High trophic specialization structures the epi-to bathypelagic fish community in the Bay of Biscay

Liz Loutrage, Anik Brind'amour, Tiphaine Chouvelon, Jérôme Spitz

PII: S0967-0637(24)00117-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2024.104347

Reference: DSRI 104347

To appear in: Deep-Sea Research Part I

Received Date: 22 March 2024

Revised Date: 8 June 2024

Accepted Date: 22 June 2024

Please cite this article as: Loutrage, L., Brind'amour, A., Chouvelon, T., Spitz, J., High trophic specialization structures the epi-to bathypelagic fish community in the Bay of Biscay, *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2024.104347.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



1 High trophic specialization structures the epi-to

2 bathypelagic fish community in the Bay of Biscay

3 Liz LOUTRAGE ^{a, b, c,} Anik BRIND'AMOUR ^a, Tiphaine CHOUVELON ^{c, d}, Jérôme SPITZ ^{b, c}

4 a DECOD (Ecosystem Dynamics and Sustainability), Ifremer, Institut Agro, INRAE, DECOD, F-44000, Nantes, France

5 ^b Centre d'Études Biologiques de Chizé (CEBC), UMR 7372 La Rochelle Université/CNRS, 79360 Villiers-en-Bois,

- 6 France
- 7 ^c Observatoire Pelagis, UAR 3462 La Rochelle Université/CNRS, 17000 La Rochelle, France
- 8 ^d Ifremer, CCEM Contamination Chimique des Écosystèmes Marins, F-44000 Nantes, France

9 Corresponding author:

- 10 Liz Loutrage
- 11 liz.loutrage@univ-lr.fr
- 12 Observatoire Pelagis,
- 13 UAR 3462 La Rochelle Université/CNRS,
- 14 5 allées de l'océan,
- 15 La Rochelle, France
- 16

17 Abstract:

18 The deep-pelagic ecosystem is characterized by significant environmental gradients, particularly in food resources. The absence of primary production below the epipelagic zone leads to a decrease in food 19 20 resources with depth. Two opposite feeding strategies have been described for this community in 21 response to this decline in food resources: stochasticity, with species adopting opportunistic feeding 22 strategies with a generalist diet, and determinism, with species segregating and specializing to mitigate 23 strong interspecific competition through niche partitioning. To test these aspects, we analyzed the 24 isotopic niches of 16 fish species using stable isotope analysis of carbon and nitrogen carried out on 25 muscle samples. The data were collected in canyons of the Bay of Biscay between 25 and 1335 m. Our primary objective was to identify isotopic niche segregation or overlap and determine whether species 26 sharing similar isotopic niches show depth-based segregation by grouping them into trophic guilds and 27 28 comparing their depth distribution with trawl data. We then used null model comparisons to test 29 whether competition resulted in smaller values of isotopic niche size and overlap within each depth 30 assemblage compared to those obtained by chance. We found that several species with similar isotopic 31 niches were segregated based on depth. The comparison with null models showed that competition drove species to reduce niche size and specialize to avoid strong interspecific competition in the epi- to 32 33 bathypelagic layers. Utilizing isotopic diversity indices weighted by biomass, our calculation showed significant divergence within the community, indicating that species with the highest biomass had 34 35 extreme isotopic values. The high degree of specialization of species raises concerns about their

36 vulnerability to various pressures, including climate change and exploitation. At the community level,

- 37 this vulnerability is also a concern in maintaining the integrity of ecological processes
- 38 Keywords: Mesopelagic, North-East Atlantic, Stable Isotope, Depth segregation, Niche partitioning,
- 39 Competition

40 **1. Introduction**

Significant vertical environmental gradients (e.g., resources gradient) characterize the pelagic 41 ecosystem in oceanic waters (Sutton, 2013). In the epipelagic layer, phytoplankton, through 42 the conversion of solar energy into organic matter via photosynthesis, serves as a key driver of 43 primary production, fostering high productivity. This primary production is rapidly consumed 44 by zooplankton, which can be consumed by vertically migrating mesopelagic fish (Koppelmann 45 46 and Frost, 2008). The remainder of the primary production that is not consumed is transported 47 to deeper depths by a vertical flow of particles on which non-migratory organisms depend (Choy et al., 2015; Gloeckler et al., 2018). Remineralization of these particles by microbial 48 communities leads to a decrease in organic carbon concentration with increasing depth 49 (Buesseler et al., 2007). Near the bottom, suspended particles aggregate, increasing 50 51 productivity and potentially leading to the aggregation of deep-pelagic fish in areas with steep topography (i.e., the continental slope, seamounts, and mid-ocean ridges) (Reid et al., 1991; 52 53 Gartner et al., 2008; Porteiro and Sutton, 2007; Sutton et al., 2008).

54 In response to this decreasing concentration of food resources with depth, it has been proposed that the majority of deep-pelagic organisms should have a generalist diet (Childress 55 and Meek, 1973; Ebeling and Cailliet, 1974; Drazen and Sutton, 2017). Contrary to this initial 56 "eat whatever is available in a food-poor environment" assumption, various studies examining 57 the trophic ecology of mesopelagic to bathypelagic species, especially fish, found a 58 59 considerable degree of niche partitioning among them. These investigations have highlighted how certain species have specialized at an evolutionary scale along different axes of their 60 ecological niche (i.e., time, space, diet), indicating more complex feeding strategies than 61 previously believed (Hopkins and Gartner, 1992; Eduardo et al., 2020, 2021, 2023). These two 62 hypotheses reflect the two main mechanisms driving species co-existence: stochasticity and 63 determinism. From a stochastic point of view, functionally similar species can exist within the 64 same system through ecological equivalence (Chesson, 2000; Hubbell, 2005; Rosindell et al., 65 2011). According to this theory, species display broad trophic niches with little specialization, 66

67 characterized by opportunistic feeding behavior and a high degree of overlap. In contrast, a 68 community governed by deterministic mechanisms exhibits a species composition that has been shaped by ecological processes such as competition or predation rather than relying on 69 randomness (Gause, 1932). Within such communities, the evolutionary forces of predation or 70 competition have driven species to occupy smaller, more distinct trophic niches to reduce 71 interspecific competitive pressure over the long term. Therefore, the observed community 72 73 structure is composed of species with distinct niches and reduced interspecific overlap (Chesson, 2000). 74

75 Understanding the trophic dynamics of deep-pelagic communities across various depths has become imperative, particularly in light of the current challenges posed by global 76 changes and potential future exploitation of mesopelagic resources (Hidalgo and Browman, 77 2019; Levin et al., 2019; Drazen et al., 2020; Walters et al., 2021). Trophic interactions play a 78 79 pivotal role in regulating populations, determining energy pathways, and influencing the resilience of communities to disturbances (Winemiller and Polis, 1996; Chipps and Garvey, 80 2007). The consequences of these perturbations, such as species additions (i.e., species shifting 81 82 their habitat northward) or removals (i.e., extinction or overharvesting) from the food web, will 83 vary depending on the underlying functioning hypothesis (i.e. deterministic vs stochastic 84 mechanisms; Alp and Cucherousset, 2022). Although specialist species are individually more 85 vulnerable to change and disturbance, collectively, communities composed of diverse specialist 86 species with strong resource partitioning could increase stability and resilience at the ecosystem level (Clavel et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the loss of specialist species may alter the 87 integrity of ecosystem functioning with the loss of unique functions. The optimal resource use 88 89 through species complementarity results in higher community productivity, stability, and resilience (Hooper et al., 2005). All this information is made all the more important by the key 90 ecological and functional roles deep-pelagic fishes play within ecosystems. Daily feeding 91 migration activities of most of these fishes in the epipelagic layer impact the oceanic carbon 92 cycle, enabling energy and matter transfer between the deep ocean layers (Drazen and Sutton, 93 2017). The trophic relationships between pelagic and demersal fish in slope regions redirect 94 95 detrital particle flow and facilitate carbon transfer for long-term storage at depth (Trueman et 96 al., 2014).

97 Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis (SIA) is a valuable technique for monitoring
98 the trophic functioning of the pelagic food web. It provides integrated information over time

3

99 regarding the matter assimilated by organisms. The resulting metrics offer insights into the 100 dynamics of carbon and nitrogen flow within these ecosystems (Peterson and Fry, 1987; Vander Zanden and Rasmussen, 2001; Alp and Cucherousset, 2022). Stable isotopes of carbon can be 101 used to infer energy sources as they undergo little fractionation during trophic transfer, 102 103 whereas stable isotopes of nitrogen, which show a higher level of fractionation, rather provide information on the trophic level of organisms (Post, 2002; Fry, 2006). Combining these two 104 105 measures provides access to the isotopic niche of a species in a two-dimensional space (Newsome et al., 2007). Comparison of isotopic niches within a community enables the 106 107 determination of the relative positioning of species within the isotopic space and the degree of potential overlap between them. When comparing species niches, it is crucial to consider each 108 109 species' biomass. Biomass distribution across species within a community is rarely uniform, leading to the development of specific isotopic measures designed to accommodate this 110 variability (Cucherousset and Villéger, 2015). Species with higher biomass are indeed 111 anticipated to exert a more substantial influence on ecosystem functioning, especially within 112 the food web context (Rigolet et al., 2015; Graham et al., 2017). Lastly, in vertically stratified 113 114 environments such as deep-pelagic ecosystems, it becomes crucial to consider the vertical 115 distribution of species. These environments present significant opportunities for species 116 segregation due to their distinct layers and the diverse ecological niches they offer to different 117 species (Gámez and Harris, 2022).

118 The primary objective of this study was to investigate whether, at the community level, the main species (based on biomass) of meso- to bathypelagic fish exhibit segregation along 119 120 two key axes. Firstly, we investigated trophic segregation and overlap among the main fish 121 species of our study site using carbon and nitrogen stable isotope data to define the isotopic niche of these species. This approach allowed us to define distinct subsets within their broader 122 123 trophic niche. Secondly, we examined spatial segregation by investigating vertical habitat use along the water column for each species, using nocturnal trawling data. This analysis provided 124 125 valuable insights into the distribution patterns and habitat preferences of the studied fish species at night. 126

The second objective of this study aimed to understand the mechanisms facilitating the coexistence of deep-pelagic species in diverse habitats. The competitive exclusion principle was examined by assessing whether the distribution of the isotopic niches among different species was influenced by stochastic (non-driven) processes or deterministic processes (competition/predation) at the level of each assemblage. This involved a comparison of
observed values of isotopic niche size and overlap with those derived from a null model.
Additionally, various trophic diversity indices weighted by species biomass were used for a
more comprehensive understanding of trophic functioning and species distribution in isotopic
space.

136

137 **2.** Materials and Methods

138 **2.1.** Sampling

Organisms were collected by epi- to bathypelagic trawling in canyons of the Bay of Biscay 139 continental slope (Northeast Atlantic) during the EVHOE ("Evaluation Halieutique de l'Ouest de 140 *l'Europe"*; https://doi.org/10.18142/8) scientific cruise in autumn 2021. The trawl net was 192 141 m long with a headline of 76 m and a foot rope of 70 m. The average vertical opening was about 142 24 m, and the horizontal opening was 58 m. The mesh size gradually decreased from 8 m 143 (stretched mesh) at the mouth to 20 mm (stretched mesh) at the cod-end. To allow the capture 144 145 of very small specimens, the trawl was also equipped with a 7.5 m long sock with a 12 mm mesh size. Each haul was conducted at a specifically chosen immersion depth, meaning only one 146 depth was sampled at each station. Once the trawl reached the selected depth, it was towed 147 horizontally (i.e., constant immersion depth) for 1 hour at 4 kn. Trawls were conducted at night 148 at seven trawling depths: 25, 370, 555, 715, 1000, 1010, and 1335 m. The station at 1010 m 149 150 was conducted close to the bottom, with an average distance to the bottom of approximately 100 m, while the other stations had a distance to the bottom between 1120 and 3500 m. The 151 152 different depth layers were then defined as follows: the epipelagic zone above 175 m, the upper mesopelagic zone between 175 and 700 m, the lower mesopelagic zone between 700 and 1000 153 m, the bathypelagic zone below 1000 m, and finally, the near-bottom zone corresponding to 154 the station made 100 m from the bottom. This division corresponds to what is classically 155 defined for oceanic ecosystems (Sutton, 2013) and is particularly well suited to the canyons of 156 the Bay of Biscay at night (Loutrage et al., 2023). 157

158 **2.2.** Stable Isotopes Analysis

To investigate the isotopic niches of the main species in the community, stable isotope analyses of nitrogen (δ^{15} N values) and carbon (δ^{13} C values) were carried out on the muscles of 16

161 different fish species (n = 605 samples). Muscle samples were prepared on board and cleaned 162 with distilled water. Muscle samples from the smallest fish species were pooled (between 2 and 4 individuals per sample) to have sufficient material for stable isotope analysis. Individuals 163 constituting a pool were of equivalent size and sampled at the same station. In the laboratory, 164 muscle samples were freeze-dried for 72 hours. To reduce the samples into a fine powder, 165 samples containing a single individual were manually homogenized, while samples containing 166 a pool of individuals were homogenized using a ball mill (MM400 Retsch®). A fraction of this 167 powder (0.50 ± 0.05 mg dry mass) was then weighed in tin cups. Analyses were conducted using 168 169 an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta V Advantage with Conflo IV interface, Thermo Scientific) coupled to an elemental analyzer (Flash EA, 2000; Thermo Scientific). Results are 170 presented in the usual δ notation relating to deviation from international standards (Vienna 171 Pee Dee Belemnite for δ^{13} C values, atmospheric nitrogen for δ^{15} N values) in parts per thousand 172 (‰). Based on repeated measurements of USGS-61 and USGS-62 samples used as internal 173 laboratory standards, the experimental analytical precision was < 0.15‰ for δ^{15} N and < 0.10‰ 174 for δ^{13} C). This first set of analyses allowed the C:N ratio of each individual to be determined, 175 176 providing information on the lipid content of the muscle samples (Post et al., 2007; Hoffman et al., 2015). As lipids are highly depleted in ¹³C, variations in δ^{13} C values can occur between 177 178 species with very different lipid contents while their food may be similar, biasing the interpretation of δ^{13} C values. To avoid this bias, three different strategies were used depending 179 on the bulk (untreated) C:N ratio of each individual: 180

- 181 i) δ^{13} C values of individuals with a low lipid content (i.e., untreated C:N ratio <3.5) were 182 used without any correction (either treated or corrected mathematically for their lipid 183 content);
- 184 ii) individuals with an untreated C:N ratio between 3.5 and 5 had their δ^{13} C values 185 mathematically standardized using the equation for aquatic animals (Post et al., 2007):

$$\delta^{13}$$
C corrected= δ^{13} C untreated sample – 3.32 + 0.99 x C:N untreated sample;

iii) individuals with a high lipid content (i.e. untreated C:N > 5) were treated to remove
 lipids. To remove lipids, between 20 and 40 mg of ground samples were placed in glass
 tubes, and 2 ml of cyclohexane was added. The tubes were placed in an ultrasonic bath
 for 1 minute and then in a rotary shaker for 1 hour. All tubes were centrifuged at 2500g
 (Relative Centrifugal Force) for 10 minutes, and the lipids supernatant was carefully

192 removed. All these steps were performed twice. The pellet from each tube was then 193 rinsed with 1 ml of cyclohexane and returned to the centrifuge (same settings as the 194 first time), and the supernatant was removed before the samples were dried in a drying 195 bath for at least 2 hours. The lipid-free powder was then placed in tin cups and 196 subjected to the same procedure described above for the first set of measurements 197 (before lipid removal). The δ^{15} N values used in the analyses are all those obtained on 198 untreated samples (before lipid extraction, if any).

199 **2.3.** Isotopic niches

Species isotopic niches were measured using standard ellipses with a threshold of 0.40, 200 meaning that each ellipse contains approximately 40% of the individuals of the species (Jackson 201 et al., 2011). As the aim was to capture differences at the interspecific level, calculating the 202 niche at 40% (i.e. each ellipse included 40% of the individuals in the population) allowed a 203 balance between describing the central tendency of the species' isotope data distribution and 204 205 mitigating the effect of extreme values that may distort the shape of the ellipse (Batschelet, 206 1981; Jackson et al., 2011). As this threshold is a standard approach in trophic ecology studies, it also contributes to the consistency across studies. The measurement of isotopic niches 207 overlaps among species at 40% was also performed. Overlap is defined as the size of the 208 overlapping region between niche A and niche B divided by the total niche size of B (and vice 209 versa). All results were presented in an asymmetric matrix where overlap values ranged from 210 1, meaning that the niche of species A at the bottom of the matrix was entirely covered by the 211 niche of species B on the left of the matrix, to 0, meaning that there was no overlap. When the 212 niche of one species was more than 60% overlapped by the niche of another species, the 213 214 overlap was considered significant (Schoener, 1968; Wallace, 1981; Guzzo et al., 2013; Kingsbury et al., 2020). These analyses were performed using the R package rKIN, which had 215 the advantage of comparing the results of niche size and overlap between different methods: 216 Minimum Convex Polygon (MCP), Standard Ellipse Area (SEA) and Kernel Utilization Density 217 (KUD) (Eckrich et al., 2020; Albeke, 2023). In order to identify differences in the central 218 distribution of species at the interspecific level and to limit the weight of extreme data, the 219 isotopic niche of each species was determined using SEA. 220

221 **2.4.** Depth-driven segregation

222 Sampling was carried out exclusively at night, so the same sampling during the day might have

223 resulted in different depth distributions of species. However, most mesopelagic fish species are 224 known to feed preferentially at night, which allowed us to study the trophic segregation among species (through isotope data) concomitantly with their nocturnal depth distribution (through 225 trawling data). The first step in determining whether depth is a dimension that can explain 226 some of the segregation of isotopic niches among species was to group them into trophic 227 guilds. To do this, the previously calculated asymmetric isotopic overlap matrix was used to 228 229 perform the clustering. The optimal number of clusters was determined using the Gap statistic method, with the number of Monte-Carlo samples fixed at 100. The Gap statistic method 230 231 compares the dispersion within a group with its expectation under an appropriate null reference distribution (Tibshirani et al., 2001). The clusters were then determined using the k-232 means algorithm (MacQueen, 1967). This method classifies the object of each cluster by 233 maximizing intra-cluster similarity and minimizing inter-cluster dissimilarity. The clusters were 234 235 visualized with a dendrogram using the R package *dendextend* (Galili, 2015). To test the robustness of the resulting clustering analysis, a sensitivity analysis was performed using 236 different ellipse niche sizes of 40, 60 and 80%. Although the optimal number of clusters 237 238 decreased at 80%, the majority of the cluster composition remained the same (i.e. only two species on the 16 moved to a different cluster). To remain consistent with the previous 239 240 analyses, the 40% threshold has been retained for the analyses (see method section 2.3).

The second step was to compare the depth distribution of species within each trophic guild to determine whether the species with the closest isotopic niches also had the same depth distribution or were separated along the water column. To do this, the distribution of biomass density at depth for each species was plotted using the R package *ggplot2* (Wickham et al., 2016). To approach a more accurate representation of the biomass distribution of species, this analysis incorporates the complete depth distribution for each species.

247

2.5. Trophic structure at each depth

Within each depth layer, we aimed to determine whether the coexistence of species based on their isotopic niche was likely governed by deterministic (i.e., competition and/or predation) or stochastic processes (i.e., due to chance via ecological equivalence). To do this, the application of null models facilitates the comparison of expected outcomes in the absence of ecological processes such as predation and competition. These models provide a baseline for evaluating the significance of observed ecological patterns, helping researchers discern whether observed patterns are the results of underlying ecological processes or by chance. In

255 our study, we used the methodology proposed by Suchomel and Belk (2022) to determine 256 whether random values obtained by resampling the isotopic values of species would result in notable differences in niche sizes or overlap values compared to our observed data. This 257 approach enables us to discern whether stochastic or deterministic processes likely govern the 258 species assemblages. For this, we used a method of resampling isotopic values within the 259 convex hull following the approach developed by Suchomel and Belk, 2022. The latter is 260 261 assumed to represent the spectrum of resources available within a depth layer. A total of 10,000 bivariate random samples were applied with a replacement of n = 10 within the convex 262 263 hull. Two metrics were then calculated within each assemblage using the randomly generated data and the observed data with the rKIN package: the size of the ellipses containing 40% of 264 the individuals and the sum of the overlaps within each assemblage standardized by the 265 number of species. The distribution of the values of these two metrics obtained from the 266 267 random data (i.e., null model) was compared with those obtained from the observed data. If the observed data lie outside the distribution of values obtained from the random data, this 268 means that deterministic processes govern the assemblage. Conversely, if they do not differ 269 270 from the null model, this means that stochastic processes govern the assembly of isotopic 271 niches within the assemblage. While not presented, we assessed the impact of varying the 272 threshold (i.e., the percentage of individuals within the ellipse) to determine if differences 273 emerged in comparing the observed values between the calculation of the two metrics (species 274 isotopic niche size and interspecific overlap) with the values obtained under the null model. 275 Through a sensitivity analysis employing thresholds of 40 60, and 80%, we found that our results 276 remained consistent, and our conclusions regarding the resulting trophic ecology structure of 277 each depth layer remained unchanged (i.e. stochastic or deterministic). The results obtained using a threshold of 40% are thus presented here. 278

The characterization of the trophic structure at each depth layer was performed using 279 biomass-weighted isotopic metrics defined by Cucherousset and Villéger (2015) (Table I). These 280 metrics (i.e., isotopic divergence, dispersion, evenness, and uniqueness) were adapted from 281 metrics initially developed in functional ecology (Villéger et al., 2008). They complement the 282 283 previously described isotope metrics (niche size and overlap) and offer the advantage of being 284 mathematically independent of the number of individuals while accounting for species biomass (Layman et al., 2007; Cucherousset and Villéger, 2015). Before calculating the isotopic metrics, 285 each axis (i.e., $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$) was scaled between 0 and 1 to avoid misinterpretation, as the 286

- range of values can vary considerably between isotopes (Fry, 2006; Cucherousset and Villéger,
- 288 2015).

Table 1. The isotopic diversity indices used, their definition, and the associated ecological interpretation employed
 in this study. Low value= tends to 0; high value= tends to 1.

Indices	abbreviation	Definition	Ecological interpretation		
	lDiv		Low value: Biomass dominant species are		
			generalists.		
Isotopic Divergence		Measures species distribution in	High value: Biomass dominant species are		
		the convex hull area	trophically specialized. Indicates a high		
			degree of niche differentiation within the		
			assemblage.		
lsotopic Dispersion	IDis	Measures the distance between the species with the highest biomass and the centroid	Low value: biomass dominant species have		
			similar isotopic values, resulting in low		
			trophic diversity.		
			High value: biomass dominant species are		
			far from the center of gravity of all		
			species, resulting in high trophic diversity.		
	IEve	0	Low value: biomass dominant species are		
lsotopic Evenness		Quantifies the regularity of the	isotopically similar, implying trophic		
			redundancy and food competition.		
		along the shortest tree linking all	High value: biomass dominant species are		
		the species	evenly distributed in the isotopic space,		
			implying optimal resource use through		
			niche partitioning.		
lsotopic Uniqueness	lUni		Low value: biomass dominant species are		
			packed in the isotopic space, implying high		
		Measures the average proximity of species in isotopic space	trophic redundancy.		
			High value: biomass dominant species are		
			isolated in the isotopic space, implying low		
			trophic redundancy		

All the metrics were calculated using the R script *si_div* provided by Cucherousset and Villéger (2015). In each depth layer, not all species underwent isotopic sampling. Nevertheless, within each depth layer, the sampled species accounted for at least 60% of the total biomass, and in three out of five layers, this percentage exceeded 70%. The unsampled species, species characterized by minimal biomass and, in some cases, represented by only a few individuals, suggest that our focus has been on species with potential functional importance. The R environment, version 4.3.2, was used for all statistical analyses (R Core Team, 2023).

- 299 **3. Results**
- 300 **3.1.** Isotopic niches

The meso- to bathypelagic fish community showed a significant gradient of $\delta^{15}N$ values of 301 nearly 6‰ for the extreme individuals' values (Figure 1). The two Platytroctidae species, Searsia 302 *koefoedi*, and *Maulisia argipalla* showed the highest mean δ^{15} N values (i.e. 11.85 ± 0.64 and 303 12.01 ± 0.38‰ respectively) while the Serrivomeridae, Serrivomer beanii, showed the lowest 304 mean δ^{15} N value (i.e. 9.47 ± 0.55‰; Table I). δ^{13} C values showed less variability at the 305 community level, with a variation equal to 2.36‰ between the lowest individual value 306 measured and the highest one. The most ¹³C-depleted species were *Maurolicus muelleri* and 307 *Xenodermichthys copei* (mean δ^{13} C values = -20.55 ± 0.11 and -20.26 ± 0.29‰) and the most 308 enriched *Benthosema glaciale* and *Maulisia argipalla* (i.e. mean δ^{13} C values = -19.46 ± 0.30 and 309

310 $-19.46 \pm 0.19\%$).





- 313 Maurolicus muelleri had the lowest standard ellipse area (0.15‰²; Table II) whereas Searsia
- koefoedi had the highest (0.75‰²).

315 **Table 2.** Number of samples for stable isotope analysis (N), number of individuals pooled for the species, mean 316 \pm standard deviation of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values for each species and its niche area (SEA).

Taxon	order	Family	Species (initial)	Standard length (cm)	N samples (n individua Is by sample)	δ ¹³ C (‰)	δ ¹⁵ N (‰)	lsotopi c niche area, SEA (‰²)
Fish	Anguilliformes	Serrivomeridae	Serrivomer beanii (Sb)	54.4 ± 10.6	26 (1)	-19.99 ± 0.26	9.47 ± 0.55	0.38
	Osmeriformes	Alepocephalidae	Xenodermichthys copei (Xc)	11.8 ± 2.2	97 (1)	-20.32 ± 0.24	9.80 ± 0.67	0.60
		Platytroctidae	Maulisia argipalla (Ma)	9.0 ± 1.5	14 (1)	-19.46 ± 0.19	12.01 ± 0.38	0.23
			Searsia koefoedi (Sk)	11.7 ± 1.6	14 (1)	-19.49 ± 0.41	11.85 ± 0.64	0.75
	Stomiiformes	Gonostomatidae	Cyclothone spp. (C spp.)	5.45 ± 1.3	20 (2)	-19.60 ± 0.18	10.98 ± 0.54	0.31
		Sternoptychidae	Argyropelecus olfersii (Ao)	5.6 ± 1.4	41 (1)	-19.75 ± 0.22	10.13 ± 0.53	0.37
			Maurolicus muelleri (Mm)	3.0 ± 1.1	20 (4)	-20.55 ± 0.11	9.87 ± 0.52	0.15
	Aulopiformes	Lestidiidae	Lestidipos sphyrenoides (Ls)	13.4 ± 0.9	12 (1)	-20.02 ± 0.22	10.72 ± 0.35	0.15
		Paralepididae	Arctozenus risso (Ar)	17.0 ± 2.8	43 (1)	-20.01 ± 0.23	10.52 ± 0.35	0.26
	Myctophiformes	Myctophidae	Benthosema glaciale (Bg)	4.3 ± 0.5	20 (3)	-19.46 ± 0.30	9.91 ± 0.64	0.53
			Lampanyctus crocodilus (Lc)	10.9 ± 2.0	120 (1)	-19.56 ± 0.43	10.42 ± 0.67	0.72
			Lampanyctus macdonaldi (Lm)	13.2 ± 0.9	20 (1)	-19.67 ± 0.43	11.52 ± 0.32	0.44
			Myctophum punctatum (Mp)	6.7 ± 0.6	57 (1)	-19.99 ± 0.39	9.92 ± 0.42	0.53
			Notoscopelus bolini (Nb)	8.0 ± 0.2	20 (1)	-19.83 ± 0.18	11.13 ± 0.31	0.18
		0	Notoscopelus kroyeri (Nk)	7.9 ± 1.5	20 (1)	-19.73 ± 0.27	11.17 ± 0.25	0.20
	Perciformes	Zoarcidae	Melanostigma atlanticum (Ma)	10.0 ± 0.7	20 (1)	-19.70 ± 0. 20	11.28 ± 0.46	0.28

317 Despite the strong gradient in δ^{15} N values, considerable overlap between species remains 318 (Figure 2). For example, high overlaps were found between *Argyropelecus olfersii* and the 319 Myctophidae species *Lampanyctus crocodilus* (overlap value = 0.75). High overlaps were also 320 identified between phylogenetically closely related species. The isotopic niche of *Maulisa* 321 *argipalla* exhibited complete coverage by the isotopic niche of the other Platytroctidae species 322 *Searsia koefoedi*. Similar substantial overlaps, with values exceeding 0.65, were observed

323 between the two species of the genus *Notoscopelus, bolini* and *kroyeri*.



Figure 2. Asymmetric matrix of isotopic niche overlap between the different species sampled at all sampling depths combined. The isotopic niche was estimated using a 40% ellipse. The overlap values lie between 1 (i.e. the niche of species 1 at the bottom of the matrix is completely covered by the niche of species 2 on the left of the matrix) and 0 (i.e., the niches of the two species are completely separate).

324 3.2. Depth-driven segregation

Five trophic guilds were defined based on the isotopic niche overlap matrix (Figure 3A). Within each cluster, the species with the greatest overlap were grouped. Each group comprised species from different taxonomic families. The first trophic guild (light green), composed of three species, presented more ¹³C-enriched values. The second and third (yellow and dark green) trophic guilds comprised species exhibiting the highest δ^{15} N values. The fourth guild (light blue) contained two species, the two barracudina species, *Arctozenus risso*, and *Lestidiops sphyrenoides*. The last trophic guild included four species, which had the most ¹³C-depleted 332 isotopic niches and relatively low δ^{15} N values.

In each trophic guild, not all species had the same nocturnal depth distribution, which illustrates another segregation pathway (Figure 3B). For example, the two barracudina species, *Arctozenus risso* and *Lestidipos sphyrenoides*, were grouped in the same cluster based on their



Figure 3. A: Clusters of fish isotopic niche overlap obtained using the Gap statistic method. B: Vertical nocturnal distribution of the 16 species sampled in terms of biomass with the total trawling data realized in 2021. Colors represent the cluster defined by the gap statistic method. Vertical lines denote the median of the respective distribution of each species.

isotopic values but had different nocturnal depth distributions, with *L. sphyrenoides* having its nocturnal median depth of occurrence at 25 m and *A. risso* at 555 m. The same type of segregation occurred between *Maurolicus muelleri* (median depth = 25 m) and *Xenodermichthys copei* (median depth = 555 m). Within other trophic guilds, a noticeable



Figure 4. Comparison of the isotopic niche area of each species in the different depth layers. Density plots represented the distributions of the estimated isotopic niche area based on the resampling of isotopic values (i.e. null model). The actual niche of each species is represented by the dotted vertical line and the initials correspond to the name of each species (the genus name in capitals and the species name in lower case, see Table 2 for details) in ascending order of niche size.

Isotopic niche overlaps estimated at each depth layer showed significantly lower observed values than those predicted by the null model (Figure 5). The differences between the mean values of the null model and the observed values varied between depth layers, with the highest difference for the lower mesopelagic layer (difference = 4.49) and the smallest difference for the epipelagic layer and the near-bottom layer (differences of 2.34 and 1.92 respectively).

rnal Pre-proot

overlap in the depth distributions of species was observed, as exemplified by the strong overlap
between the two species of Platytroctidae, *Maulisia argipalla*, and *Searsia koefoedi*. A
representation of the isotopic niches of each pooled trophic guild is presented in Appendix A.

343 **3.3.** Trophic structure at each depth

The trophic niche sizes observed in deep-pelagic species differed from the null model for almost all species across various depths. The null model effectively predicted the mean isotopic niche size for species in the near-bottom assemblage only. In the other depth layers, all species showed values below those predicted by the null model (Figure 4).

15



Figure 5. Comparison of cumulative isotopic niche overlap standardized by the number of species in each depth
 layer. The distributions represent the cumulative overlap of isotopic niches based on the resampling of isotopic
 values (i.e., null model). The dashed lines represent the cumulative isotopic niche overlap observed in each depth
 layer.

358 Four depth layers presented high divergence values (i.e., IDiv ≥ 0.881; Figure 6 and Table 3). This means that within most assemblages, the species with extreme isotopic values 359 dominate the food web regarding biomass. In terms of isotopic dispersion (IDis), the two 360 shallowest layers (i.e., epipelagic and upper-mesopelagic layers, Table 3) had the highest 361 dispersion values (IDis = 0.898 and 0.827 respectively), suggesting that the species with the 362 highest biomass had contrasting isotopic values in these two layers. In the epipelagic layer, 363 Maurolicus muelleri (38.7% of the biomass) was located at the lower left of the isotopic space 364 (low δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values). In comparison, the two species of the genus *Notoscoeplus* (sum of 365 biomass = 45.9%) were located at the opposite end, at the upper right of the isotopic space 366 (high $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values). A similar structure was found at the upper-mesopelagic layer with 367 Xenodermichthys copei (34% of the biomass) located at the lower left of the isotopic space and 368 Notoscoeplus kroyeri (35% of the biomass) located at the opposite end. The bathypelagic layer 369 also presented a high dispersion value (IDis = 0.798). Still, the species with the highest biomass 370 values were more separated along the δ^{15} N gradient: *Lampanyctus macdonaldi* (18.8% of the 371 biomass) had a mean δ^{15} N value of 11.52 ± 0.32‰, Lampanyctus crocodilus (13.9% of the 372

biomass) 10.42 ± 0.67‰ and *Serrivomer beanii* (15.8% of the biomass) 9.46 ± 0.55‰. The epipelagic layer presented the lowest evenness and uniqueness indices (IEve = 0.449 and IUni = 0.562). Thus, at the surface, resources were less optimally used, and the species with the highest biomass values were more isotopically redundant (high overlap between the two species of *Notoscopelus*). On the contrary, the upper mesopelagic layer had high values of divergence, dispersion and uniqueness (>0.785), implying high trophic diversity. A visualization of the other isotopic diversity indices of each depth assemblage is presented in Appendix B.



380

Figure 6. Stable isotope values of fish species at each depth layer with the convex hull display. Each point represents a species, and the size of the point is weighted by the species relative biomass (in %) in the depth layer. The colors represent the trophic guild to which each species belongs. The greater the distance between two points, the greater the isotopic divergence between these species. The initials correspond to the name of each species (the genus name in capitals and the species name in lower case, see Table 2 for details).

Table 3. Value of each isotopic diversity index in each depth layer.

Depth layer	Isotopic Divergence	Isotopic Dispersion	Isotopic Evenness	Isotopic Uniqueness
Epipelagic	0.953	0.898	0.449	0.562
Upper-mesopelagic	0.881	0.827	0.568	0.785
Lower-mesopelagic	0.678	0.548	0.571	0.468
Bathypelagic	0.936	0.798	0.626	0.724
Bottom proximity	0.980	0.334	0.722	0.971

The comparison of functional diversity index values between depth layers revealed a increase of trophic specialization and niche partitioning along the depth gradient (Figure 7). In particular, the epipelagic layer exhibits the lowest value of the evenness index, while the nearbottom layer exhibits the highest. This indicates that the level of trophic specialization increased with depth. The lower mesopelagic layer showed a distinctive combination of high trophic redundancy and low levels of specialization.



Trophic specialization

Figure 7. Biplot of principal component analysis (PCA). Results of the four functional diversity indices for thedifferent layers.

395 **4. Discussion**

4.1. General

397 Our results showed a broad range of isotopic niches within the meso- and bathypelagic fish 398 community organized along the trophic level ($\delta^{15}N$ values) and depth gradients. Over 399 evolutionary time, competition has shaped the structure of the deep-pelagic fish community, 400 resulting in a reduction in species niche similarity and a segregation of species with similar

401 niches along the depth axis. At each depth layer, this has resulted in a species assemblage with402 strong isotopic niche partitioning and significant species specialization.

Several aspects need to be considered when interpreting our findings. Primarily, stable 403 404 isotope ratios can be influenced by several factors. In the case of deep-pelagic fish, the δ^{15} N 405 values are not solely impacted by dietary variations but also by the degradation of organic particles facilitated by bacteria. This process increases the δ^{15} N values in the muscle tissues of 406 407 fish as the depth increases (Choy et al., 2015; Gloeckler et al., 2018; Richards et al., 2020; 408 Romero-Romero et al., 2019). Consequently, the interpretation of isotopic values in terms of 409 trophic segregation between species may be biased as values are influenced by both diet and 410 feeding depth. The calculation of isotopic diversity indices and comparisons of niche size and overlap values with null models were performed by depth layer, potentially attenuating 411 variations in the baseline across depth. This is particularly important for the study of deep-412 pelagic fish communities, given the extensive depth range (from 25 to 1335 m depth) covered 413 in studies like ours. Variations in the isotopic baseline can also occur on large spatial scales. 414 However, our sampling was carried out in canyons along the continental slope, thus reducing 415 416 near/offshore gradient (i.e., sampling made between 9 and 30 km to the 200 m-isobath). 417 Regarding the north-south gradient in the bay, a previous study had shown that oceanic species (some of which were common to our study) were not significantly affected by this gradient 418 (Chouvelon et al., 2012). Finally, while we made efforts to minimize the size range sampled for 419 each species, it is important to acknowledge that intraspecific variance could still have played 420 a role in influencing the $\delta^{15}N$ values, particularly in species undergoing ontogenetic shift 421 422 (Loutrage et al., 2024). Although not considered in our study, certain taxa such as cephalopods 423 or crustaceans may use similar niches, leading to competition with these fish species. Finally, it 424 is important to remember that stable isotope analysis can be used to interpret differences, but 425 conversely, similar isotopic niches may result from different diets and foraging habitats.

426

4.2. Species use depth dimensions to segregate

The results showed that when species exhibited similar isotopic niches, they predominantly used their depth distribution during the nocturnal period as a mechanism for segregation. For example, the two species *Xenodermichthys copei* and *Maurolicus muelleri* in the first trophic guild, which showed the lowest δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values, had a nocturnal distribution that peaked at different depths (555 and 25 m respectively). Similarly, for the second guild, the isotopic

432 niche of Lestidiops sphyrenoides was 74% covered by the niche of Arctozenus risso, indicating 433 significant potential competition. These species (both belonging to the order Aulopiformes) showed different nocturnal depth distributions, with individuals of the species A. risso 434 appearing to be more widely distributed in the meso- to bathypelagic layers, whereas the L. 435 sphyrenoides population was more restricted to the epipelagic layer at night. This result, 436 indicating depth as a major variable for segregation among deep-pelagic species, has been 437 438 found in other areas as well, notably among Myctophids and Hatchetfishes (Hopkins et al., 1996; Hopkins and Sutton, 1998; Cherel et al., 2010; Eduardo et al., 2020, 2021). 439

440 In addition to indicating trophic level distinctions, the broad range of δ^{15} N values may imply variations in trophic sources and species-feeding strategies. The community presented a 441 large range in δ^{15} N values, reaching nearly 6‰. This result has been observed in previous 442 studies on mesopelagic fish communities (Stowasser et al., 2012; Valls et al., 2014a; Chouvelon 443 et al., 2022; Richards et al., 2023). Individuals located at greater depths have a greater 444 dependence on the food chain based on bacterial degradation of organic particles, leading to 445 enrichment in δ^{15} N measures compared to individuals located shallower (Choy et al., 2015; 446 447 Gloeckler et al., 2018; Romero-Romero et al., 2019; Richards et al., 2020). Migration leads to a 448 decoupling of this relationship, as migratory species are more dependent on surface phytoplankton and are more depleted in ¹⁵N than non-migratory species. This could partly 449 explain the relatively high mean δ^{15} N values of *Cyclothone* spp., a non-migratory species with a 450 deep distribution (i.e., median depth = 715m), in contrast to its small size, since it ranks as the 451 third species with the smallest body size in our study (mean size = 5.5 cm). Similarly, 452 *Melanostigma atlanticum,* another species in the same trophic guild, exhibited mean $\delta^{15}N$ 453 454 values of 11.28 ± 0.46‰. The species is described as non-migratory and its highest biomass was found in the near-bottom layer. Melanostigma genus has already been observed to feed on 455 456 pelagic crustaceans, but due to their poor locomotion, it has been hypothesized that these species may also be scavengers (Gartner Jr et al., 1997). Part of these explanations for relatively 457 high δ^{15} N values of *Cyclothone* spp. and *Melanostigma atlanticum* due to depth are reinforced 458 by the distribution pattern of the three species in the last trophic guild (i.e. Lampanyctus 459 macdonaldi, Searsia koefoedi, and Maulisia argipalla), which are all non-migratory and 460 461 dispersed between the lower mesopelagic and bathypelagic layers (with a median depth between 1000 and 1335 m) and had the highest δ^{15} N values, ranging from 11.52 to 12.01‰. In 462 addition to this increase in δ^{15} N values with increasing immersion depth, the benthopelagic 463

464 habits of certain species may also play a role. As an example, Lampanyctus crocodilus is known 465 to adopt a benthopelagic behavior at the senescent age and to feed then on epibenthic prey at the benthic boundary layer (Stefanescu and Cartes, 1992; Valls et al., 2014b). In our study, this 466 species presented the highest mean δ^{15} N values in the near-bottom layer (10.82 ± 0.48‰). 467 Information on Platytroctidae, even as basic as vertical distribution, is very scarce in the 468 literature. S. koefoedi has been reported to have a diet composed largely of copepods but also 469 470 ostracods, chaetognaths, and polychaetes, which could partly explain its large isotopic niche (Novotny, 2018). Several species in this family have been found to have increasing biomass with 471 decreasing distance from the bottom of the Bay of Biscay (Loutrage et al., 2023). Consequently, 472 the higher $\delta^{15}N$ values of these two species may also be partly explained by benthopelagic 473 habits. 474

475 **4.3.** Trophic functioning along the depth gradient

For each depth assemblage, our study highlighted a fundamental outcome: deterministic 476 processes play a crucial role in shaping the deep-pelagic fish community in the Bay of Biscay. 477 478 More precisely, values of niche size and overlap in epi- to bathypelagic layers were significantly 479 lower than null model values, indicating that competition is likely a driving force behind the trophic structure of the community. This suggests that within each depth layer, each species 480 tends to have a smaller isotopic niche size, primarily due to interspecific competition, compared 481 to scenarios where stochastic processes dominated (i.e., null model). Each niche is also slightly 482 distinct to alleviate competition with the other species present (i.e., niche partitioning) 483 484 (Chesson, 2000). Limiting similarities to avoid strong competition seems to be the primary strategy adopted by species in the deep-pelagic sea (Aparecido et al., 2023; Eduardo et al., 485 486 2021, 2023). Contrary to the first hypothesis on the trophic ecology of deep-sea fishes, "eat whatever is available in a food-poor environment," numerous studies have found multiple axes 487 488 of segregation used by species to avoid strong competition in deep environments (Mauchline, 1986; Hopkins and Gartner, 1992; Hopkins and Sutton, 1998; Eduardo et al., 2020, 2021, 2023). 489 In addition to depth segregation, this result might be the consequence of dietary differences 490 between species occurring at similar depths. In the Southwest Tropical Atlantic, the most 491 species-rich taxonomic family, the Myctophidae, showed different feeding habits when 492 493 occurring at the same depth (Eduardo et al., 2021). This observation seems particularly relevant in our study, exemplified by the three bathypelagic myctophid species that segregated along 494 the $\delta^{15}N$ axis. While Myctophum punctatum was reported to feed on larvaceans and calanoid 495

496 copepods, individuals of Lampanyctus crocodilus living in the deep were reported to feed on euphausiids but also on small fish, confirming the differences in δ^{15} N values found (Bernal et 497 al., 2015). The diet of Lampanyctus macdonaldi has been poorly described, but its high $\delta^{15}N$ 498 values may indicate a diet composed of relatively high trophic-level prey. In the shallower 499 layers, significant isotopic compositions were also observed, for instance, between species 500 exhibiting low δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values (e.g., *Xenodermichthys copei* and *Maurolicus muelleri*) and 501 502 the two species of *Notoscopelus* occupying opposing positions on the isotopic space (i.e., high δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values). The diet of pelagic individuals of *Xenodermichthys copei* and *Maurolicus* 503 504 muelleri has been described to be primarily composed of copepods, while the diet of species of Notoscopelus also includes euphausiids (Bernal et al., 2015; Carmo et al., 2015; 505 506 Podrazhanskaya, 1993).

Besides, the geological age of the deep-pelagic ecosystem and its environmental 507 508 stability are likely two important factors that have permitted the shape of interspecific relationships over time to limit competition (Klompmaker and Finnegan, 2018). The limited 509 food supply in the deep-sea may encourage trophic specialization to optimize resource 510 511 utilization and minimize competition (Schoener, 1974; Abrams, 1983). In line with this last 512 hypothesis, we might have expected the epipelagic layer, which is the most productive, to show 513 a different pattern, with species that do not necessarily need to segregate to limit competition. 514 The opposite was found, with species presenting values of niche and overlap sizes smaller than 515 those of a distribution governed by stochastic processes. A possible explanation for this result 516 could be the greater diversity of resources used by the species in the epipelagic zone. This was 517 reflected by high isotopic diversity in the biomass of the dominant species (highest dispersion 518 indices, IDis = 0.898). Maurolicus muelleri had low $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values, indicating a dependence on phytoplankton sources. In contrast, both *Notoscopelus* species had high $\delta^{15}N$ 519 520 and δ^{13} C values, indicating that they may also feed at greater depth.

In the near-bottom layer, the isotopic niches of the species were closer in size to those of the null model, suggesting that the species from this depth layer have a more generalist feeding behavior. This is further confirmed by the lowest value of isotopic dispersion found in this layer (IDis = 0.334), indicating that the species with the highest biomass (*Lampanyctus crocodilus* = 56% of the relative biomass) is likely generalist. This result may be due to a rise in the availability and variety of food resources in this depth layer (Gartner et al., 2008). The benthic boundary layer creates a two-dimensional concentration of resources, making them

more accessible to species, in contrast to the water column, where resources are scattered in 528 529 three dimensions (Gartner et al., 2008). The presence of pelagic and benthic resources in this layer facilitates a broader diet for species inhabiting this layer. In environments characterized 530 by high resource diversity, species niches tend to expand and diverge, a phenomenon driven 531 by the need to reduce interspecific competition (Costa-Pereira et al., 2019). Although the sum 532 of the observed overlaps within each depth layer was significantly different from the null 533 model, the two layers with potentially more resources (epipelagic and near-bottom layers) 534 showed the smallest differences between the observed data and those of the null model. This 535 result may indicate that productivity also plays a role in shaping, at least in part, the trophic 536 structure of these communities across the depth gradient. 537

Assemblages resulting from a stochastic model are characterized by niches that share 538 similar positions in isotopic space, exhibit a relatively large size compared to the available niche 539 space, and present a high degree of overlap (Suchomel and Belk, 2022). However, the near-540 bottom layer in our study did not fulfil all of these criteria, as the sum of overlaps within this 541 layer was significantly smaller than the null model. This depth layer also presented high 542 543 dispersion and uniqueness indices, suggesting that the dominant species (Lampanyctus 544 crocodilus, constituting 56% of the relative biomass) was isolated in the isotopic space, leading 545 to low trophic redundancy observed within the assemblage. Contrary to viewing niche 546 arrangement in a binary perspective, our findings suggest that the two models may represent 547 the two extremes of a continuum (Gravel et al., 2006).

548

4.4. Implication for fish community stability

The significant level of isotopic specialization within this community, as indicated by high 549 550 divergence indices and low isotopic niche area in comparison to the null model, may have significant implications in the present context of global changes and the future exploitation of 551 mesopelagic resources. The combination of high isotopic diversity and low trophic redundancy 552 across the depth gradient highlights the ecological importance of the meso- and bathypelagic 553 fish community for ecosystem functioning. The deep-pelagic fish communities of the North-554 eastern and Western tropical Atlantic have been demonstrated to exhibit low functional 555 redundancy and high species specialization, which corroborates and extends our results to 556 557 encompass not only trophic-related functions but more broadly the general functions of these species within ecosystems (Tuset et al., 2014; Aparecido et al., 2023). Communities presenting 558 559 these characteristics may have improved ecosystem functions by optimizing their food

560 resources, leading to heightened productivity (Rigolet et al., 2015). This finding is significant for 561 epi- to mesopelagic fish communities, given the species' vertical migration, which facilitates the exchange of matter and energy between ocean depth layers (Sutton, 2013). Aggregation of 562 mesopelagic species near the bottom in slope areas enables long-term carbon storage through 563 the predation of these species by demersal communities (Gartner et al., 2008; Trueman et al., 564 2014). At night, the migration of these species towards the surface also makes them accessible 565 566 to epipelagic top predators such as cetaceans, pinnipeds, birds, and large fish (Pauly et al., 1998; Pusineri et al., 2005, 2007; Connan et al., 2007; Battaglia et al., 2013). The community's 567 568 low trophic redundancy (i.e. high specialization of species) along the depth gradient may suggest a decreased capacity to buffer against disturbances, because specialist species may be 569 more vulnerable to global changes than generalist ones (Clavel et al., 2011). The loss of these 570 specialist species, which cannot be replaced by isotopically or functionally similar species, can 571 572 also lead to the loss of highly specialized forms of resource use, compromising the integrity of ecological processes (Raffaelli, 2006; Rigolet et al., 2015; Leitão et al., 2016; Aparecido et al., 573 2023). However, species and communities will not respond to disturbance in the same way. For 574 575 this reason, it has been hypothesized that a community composed of many specialized species, 576 which therefore has a high degree of possible response complementarity directly related to 577 niche partitioning, should show greater resistance and resilience than a community composed mainly of generalists (Clavel et al., 2011). Our ability to accurately predict the responses of 578 579 various community types to a new disturbance remains somehow limited.

580 In conclusion, competition appears to be the main force shaping the trophic structure 581 of the epi- to bathypelagic fish community in the Bay of Biscay. As a result, species have adapted 582 over time to reduce competition by occupying slightly different niches, resulting in a highly segregated fish community today. The environmental stability of deep-pelagic ecosystems and 583 584 the dependence on primary production subsidies from the upper layers are probably at the origin of the taxonomic, morphological, and trophic diversity observed in these ecosystems. 585 The functioning of these ecosystems is currently threatened by human activities (Levin et al., 586 2019). Characterizing the trophic structure and its control mechanisms at the community level 587 588 is a crucial first step. Anticipating the potential modifications in trophic structure due to human 589 activities requires the integration of other taxa, such as cephalopods and decapod crustaceans. 590 This comprehensive approach is essential for assessing the resilience of the deep-pelagic ocean

- 591 under different functioning hypotheses, such as generalist vs specialist communities (Clavel et
- 592 al., 2011).

ound

593 Appendices

594



595 Appendix A. Standard ellipses at 40% of the trophic guilds obtained by clustering based on species δ^{13} C 596 and δ^{15} N values.



597 598 Appendix B. Values of each of the four isotopic indices, weighted by the relative biomass of each species, at each 599 depth layer (A= epipelagic, B= upper-mesopelagic, C= lower-mesopelagic, D= bathypelagic and E= bottom-600 proximity). IDiv = divergence, IDis = dispersion, IEve = evenness and IUni = uniqueness. The colors represent the 601 trophic guild to which each species belongs.

602 Data Availability Statement

603 The isotopic dataset will be available from an open-access data repository. The code will be made

604 available on GitHub.

605 Conflict of interest statement

606 The authors declare no competing interests.

607 Acknowledgments

608 This work was supported by the French Ministry in charge of Environment (Ministère de la Transition 609 Ecologique / Direction de l'Eau et de la Biodiversité). This study was funded by the European project 610 H2020 SUMMER "Sustainable Management of Mesopelagic Resources" (grant agreement ID: 817806). 611 The authors are grateful to the crew of the R/V Thalassa (https://doi. Org/10.18142/8) and to all 612 scientists and cruise leaders who allowed the data collection during the EVHOE scientific surveys. We 613 finally thank Benoît Lebreton and Gaël Guillou from the "Plateforme de Spectrométrie Isotopique" of the 614 LIENSs laboratory (UMR 7266, CNRS – La Rochelle University) for their assistance during stable isotope 615 analyses.

616 Author contribution

Liz Loutrage: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing - Original Draft, Formal analysis; Anik
Brind'Amour: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft, Formal analysis, Funding
acquisition; Tiphaine Chouvelon: Methodology, Ressources, Writing - Original Draft; Jérôme Spitz:
Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft, Funding acquisition.

621 **ORCID**

622 Liz Loutrage: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1450-403X

- Tiphaine Chouvelon: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9497-3684
- 624 Anik Brind'Amour: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4072-2588</u>
- 625 Jérôme Spitz: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2729-2413</u>

626 **References**

- Abrams, P., 1983. The Theory of Limiting Similarity. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 14, 359–376.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.14.110183.002043
- 629 Albeke, S.E., 2023. rKIN: (Kernel) Isotope Niche Estimation. R package version 1.0.0.
- Alp, M., Cucherousset, J., 2022. Food webs speak of human impact: Using stable isotope-based tools to
 measure ecological consequences of environmental change. Food Webs 30, e00218.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooweb.2021.e00218
- Aparecido, K.C., Frédou, T., Eduardo, L.N., Mincarone, M.M., Lima, R.S., Morais, M.F.D.S., Mérigot, B., 2023.
 Living in darkness: functional diversity of mesopelagic fishes in the western tropical Atlantic. Front.
 Mar. Sci. 10, 1117806. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1117806
- 636 Batschelet, E., 1981. Circular Statistics in Biology, Mathematics in biology. Academic Press.
- Battaglia, P., Andaloro, F., Consoli, P., Esposito, V., Malara, D., Musolino, S., Pedà, C., Romeo, T., 2013. Feeding
 habits of the Atlantic bluefin tuna, Thunnus thynnus (L. 1758), in the central Mediterranean Sea
 (Strait of Messina). Helgol Mar Res 67, 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-012-0307-2
- Buesseler, K.O., Lamborg, C.H., Boyd, P.W., Lam, P.J., Trull, T.W., Bidigare, R.R., Bishop, J.K.B., Casciotti, K.L.,
 Dehairs, F., Elskens, M., Honda, M., Karl, D.M., Siegel, D.A., Silver, M.W., Steinberg, D.K., Valdes, J., Van
 Mooy, B., Wilson, S., 2007. Revisiting Carbon Flux Through the Ocean's Twilight Zone. Science 316,
 567–570. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137959
- 644 Cherel, Y., Fontaine, C., Richard, P., Labatc, J.-P., 2010. Isotopic niches and trophic levels of myctophid fishes
 645 and their predators in the Southern Ocean. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55, 324–332.
 646 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.1.0324
- 647 Chesson, P., 2000. Mechanisms of Maintenance of Species Diversity. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 31, 343–366.
 648 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.343
- 649 Childress, J.J., Meek, R.P., 1973. Observations on the feeding behavior of a mesopelagic fish (Anoplogaster
 650 cornuta: Beryciformes). Copeia 1973, 602–603.
- Chipps, S.R., Garvey, J.E., 2007. Assessment of food habits and feeding patterns. Analysis and interpretation
 of freshwater fisheries data. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland 473–514.
- 653 Chouvelon, T., Munschy, C., Bruzac, S., Caurant, F., Churlaud, C., Crochet, S., Guillou, G., Mauffret, A., Méndez-654 Fernandez, P., Niol, J., Sireau, T., Steinberg, C., Wessel, N., Spitz, J., 2022. High inter-species 655 variability in elemental composition of the twilight zone fauna varies implications for predators 656 exploitation by humans. Environmental Research 204, 112379. and 657 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112379
- 658 Chouvelon, T., Spitz, J., Caurant, F., Mèndez-Fernandez, P., Chappuis, A., Laugier, F., Le Goff, E., Bustamante, P., 2012. Revisiting the use of δ 15N in meso-scale studies of marine food webs by considering 659 660 spatio-temporal variations in stable isotopic signatures – The case of an open ecosystem: The Bay 661 Biscav (North-East Atlantic). Progress in Oceanography 92-105. of 101, 662 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.01.004
- Choy, C.A., Popp, B.N., Hannides, C.C.S., Drazen, J.C., 2015. Trophic structure and food resources of epipelagic
 and mesopelagic fishes in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre ecosystem inferred from nitrogen
 isotopic compositions: Trophic structure of pelagic fishes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 60, 1156–1171.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10085
- 667 Clavel, J., Julliard, R., Devictor, V., 2011. Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward a global functional
 668 homogenization? Frontiers in Ecol & Environ 9, 222–228. https://doi.org/10.1890/080216
- Connan, M., Cherel, Y., Mayzaud, P., 2007. Lipids from stomach oil of procellariiform seabirds document the
 importance of myctophid fish in the Southern Ocean. Limnol. Oceanogr. 52, 2445–2455.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.6.2445
- Costa-Pereira, R., Araújo, M.S., Souza, F.L., Ingram, T., 2019. Competition and resource breadth shape niche
 variation and overlap in multiple trophic dimensions. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 286,
 20190369.
- Cucherousset, J., Villéger, S., 2015. Quantifying the multiple facets of isotopic diversity: New metrics for
 stable isotope ecology. Ecological Indicators 56, 152–160.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.03.032
- Drazen, J.C., Smith, C.R., Gjerde, K.M., Haddock, S.H.D., Carter, G.S., Choy, C.A., Clark, M.R., Dutrieux, P., Goetze,
 E., Hauton, C., Hatta, M., Koslow, J.A., Leitner, A.B., Pacini, A., Perelman, J.N., Peacock, T., Sutton, T.T.,
 Watling, L., Yamamoto, H., 2020. Midwater ecosystems must be considered when evaluating
 environmental risks of deep-sea mining. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 17455–17460.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011914117

- Drazen, J.C., Sutton, T.T., 2017. Dining in the Deep: The Feeding Ecology of Deep-Sea Fishes. Annu. Rev. Mar.
 Sci. 9, 337–366. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010816-060543
- Ebeling, A.W., Cailliet, G.M., 1974. Mouth size and predator strategy of midwater fishes. Deep Sea Research
 and Oceanographic Abstracts 21, 959–968. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(74)90028-X
- Eckrich, C.A., Albeke, S.E., Flaherty, E.A., Bowyer, R.T., Ben-David, M., 2020. rKIN: Kernel-based method for
 estimating isotopic niche size and overlap. Journal of Animal Ecology 89, 757–771.
- Eduardo, L.N., Bertrand, A., Mincarone, M.M., Martins, J.R., Frédou, T., Assunção, R.V., Lima, R.S., Ménard, F.,
 Le Loc'h, F., Lucena-Frédou, F., 2021. Distribution, vertical migration, and trophic ecology of
 lanternfishes (Myctophidae) in the Southwestern Tropical Atlantic. Progress in Oceanography 199,
 102695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2021.102695
- 693 Eduardo, L.N., Bertrand, A., Mincarone, M.M., Santos, L.V., Frédou, T., Assunção, R.V., Silva, A., Ménard, F., 694 Schwamborn, R., Le Loc'h, F., Lucena-Frédou, F., 2020. Hatchetfishes (Stomiiformes: 695 Sternoptychidae) biodiversity, trophic ecology, vertical niche partitioning and functional roles in 696 Tropical Atlantic. Progress Oceanography 187, the western in 102389. 697 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102389
- 698 Eduardo, L.N., Lucena-Frédou, F., Lanco Bertrand, S., Lira, A.S., Mincarone, M.M., Nunes, G.T., Frédou, T., 699 Soares, A., Le Loc'h, F., Pelage, L., Schwamborn, R., Travassos, P., Martins, K., Lira, S.M.A., Figueiredo, 700 G.A.A., Júnior, T.V., Ménard, F., Bertrand, A., 2023. From the light blue sky to the dark deep sea: 701 Trophic and resource partitioning between epipelagic and mesopelagic layers in a tropical oceanic 702 ecosystem. Science of The Total Environment 878, 163098. 703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163098
- Fry, B., 2006. Stable isotope ecology. Springer.
- Galili, T., 2015. dendextend: an R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of hierarchical
 clustering. Bioinformatics 31, 3718–3720.
- Gámez, S., Harris, N.C., 2022. Conceptualizing the 3D niche and vertical space use. Trends in Ecology &
 Evolution 37, 953–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.06.012
- Gartner Jr, J.V., Crabtree, R.E., Sulak, K.J., 1997. Feeding At Depth, in: Fish Physiology. Elsevier, pp. 115–193.
- Gartner, J.V., Sulak, K.J., Ross, S.W., Necaise, A.M., 2008. Persistent near-bottom aggregations of mesopelagic
 animals along the North Carolina and Virginia continental slopes. Mar Biol 153, 825–841.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0855-1
- Gause, G.F., 1932. Experimental Studies on the Struggle for Existence. Journal of Experimental Biology 9,
 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.9.4.389
- Gloeckler, K., Choy, C.A., Hannides, C.C.S., Close, H.G., Goetze, E., Popp, B.N., Drazen, J.C., 2018. Stable isotope
 analysis of micronekton around Hawaii reveals suspended particles are an important nutritional
 source in the lower mesopelagic and upper bathypelagic zones: Suspended particles as a
 mesopelagic food source. Limnol. Oceanogr. 63, 1168–1180. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10762
- Gravel, D., Canham, C.D., Beaudet, M., Messier, C., 2006. Reconciling niche and neutrality: the continuum
 hypothesis. Ecology Letters 9, 399–409. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00884.x
- Guzzo, M.M., Haffner, G.D., Legler, N.D., Rush, S.A., Fisk, A.T., 2013. Fifty years later: trophic ecology and niche
 overlap of a native and non-indigenous fish species in the western basin of Lake Erie. Biol Invasions
 15, 1695–1711. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-012-0401-z
- Hidalgo, M., Browman, H.I., 2019. Developing the knowledge base needed to sustainably manage
 mesopelagic resources. ICES Journal of Marine Science 76, 609–615.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz067
- Hoffman, J.C., Sierszen, M.E., Cotter, A.M., 2015. Fish tissue lipid-C:N relationships for correcting δ¹³ C values
 and estimating lipid content in aquatic food-web studies. Rapid Comm Mass Spectrometry 29,
 2069–2077. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7367
- Hooper, D.U., Chapin, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J.H., Lodge, D.M., Loreau, M.,
 Naeem, S., Schmid, B., Setälä, H., Symstad, A.J., Vandermeer, J., Wardle, D.A., 2005. Effects of
 biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. Ecological Monographs
 753 75, 3–35. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0922
- Hopkins, Sutton, T.T., Lancraft, T.M., 1996. The trophic structure and predation impact of a low latitude
 midwater fish assemblage. Progress in Oceanography 38, 205–239.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(97)00003-7
- Hopkins, T., Sutton, T., 1998. Midwater fishes and shrimps as competitors and resource partitioning in low
 latitude oligotrophic ecosystems. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 164, 37–45.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps164037
- Hopkins, T.L., Gartner, J.V., 1992. Resource-partitioning and predation impact of a low-latitude myctophid
 community. Marine Biology 114, 185–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00349518

- Hopkins, T.L., Sutton, T.T., Lancraft, T.M., 1996. The trophic structure and predation impact of a low latitude
 midwater fish assemblage. Progress in Oceanography 38, 205–239.
- Hubbell, S.P., 2005. Neutral theory in community ecology and the hypothesis of functional equivalence.
 Funct Ecology 19, 166–172. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2005.00965.x
- Jackson, A.L., Inger, R., Parnell, A.C., Bearhop, S., 2011. Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within
 communities: SIBER Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R: Bayesian isotopic niche metrics. Journal
 of Animal Ecology 80, 595–602. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01806.x
- Kingsbury, K.M., Gillanders, B.M., Booth, D.J., Nagelkerken, I., 2020. Trophic niche segregation allows range extending coral reef fishes to co-exist with temperate species under climate change. Global change
 biology 26, 721–733.
- Klompmaker, A.A., Finnegan, S., 2018. Extreme rarity of competitive exclusion in modern and fossil marine
 benthic ecosystems. Geology 46, 723–726. https://doi.org/10.1130/G45032.1
- Koppelmann, R., Frost, J., 2008. The ecological role of zooplankton in the twilight and dark zones of the
 ocean. Biological Oceanography Research Trends.. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York 67–130.
- Layman, C.A., Arrington, D.A., Montaña, C.G., Post, D.M., 2007. Can stable isotope ratios provide for
 community-wide measures of trophic structure? Ecology 88, 42–48.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2007)88[42:CSIRPF]2.0.CO;2
- Leitão, R.P., Zuanon, J., Villéger, S., Williams, S.E., Baraloto, C., Fortunel, C., Mendonça, F.P., Mouillot, D., 2016.
 Rare species contribute disproportionately to the functional structure of species assemblages. Proc.
 R. Soc. B. 283, 20160084. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0084
- Levin, Baker, M., Thompson, A., 2019. Deep-ocean climate change impacts on habitats, fish and fisheries.
 Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) of the United Nations Rome.
- Loutrage, L., Brind'Amour, A., Chouvelon, T., Spitz, J., 2024. Ontogenetic shift or not? Different foraging
 trade-offs within the meso- to bathypelagic fish community. Ecology and Evolution 14, e11129.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.11129
- Loutrage, L., Spitz, J., Iglésias, S.P., Brind'Amour, A., 2023. The nocturnal distribution of deep-pelagic fish on
 the continental slope of the Bay of Biscay. Progress in Oceanography 216, 103070.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2023.103070
- MacQueen, J., 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations. Presented at
 the Proceedings of the fifth Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability,
 Oakland, CA, USA, pp. 281–297.
- Mauchline, J., 1986. A review of the ecology of the deep-water pelagic fauna of the Rockall Trough. Proc.,
 Sect. B Biol. sci. 88, 145–157. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269727000004528
- Newsome, S.D., Martinez del Rio, C., Bearhop, S., Phillips, D.L., 2007. A niche for isotopic ecology. Frontiers
 in Ecology and the Environment.
- Novotny, M., 2018. The Assemblage Structure and Trophic Ecology of a Deep-Pelagic Fish Family
 (Platytroctidae) in the Gulf of Mexico.
- Pauly, D., Trites, A., Capuli, E., Christensen, V., 1998. Diet composition and trophic levels of marine mammals.
 ICES Journal of Marine Science 55, 467–481. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1997.0280
- 781 Peterson, B.J., Fry, B., 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. Annual review of ecology and systematics.
- Porteiro, F.M., Sutton, T., 2007. Midwater Fish Assemblages and Seamounts, in: Pitcher, T.J., Morato, T., Hart,
 P.J.B., Clark, M.R., Haggan, N., Santos, R.S. (Eds.), Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation.
 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, pp. 101–116. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691953.ch6
- Post, D.M., 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions.
 Ecology 83, 703–718. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[0703:USITET]2.0.C0;2
- Post, D.M., Layman, C.A., Arrington, D.A., Takimoto, G., Quattrochi, J., Montaña, C.G., 2007. Getting to the fat
 of the matter: models, methods and assumptions for dealing with lipids in stable isotope analyses.
 Oecologia 152, 179–189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0630-x
- Pusineri, C., Magnin, V., Meynier, L., Spitz, J., Hassani, S., Ridoux, V., 2007. Food and feeding ecology of the common dolphin (Delphinus delphis) in the oceanic Northeast Atlantic and comparison with its diet in neritic areas. Marine Mammal Sci 23, 30–47. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2006.00088.x
- Pusineri, C., Vasseur, Y., Hassani, S., Meynier, L., Spitz, J., Ridoux, V., 2005. Food and feeding ecology of juvenile albacore, Thunnus alalunga, off the Bay of Biscay: a case study. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62, 116–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.09.004
- R Core Team, 2023. _R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing_. R Foundation for Statistical
 Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- Raffaelli, D., 2006. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: issues of scale and trophic complexity. Mar. Ecol.
 Prog. Ser. 311, 285–294. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps311285

- Reid, S.B., Hirota, J., Young, R.E., Hallacher, L.E., 1991. Mesopelagic-boundary community in Hawaii:
 Micronekton at the interface between neritic and oceanic ecosystems. Mar. Biol. 109, 427–440.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01313508
- Richards, T.M., Sutton, T.T., Wells, R.J.D., 2020. Trophic Structure and Sources of Variation Influencing the
 Stable Isotope Signatures of Meso- and Bathypelagic Micronekton Fishes. Front. Mar. Sci. 7, 507992.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.507992
- 807Richards, T.M., Sutton, T.T., Woodstock, M.S., Judkins, H., David Wells, R.J., 2023. Body size, depth of808occurrence, and local oceanography shape trophic structure in a diverse deep-pelagic micronekton809assemblage.810https://doi.org/10.1016/i.pocean.2023.102998
- Rigolet, C., Thiébaut, E., Brind'Amour, A., Dubois, S.F., 2015. Investigating isotopic functional indices to
 reveal changes in the structure and functioning of benthic communities. Funct Ecol 29, 1350–1360.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12444
- Romero-Romero, S., Choy, C.A., Hannides, C.C.S., Popp, B.N., Drazen, J.C., 2019. Differences in the trophic
 ecology of micronekton driven by diel vertical migration. Limnol Oceanogr 64, 1473–1483.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11128
- Rosindell, J., Hubbell, S.P., Etienne, R.S., 2011. The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography
 at Age Ten. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 26, 340–348.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.03.024
- Schoener, T.W., 1974. Resource Partitioning in Ecological Communities: Research on how similar species
 divide resources helps reveal the natural regulation of species diversity. Science 185, 27–39.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4145.27
- 823 Schoener, T.W., 1968. The Anolis lizards of Bimini: resource partitioning in a complex fauna. Ecology.
- Stefanescu, C., Cartes, J.E., 1992. Benthopelagic habits of adult specimens of Lampanyctus crocodilus (Risso,
 1810)(Osteichthyes, Myctophidae) in the western Mediterranean deep slope.
- Stowasser, G., Atkinson, A., McGill, R.A.R., Phillips, R.A., Collins, M.A., Pond, D.W., 2012. Food web dynamics
 in the Scotia Sea in summer: A stable isotope study. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
 Oceanography 59–60, 208–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.08.004
- Suchomel, A.D., Belk, M.C., 2022. Comparison of Trophic Niche Position, Size, and Overlap in an Assemblage
 of Pacific Rockfish (Genus Sebastes) for Testing Community Composition Models. Diversity 14, 689.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/d14080689
- Sutton, T.T., 2013. Vertical ecology of the pelagic ocean: classical patterns and new perspectives: vertical
 ecology of the pelagic ocean. J Fish Biol 83, 1508–1527. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12263
- Sutton, T.T., Porteiro, F.M., Heino, M., Byrkjedal, I., Langhelle, G., Anderson, C.I.H., Horne, J., Søiland, H.,
 Falkenhaug, T., Godø, O.R., Bergstad, O.A., 2008. Vertical structure, biomass and topographic
 association of deep-pelagic fishes in relation to a mid-ocean ridge system. Deep Sea Research Part
 II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 55, 161–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.09.013
- Tibshirani, R., Walther, G., Hastie, T., 2001. Estimating the Number of Clusters in a Data Set Via the Gap
 Statistic. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology 63, 411–423.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9868.00293
- Trueman, C.N., Johnston, G., O'Hea, B., MacKenzie, K.M., 2014. Trophic interactions of fish communities at midwater depths enhance long-term carbon storage and benthic production on continental slopes.
 Proc. R. Soc. B. 281, 20140669. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0669
- Tuset, V.M., Farré, M., Lombarte, A., Bordes, F., Wienerroither, R., Olivar, P., 2014. A comparative study of
 morphospace occupation of mesopelagic fish assemblages from the Canary Islands (North-eastern
 Atlantic). Ichthyol Res 61, 152–158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10228-014-0390-2
- Valls, M., Olivar, M.P., Fernández de Puelles, M.L., Molí, B., Bernal, A., Sweeting, C.J., 2014a. Trophic structure
 of mesopelagic fishes in the western Mediterranean based on stable isotopes of carbon and
 nitrogen. Journal of Marine Systems 138, 160–170.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.04.007
- Valls, M., Sweeting, C.J., Olivar, M.P., Fernández de Puelles, M.L., Pasqual, C., Polunin, N.V.C., Quetglas, A.,
 2014b. Structure and dynamics of food webs in the water column on shelf and slope grounds of the
 western Mediterranean. Journal of Marine Systems 138, 171–181.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.04.002
- 855Vander Zanden, M.J., Rasmussen, J.B., 2001. Variation in δ ¹⁵ N and δ ¹³ C trophic fractionation: Implications856for aquatic food web studies. Limnol. Oceanogr. 46, 2061–2066.857https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.8.2061

- Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H., Mouillot, D., 2008. New Multidimensional Functional Diversity Indices for a
 Multifaceted Framework in Functional Ecology. Ecology 89, 2290–2301.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1206.1
- Wallace, R.K., 1981. An Assessment of Diet-Overlap Indexes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society
 110, 72–76. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1981)110<72:AAODI>2.0.C0;2
- Walters, A., Robert, M., Cresson, P., Le Bris, H., Kopp, D., 2021. Food web structure in relation to
 environmental drivers across a continental shelf ecosystem. Limnology & Oceanography 66, 2563–
 2582. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11773
- Wickham, H., Chang, W., Wickham, M.H., 2016. Package 'ggplot2.' Create elegant data visualisations using
 the grammar of graphics. Version 2, 1–189.
- Winemiller, K.O., Polis, G.A., 1996. Food Webs: What Can They Tell Us About the World?, in: Polis, G.A.,
 Winemiller, K.O. (Eds.), Food Webs. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp. 1–22.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7007-3_1
- 871

butthal

- Species showed a broad range of isotopic niches
- Species with overlapping niches use depth to achieve segregation
- The niche sizes and overlaps of species were smaller than predicted by a null model
- Competition has driven high trophic specialization in deep-pelagic species
- High specialization of deep pelagic fish may make them more vulnerable to changes

Declaration of interests

 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☑ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Jerome Spitz reports financial support was provided by La Rochelle University. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.