https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

Forearc crustal faulting and estimated worst-case tsunami scenario in the upper plate of subduction zones. Case study of the Morne Piton Fault system (Lesser Antilles, Guadeloupe Archipelago).

Melody Philippon[1,*], Jean Roger[2], Jean-Frederic. Lebrun[1], Isabelle Thinon[3], Océane Foix[4], Stéphane Mazzotti[4], Marc-Anadre Gutscher[5], Leny Montheil[4], Jean-Jacques Cornée[4].

1. Géosciences Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Université des Antilles, Pointe-à-Pitre, French West Indies.

2. Earth and Structure Processes Department, GNS Sciences, Lower Hutt, New Zealand.

3. BRGM – French Geological survey, 3 avenue Claude Guillemin 45060 Orléans

4. Géosciences Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Université des Antilles, Montpellier, France.

5. Geo-Ocean, Univ.Brest, CNRS, Ifremer, Brest, France.

** Corresponding author :* melody.philippon@univ-antilles.fr

Abstract

In this study, alternatively to the megathrust, we identify upper plate normal faults orthogonal to the trench as a possible tsunami source along the Lesser Antilles subduction zone. We study the Morne Piton Fault system, a trench-perpendicular upper crustal fault affecting the Lesser Antilles forearc at the latitude of Guadeloupe. By the means of seismic reflection, high resolution bathymetry, Remotely Operated Vehicle images and dating, we reassess the slip rate of the Morne Piton Fault at 0.2 mm.yr^{-1} since fault inception (*i.e.* 7 Ma), dividing by five previous estimations and thus increasing the earthquake time recurrence and lowering the associated hazard. We evidence a metric scarp with striae at the toe of the Morne Piton Fault system suggesting a recent fault rupture. We estimate a fault rupture area of ~ 450-675 km^2 and then a magnitude range for the seismic event around Mw 6.5 ± 0.5. We present results from a multi-segment tsunami model representative for the worst-case scenario which gives an overview of what could happen in terms of tsunami generation if the whole identified Morne Piton Fault segments ruptured together. Our model illustrates the potential impact of local tsunamis on the surrounding coastal area as well as local bathymetric controls on

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

tsunami propagation as (i) shallow water plateaus act as secondary sources and are responsible for a wrapping of the tsunami waves around the island of Marie-Galante, (ii) canyons are focusing and enhancing the wave height in front of the most touristic and populated town of the island, (iii) a resonance phenomenon is observed within Les Saintes archipelago showing that the waves' frequency content is able to perturbate the sea-level during many hours after the seismic rupture.

Keywords: subduction zone, forearc, crustal fault, slip rate, tsunami hazard, Lesser Antilles, Guadeloupe Island

1. Introduction

Regions at the vicinity of active subduction zones are prone to seismic and related hazards, including tsunamis, exposing their inhabitants to multiple threats. Megathrust earthquakes represent the greatest threat with the highest seismic moments and consequently huge tsunamigenic potential (Satake and Tanioka, 1999). Earthquakes triggered on crustal faults in the overriding plate represent an additional hazard that needs to be quantified (Bilek, 2010). In order to assess the hazards and mitigate the risks associated with these crustal faults, it is essential to estimate their slip rates.

On land, slip rates on active faults are determined from paleo-seismic trenches (McCalpin, 1996), high resolution geophysical investigation (Wallace, 1981; Zhang et al., 2014), satellite imagery (Tronin, 2009), InSAR (Biggs and Wright, 2020), geodetic measurement (GNSS: Symithe et al., 2013) as well as seismicity which account for the present-day strain accumulation of the crust. Offshore, slip rate estimates are provided by the means of underwater geodesy (*i.e.* acoustic geodesy: Kido et al., 2006; Petersen et al., 2019; Fujita et al., 2006) or fiber optic monitoring (Hirata et al., 2002; Gutscher et al., 2019). Event time recurrence may be estimated by the study of turbidite deposits cores (Cascades: Goldfinger et al., 2012; Taiwan: Lehu et al., 2016; Antilles: Seibert et al., 2016; New Zealand: Lewis et al., 1980), high resolution marine seismic and multibeam echo-sounder data (Escartin et al., 2016, 2018), and submarine dives survey (Geli et al., 2011). However, constraining hazard models in areas undergoing slow strain rates remains challenging as the earthquakes recurrence time overcomes the historical period. Indeed, geodetic measurements require decades-long time series as the resolution of the method is not accurate enough and erosion or high sedimentation rates may have erased or covered, respectively, the active fault scarps making it difficult to identify active faults segments. Therefore, datasets based on the last ten to hundred years of record along tectonic systems undergoing slow strain rates may not be representative of the bulk strain and may be at the origin of biased estimations of slip rate along these faults.

The Lesser Antilles (Eastern Caribbean) records slow deformation rates as the north and south American tectonic plates slowly subduct under the Caribbean plate (Figure 1). Extensional tectonics and normal faulting affect the forearc (Feuillet et al 2002, De Min et al. 2015, Boucard et al. 2021) but available historical data do not report tsunami events related to forearc fault rupture. However, the Les Saintes Mw6.3 earthquake of December 2004 ruptured the Roseau normal fault (Feuillet et al. 2011a, Bazin et al. 2010). The earthquake reached an intensity up to VIII in the Guadeloupe Archipelago (Figure 1), being felt by most of its 400,000 inhabitants, and was responsible for one casualty. This earthquake triggered a tsunami with up to 2m high waves at the coast and a maximum measured run-up distance of 42 m (Zahibo et al. 2005; Le Friant et al. 2008; Cordrie et al. 2020). Prior to this event, this fault was unmapped and therefore not identified as an active fault (Terrier and Combes 2002). Forearc normal faults, similar to Les Saintes fault system, may pose a threat to the 4 million inhabitants of the Lesser Antilles that are living on 17 volcanic arc islands facing the subduction trench to the east and literally sitting over the subduction interface.

The present study focuses on the Morne Piton Fault system, perpendicular to the subduction trench, which is one of the most prominent onshore-offshore fault systems which cuts the Guadeloupe Archipelago arc and forearc islands (Figure 1). Regarding the seismic and tsunami hazards related to this fault system and the vulnerability of the coastal population and infrastructures of the archipelago, the objectives are to (1) estimate the fault slip rate (2) determine the geometry of the fault segments, and (3) model the associated tsunami hazard, since such a joint approach has been lacking so far.

In the following study, the fault geometry is refined in order to provide an up-to-date map of the fault segments thanks to high-resolution (HR) bathymetric data. Then, we integrate its long-term slip rate over the last *ca.* 7 My, *i.e.* from fault initiation to present-day, by the mean of HR seismic reflection lines and available or recent biostratigraphic and isotopic dates. Secondly, Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROV) explorations of seafloor rupture allowed us to measure the height of the fault scarp and to determine the fault kinematics from striations corresponding to the most recent earthquakes. The overall geometry of this fault system is comparable to the Les Saintes fault system in terms of length, seafloor scarp and dip. We thus postulate that a rupture along the Morne Piton Fault may trigger a local tsunami, close to the coasts of the Guadeloupe Archipelago. Then, we assess the seismogenic and tsunamigenic potential of the Morne Piton Fault system providing an overview of what could happen in terms of tsunami generation if all the segments of the Morne Piton Fault ruptured simultaneously, *i.e.* a plausible worst-case scenario. We further discuss the local bathymetric controls on the propagation of the ensuing tsunami wave and the consequences (*e.g.* amplifications and interferences) in near-shore areas of the neighboring highly populated islands. Finally, we reassess the importance of forearc crustal faults as potential major tsunami sources in subduction zones worldwide.

2. Geological settings

Oceanic lithosphere of the North and South American plates is slowly subducting beneath the Caribbean plate at a convergence rate of ~2cm.yr^{-1} (Figure 1, DeMets et al., 2000; Feuillet et al., 2001; Philippon and Corti, 2016). The convex trench geometry results in along strike variations of obliquity, increasing northward from Guadeloupe. Along the arc, at the latitude of Guadeloupe, oblique subduction is accommodated by trench-parallel left-lateral strike slip faults such as the Montserrat-Bouillante / Les Saintes corridor (located within the volcanic arc), the Bunce fault (located along the crustal buttress), and a series of trench-perpendicular grabens forming a sinistral horsetail (Feuillet et al., 2002; Feuillet et al 2010; Ten Brink et al., 2004; Laurencin et al., 2019; Boucar et al., 2021) (Figure 1, Figure 2A).

In the central Lesser Antilles, the Marie-Galante Basin (Guadeloupe Archipelago), is located at the southern end of the aforementioned regional horsetail system and is described as a conjugated normal fault system defining a trench perpendicular graben (Figure 2 A; Feuillet et al., 2001, 2011). This graben affects sediment deposits comprising three regional mega-sequences: an Eocene(?) - Early Miocene MG-MS1 sequence, a mid-Miocene – late Tortonian / early Messinian MG-MS2 sequence, and a Messinan to present MG-MS3 sequence (Bouysse et Mascle 1994; De Min 2014; De Min et al., 2015; Cornée et al 2023). It shapes the Marie-Galante Basin (up to 1200 m water depth) and surroundings, Grande Terre and Marie-Galante Islands, respectively (Figure 1). The northern boundary of the Marie-Galante Basin is the east trending, south dipping Gosier fault that runs primarily onshore along the southern coast of Grande-Terre (Garrabé and Andreieff, 1988; and Figure 2A). The southern boundary of the basin consists of the N100° trending, ~50 km-long, north dipping Morne Piton Fault, which crosscuts the northern edge of Marie-Galante Island (Bouysse et al., 1993) and extends offshore on both sides of the island (Feuillet et al., 2002, 2004).

The Morne Piton Fault system consists of five main 5-15 km-long segments trending N90°E ± 30° separated by N140°E shorter right-lateral relays (Figure 2). The fault scarp is exposed at Anse Piton, eastern coast of Marie-Galante, and shows dip-slip striations (Feuillet et al., 2002). Onshore Marie-Galante, the fault offsets the Pliocene to middle Pleistocene platform by ~60 m. It also crosscuts a series of 3 uplifted late-mid to late Pleistocene terraces along the eastern side of the island. Feuillet et al. (2004) calculated a 5 km dislocation depth and a 70 to 80°N fault dip to model the observed flexure of the footwall. Considering that the Marie-Galante Plateau is a flat abandoned 330 Ka old marine terrace, these authors estimate the average slip rate of the Morne Piton at about 0.5±2 mm.yr^{-1} since 330 Ka. Regarding the uplifted terraces they estimated a maximum earthquake moment magnitude (M_w) ranging from 5.8 up to 6.5 with a 400-1000 to 1400-3300 years of recurrence time, respectively (250 km^2 of estimated ruptured area). Moreover, it was later demonstrated that this plateau emerged between 1.77 and 1.07 Ma (magnetostratigraphic Chron 1R2r: Cornée et al., 2012; Münch et al., 2014; De Min et al., 2015; Léticée et al., 2019; Cornée et al., 2021). Note that considering an older age for the Plateau emergence would drastically lower the slip rate estimate and increase the recurrence time calculated by Feuillet et al. (2001).

Upper plate seismicity in the Marie-Galante Basin provided by (i) CDSA Seismic database (Antilles Seismological Data Center - Bengoubou-Valerius et al. (2008); Massin et al.(2021)), (ii) IRIS database (IRIS https://www.isc.ac.uk (Figure 2B) and (iii) the deployment of Ocean Bottom Seismometers (OBS) (Ruiz et al 2013; Bie et al., 2019) shows a widely distributed pattern of moderate magnitude earthquakes (M_w≤5.3), with the exception of the 2004 seismic cluster in Les Saintes. Wide Angle Seismic (WAS) profiles together with earthquakes data indicate a seismogenic crustal thickness limited to the first 15-20 km west of Marie-Galante suggesting a brittle-ductile transition at this depth (Kopp et al., 2011; Ruiz et al 2013; Gonzalez et al., 2017; Padron et al., 2021). Among the very few focal mechanisms available in the Marie-Galante Basin (Gonzalez et al. 2017), the 25 February 2014 M_w 3.8 earthquake occurred beneath the southern

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Grande-Terre platform and shows pure normal motion along sub-E-W trending nodal planes (Gonzalez et al. 2017, Event n°9, hypocentral location accuracy of ca. 5 km, Figure 2C). The location, depth and nodal plane characteristics (57° dip and N102°E) of the earthquake indicate that the event may correspond to a rupture along the Gosier fault system, which is the only major fault system in the vicinity of the hypocentral location able to trigger such magnitude earthquake. Feuillet (2000) provided more than 20 focal mechanisms for magnitude 2<Ml<3.7 and one Ms=5.6 earthquakes located in and around the Marie-Galante Graben. All focal mechanisms show nearly pure normal motion along sub-E-W trending nodal planes, consistent with kinematics indicators observed along the Gosier and Morne Piton Faults. This tectonic pattern is confirmed by GNSS velocities which indicate that a small trench-parallel extension is accommodated in the upper plate forearc (van Rijsingen et al., 2021).

Two historical earthquakes are reported along these two fault systems (Feuillet et al., 2011b): (i) the 16 May 1851 earthquake with a maximum intensity of VII recorded in the southeastern part of Basse-Terre, is attributed to the Morne Piton Fault with an estimated magnitude Mw=6.0 (Feuillet et al., 2011); and (ii) the 29 April 1897 earthquake with a maximum intensity of VIII recorded in the Pointe-à-Pitre area being either attributed to the Gosier Fault system with an estimated magnitude Mw=5.5, or to the Montserrat fault zone, with an estimated magnitude Mw=6.5 (Bernard and Lambert, 1988; Feuillet et al., 2011). Overall, at the latitude of Guadeloupe, regional earthquake data suggest that normal fault systems are active with an ability of generating Mw 6 earthquakes potentially able to trigger tsunami (as explained, for example, in Roger et al., 2019).

Southwest of the Marie-Galante Basin, the 2004 Mw 6.3 earthquake (Bazin et al., 2010; Feuillet et al., 2011) showed that upper plate crustal faults can generate strong earthquakes and tsunami. The main shock occurred along the NNW-SSE trending, *ca.* 40 km-long arc-parallel Les Saintes Fault System (Feuillet et al 2011; Leclerc et al. 2016). The recurrence of such a rupture is estimated to be a few hundred years or more (Escartin et al., 2016; Escartin et al., 2018; Feuillet et al. 2011; Le Friant et al., 2008). Focal mechanisms of the main shock as well as five aftershocks provided an overall pure normal motion along NNW-SSE nodal planes (Figure 2D). Source models from Salichon et al. (2009), Bazin et al. (2010), and Feuillet et al. (2011), well constrained by the long duration of the aftershock sequence, proposed a main source localized along the N135°E

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

trending, 50°E dipping Roseau Fault (westernmost fault of Les Saintes fault system) with a 30 km-long and 21 km-downdip width fault plane. Aftershock seismicity reactivated several nearby conjugate faults with a maximum seismic depth at *ca.* 15 km. The main rupture occurred at two asperities located 8 km below the surface with a maximum slip of 1.8 m, and propagated to the surface triggering a coseismic offset of the seafloor of 0.3-0.6 m along a *ca*. 10 km-long segment. Escartin et al. (2016) investigated the fault scarp by the mean of HR bathymetry highlighting a 3 km-long, up to 0.9 m-high scarp but concluded that part of the observed slip may be post-seismic. The Les Saintes earthquake generated up to 2 m-high tsunami waves and a maximum horizontal run-up of 42 m in some bays of Les Saintes (Zahibo et al., 2005; Le Friant et al., 2008; Cordrie et al., 2020). However, tsunami models using fault parameters based on seismological data resulted in an under estimation of the tsunami wave amplitude and run-up (Le Friant et al., 2008). Cordrie et al. (2020) consider that their best fit models require greater slip on the fault plane and a greater magnitude for the earthquake than those given by the seismological data in order to accurately reproduce the observed tsunami, suggesting that the observed scarp is the surface expression of co-seismic slip (source parameters: Mw=6.4-6.5 – fault plane 15x15 km – Strike N325°E – Dip 55°E – rake ca.90° - slip=2.5-3.5 m).

Over the last ~500 years of historical written archives in the Lesser Antilles, a few dozen confirmed tsunamis from different origins (local, regional or far-field sources including earthquakes, landslides, volcanic eruptions or combinations of them) have been reported. Starting with the 16 April 1690 Ms~8.0 Barbados earthquake (which presumably triggered the first reported tsunami in the Lesser Antilles), it includes the widely studied 1 November 1755 Lisbon transoceanic tsunami (*e.g.,* Gutscher et al., 2006, Accary and Roger, 2010; Roger et al., 2010, 2011, Martinez-Loriente et al., 2021) and the 18 November 1867 Virgin Islands tsunami (*e.g.*, Zahibo et al., 2003, 2005; Barkan and ten Brink, 2004).

On the basis of an extensive literature review, including cross-checking of information, we conclude that only four tsunamis reported in Guadeloupe are likely of upper crustal seismic origin (Mallet, 1853, 1854, 1855; Lander, 1997; Zahibo and Pelinovsky, 2001; Lander et al., 2003; O'Loughlin and Lander, 2003; Zahibo et al., 2003; Accary and Roger, 2010; Nikolkina et al., 2010; Roger et al., 2013; online databases: NGDC/WDS, 2023; TL/ICMMG, 2023). These tsunamis have been observed or recorded following earthquakes occurring on regional faults (indicated magnitude and epicenter coordinates are from the USGS online earthquakes catalogue): the Mw~8.0-8.5 earthquake on 8 February 1843 (NE of Guadeloupe, 16.73°N, 61.17°W) and the Mw 7.2 earthquake on 25 December 1969 (SE of Guadeloupe, 15.648°N, 59.694°W) are arguably attributed either to a rupture along the megathrust or to upper plate faulting ; the Mw 6.5 earthquake on 16 March 1985 (along the Harvers-Montserrat-Bouillante fault system between Montserrat and Nevis, north of Basse-Terre, 17.013°N, 62.448°W); and the Mw 6.3 earthquake on 21 November 2004 (Along the Les Saintes fault system, south of Basse-Terre, 15.679°N, 61.706°W)(Figure 1). As magnitude of crustal earthquakes is constrained by fault length, events occurring along such crustal fault show a much smaller magnitude than megathrust earthquakes but they may form large seafloor offsets. Thus, most crustal earthquakes able to trigger a tsunami do not produce significant sea surface deformation (only a few centimeters amplitude in most cases) compared to subduction interface earthquakes. Associated tsunami are typically only visible on pressure gauge records (coastal gauges or DART stations) after processing the data (*e.g.*, de-tiding, high-frequencies filtering, etc.).

3. Material and method

3.1 Seismic lines

We present eight multichannel seismic (MCS) lines acquired during five oceanographic campaigns (location on Figure 3A). These include high-resolution sparker source seismic data from KaShallow 1 (Lebrun et al., 2009) and GEOBERYX03 oceanographic campaigns (Thinon and Bitri, 2003;Thinon et al., 2004 and 2010), mid resolution GI airgun arrays seismic data from KaShallow 2 (Lebrun et al., 2009) and Aguadomar (Deplus et al., 1999) cruises, and deep penetrating MCS data from the Sismantilles 1 seismic experiment (Hirn, 2001) (Table 1).

Sismantilles 1 seismic data have been processed using CGG-Veritas Geovecteur® software on board the R/V Nadir (Hirn et al., 2001). Processing includes band pass filtering, internal and external mute, one step velocity analysis, NMO correction, stack, predictive deconvolution and post-stack constant water-velocity time migration. The KaShallow 1 and 2, Aguadomar and Geoberyx have been processed with Seismic Unix software (Cohen and Stokwell, Center for Wave Phenomena, Colorado School of Mines). The seismic processing includes band pass filtering, sea waves and spherical divergence corrections, constant velocity or simple velocity gradient NMO correction and stack, and constant water-velocity time migration. The reflection seismic lines are in milisecond two-way-travel-time (mstwtt). The velocities of the Wide Angle Seismic refraction (WAS) profiles are in second two-way-travel-time (stwtt).

3.2 Bathymetry

High-resolution bathymetric data were acquired during the KaShallow2 oceanographic campaign (Lebrun, 2009) using the Simrad EM300 multibeam echosounder. We merged this data with Aguadomar (Deplus, 1999) and Sismantilles 2 (Laigle et al., 2007) cruises Simrad EM12 Dual multibeam echosounder data available for the Marie-Galante Basin. Vertical accuracy for these echosounders is plurimetric for typical water depth found in the Marie-Galante Basin (<2000 m below sea-level, noted bsl hereafter). Near-shore (0-200 m bsl) and onshore, very high-resolution bathymetric and topographic data comes from the Litto3D database - (https://www.geoportail.gouv.fr/donnees/litto3d last accessed on September 2020- that includes airborne lidar survey and Kashallow-3 multibeam data acquired with a RESON Seabat 8101 multibeam echosounder. The vertical accuracy for this second dataset is better than one meter. We used software to process the data and to produce a 25 m grid spacing Digital Elevation Model of the Marie-Galante Basin and surrounding islands. Maps are produced using the open-access QGIS software (https://www.qgis.org)

3.3 Depth and time calibration of main geological boundaries.

In order to measure offsets of unconformities on time-migrated seismic lines we need to constrain the seismic velocities within the sediments. We used velocities from the WAS profile (Kopp et al 2011) in the south of Marie-Galante, that trends parallel to the MCS line Agua116 (Cornée et al., 2023). The WAS velocities in the *ca*. 0.4 stwtt thick upper unit (MG-MS3 – Cornée et al., 2023) ranges between 2 and 2.5 km/s. The 3.25 km/s isochrones mimic the base of unit MG-MS2 and the 4.5 km/s isochrones follow the acoustic basement below MG-MS1. Moreover, Kashallow 2 cruise MCS data (Table 1) acquired with a 600 m long streamer allows us to determine the Normal Move Out velocities down to a depth of *ca*. 0.75 stwtt in well-layered units such as shown on the seismic lines (Figure 3). Once converted into interval velocities using the "Hewitt Dix formula", we determine velocities in the upper unit from 1500 to 2750 m/s (Dix, 1995). Therefore, we use 1500 m/s in the water and 2000 m/s and 2500 m/s in the sediments

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

to estimate (and bound) the depth of unconformities observed on time-migrated seismic lines (Table 2).

Offshore, several first order unconformities and sedimentary units were accurately dated using bio-stratigraphy analysis or radiochronology (Bouysse and Mascle, 1994; KaShallow Reasearch Program results: Cornée et al., 2023; De Min, 2014; De Min et al., 2015; Münch et al., 2013). The deepest dated unconformity, MG-SB2, which corresponds to the top of the MG-MS1 sequence, occurs on seismic lines east of Marie-Galante (thick orange line on Figure 5, lines AGUA97 – K09-09 – K09_45 - Sis7C). Along the seismic line Agua 97, the F8 fault scarp has been sampled at 514 m bsl just beneath the unconformity (KaShallow Cruise ROV dive, Figure 6). The samples, BMG2 and 4, yielded a Late Burdigalian/earliest Langhian age (Cornée et al., 2023). Thus, we propose 16 Ma ± 1Ma for the age of MG-SB2**.** Above, another regional unconformity MG-SB3 (Cornée et al., 2023) is identified east of Marie-Galante. It corresponds to the top of MG-MS2 sequence (thick purple line on the Figure 5 lines Agua97 – K09-09-08 – K09-45-44 – Sis7C). The age for this surface is bracketed between the overlaying Late Messinian GT carbonate platform (zones N18, 5.8-5.33 Ma –Cornée et al., 2023) and the underlying sedimentary unit dated Late Tortonian 8.57 ± 0.43 Ma (Ar-Ar, Münch et al., 2014). We thus consider 7 ± 1.5 Ma for the age of MG-SB3. West of Marie-Galante, the angular unconformity on line K09-90 North-West of Marie-Galante may corresponds to MG-SB3 (Figure 4). However, this reflector is too deep to be followed across the fault system. Within the uppermost sequence, MG-MS3, a remarkable unit boundary corresponding to a second order unconformity, can be easily correlated throughout the basin and onshore (red thick line on Figure 4). This unit boundary is Middle-Late Piazencian offshore and correlates onshore with the 3–2.9 Ma tectonically-induced erosional unconformity SB1 (see above; Cornée et al., 2023). Along the seismic line Ber03-30-31, the fault scarp immediately north of F3 and F4 has been sampled at 283 m bsl (KaShallow Cruise ROV dive, Figure 4). Samples, BC1 and BC2, yielded ages of 1.33 ± 0.23 Ma and 1.15. ± 0.12 Ma, respectively (Ar^{40}/Ar^{39} ages on plagioclases, Münch et al., 2013; 2014). These samples correspond to a prominent seismic reflector within the upper unit of MG-MS3 sequence that can also be easily correlated through all the seismic lines west of Marie-Galante. We thus retain an average age of 1.29 ± 0.26 Ma for this seismic reflector (green line on Figure 4).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

3.4 Tsunami modeling

In order to test the tsunamigenic potential of the different proposed faults herein, several rupture scenarios have been elaborated and are presented hereafter.

Numerical simulations of tsunami generation and propagation were carried out using COMCOT software (Cornell Multi-grid Coupled Tsunami: Liu et al., 1998; Wang, 2008; Wang and Power, 2011). COMCOT is widely used by the research community and constantly tested notably through various real tsunami cases (e.g. Prasetya et al., 2011; Gusman et al., 2019; Paris et al., 2021; Gusman et al., 2022; Roger et al., 2023). COMCOT uses a modified staggered finite-difference scheme to solve linear and non-linear shallow water equations in either spherical or Cartesian coordinate systems throughout a set of nested grids allowing refinement of the bathymetric resolution in coastal areas. A two-way nested grid configuration is implemented in the model to balance computational efficiency and numerical accuracy (Wang 2008; Wang and Power 2011).

For this study, nesting has been used with two grid levels: the first grid is a 0.5 arcmin (~900 m) resolution grid of the Lesser Antilles (extent: 295°E, 302°E, 12°S, 18°S) built from the global dataset GEBCO 2021 (GEBCO Compilation Group, 2021); the second grid is a 3.75 arcsec (~115 m) spatial resolution grid focusing on the Guadeloupe Archipelago and Dominica Island, including the investigated faults location as shown on Figure 1 (extent: 297.92°E, 300.22°E, 14.94°N, 16.717°N). This second grid has been built from different datasets including the aforementioned bathymetric data (§ 3.2). The highest resolution and the more recent data have been kept first. Data gaps have been filled in with GEBCO 2021 (GEBCO Compilation Group, 2021) for offshore regions, and SRTM version 3.0 Global 1 arc second data (NASA SRTM, 2013) for onshore regions. Continuity of the different datasets has been ensured using kriging interpolation, which has proven to be one of the best methods to apply in order to produce a well-defined DEM, especially for smooth transitions between different resolution areas (e.g. Bernardes et al., 2006; Arun, 2013; Ajvazi and Czimber, 2019). Note that Dominica was included in the second grid was to look at potential effects which could occur between the different islands and also assess the potential tsunami threat resulting from the Morne Piton scenario on this neighboring island.

The initial displacement of every simulation is calculated by COMCOT considering an instantaneous rupture of the fault using Okada (1985)'s rupture model, and transmission of the deformation to the water column above is considered instantaneous.

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions

Calculations of wave propagation have been done at mean sea level (MSL) assuming a constant Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.011 for the seabed friction (Wang et al., 2017). A higher friction coefficient leads to more energy dissipation of tsunami waves, especially in shallow waters, slowing down their speed and reducing their amplitude and impact (e.g. Dao and Tkalich, 2007). Considering the limited extent of the interest zone (~250km x 200km), the rupture parameters (leading to a small coseismic rupture) and the objective to look at potential localized effect as inter-islands resonance, tsunami waves propagation time was set to 10 hours.

4. RESULTS

4.1 The Morne Piton Fault system

The HR bathymetric data presented here above (section 3.2) allows to refine the structural pattern of the Morne Piton Fault system, especially offshore (Figures 3A - B). The fault system splays eastward from the N120-N135°E trending Eastern Les Saintes fault system located east-south-east of Basse-Terre to the N110-115°E trending Petite-Terre fault system south-south-east of Grande-Terre (Figures 2 and 3). Thus, the fault zone spreads over a 5-8 km wide and 50 km long zone with an average N100°E trend.

The main fault scarp of the Morne Piton fault system is the southernmost one, along which 9 fault segments of 1-10 km length can be identified (Figure 3B). From west to east, the F1 segment trends N110° and then the fault steps left along the N75°E trending F2 segment. A little farther east, the fault cuts the northern Colombie Bank and the eastern Marie-Galante platform along closely spaced N90E trending left or right stepping segments F3, F3', F4 and F5. Across the island, the F6 segment is a N130°N trending, 6 km long right step relay linking the F5 segment to the F7 N90°E trending one. Further east offshore Marie-Galante, two N80°E trending fault segments, F8 and F9, arrange as overlap right steps. There, the fault scarp vanishes in just a few kilometers. To the east of the line Sis7C, neither the sediments nor the basement are affected by the north-dipping Morne Piton Fault system (Figure 5). In contrast, the seismic line Sis7C shows that the basement is southwardly downthrown by the Petite-Terre fault system, along south dipping active and sealed faults to the north and south of the Morne Piton Fault system, respectively (Figure 5 location on Figure 3A) . West of Marie-Galante, the Morne Piton Fault system widens as closely spaced fault splays trending N95°E to N100°E

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

link the main fault scarp (F2, F3 and F4) to the antithetic Goyave Fault system or die westward (Figure 4 location on Figure 3A). Eastward of the F6 segment, some synthetic and a few antithetic faults splay northeastward and link with the N110-115°E Petite-Terre Fault system.

The mean fault-scarp height west of Marie-Galante Island is ca. 100 m (Figure 3C). Across Marie-Galante Island, the mean fault-scarp height reaches 200 m and controls the staircase morphology of the island. East of the island, the Marie-Galante Canyon carved the sedimentary units, clearing some of the fault planes increasing their apparent scarps heights up to 400 m. To the east, the canyon meanders and cuts through the eastern tip of the Morne Piton Fault system (Figure 3 B and C). West of the island, at the vicinity of the volcanic island of Basse Terre, either recent deposits or the uppermost sedimentary units seal most of the faults. These observations seem to indicate that the sedimentary rate west of Marie-Galante and the erosional rate east of Marie-Galante (in the canyon) exceed the vertical slip rate of the fault.

4.2 Vertical slip rate estimates along the Morne Piton Fault system

To assess the average vertical slip rate along the Morne Piton Fault system, we estimated the fault offset of key dated reflectors across the entire length of the fault system (Figures 4 and 5 – Table 2). West of Marie-Galante, the main offset of the 1.29 ± 0.26 Ma seismic reflector (green on Figure 4) increases from west to east (i.e., from the westernmost extremity of the fault toward its center). Close to the eastern shore of Basse-Terre Island (Figure 4, profile K09-96, and Table 1), the 1.29 Ma reflector is downthrown by 110-115 m. Eastward, the reflector offset increases up to 230-257 m (Figure 4, line K09-90, K08_24 and Table 2) and reaches a maximum of 300-322 m (Figure 4, lines ber03_30-31, K08-59). Accordingly, the number of sealed structures across the fault system decreases eastward (Figures 3 and 4). Thus, West of Marie-Galante, the Morne Piton Fault system accommodates a vertical slip rate increasing eastward up to 0.25 ± 0.08 mm.yr^{-1} over the last 1.29 ± 0.26 Ma (Table 2). The 2.95 ± 0.05 Ma Unit Boundary (red line on figure 4) can only be correlated across the fault system along the K08-59 seismic line. Growth strata are observed in the deposits above the 2.95 Ma unit boundary (Figure 4, gray shadow on seismic lines), attesting for syn-sedimentary fault activity. This unit boundary offset reaches 550-620 m, leading to 0.20 ± 0.02 mm.yr^{-1} average vertical slip rate over the last 2.95 ± 0.05 Ma. These two estimates are compatible within their

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

uncertainties and suggest a steady slip rate of the Morne Piton Fault since *ca*. 3 Ma at least.

East of Marie-Galante, the MG-SB2 sequence boundary dated to 16 ± 1Ma (orange in Figure 5) is the only reflector that can be correlated on both sides of the fault system. In the hanging wall of the fault, the younger MG-SB3 7 ± 1.5Ma boundary (Purple in Figure 5) as well as a large part of the fault scarp are eroded by the Marie-Galante Canyon. The seismic line K09-09 (Figure 5) shows that the MG-SB3 unconformity records the Morne Piton Fault inception: in the footwall of the fault, the stratigraphy of MG-MS2 sequence (comprised between MG-SB2 and MG-SB3) shows conformal deposits flexed upward while approaching the fault, whereas MG-MS3 deposits onlap onto MG-SB3 and present clear growth strata. We thus propose that the 16 ± 1 Ma sequence boundary is pre-tectonic and is titled by the fault since its inception 7 ± 1.5 Ma ago (the age of MG-SB3; Figure 5, profile K09-45-45). Along the fault system East of Marie-Galante we calculated the strain rate from MG-SB2 offset since 7±1Ma. From east to west the slip rate ranges from 0,067±0,03 mm.yr^{-1} at the K09_44-45 seismic line, to 0,071±0,02 mm.yr^{-1} along the K09_08-09 line. Seismic line Agua97 (Figure 5) presents the greatest offset of MG-SB2. However, this seismic line does not cross the southernmost F7 segment (the water depth is too shallow for ship navigation in the footwall compartment). We estimated the depth of MG-SB2 in the footwall compartment from the closest seismic line available that crosses the fault located 1 km east of the Agua97 line. We obtain an offset of 977-995 m leading to a maximum vertical slip rate of 0.15 ± 0.05 mm.yr^{-1} since 7 ± 1.5 Ma (Table 2). Consequently, we propose that i) the vertical slip rate accommodated by the Morne Piton Fault system increases progressively from each extremity of the fault toward its center, and ii) that the Morne Piton Fault system is characterized by a constant slip rate of 0.20 ± 0.05 mm.yr^{-1} since its inception, *i.e.* 7 Ma ago.

4.3 Earthquakes parameters of Tsunami modeling

ROV dive along the ber03_30-32 seismic line allowed observation of one of the main morphologic scarps of the Morne Piton Fault system across the F2 and F3' segments (Figures 3 and 6). Across the upper plateau, between F2 and F3', we observed several N90°E trending fractures parallel to the fault segments (Figure 6A). While descending across the F3' scarp, the slope progressively steepens up from 45° at 157 m bsl to more than 80° at 280 m bsl just a few meters above the toe of the scarp (Figure 6A, B and C).

This morphology suggests a ca. 128 m-high cumulative scarp for the F3' segment at that location. The very last meter of the fault scarp above the toe of the slope presents a 100 cm high polished vertical surface, partly altered, showing dip-slip striations indicating pure normal motion along this fault segment (Figure 5D E and F). This exposed and partly altered fault slip plane breaches the sea floor at high angle. Such a polished striated fault scarp morphology is similar, although more altered, to the co-seismic fault scarp observed at the toe of the Roseau Fault plane, after the Les Saintes earthquake (Escartin et al., 2016). We conclude that this observation of the Morne Piton polished striated scarp may correspond to one of the last co-seismic scarps formed during a major earthquake (including possible post-co-seismic slip motion) along this fault. Alteration of the fault slip plane suggests that the slip event occurred tens to several hundred years ago, *i.e.*, this fault slip plane may correspond to a pre-instrumental earthquake (see discussion). From this observed scarp we obtained a ratio of last event scarp over total scarp height (proxy for the cumulative slip as determined on Figure 3) of ~2,6%. With this ratio we calculated an average scarp of ~75 cm along the whole length of the fault and a maximum scarp of 2 m. Such an average scarp value corresponds to the surface expression of a magnitude Mw ~6.5 earthquake using the criteria of Wells and Coppersmith (1996), Leonard (2010) or Thingbaijam et al. (2017). The same studies also provide a calculated maximum displacement of ~2m, consistent with the maximum observation along the scarp. Moreover, the 45 km total length of the Morne Piton Fault system measured from HR bathymetry, together with the width of the fault given by the 10 to 15 km thick seismogenic crust, lead to a rupture area ranging between ~ 450 to 675 km^2 that would generate a magnitude Mw ~6 .5 ± 0.5 earthquake corroborating the afore range of magnitude deduced with other observations (e.g., Wells and Coppersmith, 1996; Leonard, 2010; Thingbaijam et al., 2017). Hereafter, we use these parameters to evaluate the potential tsunami hazard from the Morne Piton Fault for a worst-case plausible scenario.

4.4 *Related tsunami hazard*

We present a worst-case plausible scenario, related to a rupture along all the identified segments of the Morne Piton Fault as these 1 to 10 km-long segments most probably root in-depth along a single fault zone (Feuillet et al., 2004). We rule out the eventuality of testing a single 10 km-long segment of the Morne Piton Fault system

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

rupture as it would generate a Mw<6.0 earthquake and would thus unlikely consist in a tsunami source (Roger et al., 2019). Here, we use a maximum plausible scenario that would generate a tsunami with a significant energy/amplitude to accurately highlight the potential consequences of tsunami waves' propagation and interaction with the peculiar shallow reliefs and major embayment located in and around the Marie-Galante Basin. Quantifying horizontal run-up at the coast and assessing tsunami risk following rupture along this fault is out of the scope of the present study as such quantifications necessitate a better knowledge of the fault dynamic itself (return period of large events, etc.).

The rupture parameters for the different identified segments of the fault shown on figure 3B are provided in Table 3. Geographic location of the center of the fault plane and azimuth are provided based on our structural analysis (section 4.1 and 4.3). Neither seismic lines which illustrate only a few hundred of meters nor in-depth earthquake distributions (which is not enough resolved) allow to estimate the dip of the Morne Piton Fault system. Thus, after showing that the influence of dip on surface deformation is neglectable we choose a mean dip of 75° for the fault segments after Feuillet et al. (2004). The shape of the rupture area (along-strike length x downdip width) and a slip of 1.89 m is implemented for each segment in order to fit with a total fault surface of ca. 500 km^2, corresponding to a magnitude Mw 6.5 earthquake. Finally, conformably to pure dip slip striations observed along the F3' segment (section 4.3) and the F7 segment (Feuillet et al. 2002), we apply a rake of 90° (pure normal faulting mechanism as observed by Feuillet et al., 2004 at Anse Piton and by the ROV picture of the present study). Fault segments F8 and F9 are not straight, for the purpose of modeling they have been divided into F8-F8' and F9-F9'.

The initial surface elevation directly resulting from the Okada (1985) formulation is indicated in Figure 7. Due to the high inclination of the fault planes (dip = 75°) dipping globally southward, a profile cut of the initial displacement is represented from the north to the south by a crest (positive elevation) and a trough (negative elevation). At t=0, the shallow water equations take over from this initial deformation and the propagation of the tsunami waves is calculated over the nested grids at adequate time steps. Figure 8 presents the state of the virtual water surface at six different times of the tsunami waves propagation from 1 to 16 minutes. The wave front initially parallel to the fault axes (t ≤ 1 min) is progressively influenced by the bathymetry within the very first minutes following the rupture, leading to an anisotropic propagation of the waves showing

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

variability in space and time. In addition, the fact that the fault literally crosses Marie-Galante leads to the tsunami source being divided in two independent sources located on the west and east of this island: two tsunamis are therefore generated and called TsuW (on the west) and TsuE (on the east) hereafter. These two tsunamis propagate from their origin and wrap around Marie-Galante as shown at t=4 min of propagation. Then, between 4 and 9 min, the two tsunami fronts meet on the north and south of Marie-Galante. Meanwhile, the propagation of the TsuW waves meet the shallow waters of the Banc Colombie shoal (approx. coordinates: 15.98°N/-61.43°W; minimum water depth of less than 50m), west of Marie-Galante: the waves' amplitude increases and their interaction leads to a constructive interference resulting in a "new" tsunami source at the Banc Colombie shoal, mainly showing a negative wave propagating southward with some extensions toward Marie-Galante on the east and Les Saintes on the west. This relatively high amplitude negative wave is shown by VG_1 on figure 10 with a peak to through value of ~0.6 m. VG_2 and VG_3 also show it to a lesser extent a bit later.

Approaching the coasts, wave shoaling takes over, the reduction in water depth slowing down the waves and simultaneously increasing their amplitude. It leads to wave amplification as particularly shown along Marie-Galante north shore, the southeast coast of Basse-Terre and the south of Petite-Terre.

After 10 hours of tsunami propagation, the maximum values of wave amplitude reached on each point of the simulation domain are shown in Figure 9. The overall impact of such an event is that the maximum wave amplitude is not going over 1.2 m, carefully considering the 100 m resolution of the simulation domain: in fact, a higher resolution grid would probably show higher wave amplitude in very localized areas because of interaction with small underwater structures not represented at this resolution and non-linear effects. The patterns of those amplitudes indicate that not only the fault region but also some coastal regions are exposed to tsunami waves of 50 cm or more. It is the case for the neighboring coasts of Marie-Galante, southeast Basse-Terre, south Grande-Terre and the natural reserve of Petite-Terre. The southeast coast of Basse-Terre is particularly exposed with waves of more than 1 m (Figure 9a). A focus on Les Saintes Archipelago highlights also wave amplitudes of more than 1 m, even between the islands (Figure 9b). The northeast coast of Dominica is also affected but to a lesser extent (maximum wave amplitudes of ~50 cm). Further high-resolution simulation would help to correctly assess the related hazard on this island. Virtual sea-level gauges have been added at different

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

locations of grid 2 (Figure 9) in order to check if the model is stable and to look for possible resonance (especially in Les Saintes Archipelago). The raw signal of seven VG (top figure 10) highlights a clear decrease of the amplitude over the time on all stations. However, for stations VG_1, VG_2 and VG_3, a low-frequency oscillation is clearly visible and lasts for at least 10 hours. The amplitude spectrums on these three stations show that two peaks with a period of ~6.5 min and 17 min respectively are present on the three signals at Les Saintes, which is not the case for the stations out of the archipelago.

5. Discussion

5.1. Implications a local and regional scales

The highest magnitude earthquakes and strongest tsunami produced at subduction zones are expected to originate from rupture at the plate interface megathrust. However, historical records in the Lesser Antilles reveal that neither the 1843 Mw 7.5-8.5 nor the 1839 Mw 7.5-8.5 largest known earthquakes, although destructive, have been followed by large tsunamis. However, Roger et al. (2013) showed that the simulation of a Mw 8.5 1843-like megathrust earthquake would have produced wave amplitudes of 5 m and more along the exposed coasts of Guadeloupe, which was not reported in coeval documents. Feuillet et al. (2011) explain these two major earthquakes by the great depth of the rupture along the megathrustthat lead to little seafloor deformation. However, numerical simulation of worst-case scenarios for these two ruptures along the megathrust evidence the possibilities of tsunami amplitudes up to 10 meters and above in some embayment (Roger et al., 2013; Colon-Useche et al., 2023).

Les Saintes earthquake demonstrated that upper plate normal faults may generate Mw>6 tsunamigenic earthquakes in the Lesser Antilles. Les Saintes tsunami produced up to 2 m high waves at the coast and 42 m distance run-up in a peculiar embayment (Cordrie et al. 2020). Such normal faults are prone to be tsunamigenic because their rupture is relatively shallow (compared to the megathrust), and their slip motion is favorable to large seafloor displacement. Together with their proximity to the islands, they are able to produce metric-high tsunami waves at the coast and tens of meters of run up distances. Therefore, upper plate crustal faults may represent a major potential tsunami hazard in the Lesser Antilles islands and particularly in the Guadeloupe Archipelago as pointed out by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission held in Fort-de-France in 2019 (IOC-UNESCO, 2020). Similarly to Les Saintes Fault, we assume

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

that the 50 km long Morne Piton Fault poses a potential earthquake and tsunami hazard. The large scarp we observed at the toe of the Morne Piton Fault suggests recent tsunamigenic rupture(s) along this structure. However, this scarp might not be related to the 1851 historical event as the estimated magnitude Mw 5-5.5 for this earthquake appears too low to explain the observed scarp. Thus rupture of the fault along its whole length must not be excluded. Several other prominent onshore-offshore faults affect the seafloor and the topography of the archipelago and may represent both an earthquake and a tsunami hazard. However, the relationships between faults, earthquakes and tsunami is not clearly established as shown in the following examples.

Along the arc, the Harvers-Montserrat-Bouillante and Les Saintes fault systems are the most prominent tectonic features (Feuillet et al., 2010). To the south, Les Saintes Fault system dips east and defines a half-graben (Leclerc et al., 2016). The westernmost fault, the Roseau fault, ruptured during the 2004 M_w 6.3 earthquake and is most probably now reloading and thus quiet. Recurrence time for this earthquake has been estimated to be more than 1000 years given the regional slow strain rate. However, the eastern normal faults of the system offset the seafloor over more than 30 km and present tilted blocks filled by fan shaped late Pleistocene deposits attesting for recent deformation. In the light of these observations, the eventuality of a tsunamigenic earthquake along these faults should be considered. To the North-West along the Harvers segment, a tsunamigenic rupture occurred in 1985 with strike-slip kinematics and a Mw 6.5 earthquake. Beck et al., (2012) estimated a recurrence time of 6500-7000 years for such a Mw 6.5 event based on offset of coseismic deposits in hemipelagites imaged by very high-resolution seismic lines across the fault. In between these two segments, the Montserrat-Bouillante segment is seismically quiet except if the 1897 (estimated Mw 7) earthquake occurred along this fault (Feuillet et al., 2011b). However, no tsunami related to such a rupture has been reported. Seismic lines across the Montserrat-Bouillante fault (Feuillet et al., 2010; Legendre, 2018) reveal that the fault offsets the most recent units including the oldest reflector drilled during the IODP1395, that dates upper Gelasian *ca*. 1.8-2Ma (Le Friant et al. 2013). Given an offset of 0.3mstwtt and a 2000-2500 m/s sediments velocity this provides a 0.15-0.2 $mm.yr^{-1}$ slip rate. Thus, the Montserrrat-Bouillante segment should also be considered tsunamigenic.

South of Grande Terre of Guadeloupe, the N90°E trending Gosier Fault system bounds 45 km of coastal area (Figure 2). The fault system offset the Mid-Pleistocene

Grande-Terre plateau that culminates at +150 m from the offshore plateau that rests 15-20 mbsl (Münch et al., 2013). This suggests a long-term vertical slip rate ca. 0.10 mm.yr^{-1}. To the east of Grande-Terre, the fault crosscuts the MIS5e terrace attesting for Late Pleistocene activity of the fault. However, evaluation of paleo-seismicity along one eastern segment of the fault system by the means of trenches allowed the identification of recent surface ruptures, although superficial deposits remain undated (Terrier and Combes, 2002).

East of Guadeloupe, offshore, the Marie-Galante Basin is bounded to the east by the Karukera spur, a 75 km long N-S trending submerged plateau that culminates 30 mbsl to the north offshore La Désirade Island, and gently dips southward down to *ca*. 1500 mbsl (De Min et al., 2015). The spur is bounded to the west by N150°E to N0°E trending, west dipping, and normal faults. These faults offset the middle Miocene sequence boundary (SB2) by up to *ca*. 2700-2900 m, leading to a long-term vertical slip rate of 0.16-0.18 mm.yr^{-1}. Recent deposits are clearly affected by tectonic activity (Siebert et al., 2020). Located far from the islands, the earthquake intensity felt onshore would be relatively low in the island, but a tsunami could propagate across the Marie-Galante Basin directly toward the arc islands coast.

5.2. Slip rate reassessment along the Morne Piton Fault system

Over the last 330 Ka, Feuillet et al. (2004) estimate a bulk slip rate along the Morne Piton Fault as high as 1 mm.yr^{-1} over 330 – 125 Ka then decreasing to 0.3 mm.yr^{-1} since the last 125 Ka. This latter value is close to the long-term slip rate obtained offshore in this study (Figure 11). These results suggest that the fault may present a fast slip rate during short periods of time (few 100 ka.) separated by long periods (million years) of low slip rate. The 1 mm.yr^{-1} rate was obtained considering that the terrace T2MG is offset by the fault by 159 m and dates MIS7e (249 Ka) and the upper-plateau of Marie-Galante corresponds to an abrasion surface from the MIS9e high stand (330 Ka) (Feuillet et al., 2004). In La Désirade, the Upper Plateau culminates at 276 m asl (above sea level), whereas the 330 Ka terrace is at 35 m asl (Lardeaux et al., 2014; Leticée et al., 2019). Consequently, the hypothesis stating that the plateau emerged 330 Ka ago is ruled out in Marie-Galante as the youngest formation of Marie-Galante Plateau is not younger than 1.07 Ma (Cornée et al., 2012; Münch et al., 2014). From the geological map of Bouysse et al. (1993), this later unit rims Marie-Galante Island. Considering this formation as the latest deposit at the Marie-Galante Plateau, it provides a vertical slip rate of 0.15-0.22 mm.yr^{-1}, a value close to the 0.2 mm.yr^{-1} obtain offshore for the slip rate along the Morne Piton Fault system (Figure 11). As a consequence, it is not possible to conclude that the Morne Piton Fault system has short periods of fast slip rate, but it instead probably evolves through time with a rather constant slip rate of 0.2mm.yr^{-1}. This slip rate is five times slower than previous estimates along Morne Piton, considerably increasing the earthquake time recurrence along this fault system and thus the time recurrence of potential associated tsunami.

Constraining the fault slip rate at the time scale of one or few seismic cycles may allow better estimates of seismic and tsunamigenic hazards of the Morne Piton Fault system. This would require a better knowledge of in-depth fault geometry and identification of its active segments that could be obtained by the means of a microseismic survey (using Ocean Bottom Seismometers acquisition over 1-2 years or more). At present-day, BOTDR laser reflectometry is used to perform long-term monitoring of the Morne Piton Fault using the network of submarine telecom fiber optic cables connecting Marie-Galante to the larger islands of Basse-Terre and Grande-Terre (Gutscher et al., 2023). It is to note that given the slow strain rate and in the absence of rupture occurring along the fault during the survey period, identifying slip rate across this fault system may require one to few tens of years. Moreover, very high-resolution seismic data across the fault in areas of high sedimentation rates (*i.e.*, along the eastern coast of Basse Terre Island) may constrain the Holocene fault activity. Slip rate estimates will be obtained by coring and dating of the most recent deformed sediments. Finally, such regional monitoring will also contribute to a survey of past and potential landslides that may also be induced by earthquakes and which may locally generate destructive waves.

5.3. Tsunami simulation

The aim of the tsunami simulation associated to the present study is not to produce a precise hazard assessment for the islands of Guadeloupe, but rather to give an overview of what could happen in terms of tsunami generation if the whole identified Morne Piton Fault segments ruptured together, and to identify a few gaps in terms of scientific knowledge and operational activities. An accurate hazard assessment study would require many rupture scenarii including combinations of the segments used in this study, with variations of their parameters and sensibility tests.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

The main outcome of the simulation presented hereinabove lies in the fact that submarine features play an important role on the tsunami waves behavior and amplitude. Submarine canyons (known to focus the waves: Nazarè Portugal: Martins et al., 2010; do Carmo et al., 2022; Delpey et al., 2021) and shallow water plateaus located around the islands slow down the waves which leads to particular propagation patterns like the wrapping around islands (Figure 8). In fact, it underlines the wrapping effect of the waves around Marie-Galante associated with two distinct tsunami sources, i.e a primary source at fault and a secondary one at the Colombie Bank. Such a behavior, already shown in other regions, is able to considerably amplify the impact of the tsunami on the coast opposite to the fault rupture (Chadha et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2010). It is important to notice that the low resolution of the grid used for the present simulations is a limiting factor in quantifying correctly the wave amplitude along the shoreline. A higher resolution simulation grid would better reproduce the bathymetric features, especially in shallow waters, having a non-negligible impact on the waves' behavior and amplitude.

The simulation also highlights interesting phenomena that would require further consideration in the framework of further tsunami hazard studies: wave oscillation, which could be attributed to a resonance effect, is clearly visible within the Les Saintes Archipelago, and potential wave trapping is also visible around those islands. If the second case is purely observation, the resonance between Les Saintes islands is clearly revealed by the single-sided Fourier amplitude spectrum (Figure 10) and the peak at ~17 min seems to be associated with the negative wave coming time after the initial wave front and related to the tsunami interaction with the Colombie Bank shoal. The records provided by the virtual gauges located beforehand within the archipelago (VG_1, VG_2 & VG_3) clearly shows a long-period oscillation of the signal which is not present on the gauges located outside of Les Saintes (VG_4, VG_5, VG_6 & VG_11). It shows how the frequency content of the incoming signal can affect the sea-level during many hours after the seismic rupture.

The numerical simulations of the tsunami having followed the Mw 6.4 Les Saintes earthquake were able to match the witnesses' observations in Les Saintes (Cordrie et al., 2020). Despite the low resolution (100m) of the present simulation on Les Saintes, there are some similarities between the two studies of potential impacted zones, for example in Marigot or Grande Anse Bays. It also shows that other bays, like the ones located between Terre-de-Haut and Ilet à Cabrit, appear to be quite well protected and not exposed to relatively strong tsunami waves.

Finally, this study also highlights the exposed coastline of Dominica: on the north-northeast coast of the island (Figure 9), 50+ cm waves are simulated, showing that this island should integrate such a scenario of crustal fault rupture within its tsunami hazard assessment plan.

Conclusion

Thanks to HR bathymetry, reflection seismic data and rock/sediment samples, the analyses of the morphology and tectonic structures of the Marie Galante Basin located in the middle of the Guadeloupe Archipelago, allow to detail the structural pattern of this region and to estimate a constant slip rate of *ca.* 0.2 mm.yr^{-1} along the Morne Piton Fault system, cross-cutting the basin, since its inception *(i.e.* over that last 7 Ma). This estimate divides the previously published estimations of the slip rate by five, and thus increases the earthquake recurrence time associated to the Morne Piton Fault system from 1Ka to 5-6 Ka. We show that a seismic rupture associated to an earthquake showing a moment magnitude Mw ~6.5 can occur along the Morne Piton Fault system in case of the rupture of the full length of the fault (all segments being considered connected at depth in the present demonstration). Such an event would be tsunamigenic according to numerical simulation results. The multi-segment tsunami modeling illustrates how submarine morphological and structural features influences the propagation pattern of the tsunami leading to constructing interferences and resonances, thus increasing the tsunami threat on nearby islands, especially highlighting a resonance effect within the Les Saintes Islands, not discussed so far (and potentially the explanation of the 2004 non-reproduced run-up values). At a regional scale we evidenced that several other regional faults such as Montserrat-Harvers-Bouillante Fault, Gosier Faut, Karukera Spur border fault, may also be tsunamigenic. Indeed, although they have the potential to produce relatively low magnitude (<7) earthquakes, their rupture could occur at shallow depth and close to a highly populated coast. Therefore, scenarii with arc and forearc crustal fault ruptures must be integrated within their tsunami hazard assessment plan. For that, it is necessary to have a better knowledge of onshore-offshore structural and seismogenic patterns of each individual major faults system as the regional low strain rate leads to large recurrence time of tsunamigenic earthquakes (>1000years), *i.e.* much greater than the historical record.

Competing interests

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Acknowledgements and data

We are grateful to C. Deplus, A. Hirn (IPGP) and M. Laigle (GeoAzur) for providing Aguadomar and Sismantilles seismic and bathymetry data and to I Thinon and P. Gennoc (BRGM) for providing the Geoberyx seismic Data. The Kashallow project was supported by The French National Program DyETI from INSU-CNRS, by the European Interreg IIIB "Caribbean Space" Fund engaged in Guadeloupe with the EFRD projects (op. 30-700) and the Region Guadeloupe.

Data availability and request

Litto3D data can be found at https://diffusion.shom.fr/loisir/litto3d-guad2016.html
French oceanographic fleet data can be obtain on demand via the Sismer online interface following the cruise doi – Aguadomar: https://doi.org/10.17600/98010120; Sismantilles: https://doi.org/10.17600/1080060 and https://doi.org/10.17600/7010020; Kashallow: https://doi.org/10.17600/9020010;
Requests for the Geoberyx03 data must be adressed to the BRGM.
Some figures have been prepared using the Generic Mapping Tools Version 6 software (Wessel et al., 2019).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

References

Accary, F., & Roger, J., 2010. Tsunami catalog and vulnerability of Martinique (Lesser Antilles, France). Science of Tsunami Hazards, 29(3).

Ajvazi, B., & Czimber, K., 2019. A comparative analysis of different DEM interpolation methods in GIS: case study of Rahovec, Kosovo. Geodesy and cartography, 45(1), 43-48. https://doi.org/10.3846/gac.2019.7921

Arun, P.V., 2013. A comparative analysis of different DEM interpolation methods. The Egyptian, Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science, 16(2), 133-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2013.09.001

Barkan, R. and Ten Brink, U., 2010. Tsunami simulations of the 1867 virgin island earthquake: Constraints on epicenter location and fault parameterstsunami simulations of the 1867 virgin island earthquake: Constraints on epicenter location. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *100*(3), pp.995-1009. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120090211

Bazin, S., Feuillet, N., Duclos, C., Crawford, W., Nercessian, A., Bengoubou-Valerius, M., ... & Singh, S. C., 2010. The 2004–2005 Les Saintes (French West Indies) seismic aftershock sequence observed with ocean bottom seismometers. Tectonophysics, 489(1-4), 91-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2010.04.005

Beck, C., Reyss, J.L., Leclerc, F., Moreno, E., Feuillet, N., Barrier, L., Beauducel, F., Boudon, G., Clément, V., Deplus, C. and Gallou, N., 2012. Identification of deep subaqueous co-seismic scarps through specific coeval sedimentation in Lesser Antilles: implication for seismic hazard. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *12*(5), pp.1755-1767. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-1755-2012

Bernard, P., & Lambert, J., 1988. Subduction and seismic hazard in the northern Lesser Antilles: Revision of the historical seismicity. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 78(6), 1965-1983.

Bernardes, T., Gontijo, I., Andrade, H., Vieira, T.G.C., Alves, H.M.R., 2006. Digital Terrain Models Derived from SRTM Data and Kriging. In: AbdulRaman A., Zlatanova S., Coors V. (eds) Innovations in 3D Geo Information Systems. Lecture Notes in Geoinformation and Cartography. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-36998-1_51

Bie, L., Rietbrock, A., Hicks, S., Allen, R., Blundy, J., Clouard, V., Collier, J., Davidson, J., Garth, T., Goes, S., Harmon, N., Henstock; T., van Huenen, J., Kendall, M., Krüger, F., Lynch, L., Macpherson, C., Robertson, R., Tait, S., Wilknison, J. & Wilson, M., 2020. Along-arc heterogeneity in local seismicity across the Lesser Antilles subduction zone from a dense ocean-bottom seismometer network. Seismological Research Letters, 91(1), 237-247. https://doi.org/10.1785/0220190147

Biggs, J., & Wright, T. J., 2020. How satellite InSAR has grown from opportunistic science to routine monitoring over the last decade. Nature Communications, 11(1), 1-4.https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17587-6

Bilek, S. L. (2010). Invited review paper: Seismicity along the South American subduction zone: Review of large earthquakes, tsunamis, and subduction zone complexity. *Tectonophysics*, *495*(1-2), 2-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.02.037

Boucard, M., Marcaillou, B., Lebrun, J. F., Laurencin, M., Klingelhoefer, F., Laigle, M., Lallemand, S., Schenini, L., Graindorge, D., Cornee, J.J., Münch, P. & Philippon, M., 2021. Paleogene V-shaped basins and Neogene subsidence of the Northern Lesser Antilles Forearc. Tectonics, 40(3), e2020TC006524. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020TC006524

Bouysse P., Garrabé F., Mauboussin T., Andreieff P., Battistini R., Carlier P., Hinschberger F. & Rodet J. (1993). – Carte géologique du département de la Guadeloupe. Notice explicative: Marie-Galante et îlets de la Petite-Terre, scale 1: 50, 000. – BRGM, Orléans, France.

Bouysse, P., Mascle, A. (1994). Sedimentary Basins and Petroleum Plays Around the French Antilles. In: Mascle, A. (eds) Hydrocarbon and Petroleum Geology of France. Special Publication of the European Association of Petroleum Geoscientists, vol 4. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-78849-9_32.

Chadha, R. K., Latha, G., Yeh, H., Peterson, C., & Katada, T. (2005). The tsunami of the great Sumatra earthquake of M 9.0 on 26 December 2004–Impact on the east coast of India. *Current Science*, 1297-1301.

Chen, J. M., Liang, D., & Tang, H. (2012). Interaction between tsunami waves and isolated conical islands. *Journal of Coastal Research*, *28*(5), 1270-1278.

Colon Useche, S., Clouard, V., Ioualalen, M., Audemard, F. and Monfret, T., 2023. Simulation of tsunami inundation for the island of Martinique to nearby large earthquakes. *Bulletin*

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

of the Seismological Society of America, *113*(1), pp.252-267. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120220093

Corbeau, J., Feuillet, N., Lejeune, A. M., Fontaine, F. R., Clouard, V., Saurel, J. M., & OVSM team, 2021. A significant increase in interplate seismicity near major historical earthquakes offshore martinique (FWI). Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 111(6), 3118-3135. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120200377

Cordrie, L., Gailler, A., Escartin, J., Feuillet, N., & Heinrich, P., 2020. Simulation of the 2004 tsunami of Les Saintes in Guadeloupe (Lesser Antilles) using new source constraints. Natural Hazards, 103(2), 2103-2129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04073-x

Cornée, J. J., Leticée, J. L., Münch, P., Quillevere, F., Lebrun, J. F., Moissette, P., Braga, C., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., De Min, L., Oudet, J. & Randrianasolo, A., 2012. Sedimentology, palaeoenvironments and biostratigraphy of the Pliocene–Pleistocene carbonate platform of Grande-Terre (Guadeloupe, Lesser Antilles forearc). Sedimentology, 59(5), 1426-1451. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2011.01311.x

Cornée, J. J., Münch, P., Philippon, M., Boudagher-Fadel, M., Quillévéré, F., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., Lebrun, J.F., Meyer, S., Montheil, L., Lallemand, S., Marcailou, B., Laurencin, M., Legndre, L., Garrocq, C., Boucard, M., Beslier, M.O., Laigle, M., Schenini, L., Fabre, P.H. & Marivaux, L., 2021. Lost islands in the northern Lesser Antilles: possible milestones in the Cenozoic dispersal of terrestrial organisms between South-America and the Greater Antilles. Earth-Science Reviews, 217, 103617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103617

Cornée, J.J., De Min, L., Lebrun, J.F., Quillévéré, F., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., BouDagher-Fadel, M., Montheil, L. , Marcaillou, B., Thinon, I. and Philippon M., 2023. Paleogeographic evolution and vertical motion of the central Lesser Antilles forearc since the Early Miocene: A potential driver for land fauna dispersals between the americas. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 152, 106264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2023.106264

Dao, M. H., & Tkalich, P., 2007. Tsunami propagation modelling–a sensitivity study. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 7(6), 741-754. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-7-741-2007

Delpey, M., Lastiri, X., Abadie, S., Roeber, V., Maron, P., Liria, P., & Mader, J. (2021). Characterization of the wave resource variability in the French Basque coastal area based on a high-resolution hindcast. *Renewable Energy*, *178*, 79-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.05.167

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions

DeMets, C., Jansma, P. E., Mattioli, G. S., Dixon, T. H., Farina, F., Bilham, R., Calais., E. & Mann, P., 2000. GPS geodetic constraints on Caribbean-North America plate motion. Geophysical Research Letters, 27(3), 437-440. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL005436

De Min, L., 2014. Sismo-stratigraphie multi-échelles d'un bassin d'avant-arc: le bassin de Marie-Galante, Petites Antilles(Doctoral dissertation, Antilles-Guyane).

De Min, L., Lebrun, J. F., Cornée, J. J., Münch, P., Léticée, J. L., Quillévéré, F., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., Randrianasolo, A., Marcaillou, B. & Zami, F., 2015. Tectonic and sedimentary architecture of the Karukéra spur: A record of the Lesser Antilles fore-arc deformations since the Neogene. Marine Geology, 363, 15-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.02.007

Deplus C., 1998, AGUADOMAR cruise, RV L'Atalante, https://doi.org/10.17600/98010120

Dix, C.H., 1955. Seismic Velocities from Surface Measurements," Geophysics 20, no. 1, 68-86. https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1438126

do Carmo, J. S. A. (2022). Dominant processes that amplify the swell towards the coast: the Nazaré Canyon and the giant waves. *Research, Society and Development*, *11*(11), e578111133804-e578111133804. https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v11i11.33804

Escartín, J., Leclerc, F., Olive, J. A., Mevel, C., Cannat, M., Petersen, S., Augustin, N., Feuillet, N., Deplus, C., Bezos, A., Bonnemains, D., Chavagnac, V., Choi, Y., Godard, M., Haaga, K., Hamelin, C., Ildefonse, B., Jamieson, J.W., John, B.E., Leleu, T., MacLead, C.J., Massot-Campos, M., Nomikou, P., Paquet, M., Tominaga, M., Triebe, L., Campos, R., Gracias, N., Garcia, R., Andreani, M. & Vilaseca, G. (2016). First direct observation of coseismic slip and seafloor rupture along a submarine normal fault and implications for fault slip history. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *450*, 96-107.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.06.024

Escartin, J., Leclerc, F., Nathalie, F., Le Friant, A., Billant, J., Olive, J. A. L., Henri, M., Andreani, M., Arnaubec, A., Dano, A., Delorme, A., Deplus, C., Fournasson, M.L., Gini, C., Gracias, N., Hamelin, C., Istenic, K., Komorowski, J.C., Marchand C., Mevel, C., Onstad, S., Quidelleur, X. & Garcia, R. (2018, December). Mapping the Mw6. 3 2004 Les Saintes earthquake seafloor rupture with deep-sea vehicles: Length, displacement, nature, and links between coseismic deformation and erosion/sedimentation. In *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts* (Vol. 2018, pp. EP51D-1851).

Feuillet, N., 2000. Sismotectonique des Petites Antilles: Liaison entre activité sismique et volcanique (Doctoral dissertation, Paris 7).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Feuillet, N., Manighetti, I., & Tapponnier, P., 2001. Extension active perpendiculaire à la subduction dans l'arc des Petites Antilles (Guadeloupe, Antilles françaises). Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series IIA-Earth and Planetary Science, 333(9), 583-590.

Feuillet, N., Manighetti, I., Tapponnier, P., & Jacques, E., 2002. Arc parallel extension and localization of volcanic complexes in Guadeloupe, Lesser Antilles. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 107(B12), ETG-3. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000308

Feuillet, N., Tapponnier, P., Manighetti, I., Villemant, B., & King, G. C. P., 2004. Differential uplift and tilt of Pleistocene reef platforms and Quaternary slip rate on the Morne-Piton normal fault (Guadeloupe, French West Indies). Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 109(B2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002496

Feuillet, N., Leclerc, F., Tapponnier, P., Beauducel, F., Boudon, G., Le Friant, A., Deplus, C., Lebrun, J.F., Nercessian, A., Saurel, J.M. & Clément, V., 2010. Active faulting induced by slip partitioning in Montserrat and link with volcanic activity: New insights from the 2009 GWADASEIS marine cruise data. Geophysical Research Letters, 37(19). https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL042556

Feuillet, N., Beauducel, F., & Tapponnier, P., 2011. Tectonic context of moderate to large historical earthquakes in the Lesser Antilles and mechanical coupling with volcanoes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116(B10). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008443

Fujita, M., Ishikawa, T., Mochizuki, M., Sato, M., Toyama, S. I., Katayama, M., Kawai, K., Mastumoto, Y., Yabuki, T., Asada, A. & Colombo, O. L., 2006. GPS/Acoustic seafloor geodetic observation: method of data analysis and its application. Earth, planets and space, 58(3), 265-275. https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03351923

GEBCO Compilation Group, 2021. GEBCO 2021 Grid (doi:10.5285/c6612cbe-50b3-0cff-e053-6c86abc09f8f)

Geli, L., Çağatay, N., Gasperini, L., Favali, P., Henry, P., & Çifçi, G., 2011. ESONET WP4-Demonstration Missions. MARMARA-DM final report. https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00032/14324/

Goldfinger, C., Nelson, C. H., Morey, A. E., Johnson, J. E., Patton, J. R., Karabanov, E. B., Gutierrez-Pastor, J., Eriksson, A.T., Gracia, E., Dunhill, G., Enkin, R.J., Dallimore, A. & Vallier, T., 2012. Turbidite event history—Methods and implications for Holocene paleoseismicity of the Cascadia subduction zone(No. 1661-F). US Geological Survey. https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1661F

Gonzalez, OL, Clouard, V., & Zahradnik, J., 2017. Moment tensor solutions along the central Lesser Antilles using regional broadband stations. Tectonophysics, 717, 214-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.06.024

Gusman, A.R., Supendi, P., Nugraha, A.D., Power, W., Latief, H., Sunendar, H., Widiyantoro, S., Daryono, Wiyono, S.H., Hakim, A., Muhari, A., Wang, X., Burbidge, D., Palgunadi, K., Hamling, I., Daryono, M.R., 2019. Source model for the tsunami inside Palu Bay following the 2018 Palu earthquake, Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 8721-8730, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082717.

Gusman, A.R., Roger, J., Power, W., Fry, B., Kaneko, Y., 2022. The 2021 Loyalty Islands earthquake (Mw7.7): Tsunami waveform inversion and implications for tsunami forecasting for New Zealand. Earth and Space Science, e2022EA002346, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EA002346.

Gutscher, M. A., Royer, J. Y., Graindorge, D., Murphy, S., Klingelhoefer, F., Aiken, C., Cattaneo, A., Barreca, G., Quetel, L., Riccobene, G., Petersen, F., Urlaub, M., Krastel, S., Gross, F., Kopp, H., Margheriti, L. Beranzoli, L., 2019. Fiber optic monitoring of active faults at the seafloor: I the FOCUS project. Photoniques, 32-37. https://doi.org/10.1051/photon/2019S432

Hirata, K., Aoyagi, M., Mikada, H., Kawaguchi, K., Kaiho, Y., Iwase, R., Morita, S., Fujisawa, I., Sugioka, H., Mitsuzawa, K., Suyehiro, K. & Fujiwara, N., 2002. Real-time geophysical measurements on the deep seafloor using submarine cable in the southern Kurile subduction zone. IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, 27(2), 170-181. https://doi.org/10.1109/JOE.2002.1002471

IOC-UNESCO (2020). Experts Meeting on Sources of Tsunamis in the Lesser Antilles Fort-de-France, Martinique (France) 18–20 March 2019. Workshop Reports, (291), 55p. Open Access version : https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00665/77736/

Kido, M., Fujimoto, H., Miura, S., Osada, Y., Tsuka, K., & Tabei, T., 2006. Seafloor displacement at Kumano-nada caused by the 2004 off Kii Peninsula earthquakes, detected through repeated GPS/Acoustic surveys. Earth, planets and space, 58(7), 911-915. https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03351996

Kopp, H., Weinzierl, W., Becel, A., Charvis, P., Evain, M., Flueh, E. R., Gailler, A., Galve, A., Hirn, A., Kandilarov, D., Klaeschen, D., Laigle, M., Papenberg, C., Planert, L. & Roux, E., 2011. Deep structure of the central Lesser Antilles Island Arc: relevance for the

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

formation of continental crust. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 304(1-2), 121-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.01.024

Laigle M., Lebrun J.-F., Hirn A. (2007) SISMANTILLES 2 cruise, RV L'Atalante, https://doi.org/10.17600/7010020

Lander, J. F., & Whiteside, L. S., 1997. Caribbean tsunamis: an initial history. In Caribbean Tsunami Workshop, June (pp. 11-13).

Lander, J. F., Whiteside, L. S., & Lockridge, P. A., 2003. Two decades of global tsunamis. Science of Tsunami Hazards, 21(1), 3.

Lardeaux, J. M., Münch, P., Corsini, M., Cornée, J. J., Verati, C., Lebrun, J. F., Guillevere, F., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., Leticee, J.L., Fietzke, J., Mazabraud, Y., Cordrey, F. & Randrianasolo, A., 2013. La Désirade island (Guadeloupe, French West Indies): a key target for deciphering the role of reactivated tectonic structures in Lesser Antilles arc building. Bulletin de la Société géologique de France, 184(1-2), 21-34. https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.184.1-2.21

Laurencin, M., Graindorge, D., Klingelhoefer, F., Marcaillou, B., & Evain, M., 2018. Influence of increasing convergence obliquity and shallow slab geometry onto tectonic deformation and seismogenic behavior along the Northern Lesser Antilles zone. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 492, 59-72. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083490

Lebrun, J.-F., Cornée, J.-J., Münch, P., Guennoc, P., Thinon, I., Begot, J., Mazabraud, Y., Fournier, F., Feuillet, N., Randrianasolo, A., 2008. La Mission KaShallow 1 - N/O Antéa - 26 avril - 05 Mai - Sismique réflexion haute résolution dans le bassin de Marie-Galante - Avant-arc des Petites Antilles. Rapport de l'Université des Antilles et de la Guyane.

Leclerc, F., Feuillet, N., & Deplus, C., 2016. Interactions between active faulting, volcanism, and sedimentary processes at an island arc: Insights from Les Saintes channel, Lesser Antilles arc. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 17(7), 2781-2802. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006337

Le Friant, A., Heinrich, P., & Boudon, G., 2008. Field survey and numerical simulation of the 21 November 2004 tsunami at Les Saintes (Lesser Antilles). Geophysical Research Letters, 35(12). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034051

Legendre, L., Philippon, M., Münch, P., Leticee, J. L., Noury, M., Maincent, G., Cornee, J.J., Caravati, A., Lebrun, J.F. & Mazabraud, Y., 2018. Trench bending initiation: Upper plate strain pattern and volcanism. insights from the Lesser Antilles arc, St. Barthelemy island, French West Indies. Tectonics, 37(9), 2777-2797.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Lehu, R., Lallemand, S., Ratzov, G., Babonneau, N., Hsu, S. K., Lin, A. T., & Dezileau, L., 2016. An attempt to reconstruct 2700 years of seismicity using deep-sea turbidites offshore eastern Taiwan. Tectonophysics, 692, 309-324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.04.030

Leticee, J. L., Cornee, J. J., Münch, P., Fietzke, J., Philippon, M., Lebrun, J. F., De Min, L., & Randrianasolo, A., 2019. Decreasing uplift rates and Pleistocene marine terraces settlement in the central lesser Antilles fore-arc (La Désirade Island, 16° N). Quaternary International, 508, 43-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2018.10.030

Lewis, K. B. (1980). Quaternary sedimentation on the Hikurangi oblique-subduction and transform margin, New Zealand. *Sedimentation in oblique-slip mobile zones*, 171-189. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444303735.ch10

Liu, P.L.F., Woo, S.B., Cho, Y.S., 1998. Computer programs for tsunami propagation and inundation. Ithaca (NY): Cornell University. Technical Report.

Mallet, R., 1853. Catalogue of Recorded Earthquakes from 1606 B.C. to A.D. 1850, Part I,1606 B.C. to 1755 A.D. Report of the 22nd Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, held in Belfast, Sept. 1852, John Murray, London, 177 pp.

Mallet R. (1854). Catalogue of Recorded Earthquakes from 1606 B.C. to A.D. 1850, Part II, 1755 A.D. to 1784 A.D., Report of the 23Td meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, held in Hull, Sept. 1853, John Murray, London, 118-212.

Mallet R. (1855). Catalogue of Recorded Earthquakes from 1606 B.C. to A.D. 1850, Part III, 1784 A.D. to 1842 A.D., Report of the 24" Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, John Murray, London, 326 pp.

Martins, I., Vitorino, J., & Almeida, S. (2010, May). The Nazare Canyon observatory (W Portugal) real-time monitoring of a large submarine canyon. In *OCEANS'10 IEEE SYDNEY* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

Martínez-Loriente, S., Sallarès, V., & Gràcia, E., 2021. The Horseshoe Abyssal plain Thrust could be the source of the 1755 Lisbon earthquake and tsunami. *Communications earth & environment*, *2*(1), 145. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00216-5

Massin, F., Clouard, V., Vorobieva, I., Beauducel, F., Saurel, J. M., Satriano, C., Bouin, M. P., & Bertil, D. (2021). Automatic picking and probabilistic location for earthquake assessment in the lesser antilles subduction zone (1972-2012). In Comptes Rendus - Geoscience (Vol. 353, Issue S1). Academie des sciences. https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.81. https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.81

McCalpin, J.P. 1996. (Ed.), Paleoseismology, Academic Press, London, p. 583

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Münch, P., Lebrun, J. F., Cornée, J. J., Thinon, I., Guennoc, P., Marcaillou, B. J., Begot, J., Bertrand, G., Bes De Berc, S., Biscarrat, K., Claud, C., De Min, L., Fournier, F., Gailler, L., Grandorge, D., Leticee, J.L., Marie, L., Mazabraud, Y., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., Moisette, P., Quilevere, F., Verati, C. & Randrianasolo, A., 2013. Pliocene to Pleistocene carbonate systems of the Guadeloupe archipelago, French Lesser Antilles: a land and sea study (the KaShallow project). Bulletin de la Société géologique de France, 184(1-2), 99-110. https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.184.1-2.99

Münch, P., Cornee, J. J., Lebrun, J. F., Quillevere, F., Verati, C., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M., Demory, B., Smith, F., Jourdan, J.M., Lardeaux, J.M., De Min, L., Leticee, J.L. & Randrianasolo, A., 2014. Pliocene to Pleistocene vertical movements in the forearc of the Lesser Antilles subduction: insights from chronostratigraphy of shallow-water carbonate platforms (Guadeloupe archipelago). Journal of the Geological Society, 171(3), 329-341. https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2013-005

NASA Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM)(2013). Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Global. Distributed by OpenTopography. https://doi.org/10.5069/G9445JDF. Accessed: 2022-12-07.

Nikolkina, I., Zahibo, N., & Pelinovsky, E., 2010. Tsunami in Guadeloupe (Caribbean Sea). The Open Oceanography Journal, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.2174/1874252101004010044

Okada, Y., 1985. Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. Bulletin of the seismological society of America, 75(4), 1135-1154. https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0750041135

O'loughlin, K. F., & Lander, J. F., 2003. Caribbean tsunamis: a 500-year history from 1498-1998 (Vol. 20). Springer Science & Business Media.

Padron, C., Klingelhoefer, F., Marcaillou, B., Lebrun, J. F., Lallemand, S., Garrocq, C., Laigle, M., Roest, W.R., Beslier, M.O., Schenini, L., Graindorge, D., Gay, A., Audemard, F., Munch., P. & GARANTI Cruise Team., 2021. Deep structure of the Grenada Basin from wide-angle seismic, bathymetric and gravity data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(2), e2020JB020472. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020472

Paris, R., Sabatier, P., Biguenet, M., Bougouin, A., André, G., Roger, J., 2021. A tsunami deposit at Anse Meunier, Martinique Island : evidence of the 1755 CE Lisbon tsunami and implication for hazard assessment. Marine Geology, 439, 106561, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2021.106561.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Petersen, F., Kopp, H., Lange, D., Hannemann, K., & Urlaub, M., 2019. Measuring tectonic seafloor deformation and strain-build up with acoustic direct-path ranging. Journal of Geodynamics, 124, 14-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2019.01.002

Philippon, M., & Corti, G., 2016. Obliquity along plate boundaries. Tectonophysics, 693, 171-182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.05.033

Prasetya, G., Beavan, J., Wang, X., Reyners, M., Power, W., Wilson, K., Lukovic, B., 2011. Evaluation of the 15 July 2009 Fjorland, New Zealand tsunami in the source region. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 168, 1973-1987, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-011-0282-6.

Roger, J., Allgeyer, S., Hébert, H., Baptista, M. A., Loevenbruck, A., & Schindelé, F., 2010. The 1755 Lisbon tsunami in Guadeloupe Archipelago: source sensitivity and investigation of resonance effects. The Open Oceanography Journal, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.2174/1874252101004010058

Roger, J., Baptista, M. A., Sahal, A., Accary, F., Allgeyer, S., & Hébert, H., 2011. The transoceanic 1755 Lisbon tsunami in Martinique. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 168(6), 1015-1031. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-010-0216-8

Roger, J., Dudon, B., & Zahibo, N., 2013. Tsunami hazard assessment of Guadeloupe Island (FWI) related to a megathrust rupture on the Lesser Antilles subduction interface. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 13(5), 1169-1183. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-13-1169-2013

Roger, J., Pelletier, B., & Aucan, J. (2019). Update of the tsunami catalogue of New Caledonia using a decision table based on seismic data and marigraphic records. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *19*(7), 1471-1483. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-1471-2019

Roger, J., Pelletier, B., Gusman, A., Power, W., Wang, X., Burbidge, D., & Duphil, M., 2023. Potential tsunami hazard of the southern Vanuatu Subduction Zone: tectonics, case study of the Matthew Island tsunami of 10 February 2021 and implication in regional hazard assessment. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 23(2), 393-414, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-23-393-2023.

Ruiz, M., Galve, A., Monfret, T., Sapin, M., Charvis, P., Laigle, M., Evain, M., Hirn, A., Flueh, E., Gallart, K., Diaz, J., Lebrun, J.F. & Lebrun, J. F., 2013. Seismic activity offshore Martinique and Dominica islands (Central Lesser Antilles subduction zone) from temporary onshore and offshore seismic networks. *Tectonophysics*, *603*, 68-78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.08.006

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Salichon, J., Lemoine, A., Aochi, H., 2009. Validation of teleseismic inversion of the 2004 Mw 6.3 Les Saintes, Lesser Antilles, earthquake by 3D finite-difference forward modelling. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 99, 3390–3401. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120080315

Satake, K., & Tanioka, Y., 1999. Sources of tsunami and tsunamigenic earthquakes in subduction zones. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 154(3), 467-483. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120120306

Seibert, C., Feuillet, N., Ratzov, G., Beck, C., & Cattaneo, A., 2020. Seafloor morphology and sediment transfer in the mixed carbonate-siliciclastic environment of the Lesser Antilles forearc along Barbuda to St. Lucia. Marine Geology, 428, 106242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2020.106242

Symithe, S. J., Calais, E., Haase, J. S., Freed, A. M., & Douilly, R., 2013. Coseismic slip distribution of the 2010 M 7.0 Haiti earthquake and resulting stress changes on regional faults. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *103*(4), 2326-2343. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120120306

ten Brink, U., Danforth, W., Polloni, C., Andrews, B., Llanes, P., Smith, S., Parker, E., and Uozumi, T. 2004. New seafloor map of the Puerto Rico trench helps assess earthquake and tsunami hazards. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union 85: 349–360. doi:10.1029/2004EO370001.

Terrier, M., Combes P. avec la collaboration de D. Carbon, B. Grellet, O. Sedan (2002) - FAILLES ACTIVES ET EVALUATION DE L'ALEA SISMIQUE : Prise en compte des failles actives dans l'aménagement du territoire aux Antilles (Martinique et Guadeloupe). Partie 1 : Identification des systèmes de failles actives dans l'archipel de la Guadeloupe et l'île de la Martinique. Rapport BRGM/RP-51258-FR. 118 pages. 30 figures. 8 tableaux. 4 annexes

Thingbaijam, K.K.S., Mai, P.M. and Goda, K., 2017. New Empirical Earthquake Source-Scaling LawsNew Empirical Earthquake Source-Scaling Laws. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *107*(5), pp.2225-2246. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120170017

Thinon, I, Bitri, A., 2003. GEOBERYX03 cruise, RV Beryx, Catalogue des campagnes à la mer (flotteoceanographique.fr) ;browsed on-line on Seadatanet webportal (SISM_BGM_FI352003000010, https://cdi.seadatanet.org/);

Thinon I., Bitri A., Guennoc P. & Truffert C. (2004). – Levés sismique et magnétique du plateau occidental de l'île de Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe (Campagne Geoberyx03).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Apports à la compréhension du contexte structural du champ géothermique de Bouillante. – BRGM/RP-53152-FR, 77.

Thinon, I., Guennoc, P., Bitri, A., Truffert C., 2010, Study of the Bouillante Bay (West Basse-Terre Island shelf): contribution of geophysical surveys to the understanding of the structural context of Guadeloupe (French West Indies - Lesser Antilles). Bull. Soc. Geol. Fr., 181, 51-65, http://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.181.1.51

TL/ICMMG (2023). Global Historical Tsunami Database. Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics SB RAS Tsunami Laboratory, Novosibirsk, Russia, http://tsun.sscc.ru/gtdb/default.aspx (last accessed on 1 February 2023).

Tronin, A. A., 2009. Satellite remote sensing in seismology. A review. Remote Sensing, 2(1), 124-150. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs2010124

van Rijsingen, E. M., Calais, E., Jolivet, R., de Chabalier, J. B., Jara, J., Symithe, S., ... & Ryan, G. A., 2021. Inferring interseismic coupling along the lesser antilles arc: A Bayesian approach. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(2), e2020JB020677. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020677

Wallace, T. C., Helmberger, D. V., & Ebel, J. E., 1981. A broadband study of the 13 August 1978 Santa Barbara earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *71*(6), 1701-1718. https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0710061701

Wang, X., 2008. Numerical modelling of surface and internal waves over shallow and intermediate water [PhD thesis]. Ithaca (NY): Cornell University. 245 p.

Wang, X., Power, W.L., 2011. COMCOT: a tsunami generation, propagation and run-up model. Lower Hutt (NZ): GNS Science. 121 p. (GNS Science report; 2011/43).

Wang X, Lukovic B, Power WL, Mueller C., 2017. High-resolution inundation modelling with explicit buildings. Lower Hutt (NZ): GNS Science. 27 p. (GNS Science report 2017/13). https://doi.org/10.21420/G2RW2N.

Wells, D. L., & Coppersmith, K. J., 1994. New empirical relationships among magnitude, rupture length, rupture width, rupture area, and surface displacement. Bulletin of the seismological Society of America, 84(4), 974-1002. https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0840040974

Wessel, P., Luis, J.F., Uieda, L., Scharroo, R., Wobbe, F., Smith, W.H.F., Tian, D., 2019. The Generic Mapping Tools Version 6. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(11), 5556-5564, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008515.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Yamazaki, Y., Cheung, K. F., & Lay, T., 2013. Modeling of the 2011 Tohoku near-field tsunami from finite-fault inversion of seismic waves. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 103(2B), 1444-1455. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120120103

Zahibo, N., Pelinovsky, E., Kurkin, A., & Kozelkov, A., 2003. Estimation of far-field tsunami potential for the Caribbean Coast based on numerical simulation. Science of Tsunami Hazards, 21(4), 202-222.

Zahibo, N., Pelinovsky, E., Okal, E., Yalçiner, A., Kharif, C., Talipova, T., & Kozelkov, A., 2005. The earthquake and tsunami of November 21, 2004 at Les Saintes, Guadeloupe, Lesser Antilles. Science of Tsunami Hazards, 23(1), 25-39.

Zhang, L., Baba, K., Liang, P., Shimizu, H., & Utada, H., 2014. The 2011 Tohoku Tsunami observed by an array of ocean bottom electromagnetometers. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(14), 4937-4944. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060850

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions

FIGURES



Figure 1: A: Synthetic tectonic map of the Lesser Antilles forearc. Structures after Feuillet et al. (2002), De Min (2014), Laurencin et al. (2019), Legendre (2018), Boucard et al. (2021). Red and green thick lines indicate location of the Wide Angle Seismic lines from B. Kopp et al. (2011) and C. Padron et al. (2021) respectively. White Star: location of tsunamigenic earthquakes. Thick white contour lines: Slab depth isocontour from Bie et al. (2020).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024



Figure 2. The Marie-Galante Basin A) structural pattern after Feuillet et al. (2002) and De Min et al. (2015) on the shaded-relief bathymetric map. blue hexagons : tide gauges http://refmar.shom.fr/fr/liste-maregraphes-data.shom.fr. Red colors scale: Guadeloupe population density per km2 after GEOFLA (https://www.data.gouv.fr/fr/datasets/geofla-r/). B) Sismostratigraphic scheme of the Marie-Galante basin modified after Cornée et al., 2023. C) Colored dots: Crustal seismicity (from IRIS seismic database 2023) for magnitude earthquakes (EQ) 3>Mw>6.5 and located from 0 to 30 km depth), red line locates the WAS line (Kopp et al., 2011). D) Focal mechanisms solutions are indicated by red beachballs after Gonzalez et al. (2017). The location of historical earthquakes is indicated by black stars (after: Feuillet et al., 2011b). AP, PdC, PAP, PT stand for Anse Piton, Pointe des Chateaux, Pointe-à-Pitre and Petite Terre.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024



Figure 3. A) High resolution (25m grid spacing) bathymetric map [UMO16] *of the Marie-Galante Basin, offshore Guadeloupe and location of the seismic profiles shown on figures 4 and 5, and location of dredge samples used for the seismic units age calibration (Münch et al. 2013). B) Structural interpretation of the E-W trending Morne Piton Fault system. C) A proxy for cumulative strain given by the graphic displaying the D (fault surface displacement) taken as the difference between the top and the toe of the fault scarp versus L (fault length) along the whole system.*

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 4: Seismic lines West of Marie-Galante (location on Figure 3) showing the correlation across the Morne Piton Fault system of the 1.29Ma unit reflector (Green) that correspond to the reflector dredged at BC1 and BC2 location along the Ber03_30-31 seismic line. The 2.95Ma Unit Boundary (Red) is correlated from seismic lines south of the Colombie Bank and Eastern Marie-Galante Basin (line K09_90 and K09_26-62 location on figure 2). Notice that the basin sedimentary slope is in the E-W direction (parallel to line K08-14). Therefore, the initial topography of the reflectors in the N-S direction, across the fault system, can be neglected when measuring the offset along the seismic lines.



Figure 5: Seismic lines east of Marie-Galante illustrate the correlation across the Morne Piton Fault system of the 7 Ma (Tortonian/Messinian) MG-SB3 sequence boundary (Purple) and the 16 Ma, (Burdigalian) MG-SB2 Sequence Boundary (Orange). Seismic line location on Figure 3).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024



Figure 6: ROV photographs of fault identified on the seafloor along the BER03-30-31 seismic line across the F3' Morne Piton Fault segment (location on Figure 3*) . (A) photography of the hangingwall of F3'. The eroded F3' fault plane presents a progressive downward slope steepening (B and C) until the toe of the fault, which is marked by a characteristic co-seismic scarp with a dip slip striae (D E F). On each photograph, white numbers starting with a P is the water depth in meters Latitude is North and Longitude is West (WGS84). A B and C views show several tens square meters wide areas, D E F are close up showing ca 1m high escarpment just above the foot of the fault scarp (visible at the bottom of each photos).*

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024



Figure 7: Initial surface elevation for a maximum credible scenario built with the 11 fault segments detailed in Table 3. Blue dots indicate the top fault center. Acronyms stand for Grande-Terre (GT), Basse-Terre (BT), Les Saintes (LS) and Marie-Galante (MG).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024



Figure 8: Snapshots of tsunami elevation within the Guadeloupe Archipelago at 1, 4, 8, 6, 12 and 16 minutes of waves propagation. Red and blue colors correspond to wave crests and troughs respectively. The black arrow shows the Banc Colombie shoal. BT: Basse-Terre; GT: Grande-Terre; S: Les Saintes; PT : Petite-Terre ; MG : Marie-Galante.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions



Figure 9: Shadowed bathymetric map with tsunami maximum wave elevation. Numbered white dots: fourteen virtual sea-level gauges (VG) : . BT: Basse-Terre; GT: Grande-Terre; S: Les Saintes; PT : Petite-Terre ; MG : Marie-Galante ; D : Dominica. VG_4 & VG_11 are located near the fault rupture region, the VG_5 & VG_6 are near the Les Saintes Fault system. VG_1, VG_2 & VG_3 are within the Les Saintes archipelago.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024



Figure 10: Post-processing of virtual gauge records. Top: sea-level records at 7 different locations (VG 1 to 6 and VG11 – location on figure 9); bottom: single-sided Fourier amplitude spectrum. The blue arrows symbolize the location of the 2 peaks of period ~6.5 and 17 min.



Figure 11: Fault offset along the Morne Piton Fault against the age of the strain marker. Red: data from Feuillet et al. (2004) based on absolute age of terrace T4 (MIS5e), the estimated age of terrace T2 (MIS7e) and the suggested age of Marie-Galante upper plateau (MIS9e). Green: strain range calculated using upper plateau unit age from Münch et al. (note that erosion may lower this estimation strain rate). Blue: strain range calculated from the fault offset of the seismic unit dated ca 1,2Ma along the seismic line K08-59 (green reflector on Figure 4). Dotted line indicates the 0.32 $mm.yr^{-1}$ *strain rate from the estimated offset of the MIS5e Terrace in Marie-Galante (Feuillet et al. 2004).*

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-222
Preprint. Discussion started: 22 January 2024

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions

Cruise	KaShallow 1	KaShallow 2	Aguadomar	SismAntilles	GEOBERYX03 SISM BGM FI35 20030000010
Seismic source	1000 J sparker	35-45 in3 GI Airguns array	45-105 in3 Two GI Airguns	4400 in3 Airguns array.	Sparker (SIG1000)
Peak frequency (far field)	250-400Hz	40-70Hz	30-50Hz	15-20Hz	100-1400Hz
Number of traces	6 traces	72 traces	6 traces	360 traces	6 traces
Fold coverage	3/6 fold	9/18 fold	3 fold	30 fold	3/6 fold
Inter CDP distance	4 m	3.125 m	4 m	6,25 m	4 m

Table 1: Main acquisition parameters of the seismic data shown in this study (Figures 4 and 5).

							V en m/s									
							2500	2500	2000	2000	2500	2000				
			horizon depth in the footwall (stwt)		horizon depth in the hangingwall (stwt)		horizon depth in the footwall (m)	horizon depth in the hangingwall (m)	horizon depth in the footwall (m)	horizon depth in the hangingwall (m)	TOTAL OFFSET (m)	TOTAL OFFSET (m)	vertical slip rate (mm/yr)			
Profiles	Age	error (Ma)	water	rock	water	rock							min	max	Mean	uncertainty
K09-44-45	16	1	3.16	1.74	3.17	2.45	4545	5440	4110	4828	895	717,5	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.01
Since fault initiation	7	1.5	3.16	1.74	3.17	2.45	4545	5440	4110	4828	895	717,5	0.08	0.16	0.12	0.06
K09-09-08	16	1	0.6	0.71	1.27	0.85	1330	2013,8	1153,3	1802	683,8	648,3	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.01
Since fault initiation	7	1.5	0.6	0.71	1.27	0.85	1330	2013.8	1153.3	1802	683.8	648.3	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.03
Agua 97	16	1	0.56	0.15	1.36	0.57	607.5	1732.5	570	1590	1125	1020	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.01
Since fault initiation	7	1.5	0.56	0.15	1.36	0.57	607.5	1732.5	570	1590	1125	1020	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.06
K08-059	3	0.05	0.23	0.09	0.59	0. 37	285	905	262.5	812.5	620	550	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.02
K08-059	1.3	0.26	0.22	0.02	0.5	0.11	190	512.5	185	485	322.5	300	0.19	0.31	0.25	0.08
ber 03-31	1.3	0.26	0.21	0.04	0.53	0.1	207.5	522.5	197.5	497.5	315	300	0.19	0.31	0.25	0.08
K08-24	1.3	0.26	0.25	0.04	0.6	0.03	237.5	487.5	227.5	480	250	252.5	0.16	0.24	0.20	0.06
K09-090	1.3	0.26	0.33	0.02	0.49	0.13	272.5	530	267.5	497.5	257.5	230	0.15	0.25	0.20	0.07
K09-096	1.3	0.26	0.32	0.12	0.44	0.14	390	505	360	470	115	110	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.03

Table 2: Measured offset of seismic reflectors across the Morne Piton Fault system and calculated total vertical strain rates. See text for the ages estimate. Seismic reflectors depth on each side of the fault system is measured in time (stwt – second two way time) and converted in depth in using water velocity (1500m/s) and two end-member velocities for the sediment (see text for explanation), providing a minimum and a maximum offset value. The minimum strain rate is obtained from the ratio between the min offset and the max age bound of the reflector and vice versa.

Fault segment	Lon (°)	Lat (°)	Length (m)	Width (m)	Top of the fault plane depth (m)	Strike (°)	Dip (°)	Rake (°)	Slip (m)
F1	-61.5335	15.98987	2838	2500	500	111.8173	75	-90	1.89
F2	-61.4708	15.994	9070	2500	500	78.6779	75	-90	1.89
F3'	-61.4428	16.01503	3918	2500	500	95.7894	75	-90	1.89
F3	-61.4108	15.99009	3474	2500	500	88.6124	75	-90	1.89
F4	-61.377	15.99367	2735	2500	500	84.8173	75	-90	1.89
F5	-61.3287	15.99021	6623	2500	500	97.5553	75	-90	1.89
F6	-61.2846	15.97275	4813	2500	500	128.5318	75	-90	1.89
F7	-61.2301	15.95767	7904	2500	500	92.4558	75	-90	1.89
F8	-61.1477	15.94221	4052	2500	500	92.8432	75	-90	1.89
F9	-61.1042	15.94958	5495	2500	500	70.5514	75	-90	1.89
F10	-61.111	15.93014	5336	2500	500	78.3772	75	-90	1.89
F11	-61.0777	15.94126	2228	2500	500	52.205	75	-90	1.89

Table 3: Parameters used for the tsunami source simulation of a rupture along the multisegment fault presented in figure 7.