**, 1296–1297.
- 1559 THOMAS, C.D., CAMERON, A., GREEN, R.E., BAKKENES, M., BEAUMONT, L.J., COLLINGHAM,
- 1560 Y.C., ERASMUS, B.F.N., DE SIQUEIRA, M.F., GRAINGER, A., HANNAH, L., HUGHES, L.,
- 1561 HUNTLEY, B., VAN JAARSVELD, A.S., MIDGLEY, G.F., MILES, L., *ET AL*. (2004). Letter to
- 1562 nature: extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* **427**, 145–148.
- 1563 THORNE, P.W., DONAT, M.G., DUNN, R.J.H., WILLIAMS, C.N., ALEXANDER, L. V., CAESAR, J.,
- 1564 DURRE, I., HARRIS, I., HAUSFATHER, Z., JONES, P.D., MENNE, M.J., ROHDE, R., VOSE,
- 1565 R.S., DAVY, R., LAWRIMORE, J.H., *ET AL*. (2016). Reassessing changes in diurnal
- 1566 temperature range: Intercomparison and evaluation of existing global data set estimates.
- 1567 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **121**, 5138–5158.
- 1568 TOUGERON, K. & ABRAM, P.K. (2017). An ecological perspective on sleep disruption. *The*

- 1569 *American Naturalist* **190**, E55–E66.
- 1570 UETZ, P., FREED, P., AGUILAR, R., REYES, F. & HOŠEK, J. (2023). The Reptile Database,
- 1571 http://reptile-database.org, accessed [12/10/2023].
- VAN BERKUM, F.H. (1986). Evolutionary patterns of the thermal sensitivity of sprint speed in
 Anolis lizards. *Evolution* 40(3), 594–604.
- *VERVUST, B., VAN DAMME, R. (2009). Marking lizards by heat branding. *Herpetological Review* 40, 173.
- 1576 VICKERMAN, G.P. & SUNDERLAND, K.D. (1975). Arthropods in cereal crops: nocturnal
- activity, vertical distribution and aphid predation. *The Journal of Applied Ecology* 12,
 755–766.
- 1579 VICKERS, M., MANICOM, C. & SCHWARZKOPF, L. (2011). Extending the cost-benefit model of
 1580 thermoregulation: high-temperature environments. *The American Naturalist* 177, 452–
- 1580 thermoregulation. high-temperature environments. *The American Naturalist* 177, 452–1581 461.
- 1582 VIDAN, E., ROLL, U., BAUER, A., GRISMER, L., GUO, P., MAZA, E., NOVOSOLOV, M.,
- 1583 SINDACO, R., WAGNER, P., BELMAKER, J. & MEIRI, S. (2017). The Eurasian hot nightlife:
- 1584 Environmental forces associated with nocturnality in lizards. *Global Ecology and*
- 1585 *Biogeography* **26**, 1316–1325.
- 1586 VOSE, R.S., EASTERLING, D.R. & GLEASON, B. (2005). Maximum and minimum temperature
- trends for the globe : An update through 2004. *Geophysical research letters* **32**, L23822.
- 1588 WANG, Y., LUO, Y. & SHAFEEQUE, M. (2019). Interpretation of vegetation phenology
- 1589 changes using daytime and night-time temperatures across the Yellow River Basin,
- 1590 China. Science of the Total Environment **693**, 133553.
- 1591 *WARKENTIN, M., FREESE, H.M., KARSTEN, U., SCHUMANN, R., (2007). New and fast
- 1592 method to quantify respiration rates of bacterial and plankton communities in freshwater
- 1593 ecosystems by using optical oxygen sensor spots. *Applied and Environmental*

1594 *Microbiology* **73**, 6722–6729.

- WARREN, R.J. & CHICK, L. (2013). Upward ant distribution shift corresponds with minimum,
 not maximum, temperature tolerance. *Global Change Biology* 19, 2082–2088.
- 1597 WHITNEY-JOHNSON, A., THOMPSON, M. & HON, E. (2005). Responses to predicted global
- 1598 warming in Pieris rapae L. (Lepidoptera): consequences of nocturnal versus diurnal
- temperature change on fitness components. *Environmental Entomology* **34**, 535–540.
- 1600 WU, T., HAO, S., SUN, O.J. & KANG, L. (2012). Specificity responses of grasshoppers in

temperate grasslands to diel asymmetric warming. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e41764.

1602 XIA, H., LI, A., FENG, G., LI, Y., QIN, Y., LEI, G. & CUI, Y. (2018). The effects of asymmetric

1603 diurnal warming on vegetation growth of the Tibetan plateau over the past three decades.

- 1604 *Sustainability* **10**, 1103.
- 1605 XIA, J., HAN, Y., ZHANG, Z., ZHANG, Z. & WAN, S. (2009). Effects of diurnal warming on
- soil respiration are not equal to the summed effects of day and night warming in a

temperate steppe. *Biogeosciences* **6**, 1361–1370.

- 1608 YANG, Z., JIANG, L., SU, F., ZHANG, Q., XIA, J. & WAN, S. (2016). Nighttime warming
- 1609 enhances drought resistance of plant communities in a temperate steppe. *Scientific*1610 *Reports* 6, 23267.
- 1611 ZHANG, Q., HAN, X.-Z., BURRACO, P., HAO, X., TENG, L.-W., LIU, Z.S., ZHANG, F.-S. & DU,
- 1612 W.-G. (2023). Telomere length, oxidative stress and their links with growth and survival
- in a lizard facing climate warming. *Science of the Total Environment* **891**, 164424.
- 1614 ZHAO, F., ZHANG, W., HOFFMANN, A.A. & MA, C.-S. (2014). Night warming on hot days
- 1615 produces novel impacts on development, survival and reproduction in a small arthropod.
- 1616 *Journal of Animal Ecology* **83**, 769–778.
- 1617 ZHOU, L., DAI, A., DAI, Y., VOSE, R.S., ZOU, C.-Z., TIAN, Y. & CHEN, H. (2009). Spatial
- dependence of diurnal temperature range trends on precipitation from 1950 to 2004.

1619 *Climate Dynamics* **32**, 429–440.

1620 XI. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 1621 Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section
- 1622 at the end of the article.
- 1623 Appendix S1. Saguaro National Park legacy operative environmental temperature data.
- 1624 Appendix S2. Diurnal and nocturnal metabolic rates of *Podarcis muralis*.
- 1625 Appendix S3. Summer heatwave and nocturnal warming: a case study in a diurnal snake.
- 1626 Appendix S4. Bioenergetic modelling of energy balance.
- 1627 Appendix S5. Empirical analysis of energy balance.
- 1628

1629

1630 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Impact of warmer days and nights on individual performance. In ectotherms the 1631 1632 temperature dependence of biological processes is conceptualised as a thermal performance curve (TPC). The curve describes the response of performance traits to changes in body 1633 temperature. Because of the left-skewed bell shape of the TPC, it is assumed that increasing 1634 1635 temperatures near or at the critical thermal maximum (CT_{max}) have a large and negative instantaneous impact on performance (orange arrow). On the other hand, a similar increase in 1636 1637 temperature at or near the critical thermal minimum (CT_{min}) is presumed to have a positive, but smaller, instantaneous impact on performance (blue arrow). In addition, warmer 1638 1639 minimum temperatures during the night can push body temperature away from CT_{min} and 1640 towards the optimal body temperature (T_{opt}) , hence allowing higher levels of performance. Modified from Speights et al. (2017). 1641

1642

1643 Fig. 2. Impact of warmer nocturnal temperatures on the nocturnal activity and energy budget of squamate reptiles. Warmer nocturnal temperatures are expected to modify opportunities 1644 for activity (A); as nocturnal temperatures increase, operative temperatures (y-axis) are likely 1645 to exceed the minimum voluntary temperature (VT_{min}) (horizontal broken line) later at night 1646 1647 and earlier in the morning (black arrows), offering increased opportunities for foraging or 1648 physiological activity. The gain in potential activity time is represented by the yellow shaded 1649 area. This extension of activity occurs at the detriment of resting time (blue area), potentially 1650 affecting the quality of physiological recovery. From an energy budget perspective (B), 1651 compared to a cold night (pale green or pale orange), warmer nights (dark green or dark orange) may offset the balance between energy income (green lines) and metabolic expenses 1652 (orange lines). Activity extension can offer opportunities for foraging or assimilation over a 1653

larger part of the day, hence increasing the energetic intake (green shaded area). On the other
hand, warmer nocturnal activity can also increase nocturnal metabolic and physiological
activity, therefore raising energetic expenses over the night (orange shaded area). The overall
energetic costs and benefits of warmer nocturnal temperatures will depend on the balance
between these two processes.

1659

Fig. 3. Temporal pattern of diurnal and nocturnal operative temperatures recorded in mid–late spring on mesquite trees exploited by the diurnal ornate tree lizard (*Urosaurus ornatus*), in the desert of Saguaro National Park (Arizona, USA). Dots represents average minimum (blue) or average maximum (yellow) temperature recorded each year. Vertical bars represent standard deviation from the mean.

1665

Fig. 4. Diurnal and nocturnal metabolic rates of 65 male wall lizards (*Podarcis muralis*) at 1666 1667 four different temperatures. Resting metabolic rate (RMR) was measured three times for each 1668 individual at each temperature, at rest (no locomotor activity and in a post-prandial physiological state) during the active (diurnal) phase of the diel cycle (measurements took 1669 1670 place between 10:00 and 16:00). Standard metabolic rate (SMR) was also measured three times for each individual at each temperature, during the inactive (nocturnal) phase of the diel 1671 cycle (measurements took place between 22:00 and 04:00). From bottom to top, horizontal 1672 lines represent the 25th percentile (Q1), the median and the 75th percentile (Q3) of each group. 1673 Vertical lines represent the minimum (Q1 - interquartile range) and maximum (Q3 +1674 interquartile range) values. Dots represents outliers. See Appendix S2 for further details. 1675 1676 Fig. 5. Mean daily variation in body temperature in six asp vipers (*Vipera aspis*) (solid blue 1677

1678 line) shows a close match with minimum shelter temperatures measured using biomimetic

1679 models (N = 3; solid black line) over the course of the night. Mean surface temperatures (N =1680 3 biomimetic models; solid yellow line) often exceeded the preferred body temperature of V. aspis (mean \pm SD T_{pref} = 30.63 \pm 4.22, dashed black line; Lorioux *et al.*, 2013), highlighting 1681 1682 the ability of this species to thermoregulate efficiently during the day while being more thermally passive in their shelter over the night. Periods of heatwaves (as defined by Météo 1683 France) are shaded in light grey (hot; T_{max} 30–35 °C) and dark grey (extremely hot; T_{max} > 35 1684 °C). Note that this classification was made at a regional level, leading to some mismatches 1685 with our data set. 1686

1687

1688Fig. 6. Relationship between nocturnal minimum environmental temperature recorded in a1689shelter and mean asp viper (*Vipera aspis*) nocturnal body temperature. Nocturnal1690temperatures were recorded from 22:00 to 08:00. Data are plotted separately for three1691different categories of day according to Météo France classification: normal (maximum air1692temperature in the shade < 30 °C; blue), hot (30–35 °C; yellow), and very hot (> 35 °C; red).1693Solid lines represent the estimated slope and shaded regions the 95% confidence intervals.1694The black dashed line is y = x.

1695

Fig. 7. Daily net energy gain (NEG_d) predicted by our energy budget model for different 1696 1697 thermoregulation behaviours (thermoconformer versus thermoregulator), ecological 1698 behaviours (diurnal versus nocturnal) and default metabolic rates at 20 °C (DMR₂₀). For each increment of daily minimum nocturnal temperature tested (0–30 °C), a daily temperature 1699 cycle was created (see Fig. S3). NEG was then calculated hourly for each temperature cycle, 1700 1701 before being integrated over 24 h to obtain one NEG_d value for each minimum nocturnal temperature. Scenario 1 represents a thermoconforming ectotherm with no time constraints 1702 1703 on activity. Scenario 2 represents a thermoconforming ectotherm with strictly diurnal

foraging activity. Scenario 3 represents a thermoregulator (preferred temperature $T_{pref} = 30$ 1704 $^{\circ}$ C) with a 24 h active phase bounded by voluntary thermal limits (18 – 40 $^{\circ}$ C). Scenario 4 1705 represents a perfect thermoregulator ($T_{pref} = 30 \text{ °C}$), bounded by voluntary thermal limits and 1706 with a strict diurnal activity phase. Scenario 5 represents a perfect thermoregulator ($T_{pref} = 30$ 1707 $^{\circ}$ C) with a nocturnal activity phase, bounded by voluntary thermal limits (15 – 35 $^{\circ}$ C). The 1708 different colours represent curves for different DMR₂₀ values. DMR₂₀ is expressed as a 1709 1710 percentage of the daily maximum energy intake, and can be interpreted as a proxy for animal 1711 size, with larger animals having a lower metabolic rate. Circles show the optimum minimum nocturnal temperature $(T_{N, opt})$ and represent a tipping point between positive and negative 1712 effects of nocturnal temperature on daily net energy gain. Pictograms indicate the ecological 1713 1714 and thermoregulatory characteristics of the simulated ectotherms.

1715

Fig. 8. Plot of effect sizes (Cohen's d) for the effects of warmer nocturnal temperatures (T_N) 1716 on phenotypic traits of the common lizard (Zootoca vivipara). Correlative studies are shown 1717 in orange and experimental studies in grey. Stars indicate significance level (***, P < 0.001; 1718 **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05). Cohen's d and associated 95% confidence intervals were estimated 1719 1720 using the t_to_d function in R (effectsize package; Ben-Shachar et al., 2020) for all traits other than the heating rates variables, for which non-linear mixed effect models were used 1721 1722 (Ime.dscore function in EMAtools R package; Kleiman, 2022) and confidence intervals could 1723 not be calculated. BC, body condition; CORT, corticosterone; LM, litter mass; LS, litter size; OXY, oxygen metabolites; ROM, non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity; SVL, snout-vent 1724 length; TL, telomere length; T_{pref} , preferred body temperature. 'Levels' indicates measures 1725 1726 from comparative studies. 'Change' indicates measures implying an experimental design where levels were compared before and after treatments. List of publications: a. Dupoué et al. 1727 (2017b); b. Dupoué et al. (in preparation); c. Brusch IV et al. (2023); d. Rutschmann et al. 1728

- 1729 (2021); e. Dupoué et al. (2018); f. Rutschmann et al. (2020); g. Dupoué et al. (2017c); h.
- 1730 Dupoué et al. (2020); i. Rozen-Rechels et al. (2021).
- 1731

eterin etin






<u>Figure 4</u>





1748 Figure 5



<u>Figure 6</u>





1754 Figure 7



1757 **Figure 8**

correlative experimental

Effect of warmer T_N

	←	Negative	Positive	
Demographic:	Abundance	·• **		а
Life-history:	Gestation time	I I I	*	b
	Gestation time	1		C
	Reproductive effort (LS)		**	b
	Reproductive effort (LM)		***	c
Rep	roductive effort (postpartum BC) -			b
Rep	roductive effort (postpartum BC)	-•-	***	с
Colouration:	Luminance (change)		- - - *	d
Parasitism:	Blood parasite (change)	-	●— n.s.	d
	Ectoparasite (change)	1	**	d
Growth:	Body Size (SVL)	I L	*	а
	Body Size (SVL) (change)		*	d
	Body mass (change)	-•	– n.s.	d
	Tail volume (change)		**	С
	Tail volume (change)		***	d
	Body Condition (change)		**	С
	Body Condition (change)		***	d
Physiology:	Water loss (levels)	+	*	g
	Osmolality (levels)		— n.s.	g
	Osmolality (change)		***	С
	Baseline CORT (levels)	'	*	e
	Heating rate (speed)	•	n.s.	f
Hea	ating rate (temperature reached)	•	**	f
Stress:	ROM (levels)		n.s.	h
	ROM (change)	4	●— n.s.	d
	OXY (levels)		—— n.s.	h
	OXY (change)		- n.s.	d
	Absolute TL		*	а
	Relative TL		- n.s.	h
Behaviour:	Thref (change)	_	l—n s	h
	Toref (levels)	[_]	- n.s.	i
	Food intake		***	, C
		-		0
	-4	0	4	

Cohen's d

1761Table 1. Summary of the scenarios tested using our energy balance model. AR, attack rate;1762IR, ingestion rate; MR, metabolic rate. All rates (AR, IR and MR) were dependent on1763temperature. Depending on the scenario, AR was either positive throughout the 24 h diel1764cycle, only diurnal (positive from 07:00 to 20:00), only nocturnal (positive from 20:00 to176507:00) or constrained by a temperature window (e.g. positive when $T \ge 18$ °C and $T \le 40$ °C).1766See Section IV.2 and Appendix S4 for detailed description of each scenario.

Scenario	Thermoregulation strategy	Temperature variation	AR	IR and MR
0	Thermoconforming	$T_{\mathrm{D,max}}$	24 h	24 h
1	Thermoconforming	T _{N,min}	24 h	24 h
2	Thermoconforming	T _{N,min}	Diurnal	24 h
3	Thermoregulating	$T_{ m N,min}$	24 h & $T \in [18 \text{ °C} - 40 \text{ °C}]$	24 h
4	Thermoregulating	$T_{ m N,min}$	Diurnal & $T \in [18 \text{ °C} - 40 \text{ °C}]$	24 h
5	Thermoregulating	T _{N,min}	Nocturnal & $T \in [15 \text{ °C} - 35 \text{ °C}]$	24h

1770	
1771	ECOLOGICAL RESPONSES OF SQUAMATE REPTILES TO NOCTURNAL
1772	WARMING - Supporting Information
1773	
1774	<u>CONTENTS</u>
1775	Appendix S1. Saguaro National Park legacy operative environmental temperature data
1776	(1) Study system
1777	(2) Statistical analyses
1778	
1779	Appendix S2. Diurnal and nocturnal metabolic rates of <i>Podarcis muralis</i>
1780	(1) Study species
1781	(2) Experimental design
1782	(3) Measurement of metabolic rates
1783	(4) Statistical analyses
1784	
1785	Appendix S3. Summer heatwave and nocturnal warming: a case study in a diurnal snake
1786	(1) Inermoregulation under semi-natural conditions
1700	(2) Statistical analyses
1788	Annendiy C4. Discoverentia modelling of energy belongs
1789	(1) List of abbreviations
1790	(1) LISE OF ADDREVIATIONS
1702	(z) Context
1702	(b) Functional response
1794	(c) Attack and intake rates
1795	(d) Metabolic rate
1796	(e) Daily temperature cycles
1797	(f) Daily energy acquisition and expenses
1798	(3) R Code of the bioenergetic model
1799	(a) Libraries
1800	(b) Parameters and default values
1801	(c) Basic functions
1802	(i) Arrhenius equation for MR
1803	(ii) Functional response (Type II)
1804	(iii) Temperature dependence of functional response parameters
1805	(<i>iv</i>) Temperature cycle function
1806	(v) Testing the influence of nocturnal temperature and DMR ₂₀
1807	(4) Scenarios
1808	(a) Scenario 0. Model validation
1809	(b) Scenario 1. Thermoconformer with 24-h foraging activity
1810	(c) Scenario 2. Thermoconformer with diurnal foraging activity
1811	(d) Scenario 3. Thermoregulator with 24-h foraging activity
1812	(e) Scenario 4. Thermoregulator with diurnal foraging activity
1813	(f) Scenario 5. Thermoregulator with nocturnal foraging activity
1814	(g) Effects of efficient AR at low temperature
1815	
1816	Appendix S5. Empirical analysis of energy balance

1818

Appendix S1. Saguaro National Park legacy operative environmental temperature data

1819

1820 (1) Study system

1821 D.B. Miles measured operative environmental temperatures (T_{es}) at Saguaro National Park (SNP, 1822 Arizona, USA). T_es represent the body temperature of an inactive ectotherm in a given microhabitat 1823 and were originally recorded to estimate the thermal quality of the habitat exploited by the diurnal 1824 ornate tree lizard (Urosaurus ornatus). At SNP, these lizards are arboreal and occupy mesquite 1825 (Prosopis velutina) and paloverde (Parkinsonia aculeata) trees. In mid-late spring (~25 May - 15 1826 June) 2001, 2002, 2015, 2020 and 2022, 2-4 biomimetic lizard-shaped models made of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) were placed on the same trees used by lizards for a period of 5–15 days. The sensors 1827 within these models were programmed to record T_e every 5 min over a 24-h period. 1828

1829 (2) Statistical analyses

Each year, and for each biomimetic logger, we extracted the minimum and maximum temperatures recorded each day. We then calculated the mean daily minimum and mean daily maximum T_e among loggers to obtain a single value for each day. We used a linear model to investigate whether T_e changed significantly over the study period. In the model, we also included an interaction term between time of day (i.e. minimum or maximum temperature) and year (as a continuous factor). The interaction term was statistically significant: minimum T_e increased faster than maximum T_e in SNP over the period 2001–2022 (see Table S1).

Table S1. Results of ANOVA linear model relating mean daily operative environmental temperature (T_e) to study year, time of the day (i.e. minimum or maximum T_e) and their interaction term.

Explanatory variables	Df	Mean Sq.	F value	Р
Year	1	51.9	4.18	0.04
Time of day	1	18464.9	1488.78	<0.005
Year \times Time of day	1	111.1	8.89	<0.005
Residuals	63	12.4	_	-

1839 Although we were interested in the trend in temperature changes, note that the difference over the

1840 study period was 5.56 °C for maximum T_e and 9.37 °C for minimum T_e. The absolute change in

1841 minimum and maximum T_e over the period 2001–2022 was estimated as the difference between the

1842 median values obtained for the minimum and maximum T_e recorded in 2022 and 2001.

Appendix S2. Diurnal and nocturnal metabolic rates of *Podarcis muralis*

1847

1848 (1) Study species

1849 The wall lizard (Podarcis muralis; Laurenti 1768) is a small heliothermic and strictly diurnal squamate 1850 widespread in Central Europe. Males (N = 65) were captured by C. Perry and A. Rutschmann 1851 between April 8 and 17 2022 using the lasso method (Blomberg & Shine, 2006) at three sites, all 1852 located within a 10 km radius of the Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale (SETE, Moulis, France 42°57'26.8" N, 1°05'08.3" E; 436 m ASL). Immediately after capture, we measured body mass 1853 1854 (mean \pm S.D.: 7.17 \pm 0.81 g) and snout-vent length (SVL = 69.09 \pm 2.69 mm). Lizards were 1855 transported to temperature-controlled environment chambers located in the SETE where they were 1856 marked using a cautery pen with a unique identification number on their ventral scales (Vervust & Van Damme, 2009). For logistic reasons, lizards were acclimated in pairs in plastic enclosures (56 \times 1857 1858 39×28 cm). Each enclosure contained a thin layer of wood straw as a substrate, a water supply, 1859 paving stones used as thermoregulation platforms (15 \times 15 \times 6 cm) and two plastic refuges for 1860 sheltering. Every second day, lizards were fed ad libitum with mealworms (Tenebrio sp. larvae) and 1861 crickets (Acheta domestica). Water was provided ad libitum. Terraria were misted with a water 1862 sprayer every second day in the morning (08:00). An ultraviolet lamp provided light for 12 h per day 1863 (07:00–19:00). Each tank was heated with an incandescent heat lamp (42 W) for 6 h per day at 1 h 1864 intervals. This provided a diurnal thermal gradient ranging from 24 to 36 °C, a range that includes 1865 the preferred body temperature (T_{pref}) for thermoregulation in this species (T_{pref} = 32.9 °C) 1866 (Bodensteiner et al., 2021). During the night, the lamp was switched off, with nocturnal air 1867 temperature (T_N) controlled at 17 °C in the environmental chambers.

1868 (2) Experimental design

Forty-five days after capture, we measured both diurnal and nocturnal metabolic rate (MR). For each individual, six measurements were made at each of four different body temperatures (15, 20, 25, 30 °C), which includes the range of body temperatures over which MR increases exponentially (C. Perry, personal observations). Following Andrews & Pough (1985), for each body temperature resting MR (RMR) was measured three times during the active phase of the day (10:00–16:00), while standard

1874 MR (SMR) was measured three times during the resting phase of the day (22:00–04:00). Prior to the 1875 experiment, lizards were randomly assigned to a group of 5–7 individuals (10 groups in total) and 1876 each group was randomly assigned to one of the four temperatures. Both diurnal and nocturnal MR 1877 were measured for the given temperature, and three groups were tested, within a single 24-h diel 1878 cycle. Before being tested again at a different randomly chosen temperature, lizards were allowed to 1879 rest for at least 24 h. The experiment lasted 13 days and nights in total.

1880 (3) Measurement of metabolic rates

1881 Thirty minutes prior to MR measurements, lizards were individually placed in a black canvas sock (10 1882 $cm \times 4$ cm), within one of the eight 75 ml opaque plastic metabolic chambers of an incubator 1883 (Aqualytic Incubator TC 140 G, Germany). After this acclimation period, chambers were closed for 1884 three sessions of 15 min each to measure oxygen consumption (PreSens[©] OXT-4 SMA system) 1885 (Warkentin et al., 2007). Between each session, chambers were opened for 15 min to allow 1886 replacement of oxygen. One of the eight metabolic chambers, which was always the same, remained 1887 empty to serve as a control. Individual MRs were then obtained as the slope (β) of the oxygen consumption curve as a function of time. To calibrate MR (Warkentin *et al.*, 2007), each β value was 1888 1889 corrected by the average slope ($\beta_{control}$) recorded within the control chamber at each temperature 1890 (Andrews & Pough, 1985). Then, MR was estimated as:

$$MR = \frac{(V_{chamber} - V_{id}) * \%O_2 * (\beta - \beta_{control})}{100}$$
(S1)

1891 where $V_{chamber}$ is chamber volume, V_{id} is individual volume (approximated as individual mass), and 1892 %O₂ is the initial proportion of oxygen in the chamber. RMR and SMR were further adjusted by 1893 individual mass following Brown *et al.* (2004) to give mass-specific metabolic rates:

$$MR_m = \frac{MR}{Mass^{\alpha}}$$
(S2)

1894 with α estimated as the slope coefficient of the linear regression between mass and metabolic rate1895 (0.74 for RMR and 0.91 for SMR).

1896 (4) Statistical analyses

All statistical comparisons were performed in R software version 4.2.3. We used a linear mixedeffects model to test whether the reaction norm (i.e. temperature dependence of MR) varied significantly between day (RMR) and night (SMR) measurements. In the model, we also included an interaction term between the time of the day (i.e. SMR *versus* RMR) and temperature. We included

- 1901 individual as a random effect to control for repeated measures. The interaction term was significant,
- 1902 with RMR increasing faster with temperature than SMR.

Table S2. Results of ANOVA linear model relating metabolic rate to the time of the day [i.e. whether
standard metabolic rate (night) or resting metabolic rate (day) was measured], temperature and
their interaction term.

Explanatory variables	Numerator DF	Denominator DF	F value	Р
(Intercept)	1	1534	812.6	<0.005
Time of day	1	1534	1418.6	<0.005
Temperature	1	1534	1678.4	<0.005
Interaction (Temperature × Time of day)	1	1534	482.7	<0.005

1907 We also analysed the variance between temperatures in each metabolic rate group using the 1908 *leveneTest* function in R (Fox, 2016). The variance in MR was significantly different between SMR and 1909 RMR across all temperatures ($F_{7,1594}$ = 221.4, P <0.005). A graphical representation of the data (see 1910 Fig. 4 in the main article) confirms that variance increased with temperature, especially for RMR.

1911

Appendix S3. Summer heatwave and nocturnal warming: a case study in a diurnal snake

1914 (1) Thermoregulation under semi-natural conditions

1915 To examine the influence of weather conditions on thermoregulation, in late spring 2003 six non-1916 pregnant adult female asp vipers (Vipera aspis) of similar mass and size (mean ± SD SVL = 49.5 ± 4.5 cm; body mass = 88.8 ± 25.6 g) were captured in the wild in western France by M. Guillon. and O. 1917 Lourdais. Vipers were housed in an 80 m² outdoor enclosure at the CEBC-CNRS study site in France 1918 1919 (46°8'48"N; 0°25'31"E), located in the geographic range of V. aspis. The enclosure provided a mosaic 1920 of diverse vegetation, basking sites, shade, rocky shelters, and underground refuges, that mimicked 1921 the natural habitat of this species. We implanted temperature loggers (Ibutton @Maxim dallas) into 1922 the body cavity following a previously described protocol (Lourdais et al., 2013). Body temperature 1923 $(T_{\rm b})$ was measured every hour of the 24-h diel cycle, from July 1 to September 4. Simultaneously, we 1924 measured $T_{\rm e}$ every hour in six biomimetic models (copper tubes filled with water) designed to match 1925 the biophysical properties (shape, size and reflective properties) of the study species. We placed the 1926 models into two contrasting microhabitats: on the ground in the open (N = 3) and in underground 1927 shelter (N = 3) to record the range of T_e . Each day was classified as very hot (>35 °C), hot (maximum 1928 air temperature in the shade 30-35 °C), or normal (<30 °C) according to the French national 1929 meteorological agency (Météo France) classification for the study area (Laadi et al., 2012). Because 1930 behavioural activity occurs only during the day in this species, we distinguished between the 1931 daytime activity period (from 09:00 to 21:00) and the nocturnal resting period (22:00 to 08:00).

1932 (2) Statistical analyses

All statistical comparisons were performed in R software version 4.2.3. For each hour, we averaged data collected for body temperatures (N = 6 individuals) and microhabitat T_e (N = 3 replicates per category). We used a linear model to examine the relationship between minimal nocturnal environmental temperature in the shelter (T_N shelter) and maximal environmental temperatures (T_D open) recorded in the open during the previous day (see Table S3).

1938**Table S3.** Results of a linear model relating daily nocturnal shelter environmental minimum1939temperature ($T_{N \text{ shelter}}$) to the maximum environmental temperature in the open ($T_{D \text{ open}}$) during the1940previous day.

1941

Explanatory variables df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value P

T _{D open}	1	310.89	310.89	87.61	< 0.005
residuals	64	227.1	3.54		

1943 We used a second linear model to examine variation in mean nocturnal body temperature ($T_{b night}$) 1944 (i.e. averaged across the period 22:00–8:00) with conditions in the previous day. The model included 1945 the previous day type as a factor (i.e. very hot, hot or normal), $T_{N shelter}$ as a covariate and their 1946 interaction term (see Table S4).

Table S4. Results of ANOVA linear model relating mean nocturnal body temperature to the previous
 day type (normal, hot, very hot), the nocturnal shelter minimum temperature (T_{N shelter}), and their
 interaction term.

Explanatory variable	df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Р
Previous day type	2	350.8	175.41	256.95	< 0.005
T _N shelter	1	97.83	87.33	127.92	< 0.005
Interaction (Previous day					
type $\times T_{N \ shelter}$)	2	1.76	0.88	1.28	0.28
Residuals	60	40.96	0.68		

1951

1952

¹⁹⁵⁰

Appendix S4. Bioenergetic modelling of energy balance

1956 (1) List of abbreviations

(2) Context	
VT _{max}	Voluntary maximum temperature
VT_{min}	Voluntary minimum temperature
ТРС	thermal preference curve
T_{pref}	Preferred body temperature
$T_{\rm opt}$	Optimal body temperature
T _{N,opt}	Optimal nocturnal air temperature
T _N	Nocturnal air temperature
Te	Operative environmental temperature
T _D	Diurnal air temperature
T _A	Arrhenius temperature
Т	Temperature
$q_{\rm AR}/q_{\rm IR}$	Quadratic parameter for attack rate and intake rate
R	Resource (food) density
NEG _{R,T}	Temperature- and resource-dependent instantaneous net energy gain
NEGh	Hourly net energy gain
NEGd	Daily net energy gain
MR _T	Temperature-dependent metabolic rate (energy expenditure)
K _{AR} / K _{IR}	Scaling parameter for attack rate / intake rate
<i>k</i> _b	Boltzmann constant
IR ₇	Temperature-dependent food ingestion rate
h	Handling time
FR _{R,T}	Functional response (the energy derived from food consumption and assimilation)
E _a	Activation energy parameter
DMR ₂₀	Default metabolic rate at 20 °C
$b_{\rm AR}/b_{\rm IR}$	Linear parameter for attack rate and intake rate
AR_T	Temperature-dependent food attack rate
α	Conversion efficiency of food to energy parameter

1957

1958 (a) Net energy gain model

1959 We extended the energy balance model of Huey & Kingsolver (2019) to characterise the net 1960 energy intake of an ectothermic predator at different nocturnal environmental 1961 temperatures (T_N s). The model assumes that net energy gain (NEG) depends on a positive 1962 input (from food consumption and assimilation) and a negative output (from energy 1963 expenditure associated with basal metabolism and body maintenance).

$$NEG_{R,T} = FR_{R,T} * \alpha - MR_T$$

(S3)

1964 Where NEG and the functional response **FR** depend on resource density (R) and temperature (T) 1965 and the metabolic rate **MR** depends on temperature alone. The conversion efficiency of food to

energy (a) was set to 69% in all simulations (Levy *et al.*, 2017) and assumed to be independent of temperature.



1980 functional response (as used in Huey & Kingsolver, 2019) with a Type II functional response (as used1981 in our model).

1982Rather than assuming a Type I functional response as in Huey & Kingsolver (2019) where food intake1983increases linearly with prey density up to a saturation point, we used a Type II functional response1984that assumes a decelerating intake rate with food density (Fig. S1). In the Type II functional1985response, intake rate depends on both attack rate (AR; the rate at which prey is encountered and1986attacked) and an ingestion rate (IR; the rate at which prey is ingested). Varying AR conveniently1987allowed us to separate a diurnal (AR >0) and nocturnal (AR = 0) phase for food acquisition (i.e.1988foraging). The Type II functional response is given by equation S4:

$$FR_{R,T} = \frac{AR_T * R}{1 + AR_T * \frac{R}{IR_T}}$$
(S4)

where food intake depends on food density *R* (arbitrarily set to R = 2 in all cases), attack rate AR (which determines food intake at low food density) and ingestion rate IR. IR was replaced in our equations by the handling time (h; inversely proportional to IR) which represents the time spent handling, eating and digesting prey and therefore determines food intake at high food density:

$$FR_{R,T} = \frac{AR_T * R}{1 + AR_T * h_T * R}$$
(S5)

1993 The temperature dependence of AR and IR were modelled using Arrhenius-like equations (see 1994 Englund *et al.*, 2011).

1995 (c) Attack and intake rates

- 1996 We scaled all parameters of the **AR** and **IR** equations to have a unimodal relationship with 1997 body temperature and a maximum of 1 at an optimal body temperature T_{opt} (here, scaled
- 1998 such that T_{opt} = 30 °C). AR_T and IR_T were estimated as follows:

$$AR_T = K_{AR} * \exp(b_{AR} * T_A + (q_{AR} * T_A)^2)$$
(S6)

$$IR_{T} = K_{IR} * \exp(b_{IR} * T_{A} + (q_{IR} * T_{A})^{2})$$
(S7)

1999 where K_{AR} and K_{IR} are scaling parameters, *b* and *q* are the linear and quadratic parameters of the 2000 equation, and T_A is Arrhenius temperature. K_{AR} and K_{IR} (1.679⁻²²⁰ and 2.957⁻¹⁰³ respectively) 2001 were calculated as:

$$K_{\rm AR} = \frac{1}{\max AR}$$
 and $K_{\rm IR} = \frac{1}{\max IR}$

Arrhenius temperatures (T_A) were calculated using the Boltzmann constant ($k_b = 8.617 * 10^{-05}$ eV. K^{-1}) and body temperature (T) in degrees Kelvin (293.5 °K or 20 °C) following:

(S8)

$$T_{\rm A} = \frac{-1}{k_{\rm b} * T} \tag{S9}$$

2004



2005 2006

Fig. S2. Estimation of parameters *b* and *q* for attack rate AR (A) and ingestion rate IR (B). Data are modified from Englund *et al*. (2011) to match an optimal temperature for AR and IR of 30°C.

2009

2010

Finally, the parameters **b** and **q** were estimated using empirical data presented by Englund *et al.* (2011) for diverse ectotherms (Fig. S2). We obtained parameters **b** (i.e. linear slope) and **q** (i.e. quadratic slope) by fitting a non-linear model to the log-transformed raw data of this meta-analysis (*Im* function in R; Fig. S2). Note that for both **AR** and **IR**, body temperature data from Englund *et al.* (2011) were shifted from T_{opt} of 25 °C to higher values (by adding 5 °C to every body temperature recorded) in order to match the T_{opt} of 30 °C used in our model. This adjustment corresponds with the thermal characteristics of a 'standard' lizard and allowed better visualization of T_N effects.

2018

2019 (d) Metabolic rate

The temperature-dependent metabolic rate (MR_T) represents the energy output of the model. It was set to a standard value at 20 °C (293.15 °K) and assumed to increase exponentially with the inverse of body temperature (in °K) according to the following equation:

(S10)

$$MR_T = DMR_{20} * \exp\left(E_a * \frac{T - T_0}{k_b * T * T_0}\right)$$

where DMR_{20} is the default metabolic rate for a maximum energy intake of 1 at 20 °C; E_a (electronvolt, eV) is the activation energy parameter (set at 0.64 according to Fussmann *et al.*, 2014), T is body temperature (in °K) and T_0 is the reference temperature (293.15 °K). In our simulations, DMR_{20} was set to 1, 5, 10 or 15% of the maximum energy intake at 20 °C to explore the impact of changes in T_N on different organism types (i.e. larger organisms are expected to have a lower mass-specific MR than smaller ones or species with a fast life history are assumed to have a higher mass-specific MR than species with a slow life history).

2031 *(e) Daily temperature cycles*

2032 Air temperature was modelled using an asymmetric 24-h periodic function that was built to match the shape of diurnal cycles recorded during the 2003 heatwave study described in 2033 2034 Section III.3 of main article. Mathematical equations describing this cycle were adapted 2035 from the Parton–Logan function (Parton & Logan, 1981; McMunn & Pepi, 2022) and use a 2036 combination of linear and sine-like functions to simulate a realistic temperature cycle during 2037 a sunny day (Fig. S3). From sunrise at 07:00, the temperature increases linearly from its minimum until it reaches mean daily temperature at 10:00. From there until sunset (20:00), 2038 2039 it follows a sine-like function, reaching the diurnal peak temperature at 14:00 (40 °C), before 2040 decreasing. Overnight (21:00 to 07:00), the air temperature decreases linearly to reach a 2041 minimal value at sunrise. Because our focus was on nocturnal warming, we held maximum 2042 diurnal temperature constant across simulations (40 °C), while minimum nocturnal 2043 temperature was varied from 0 to 30 °C (Fig. S3). See Section 3.c.iv below for R code.



Fig. S3. Daily temperature cycles used in our model based on the Parton–Logan function (Parton & Logan, 1981; McMunn & Pepi, 2022). The model uses a combination of linear and sine-like functions to simulate a realistic temperature cycle during a sunny day. Atmospheric temperatures increase linearly from 07:00 to reach mean daily temperature at 10:00, after which it follows a sine-like function, reaching a peak day temperature of 40 °C at 14:00 and then decreasing until 20:00.
Overnight (20:00 to 07:00), atmospheric temperature decreases linearly to reach once again a minimum value at 07:00.

- 2054 (f) Daily energy acquisition and expenses
- For each hour of the day, we characterised air temperature using the asymmetric 24-h periodic function described above. We used these air temperatures to calculate hourly AR, IR and MR (see below for R code) and then calculated hourly net energy gain (NEG_h). The daily net energy gain (NEG_d) was estimated as the sum of NEG_h values:

$$NEG_{d} = \sum_{i=1}^{24} NEG_{h}$$
(S11)

- 2059 We computed NEG_d for different scenarios of thermoregulation behaviours (see Section IV.2 in the 2060 main article), for different minimal nocturnal temperatures ($T_{N,min}$) and for different values of 2061 default metabolic rate (DMR₂₀).
- 2062 (3) R code of the bioenergetic model
- 2063 (a) Libraries

2064	library(dplyr)
2065	library(ggplot2)
2066	library(gridExtra)
2067	library(lattice)
2068	library(plotly)

2069 (b) Parameters and default values

2070	alpha	<- 0.69	# Conversion efficiency
2071	b_a	<26.37628	# Linear slope for AR
2072	b_a_N	<19.94401	<pre># Linear slope for AR (nocturnal species)</pre>
2073	b_i	<12.37742	# Linear slope for IR
2074	с	<- 8	# Sinusoidal period parameter
2075	Ea	<- 0.64	<pre># Energy activation rate for metabolic expenditure</pre>
2076	HA_max	<- 20	<pre># Maximal time for activity (Scenario 2 & 4)</pre>
2077	HA_min	<- 7	<pre># Minimal time for activity (Scenario 2 & 4)</pre>
2078	Hmax	<- 20	# Time of sunset
2079	Hmin	<- 7	# Time of sunrise
2080	k_a	<- 1.679279e-220	# Standardizing parameter for AR
2081	k_a_N	<- 1.382221e-167	<pre># Standardizing parameter for AR (Nocturnal sp.)</pre>
2082	k_b	<- 8.6173303e-05	# Boltzmann's constant (eV.K-1)
2083	k_i	<- 2.957385e-103	# Standardizing parameter for intake rate
2084	M_met	<- 0.1	<pre># Default metabolic expenditure at 20°C (set to</pre>
2085			<pre># 10% of maximum intake with default parameters)</pre>
2086	pi	<- 3.14159265359	
2087	q_a	<0.3436951	# Quadratic slope for AR
2088	q_A_N	<0.2588205	<pre># Quadratic slope for AR (Nocturnal species)</pre>
2089	q_i	<0.1622324	# Quadratic slope for IR
2090	R	<- 2	# Resource rate
2091	Tpref	<- 30	<pre># Preferred body temperature; Default value</pre>
2092	Tref	<- 20	<pre># Standard temperature for metabolic expenditure</pre>
2093	VTmax_D	<- 40	# Voluntary thermal limit (upper)
2094	VTmin_D	<- 18	# Voluntary thermal limit (lower)
2095	VTmax_N	<- 30	# Voluntary thermal limit (upper)
2096	VTmin_N	<- 15	# Voluntary thermal limit (lower)

2097 (c) Basic functions

2098 (i) Arrhenius equation for MR

2099	Returns MR	according to	environmental	temperature.
------	------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

2100	Paramete	rs								
2101	t	#	Temperature	(in	°C)					
2102	k0	#	Normalizing	parc	meter	(k_	а	or	k	i)

2103 2104	Ea Tref	<pre># Energy activation rate # Standard temperature ;</pre>	e for metabolic exp for metabolic expen	enditure diture
2105	Function			
2106 2107 2108 2109 2110	Arrheniu: T=t+27 T0=Tre- k0*exp }	s_function=function(t,k0, 3.15 # f+273.15 # (Ea*(T-T0)/(k_b*T*T0)) #	,Ea,Tref){ # Convert °C to °K # Convert standard # Standard Arrheniu # a normalizing ten	temperature in °K us equation with uperature
2111	(ii) Functio	onal response (Type II):		
2112	Paramete	ers		
2113	r	# Resource density		
2114	а	# Attack rate		
2115	h	<pre># Handling rate (inverse</pre>	e of Intake rate)	
2116 2117	Function FR funct:	<pre>ion=function(r.a.h){a*r/</pre>	(1 +a*h*r)}	
2118	(<i>iii</i>) Temp	erature dependence of func	tional response paran	neters:
2119	Paramete	ers		
2120	t	# Temperature (in °C)		
2121	k0	# Normalizing parameter	(k_a or k_i)	
2122	b	# Linear slope for AR ar	10 IK R and TR	
2125	Ч	# Quadratic stope for Ar	K UNU IR	
2124	Function			
2125	Temp	erature_function=functior	n(t,k0,b,q){	
2126	T=1	t+273.15	# Conver	rt °C in °K
2127	Arı	<pre>rhenius_Temp=-1/(k_b*T)</pre>	# Conver	rt °K in Arrhenius temperature
2128	k0;	*exp(b*Arrhenius_Temp+q*/	Arrhenius_Temp^2)}	
2129	(iv) Temp	erature cycle function		
2130	Paramete	ers		
2131	hour	# Hour of the day		
2132	Tmin	# Minimum temperature of	f the day (Varying	from 0 to 30°)
2133	Imax	# Maximum temperature of	f the day (40°C)	
2134		# Time of sunset (2000)	<i>9)</i>	
2135	C	# Sinusoidal period part	, ameter (8)	
2137	Function		_ · _	
2138	Temperat	ure_cycle <- function(hou	ur, Tmin,Tmax,c,H	lmin,Hmax){
2139		<- (Imax-Imin)/2		# Temperature range
2140		<- $(ImIn+ImdX)/2$ (Imterio(2*pi/24*(Hmox))	c)))+Tm	# Mean temperature
2141	Tcn	<- (m sin(2 pi/24 (mmax) <- Tmin	C///++m	# Hmin temperature
2143	Houry	<- c+12-6		# Warmest hour of the day
2144	Hm	<- (Hourx+Hmin)/2		# Hour for mean temperature
2145	coefn	<- (Tcn-Tcx)/((24+Hmin))	-Hmax)	# Slope coef. for the
2146				<pre># nocturnal linear function</pre>
2147	coefd	<- ((Tr*sin(2*pi/24*(Hm-	-c))+Tm)-Tcn)/(Hm-H	lmin) # Slope coef. for the
2148				<pre># diurnal linear function</pre>
2149				
2150	ifelse	(hours = Hmin) (Tex + coeff	n*((24-Hmax)+hour))	

```
2151 ifelse(hour<=Hm, (Tcn+coefd*(hour-Hmin)),
2152 ifelse(hour>=Hmax, (Tcx+ coefn*(hour-Hmax)),
2153 (Tr*sin(2*pi/24*(hour-c))+Tm))))}
```

- 2154 Example plot
- Example of daily temperature cycle with a $T_{\rm N,min}$ of 0, 15 and 30 °C at 07:00 and a $T_{\rm max}$ of
- 40 °C at 14:00. Days start at 07:00 and end at 20:00. R code is provided for $T_{N,min} = 0$ °C.

```
2157
          x_range=c(0, 24)
2158
          y range=c(0, 40)
          x_lab=c("Time of day (hours from midnight)")
y_lab=c("Temperature (°C)")
2159
2160
          curve(Temperature_cycle(x,0,40,8,7,20),
2161
2162
             xlim=x_range,
             ylim=y_range,
2163
2164
             xlab=x_lab,
2165
             ylab=y_lab,
2166
             col="blue",
2167
             axes=FALSE)
2168
          axis(side=1, at = seq(0, 24, 2))
2169
          axis(side=2, at = seq(0, 40, 10))
```

2170 (v) Testing the influence of nocturnal temperature and DMR₂₀

In all scenarios, we evaluated the response of the NEG_d to varying minimum T_N ranging from 0 °C to 30 °C. We also modified the value of $DMR_{20} = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.15$ (Andrews & Pough, 1985) to explore the effects of nocturnal thermal conditions on species with low, moderate or high resting metabolic rates. For the sake of simplicity, we provide below the code for one level of DMR_{20} (= 0.1). To explore other parameter combinations, users can modify values for <u>M_met</u>. Results for the different DMR_{20} values are provided in Fig. 7 of the main article.

- 2178 (4) Scenarios
- 2179 (a) Scenario 0. Model validation

2180 Scenario 0 was designed to validate our model by confirming results from Huey & Kingsolver (2019). 2181 We modelled a thermoconforming ectotherm (i.e. an animal that spends no time or metabolic energy on the regulation of body temperature) in an environment with variation in resource density 2182 2183 (varying from 1 to 10) and maximum TD (10–50 °C). Minimum T_N was fixed to 5 °C and DMR₂₀ to 0.1. As in Huey & Kingsolver (2019), the model highlights that reduced resource levels in warmer 2184 2185 environments trigger a 'metabolic meltdown' (i.e. declining energy intake paired with increased 2186 energetic expenditure and a reduced activity opportunity due to warmer diurnal maximum temperatures in the middle of the day) (Fig. S4). Details of the energy balance function are provided 2187 2188 in Scenario 1. Only parameterisation for $T_{N,min}$, $T_{D,max}$, DMR₂₀ and R changed.



- 2190
- **Fig. S4**. Daily net energy gain rate (NEG_d) at different maximum diurnal temperatures ($T_{D,max}$) and
- resource density (*R*). Minimum T_N ($T_{N,min}$) is fixed to 5°C. DMR₂₀ is fixed to 0.1. Yellow colours
- 2193 represent high values of NEG_d. Red colours represent low values of NEG_d.
- 2194 (b) Scenario 1. Thermoconformer with 24-h foraging activity

2195 In Scenario 1, we modelled a thermoconforming ectotherm with an activity window of 24 h. 2196 This allowed us to investigate effects of minimum T_N , in the absence of time partitioning of 2197 activity and behavioural control of body temperature.

- 2198 Attack rate
- AR is positive over the entire 24-h cycle and depends only on environmental temperature.
- AR is calculated hourly with the *Attack_function_TC1_H* function, and is then integrated
- 2201 over the entire day using the *Attack_function_TC1_24* function.

```
2202
       Parameters
2203
                # Hour of the day
       Х
2204
                # Minimum temperature of the day (e.g., Varying from 0 to 30°C)
       Tmin
2205
       Tmax
                # Maximum temperature of the day (e.g., 40°C)
2206
       Hmin
                # Time of sunrise (e.g., 07:00)
2207
       Hmax
                # Time of sunset (e.g., 20:00)
2208
                # Sinusoidal parameter (e.g., 8)
       с
```

```
2209 k_a # Normalizing parameter for AR
```

```
2210 b_a # Linear slope for AR: -26.37628
```

```
2211 q_a # Quadratic slope for AR: -0.3436951
```

2212 *Hourly attack rate:*

- 2213 Attack_function_TC1_H=function(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){
- 2214 Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_a,b_a,q_a)}
- 2215 Daily attack rate:

```
2216 Attack function TC1 24= Vectorize(function(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){
```

2217 integrate(function(x)

2218 Attack_function_TC1_H(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0, 24)\$value/24})

- 2219 Ingestion rate
- IR is positive over the entire 24-h cycle and depends only on environmental temperature. IR
- is calculated hourly with the *Ingestion_function_TC1_H* function, and is then integrated
- 2222 over the entire day using the *Ingestion_function_TC1_24* function.

2223 Parameters

- 2224 k_i # Normalizing parameter for IR
- 2225 b_i # Linear slope for IR
- 2226 q_i # Quadratic slope for IR
- 2227 Hourly intake rate:
- 2228 Ingestion_function_TC1_H=function(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){
- 2229 Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_i,b_i,q_i)}
- 2230 Daily mean intake rate:
- 2231 Ingestion_function_TC1_24= Vectorize(function(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){
- 2232 integrate(function(x)
- 2233 Ingestion_function_TC1_H(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0, 24)\$value/24})
- 2234 Metabolic expenditure
- 2235 MR is positive over the entire 24-h cycle and depends only on environmental temperature.
- 2236 MR is calculated hourly with the *Metabolism_function_TC1_H* function, and is then
- integrated over the entire day using the *Metabolism_function_TC1_24* function.
- 2238 M met # Default metabolic expenditure at 20°C
- 2239 Tref # Standard temperature for metabolic expenditure
- 2240 Ea # Energy activation rate for metabolic expenditure

2241 Hourly MR

- 2242 Metabolism_function_TC1_H=function(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){
- 2243 Arrhenius_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),M_met,Ea,Tref)}
- 2244 Daily MR
- 2245 Metabolism_function_TC1_24= Vectorize(function(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){
- 2246 integrate(function(x)
- 2247 Metabolism_function_TC1_H(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref),0, 24)\$value})

- 2248 Energy balance
- 2249 NEG_d is calculated using the difference between daily energy acquisition and expenditure. It
- therefore includes the calculation of daily mean FR, which uses daily mean AR and daily
- 2251 mean IR.

```
2252
       Parameters
2253
       alpha
              # Resource conversion efficiency
                # Maximum attack rate
2254
       ar max
2255
       hr_max # Maximum handling time rate
2256
       Daily MR
       Energy_balance TC1 24=
2257
2258
         function(r,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,alpha,ar_max,hr_max,M_met,Tref){
2259
         24*FR function(R,
2260
                         ar max*Attack function TC1 24(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),
2261
                         hr_max/Ingestion_function_TC1_24(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax))*alpha -
2262
         Metabolism function TC1 24(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M met,Tref)}
2263
           Plot: energetic inputs (FR) and outputs (MR) over a 24-hour cycle
2264
         x_range <- c(0,24)</pre>
2265
         y_range <- c(0,0.5)
2266
         curve(Metabolism_function_TC1_H(x,10,35,8,7,20,0.1,20), col="red",
2267
              xlab="Time of day (hours from midnight)",
2268
              ylab ="Mean FR or MR",
2269
              xlim=x range,ylim=y range)
2270
         curve(FR_function(2,1*Attack_function_TC1_H(x,10,35,8,7,20),
              1/Ingestion_function_TC1_H(x,10,35,8,7,20))*0.69,add=TRUE,col="blue")
2271
2272
         curve(Metabolism_function_TC1_H(x, 20, 35, 8, 7, 20, 0.1, 20), col="red", add=TRUE, lty=2)
         curve(FR_function(2,1*Attack_function_TC1_H(x,20,35,8,7,20),
2273
              1/Ingestion_function_TC1_H(x,20,35,8,7,20))*0.69,add=TRUE,col="blue",lty=2)
2274
              text(2,0.5,label="Scenario 1", cex=0.9)
2275
```



Fig. S5. Metabolic rate (MR) (in red) and function rate (FR) (in blue) are represented for Scenario 1 for a minimum T_N of 10 °C (solid lines) or 20 °C (broken lines) for each hour.

```
2280
       Plot: Energy balance vs T<sub>N,min</sub>
2281
          # Plot NEG<sub>d</sub> over a range of nocturnal temperature (i.e., 0 to 30^{\circ}C)
2282
          x_range=c(0, 30)
2283
         y_range=c(-5,12)
         x_lab=c("Minimum nocturnal temperature (°C)")
2284
2285
         y_lab=c("Daily net energy gain")
2286
          curve(Energy balance TC1 24(r=2,
2287
                                   Tmin=x,Tmax=35,c=8,Hmin=7,Hmax=20,
2288
                                   alpha=0.69,ar_max=1,hr_max=1,
2289
                                   M_met=0.1,Tref=20),
2290
2291
              xlim=x_range,ylim=y_range,xlab=x_lab,ylab=y_lab,col='blue')
2292
          abline(0,0,lty=3)
          legend(-1,12.5,legend="Scenario 1",bty="n")
2293
2294
2295
          # Add optimal nocturnal temperature for NEG
2296
          Sc1 0.1
                             <- (Energy balance TC1 24(r=2,
2297
                                 Tmin=seq(0,30),Tmax=35,c=8,Hmin=7,Hmax=20,
2298
                                 alpha=0.69,ar_max=1,hr_max=1,
2299
                                 M_met=0.1,Tref=20))
2300
          Sc1 0.1
                             <- cbind(seq(0,30,1),Sc1_0.1)
2301
          colnames(Sc1_0.1) <- c("Tmin","NEG")</pre>
                             <- Sc1_0.1[which(Sc1_0.1[,'NEG'] == max(Sc1_0.1[,'NEG'])),1]
2302
          Sc1_0.1.x
2303
                             <- Sc1_0.1[which(Sc1_0.1[,'NEG'] == max(Sc1_0.1[,'NEG'])),2]
          Sc1_0.1.y
2304
          points(Sc1_0.1.x,Sc1_0.1.y,col='blue',pch=16,cex=1.2)
```



2305

2306 Fig. S6. Daily net energy gain (NEG_d) for Scenario 1 at different minimum nocturnal temperatures

2307 $(T_{N,min})$ and with a default metabolic rate (DMR₂₀) of 0.1. The circle represents the tipping point

2308 between positive and negative effects of nocturnal temperature increase on NEG_d.

2309 (c) Scenario 2. Thermoconformer with diurnal foraging activity

In Scenario 2, we extended the model to represent a thermoconforming ectotherm, with an activity cycle based on photoperiod: the organism was active during the day and inactive at night. To make this tractable without explicitly modelling the kinematics of gut passage time and food assimilation (Levy *et al.*, 2017), we assumed that foraging behaviour (i.e. AR) was

2314 only possible between 07:00 and 20:00 (AR, IR & MR all >0), while IR and MR were

```
calculated for the entire 24-h cycle (AR = 0 while IR \& MR > 0).
```

```
2316
           Attack rate
2317
                    # Minimum hour for activity (AR>0)
         HA min
2318
         HA_max
                    # Maximum hour for activity (AR<0)</pre>
2319
         Attack_function_TC2_H=function(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max){
2320
           ifelse(x<HA min,0,</pre>
2321
           ifelse(x>HA_max,0,
2322
2323
       Temperature function(Temperature cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k a,b a,q a)))}
2324
2325
         Attack_function_TC2_24= Vectorize(function(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max){
2326
           integrate(function(x))
```

2327 2328	<pre>Attack_function_TC2_H(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max), 0, 24)\$value/24})</pre>
2229	Intake rate
2323	Transform the TC2 II function (v. Trin Trav. e Unin Unov.) (
2330	Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_i,b_i,q_i)}
2332	
2333 2334	<pre>Ingestion_function_TC2_24= Vectorize(function(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) { integrate(function(x)</pre>
2335	<pre>Ingestion_function_TC2_H(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0, 24)\$value/24})</pre>
2336	Metabolic expenditure
2227	Metabolism function TC2 H-function(x Tmin Tmax c Hmin Hmax M met Tnef)
2338	Arrhenius_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),M_met,Ea,Tref)}
2339	
2340 2341	<pre>Metabolism_function_TC2_24= Vectorize(function(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){ integrate(function(x)</pre>
2342	<pre>Metabolism_function_TC2_H(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref),0,24)\$value})</pre>
2343	Energy balance
2344	Energy_balance_TC2_24=
2345	<pre>function(r,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA min,HA max,alpha,ar max,hr max,M met,Tref){</pre>
2346	24*FR function(r,
2347	
2348	a=ar_max*(Attack_function_TC2_24(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA max)),
2349	h=hr max/Ingestion function TC2 24(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax))*alpha -
2250	

2350 Metabolism_function_TC2_24(Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref)}

2351 Plot: energetic inputs (FR) and outputs (MR) over the day



Time of day (hours from midnight)





2356 Fig. S8. Daily net energy gain (NEG_d) for Scenario 2 at different minimum nocturnal temperatures

2357 $(T_{\rm N,min})$ and with a default metabolic rate (DMR₂₀) of 0.1. The circle represents the tipping point

2358 between positive and negative effects of nocturnal temperature increase on NEG_d.

2359 (d) Scenario 3. Thermoregulator with 24-h foraging activity

2360 In Scenario 3, we modelled a perfectly thermoregulating ectotherm (i.e. an animal that 2361 maintains body temperature within a range of optimal temperatures for performance) for which the activity window was limited only by environmental temperature. This scenario 2362 2363 represents the case of an ectothermic predator able to extend its foraging activity over part 2364 of the night when $T_{\rm NS}$ are suitable. Here, we assumed that AR is limited by a lower (18 °C) 2365 and upper (40 °C) threshold corresponding to typical minimum (VT_{min}) and maximum (VT_{max}) voluntary temperatures for foraging in terrestrial lizards (Rozen-Rechels et al., 2020). 2366 Moreover, because the organism is able to thermoregulate, we assumed that individuals 2367 could maintain a body temperature of 30 °C matching T_{opt} for foraging whenever 2368 environmental temperatures were within the thermal range 18-40 °C. 2369

2370	Attack rate			
2371	Tpref	# Preferred temperature for activity		
2372	VTmin_D	# Minimum temperature for activity		
2373	VTmax_D	# Maximum temperature for activity		
2374 2375 2376 2377 2378	<pre>Attack_function_TR1_H=function(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,VTmin_D,VTmax_D) ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)<vtmin_d,0, ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)>VTmax_D,0, ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)<tpref,< pre=""></tpref,<></vtmin_d,0, </pre>			

2379 2380	Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_a,b_a,q_a), Temperature_function(Tpref,k_a,b_a,q_a))))}		
2381			
2382 2383	Attack_function_TR1_24= Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin, Hmax,VTmin_D,VTmax_D){		
2384	<pre>integrate(function(x)</pre>		
2385 2386	Attack_function_TR1_H(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,VTmin_D,VTmax_D), 0,24)\$value/24})		
7227	Intake rate		
2307	Indection function TR1 H-function(x Inref Imin Imax c Hmin Hmax)		
2389 2390	ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) <tpref,< td=""></tpref,<>		
2391 2392	<pre>Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_i,b_i,q_i), Temperature_function(Tpref,k_i,b_i,q_i))}</pre>		
2395	<pre>Ingestion_function_TR1_24= Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) { integrate(function(x))</pre>		
2395	Integrate(Tunction(x) Ingestion_function_TR1_H(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0, 24)\$value/24})		
2397	Metabolic expenditure		
2398	Metabolism_function_TR1_H <mark>=function</mark> (x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){		
2399	ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) <tpref,< td=""></tpref,<>		
2400	Arrhenius function(Temperature cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),M met,Ea,Tref),		
2402	Arrhenius_function(Tpref,M_met,Ea,Tref))}		
2403			
2404	<pre>Metabolism_function_TR1_24=</pre>		
2405	<pre>Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){</pre>		
2406	<pre>integrate(function(x)</pre>		
2407 2408	<pre>Metabolism_function_TR1_H(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref), 0, 24)\$value})</pre>		
2409	Energy balance		
2410	Energy_balance_TR1_24=		
2411			
2412	<pre>function(r, lpref, lmin, lmax, c, alpha, ar_max, hr_max, Hmin, Hmax, Vlmin_D, Vlmax_D, HA_min, H</pre>		
2413	A_max,M_met,Tref){		
2414	24*FR_function(r,		
2415	a=ar max*(Attack function TR1 24(Inref Imin Imax c Hmin Hmax VImin D VImax D))		
2417	h=(hr max/Ingestion function TR1 24(Toref.Tmin.Tmax.c.Hmin.Hmax)))*		
2418	alpha - Metabolism function TR1 24(Tpref, Tmin, Tmax, c, Hmin, Hmax, M met. Tref)		



Time of day (hours from midnight)

Fig. S9. Metabolic rate (MR) (in red) and function rate (FR) (in blue) are represented for Scenario 3 for a minimum T_N of 10 °C (solid lines) or 20 °C (broken lines) for each hour.



2423 Plot: Energy balance vs Tmin

- 2425 Fig. S10. Daily net energy gain (NEG_d) for Scenario 3 at different minimum nocturnal temperatures
- 2426 $(T_{\rm N,min})$ and with a default metabolic rate (DMR₂₀) of 0.1. The circle represents the tipping point
- 2427 between positive and negative effects of nocturnal temperature increase on NEG_d.
- 2428 (e) Scenario 4. Thermoreglator with diurnal foraging activity
- 2429 Scenario 4 modelled a perfect thermoregulator with an activity window strictly delimited by
- photoperiod. As in Scenario 2, AR is limited by daylight, while IR and MR were dependent
 only on body temperature. In this scenario, body temperature during daytime was set to 30
 °C whenever environmental temperatures exceeded the 30 °C threshold (i.e. efficient
 behavioural thermoregulation).

2434	Attack rate
2435 2436 2437	<pre>Attack_function_TR2_H=function(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max){ ifelse(x<ha_min,0, ifelse(x="">HA_max,0.</ha_min,0,></pre>
2438 2439	ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) <tpref,< td=""></tpref,<>
2440 2441 2442	Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_a,b_a,q_a), Temperature_function(Tpref,k_a,b_a,q_a))))}
2443	Attack function TR2 24=
2444 2445	<pre>Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max){integrate(function(x))</pre>
2446 2447 2448	<pre>Attack_function_TR2_H(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max), 0, 24)\$value/24 })</pre>
2449	Intake Rate
2450 2451 2452	<pre>Ingestion_function_TR2_H=function(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){ ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)<tpref,< pre=""></tpref,<></pre>
2453 2454 2455	<pre>Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_i,b_i,q_i), Temperature_function(Tpref,k_i,b_i,q_i))}</pre>
2456 2457 2458	<pre>Ingestion_function_TR2_24= Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) { integrate(function(x) Ingestion_function_TR2_H(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0, 24)\$value/24})</pre>
2459	Metabolic rate
2460 2461 2462	<pre>Metabolism_function_TR2_H=function(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){ ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)<tpref,< pre=""></tpref,<></pre>
2463 2464 2465	Arrhenius_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),M_met,Ea,Tref), Arrhenius_function(Tpref,M_met,Ea,Tref))}
2466 2467 2468	<pre>Metabolism_function_TR2_24= Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){ integrate(function(x)</pre>
2469 2470	<pre>Metabolism_function_TR2_H(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref),</pre>

Energy balance 2471 2472 Energy_balance_TR2_24= 2473 2474 function(r,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,alpha,ar_max,hr_max,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max,M_met,Tre 2475 f){ 24*FR_function(r, 2476 2477 a=ar_max*(Attack_function_TR2_24(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,HA_min,HA_max)), 2478 h=hr_max/Ingestion_function_TR2_24(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax))* 2479 2480 alpha -2481 Metabolism_function_TR2_24(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref)}



2483

2482

Time of day (hours from midnight)

Fig. S11. Metabolic rate (MR) (in red) and function rate (FR) (in blue) are represented for Scenario 4 for a minimum T_N of 10 °C (solid lines) or 20 °C (broken lines) for each hour.


2487

Minimum nocturnal temperature (°C)

2488 Fig. S12. Daily net energy gain (NEG_d) for Scenario 4 at different minimum nocturnal temperatures

2489 $(T_{N,min})$ and with a default metabolic rate (DMR₂₀) of 0.1. The circle represents the tipping point

2490 between positive and negative effects of nocturnal temperature increase on NEG_d.

2491 (f) Scenario 5. Thermoregulator with nocturnal foraging activity

2492 Scenario 5 illustrates the case of a nocturnal thermoregulator with a foraging activity

window delimited by photoperiod. Here, *AR* is positive only at night (20:00 to 07:00)

and depends on body temperature. **IR** and **MR** depend only on body temperature. We assume that the nocturnal thermoregulator selects refugia to maintain a diurnal body temperature close to 30 °C (T_{pref}), whenever diurnal environmental temperatures exceeded 30 °C [i.e. efficient behavioural thermoregulation; see Chukwuka *et al.* (2021) for an example], i.e. is a perfect thermoregulator. At night, foraging activity is delimited by the voluntary thermal limits for activity (VT_{min} and VT_{max}) arbitrarily fixed at 15 °C and 35 °C. Outside this range, individuals do not forage.

```
2501
           Attack rate
2502
       Attack_function_N=function(x,VTmin,VTmax,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){
2503
         ifelse(x<Hmin | x>Hmax,
2504
            ifelse(Temperature cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) >= VTmin &
                      Temperature cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) <= VTmax,</pre>
2505
2506
                   Temperature function(Temperature cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),
2507
                      k_a, b_a, q_a),
2508
                   0),
2509
                 0)}
2510
```

2511 2512 2513	<pre>Attack_function_N_24= Vectorize(function(VTmin,VTmax,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) { integrate(function(x) Attack_function_N(x,VTmin,VTmax,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0,24)\$value/24})</pre>
2514	Intake Rate
2515 2516 2517	<pre>Ingestion_function_N=function(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax){ ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)<=Tpref,</pre>
2518 2519	<pre>Temperature_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),k_i,b_i,q_i), Temperature_function(Tpref,k_i,b_i,q_i))}</pre>
2520 2521 2522 2523	<pre>Ingestion_function_N_24= Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax) { integrate(function(x) Ingestion_function_N(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax), 0, 24)\$value/24})</pre>
2524	Metabolic rate
2525 2526 2527	<pre>Metabolism_function_N=function(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){ ifelse(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax)<tpref,< pre=""></tpref,<></pre>
2528 2529 2530	Arrhenius_function(Temperature_cycle(x,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax),M_met,Ea,Tref), Arrhenius_function(Tpref,M_met,Ea,Tref))}
2530 2531 2532 2533 2534 2535	<pre>Metabolism_function_N_24= Vectorize(function(Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref){ integrate(function(x) Metabolism_function_N(x,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref), 0, 24)\$value})</pre>
2536	Energy balance
2537	Energy_balance_N_24=
2538 2539 2540	<pre>function(r,VTmin,VTmax,Tpref,Tmin,Tmax,c,alpha,ar_max,hr_max,Hmin,Hmax,M_met,Tref) {</pre>
2541 2542 2543 2544	<pre>24*FR_function(r,</pre>



2545

Time of day (hours from midnight)

Fig. S13. Metabolic rate (MR) (in red) and function rate (FR) (in blue) are represented for Scenario 5 for a minimum T_N of 10 °C (solid lines) or 20 °C (broken lines) for each hour.



- 2551 Fig. S14. Daily net energy gain (NEG_d) for Scenario 5 at different minimum nocturnal temperatures
- 2552 $(T_{N,min})$ and with a default metabolic rate (DMR_{20}) of 0.1. The circle represents the tipping point
- 2553 between positive and negative effects of nocturnal temperature increase on NEG_d.
- 2554

2555 (g) Effects of efficient AR at low temperature

For many nocturnal ectotherms, the efficiency of locomotion is higher at lower temperatures and the thermal performance curve (TPC) for locomotion plateaus at lower temperatures than in closely related diurnal ectotherms, which may represent a thermal adaptation to nocturnal life. This thermal adaptation can greatly improve AR efficiency at night (Dayananda *et al.*, 2020; Ibargüengoytía *et al.*, 2007).

- 2561 To evaluate the effect of higher efficiency, we simulated this scenario by modifying
- parameters **b** and **q** of the default AR function (see Fig. S15). The consequence of this change was that T_{opt} for nocturnal AR was slightly lower (decreased from 30 °C to 27 °C) than in diurnal species.



Fig. S15. Comparison of different attack rates (AR) functions for a diurnal (yellow) and nocturnal(blue) ectotherm.

2569

Fig. S16 shows the difference in NEG_d for a nocturnal species using a modified AR that better 2570 2571 represents the physiology of a nocturnal species (left panel) and for a nocturnal species with an AR similar to that used for the diurnal species of Scenarios 1–4 (right panel). As expected, the adjusted 2572 2573 AR increased NEG_d but caused a more rapid decline above T_{N,opt}. To enhance comparisons between 2574 Scenarios 1-4 and Scenario 5, we made the deliberate choice to work with a diurnal AR for the 2575 nocturnal species. Note that with a modified AR (i.e. nocturnal AR; Scenario 5b in Fig. S16), NEGd are 2576 slightly higher. However, conclusions provided in the main manuscript for the nocturnal species 2577 remain unchanged.



2579	Fig. S16.	Comparison /	of NEG _d for	different	attack rates	(AR)	functions.	In scenario 5	. Af	R is
2373	1 Ig. J10.	Companson		unterent		(runctions.	III SCENATIO J	, ^	I

- 2580 parameterized to match the AR used for previous scenarios (Scenarios 1–4), to facilitate comparison.
- In Scenario 5b, AR is modified to better represent the AR of a nocturnal species (see Fig. S15).
- 2582 Coloured lines represent different DMR₂₀ values (black = 0.01; yellow = 0.05; blue = 0.1; green =
- 2583 0.15). Solid dots represent T_{N, opt.}

2584

2586 Appendix S5. Empirical analysis of energy balance

2587 We used unpublished data from the experiment described in Brusch IV et al. (2023) to analyse the 2588 effects of diurnal and nocturnal temperatures on energy balance. Gravid female common lizards (Zootoca vivipara; mean body mass at capture 3-4 g) captured in natural populations in France 2589 2590 during early gestation were raised under controlled laboratory conditions until parturition and 2591 exposed to four combinations of nocturnal and diurnal temperatures (cold and hot treatments) as 2592 well as two levels of humidity over the gestation period [see Brusch IV et al. (2023) for details]. We 2593 analysed records of weekly food intake (total mass of crickets consumed) and weekly mass change 2594 (total body mass change) for 131 females measured during gestation (633 observations, ~5 weekly 2595 measurements per female). Over most of the gestation period (40-60 days), body mass increased 2596 non-linearly with time, before plateauing (and sometimes decreasing) close to parturition (Fig. S17). 2597 We observed an average mass increase of +1.8 g during gestation. A similar pattern can be observed 2598 for food intake, with females ceasing to feed when close to parturition (Fig. S18).

2599 We first estimated a conversion factor of 0.31 between weekly food intake (g food/week) and food 2600 intake (g/week) using a linear regression. We then estimated the efficiency of energy 2601 transformation. To do this, we analysed the (normally distributed) residuals from the previous linear 2602 regression throughout gestation and according to the experimental treatments. Gestation time was 2603 included as a non-linear factor (time²) and estimated as the number of days from the start of the 2604 experiment. Experimental treatments (day temperature, night temperature and humidity; described 2605 in Brusch IV et al., 2023) were implemented as additive and interactive effects. Female identity was included as a random effect to control for repeated measures and allow for individual variation in 2606 2607 the intercept. We used manual backward selection to remove non-significant interactions until the best model was obtained (significance threshold P < 0.05) (Table S5). See also Section IV.4 of the 2608 2609 main article.



2610

Fig. S17. Records of female common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*) body mass change over the gestation period. Mass increased in the early stages of pregnancy (positive change) before stabilizing (mass change ≈ 0).



Table S5. Results of ANOVA linear mixed-effect model relating the efficiency of energy
 transformation to time [both as a linear effect (time) and a non-linear effect (time²)], and to diurnal
 and nocturnal temperatures. Significant interaction terms are also reported.

	Numerator	Denominator	<i>F</i> value	Р
	DF	DF		
Intercept	1	498	17.33	<0.005
Time	1	498	25.18	<0.005
Time ²	1	498	28.08	<0.005
Diurnal temperature	1	128	3.66	0.058
Nocturnal temperature	1	128	4.05	0.046
Time × Diurnal temperature	1	498	12.18	<0.005
Time ² × Diurnal temperature	1	498	22.84	<0.005