



# A 20-year (1998-2017) global sea surface dimethyl sulfide gridded 2 dataset with daily resolution

- 3 Shengqian Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Ying Chen<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Shan Huang<sup>4,5</sup>, Xianda Gong<sup>6,7</sup>, Guipeng Yang<sup>8,9,10</sup>, Honghai Zhang<sup>8,9,10</sup>,
- 4 Hartmut Herrmann<sup>5</sup>, Alfred Wiedensohler<sup>5</sup>, Laurent Poulain<sup>5</sup>, Yan Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Fanghui Wang<sup>1</sup>, Zongjun
- 5 Xu<sup>1</sup>, Ke Yan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution Prevention, Department of Environmental Science & Engineering,
 Fudan University, Shanghai, 200438, China

- <sup>8</sup> <sup>2</sup>Institute of Eco-Chongming (IEC), National Observations and Research Station for Wetland Ecosystems of the Yangtze
   <sup>9</sup> Estuary, Shanghai, 200062, China
- 10 <sup>3</sup>Institute of Atmospheric Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China
- 11 <sup>4</sup>Institute for Environmental and Climate Research, Jinan University, Guangzhou, 511443, China
- 12 <sup>5</sup>Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, 04318, Germany
- 13 <sup>6</sup>Research Center for Industries of the Future, Westlake University, Hangzhou, 310030, China
- 14 <sup>7</sup>Key Laboratory of Coastal Environment and Resources of Zhejiang Province, School of Engineering, Westlake University, 15 Hangzhou, 310030, China
- 15 Hangzhou, 510050, China
- 16 <sup>8</sup>Frontiers Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System, and Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry Theory
- 17 and Technology, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266100, China
- <sup>9</sup>Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266071, China
- 20 <sup>10</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266100, China
- 21 Correspondence to: Ying Chen (yingchen@fudan.edu.cn)

22 Abstract. The oceanic emission of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) plays a vital role in the Earth's climate system and is a significant

- 23 source of uncertainty in aerosol radiative forcing. Currently, the widely used monthly climatology of sea surface DMS
- 24 concentration cannot meet the requirement for accurately simulating DMS-derived aerosols by chemical transport models.
- 25 Thus, there is an urgent need to construct a global sea surface DMS dataset with high time resolution spanning multiple years.
- 26 Here we develop an artificial neural network ensemble model based on 9 environmental factors, which demonstrate high
- 27 accuracy and generalization in predicting DMS concentrations. Subsequently, a global sea surface DMS concentration and
- 28 flux dataset (1°×1°) with daily resolution covering the period from 1998 to 2017 is established. According to this dataset, the
- 29 global annual average concentration was  $\sim$ 1.82 nM, and the annual total emission was  $\sim$ 17.9 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup>, with  $\sim$ 60% originating
- 30 from the southern hemisphere. While overall seasonal variations are consistent with previous DMS climatologies, notable
- 31 differences exist in regional-scale spatial distributions. The new dataset enables further investigation of daily and decadal
- 32 variations. During 1998–2017, the global annual average concentration exhibited a slight decrease, while total emissions
- 33 showed no significant trend. Benefiting from the incorporation of daily and interannual variation information, the DMS flux
- 34 from our dataset showed a much stronger correlation with observed atmospheric methanesulfonic acid concentration compared
- 35 to those from previous monthly climatologies. As a result, it can serve as an improved emission inventory of oceanic DMS





36 and has the potential to enhance the simulation of DMS-derived aerosols and associated radiative effects. The new DMS

37 gridded products are available at https://zenodo.org/record/10279659 (Zhou et al., 2023).

#### 38 1 Introduction

39 Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) mostly produced by ocean biota accounts for more than half of natural sulfur emissions and 40 contributes substantially to sulfur dioxide in the troposphere (Sheng et al., 2015; Andreae, 1990) which can be oxidized to sulfuric acid and form sulfate aerosols (Barnes et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2016). Sulfate aerosols play an important role in 41 climate systems by both scattering solar radiation and altering cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and albedo (Masson-Delmotte 42 43 et al., 2021). Recent studies have proven that CCN over remote oceans and polar regions are primarily composed of non-sea-44 salt sulfate (nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) (Quinn et al., 2017; Park et al., 2021). Given 70% coverage of the Earth's surface by the ocean and the weak influence of anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> over open oceans, marine biogenic DMS can be the most important source of nss-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> 45 46 and regulates regional and global climate (McCoy et al., 2015). Accordingly, DMS has been suggested to be the key substance in the postulated feedback of marine phytoplankton to climate warming (the "CLAW" hypothesis) (Charlson et al., 1987). 47 48 However, there are still many controversies surrounding the CLAW hypothesis (Quinn and Bates, 2011). To address these 49 controversies, we need to accurately simulate the global climate effects of DMS-derived aerosols. This requires further 50 exploration of complex atmospheric chemistry and climate processes (Hoffmann et al., 2016; Novak et al., 2021), as well as 51 high-fidelity and high-resolution sea surface DMS concentrations and emission fluxes as emission inventories. According to the uncertainty estimation by Carslaw et al. (2013), the uncertainty in DMS emission flux is the second largest contributor to 52 53 the overall uncertainty in the radiative forcing of natural aerosols. Therefore, improving the understanding of the 54 spatiotemporal variations of DMS in global oceans is currently an important task.

55 There are complex production and consumption mechanisms of DMS in the upper ocean, which makes it difficult to well 56 capture the dynamics and distributions of sea surface DMS across different oceanic regions. Dimethylsulfoniopropionate 57 (DMSP), the major precursor of DMS, is synthesized mainly by phytoplankton in the photic zone and plays a variety of physiological functions in algal cells (Stefels, 2000; Sunda et al., 2002; McParland and Levine, 2018). The DMSP yield differs 58 59 dramatically among algal species (Stefels et al., 2007; Keller et al., 1989), and DMS can be produced through DMSP 60 intracellular and extracellular cleavage by both algae and bacteria (Alcolombri et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). Therefore, the oceanic DMS produced via multiple pathways can be affected by many biotic and abiotic factors, including temperature, 61 62 salinity, solar radiation, mixed layer depth, nutrients, oxygen, acidity, etc. (Simó and Pedrós-Alió, 1999b; Vallina and Simó, 63 2007; Stefels, 2000; Zindler et al., 2014; Six et al., 2013; Omori et al., 2015; Stefels et al., 2007). In addition, seawater DMS 64 has multiple removal pathways (bacterial consumption, photodegradation, sea-to-air ventilation, etc.), further complicating the 65 DMS cycling (Stefels et al., 2007). Therefore, although previous studies have developed several empirical algorithms (Simó and Dachs, 2002; Belviso et al., 2004b; Vallina and Simó, 2007) and process-embedded prognostic models (Kloster et al., 66







- 2006; Vogt et al., 2010; Belviso et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015) based on relevant variables (mixed layer depth, chlorophyll *a*, nutrients, radiation, phytoplankton group, etc.) to estimate the distribution of DMS, their results showed significantly different distribution patterns and obvious inconsistency with observations in many regions (Tesdal et al., 2016; Belviso et al., 2004a).
  Recently, Galí et al. (2018) developed a new empirical algorithm following a parameterization of DMSP (Galí et al., 2015).
  The estimated DMS field exhibited a generally higher consistency with observations than those derived from the previous two algorithms SD02 (Simó and Dachs, 2002) and VS07 (Vallina and Simó, 2007), but this method did not consider the influences of nutrients and there are still great biases in certain regions (e.g., near the Antarctic).
  Since Lovelock et al. (1972) first discovered the ubiquitous presence of DMS in seawater, numerous observations of sea
- 74 75 surface DMS have been conducted worldwide, accumulating a large amount of observational data to date. Based on these worldwide measurements, monthly climatology of global DMS can be generated through interpolation and extrapolation 76 (Hulswar et al., 2022; Kettle et al., 1999; Lana et al., 2011). The latest version incorporated 873,539 raw observations (48,898 77 after data filtration and unification for climatology development), and the estimated global annual mean concentration and 78 total flux are 2.26 nM and 27.1 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Hulswar et al., 2022). However, despite the large data volume, there 79 80 are still considerable spatial and temporal disparities, which may lead to large uncertainties for the regions or periods with 81 sparse observations. In addition, the observational data from different years were equally combined and the interannual 82 variations cannot be addressed by this approach.
- In recent years, the application of data-driven approaches like machine learning to Earth system science has drawn more and 83 84 more attention. Compared with traditional approaches and process models, machine learning explores larger function space and captures more hidden information from the big data, hence it often provides a better simulation and prediction performance 85 (Reichstein et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2020; Bergen et al., 2019). A recent study has demonstrated that artificial neural network 86 (ANN) can capture much more (~66%) of the raw data variance than multilinear regression (~39%), and a global monthly 87 88 climatology of DMS has been developed based on ANN (Wang et al., 2020). The machine learning techniques have also been 89 used to simulate the distribution of DMS in the Arctic (Galí et al., 2021), North Atlantic Ocean (Bell et al., 2021; Mansour et al., 2023), and East Asia (Zhao et al., 2022). 90 However, to our best knowledge, there is no global-scale sea surface gridded DMS dataset with both high time resolution 91
- (daily) and long-term coverage (> 10 years), which is urgently needed for modeling the atmospheric processes and climatic implications of oceanic DMS. Since the sea surface concentration and sea-to-air emission flux of DMS can vary greatly from day to day (Simó and Pedrós-Alió, 1999a) and the emitted DMS makes effects on the atmosphere in a time scale of several hours to days, just using monthly climatology of DMS as the emission inventory cannot capture the details and may lead to large modeling biases compared with observed concentrations of atmospheric DMS or its oxidation products (Chen et al., 2018; Fung et al., 2022).
  - 3





Here, we build a 20-year (1998 – 2017) global sea surface DMS gridded dataset  $(1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ})$  with a daily resolution based on a 98 99 data-driven machine learning approach (ANN ensemble). This product can improve our understanding of the spatiotemporal 100 variations of oceanic DMS. More importantly, it can serve as an updated emission inventory of marine biogenic DMS for chemical transport models, which is very beneficial for improving the simulation of atmospheric processes of DMS and lower 101 102 down the uncertainties in marine aerosol's climate effects. The paper consists of the following main parts which are 103 demonstrated in Fig. 1: (1) the development of the machine learning model based on global DMS measurements and 9 ancillary 104 environmental variables; (2) the derived spatial and temporal distributions of DMS and comparisons with previous estimates; 105 (3) an example showing the superiority of our newly developed DMS field in linking atmospheric biogenic sulfur; and (4) the 106 uncertainties and limitations of our approach and the resulting data product.



108 Figure 1. Flowchart of this study, including the construction of the new DMS gridded dataset and subsequent analyses of the

109 validity and superiority of this product.





#### 110 2 Methodology

#### 111 2.1 Input datasets

The in-situ DMS measurement data used for training the machine learning model was obtained from the Global Surface Seawater DMS (GSSD) database (Kettle et al., 1999). The GSSD database contains a total of 87,801 DMS measurements obtained from 266 cruises and fixed-site observation campaigns from 11 March 1972 to 27 August 2017 (https://saga.pmel.noaa.gov/dms/, last access: 1 April 2020). The spatial distribution of theses in-situ observational data is

116 shown in Fig. S1, which covers all major regions of the global ocean.

117 We selected 9 environmental variables related to DMS biogeochemical processes as input features, including chlorophyll a 118 (Chl a), sea surface temperature (SST), mixed layer depth (MLD), nitrate, phosphate, silicate, dissolved oxygen (DO), 119 downward short-wave radiation flux (DSWF), and sea surface salinity (SSS). The data sources and relevant information of 9 120 input variables and DMS are listed in Table 1. Chl a was obtained from both in-situ observations accompanied by DMS data and satellite remote sensing products (SeaWiFS and Aqua-MODIS, 0.083°×0.083°). Daily SST data (0.25°×0.25°) was from 121 122 the NOAA OI SST V2 high-resolution blended reanalysis dataset (Huang et al., 2021). Daily MLD, DSWF, and SSS were from the modeling outputs of NASA's "Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean" (ECCO) consortium, Version 4 123 124 Release 4 (V4r4) (Forget et al., 2015). The LLC90 (Lat-Lon-Cap 90) grid is a native grid used for ECCO data, which has 5 faces containing 13 regional tiles covering the global ocean, with spatial resolution varying from 22 km to 110 km (Forget et 125 al., 2015). As for the concentrations of nitrate, phosphate, silicate, and DO, the monthly climatologies  $(1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ})$  of World Ocean 126 127 Atlas 2018 (WOA18) based on the historical observational data from the World Ocean Database (WOD) were used (Garcia et al., 2019b, a). The surface wind speed (WS) and sea ice fraction (SI) data are also needed in the calculation of sea-to-air flux. 128 Here we utilized the daily 10-meter WS data from ECCO V4r4 and the daily SI data from NOAA OI SST V2. Since there are 129 130 multiple different spatial grids and temporal resolutions among all datasets, the data match-up has been conducted as described

131 in the next section.







132

133 Figure 2. (a) The distribution of 34,118 DMS observational data after matchup, filtration, and binning for constructing the

134 ANN model. The grid size is 1°×1°. (b) Nine oceanic regions separated based on Longhurst's biomes (Longhurst, 1998).





- 136 Table 1. The data sources and related information of variables used for model development, DMS simulation, and flux
- 137 calculation

Variable	Data source	URL	Temporal resolution	Temporal coverage	Spatial grid
DMS	GSSD database	https://saga.pmel.noaa .gov/dms/	In-situ	Mar. 1972 – Aug. 2017	-
Chl a	GSSD database	https://saga.pmel.noaa .gov/dms/	In-situ	Oct. 1980 – Aug. 2017	-
	SeaWiFS	https://oceandata.sci.g	Daily, 8-day,	Sep. 1997 – Dec. 2011	- 0.083°×0.083°
	Aqua-MODIS	sfc.nasa.gov/	monthly	Jul. 2002 - present	
SST	NOAA OI SST V2	https://psl.noaa.gov/d ata/gridded/data.noaa. oisst.v2.highres.html	Daily	Sep. 1981 – present	0.25°×0.25°
MLD	NASA ECCO V4r4	https://data.nas.nasa.g			
DSWF		ov/ecco/data.php?dir= /eccodata/llc 90/ECC	Daily	Jan. 1992 – Dec. 2017	LLC90
SSS		Ov4/Release4			
Nitrate		1	a Monthly o climatology	-	l°×l°
Phosphate	- WOA18	nups://www.nodc.noa			
Silicate		al&data html			
DO	-	a i odata.intilli			
WS	NASA ECCO V4r4	https://data.nas.nasa.g ov/ecco/data.php?dir= /eccodata/llc_90/ECC Ov4/Release4	Daily	Jan. 1992 – Dec. 2017	LLC90
SI	NOAA OI SST V2	https://psl.noaa.gov/d ata/gridded/data.noaa. oisst.v2.highres.html	Daily	Sep. 1981 – present	0.25°×0.25°

138

## 139 2.2 Data preprocessing for model development

140 The data extraction and match-up were performed according to the sampling location and time in the GSSD database, as well

141 as the time range and grid distribution of each variable. For satellite-retrieved Chl a concentration, the data of the grids covering





142 DMS sampling points were extracted and matched. If one grid data is missing, the average value of the 5×5 grids nearby will

be extracted. The SeaWiFS and Aqua-MODIS data during their overlapping periods were averaged. For other variables, only values in the grids matching the DMS sampling locations were extracted.

- 145 There are in-situ Chl a measurements accompanied by some of the GSSD data, which were used along with satellite-retrieved 146 Chl a. The in-situ Chl a have many low-precision values (defined as  $< 0.1 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ , and the number of significant digits is 1), 147 and we removed those values. For a certain in-situ observation campaign, if the number of low-precision values is larger than 10 and accounts for more than half, all in-situ Chl a data from this campaign will be removed. In addition, the in-situ Chl a 148 data in the GSSD database are measured by two different methods, i.e., Turner fluorometry and high-performance liquid 149 150 chromatography (HPLC). In order to improve mutual consistency, a conversion between the data from these two methods was 151 applied and then the in-situ Chl a concentrations were adjusted to match up with satellite Chl a following the functions described in Galí et al. (2015). After that, the statistical outliers for all  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl }a)$  (outside the range of average ± 3 standard 152 153 deviations) were eliminated. The log<sub>10</sub> transformation was applied to make the data distribution close to normal distribution. When finally selecting the log<sub>10</sub>(Chl a) corresponding to each DMS data, the precedence order of the various datasets is as 154 follows: in-situ data, daily satellite data, 8-day averaged satellite data, and monthly averaged satellite data. The comparison 155 between in-situ and different satellite-retrieved Chl a data is shown in Fig. S2. There is a good consistency between in-situ 156 157 and daily satellite Chl a data ( $R^2 > 0.5$ , RMSE < 0.4), indicating it is rational to combine the in-situ and satellite Chl a data 158 together.
- DMS and extracted MLD and three nutrients (nitrate, phosphate, silicate) were also performed  $log_{10}$  transformation. Together with SST, DO, DSWF, and SSS, their statistical outliers were excluded as mentioned above. After data filtration, a total of 54,191 DMS measurements can be matched by all variables. To avoid multi-data points gathering in a certain time (the same day) and a small region (<  $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$ ), these data points were averaged, and finally the number of binned samples used for model development is 34,118. The spatial distribution of these binned samples is shown in Fig. 2a.

#### 164 2.3 Artificial neural network training and validation

165 The 34,118 binned samples after the previously mentioned data preprocessing were used to develop the artificial neural network (ANN) model. The target feature is  $log_{10}(DMS)$ , and the input features are  $log_{10}(Chl a)$ , SST,  $log_{10}(MLD)$ , 166 log<sub>10</sub>(nitrate), log<sub>10</sub>(phosphate), log<sub>10</sub>(silicate), DO, DSWF, and SSS. The data of all variables were standardized before 167 training. We randomly selected 5% of the samples (n = 1706) to be entirely excluded from training, as a subset for global 168 169 validation and overfitting test. Then, the remaining samples (n = 32,412) were randomly split into three parts, that is, 70% for 170 training, 15% for validation, and 15% for testing. Our feed-forward back-propagation (BP) neural network contains one hidden 171 layer with 20 nodes, and the training algorithm is the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Rooted mean squared error (RMSE) is chosen as the indicator for performance evaluation during training, and the upper limit of the number of iterations in each 172





(1)

173 training session is 1000. The training processes were carried out with Neural Network Toolbox on Matlab R2014b. We have trained the ANN 100 times and each training session started independently with a new random partition of those 32,411 174 175 samples. The average output of 100 trained ANNs showed an obviously higher consistency with the target than individual ANN. But as the number of training sessions  $(N_{\text{training}})$  is larger than 80, the simulation performance tends to be stable (Fig. 176 177 S3). Therefore, we used the average of 100 ANN outputs as our final model output. This kind of multiple-training approach is also called "ANN ensemble" or "Monte Carlo cross-validation", which has been widely used to improve the model 178 179 generalization and performance (Sigmund et al., 2020; Holder et al., 2022) as well as get a better model evaluation (Dubitzky et al., 2007). 180

To evaluate whether there is a spatial preference for DMS simulation, the comparisons of simulated and observed DMS concentrations in different types of oceanic regions were conducted. We divided the global ocean into 9 regions based on Longhurst's biomes (Longhurst, 1998). There are 6 biomes in Longhurst's definition, including Coastal, Polar\_N, Polar\_S, Westerlies\_N, Westerlies\_S, and Trades (the .shp file of Longhurst's biomes and provinces was downloaded from <u>https://www.marineregions.org/downloads.php#longhurst</u>). We further divided Westerlies\_N into Westerlies\_N\_Pacific and Westerlies\_N\_Atlantic, and divided Trades into Trades\_Pacific, Trades\_Indian, and Trades\_Atlantic by different basins as shown in Fig. 2b.

#### 188 2.4 Deriving the 20-year global DMS distributions

## 189 2.4.1 Simulation of sea surface DMS concentrations

First, we constructed the daily gridded dataset of input variables with a spatial resolution of 1°×1° from 1998 to 2017 using 190 the data sources listed in Table 1 (except in-situ Chl a data). For those datasets with a higher spatial resolution than  $1^{\circ}\times 1^{\circ}$ , 191 values in each  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid were averaged. As for satellite Chl a data, the priority level was the same as mentioned in Section 192 193 2.2, and SeaWiFS and Aqua-MODIS datasets were combined. As for nutrients and DO, only monthly climatologies were provided in WOA18 and the same value was used for all the days of each month. Hence, these variables lack information on 194 195 inter-annual and day-to-day variations, but the spatial and monthly variations are well captured. The potential uncertainties in predicted DMS fields associated with this issue are discussed in Section 4. Then, the obtained gridded dataset was fed into the 196 197 ANN ensemble model, and the 20-year global distribution of sea surface DMS concentration with the daily resolution was 198 simulated.

#### 199 2.4.2 Calculation of sea-to-air fluxes

200 The sea-to-air fluxes of DMS were calculated on the basis of simulated surface DMS concentrations following equation (1):

201 
$$DMS flux = Kt \times (DMS_w - \frac{DMS_a}{H})$$



- Here  $DMS_w$  and  $DMS_a$  are DMS concentrations in surface seawater and air, respectively. H is Henry's law constant of DMS.
- 203 Since  $\frac{DMS_a}{H}$  is usually  $\ll DMS_w$ , this term was omitted in the calculation. Kt is the total transfer velocity considering the sea
- 204 ice coverage fraction (*SI*): 205  $Kt = k_t \times (1 - SI)$  (2) 206  $k_t$  is the total transfer velocity without considering sea ice which is calculated by equation (3): 207  $\frac{1}{k_t} = \frac{1}{k_w} + \frac{1}{k_a \times H}$  (3)
- Here  $k_{w}$  and  $k_{a}$  are the water-side transfer velocity and air-side transfer velocity, respectively. We used the same approach as 208 Galí et al. (2019) to obtain  $k_w$ ,  $k_a$ , and H for DMS, where the effect of wind speed was considered for  $k_a$ , and the influences of 209 210 SST and SSS were considered for H. The calculations of  $k_a$  and H followed the parameterizations put forward by Johnson (2010). As for  $k_w$  calculation, we adopted the bubble scheme (Woolf, 1997), which divided the sea-to-air mass transfer process 211 212 into turbulence- and bubble-mediated gas exchange. The calculated  $k_w$  based on the bubble scheme is lower than that of 213 Nightingale's scheme (Nightingale et al., 2000) under the condition of high wind speed and has a smaller deviation from the actual value (Beale et al., 2014; Galí et al., 2019). Before calculation, WS and SI data were also binned by 1°×1° grid. By 214 using WS and SI together with SST and SSS datasets, we obtained the daily gridded Kt and then calculated the sea-to-air DMS 215 216 fluxes (daily, 1998-2017) by multiplying simulated DMS concentrations by Kt values.

#### 217 3 Results

#### 218 3.1 Model performance

As shown in Fig. 3a, the newly-developed ANN ensemble model captures a substantial part of data variance globally (log10 219 220 space  $R^2 = 0.624$  and RMSE = 0.267). 92.3% of ANN simulated concentration values fall within 1/3 to 3 times of corresponding true values. The simulation performance for 5% of the dataset not used in training ( $R^2 = 0.604$ , RMSE = 0.274, and 91.6% of 221 222 data within the range of 1/3 to 3 times of observations) is very close to that for the training dataset (Fig. 3b), suggesting no 223 obvious overfitting. The ANN model exhibits much better performance compared to previous empirical and process-based 224 models ( $R^2 = 0.01 \sim 0.14$ ) (Tesdal et al., 2016) as well as the satellite-based algorithm ( $R^2 = 0.50$ ) (Gali et al., 2018). The ANN model developed by Wang et al. (2020) showed a slightly higher performance ( $R^2 = 0.66$ , RMSE = 0.264 for training dataset) 225 than our model, likely due to their more complex ANN configuration (two hidden layers with 128 nodes each) and the including 226 of sample location and time into input features. However, the more complex model will significantly increase the 227 228 computational cost, and the incorporation of location and time information may weaken the physical interpretability. On the other hand, the performance improvement is very limited. Therefore, we keep the simpler model configuration. It should be 229 noted the ANN model may not reproduce the extreme DMS concentrations (> 20 nM or < 0.2 nM) well, but the fraction of 230 these values is less than 3% and has little impact on the overall performance. 231





- 232 Our ANN model also shows good performance in each of the 9 separated oceanic regions without harmful spatial preference.
- 233 As illustrated in Fig. 3c, the  $log_{10}$  space RMSEs are all below 0.3 except for the Coastal region (RMSE = 0.304). The percentage
- $234 \quad \text{of simulated values within the 1:3 and 3:1 lines ranges from 88.7\% to 99.4\%. Although the $R^2$ values in 3 Trades regions are $R^2$ values in 3 Trad$
- 235 lower than 0.5, which is related to the relatively small variation range of the DMS, the RMSEs in these regions are quite low
- 236 and not inferior to those of other regions.









Figure 3. Comparisons between ANN-simulated and observed DMS concentrations in the GSSD database. (a) Scatter density for all simulated versus observed DMS concentration data used in ANN training. (b) Comparison between the simulation results and the 5% of GSSD observational data not used for training. (c) Scatter density for simulated versus observed DMS concentration data in each of the 9 regions. The number of data (n), the  $log_{10}$  space R<sup>2</sup> and the root mean squared error (RMSE) are also displayed.





#### 243

It is worth noting that there may be intrinsic connections between the 5% excluded DMS subset and trained dataset both from 244 245 the GSSD database, because the data from the same cruise or fixed-site campaign have certain continuity. To further evaluate the reliability of the ANN model, we compared the simulated DMS concentrations with the observational data not archived in 246 247 the GSSD database, which are obtained from 35 cruises in Northeast Pacific, West Pacific, and North Atlantic (number of data = 6,478). These data include (1) off-line sampling and measurement data of 31 cruises of *Line P Program* in Northeast Pacific 248 249 (Steiner et al., 2011) (9 February 2007 – 26 August 2017, number of data = 177. https://www.waterproperties.ca/linep/index.php, last access: 23 November 2020), (2) underway measurements during SONNE 250 251 cruise 202/2 (TRANSBROM) in West Pacific (Zindler et al., 2013) (9 - 23 October 2009, number of data = 115, 252 https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.805613, last access: 23 November 2020), (3) underway measurements during 3 cruises of the North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES) (Behrenfeld et al., 2019; Bell et al., 2021) (11 - 30 253 254 November 2015, 14 May - 4 June 2016, 6-24 September 2017, number of data = 6,186, https://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/naames, last access: 27 November, 2020). Before the comparison, the data measured within a 1°×1° grid and at the same day were 255 256 binned by arithmetic average. 257 The comparisons between these observed DMS concentrations and ANN simulation are shown in Fig. 4. As for the Line P 258 Program, it should be noted that there are 7 cruises included in the GSSD database, but those data were obtained by underway

259 measurements, different from the off-line data used here. Hence, these cruises were retained and marked in Fig. 4a but

260 eliminated in subsequent statistical analysis (Fig. 4b-c). It can be seen that the simulation well captures the seasonal variation,

261 which is generally August > June > February. In addition, the simulation can also partially reproduce the changes between

262 different stations, though the performance is not as good as the overall comparison between different cruises. As for underway

263 measurements, although a certain degree of overestimation in the West Pacific and underestimation in North Atlantic was

observed, most of the simulated values (88.4%) are within the range of 1/3 to 3 times of observations. If all of the above data

are binned by each cruise, the simulations will demonstrate high consistency with observations as shown in Fig. 4c ( $R^2 = 0.784$ ,

RMSE = 0.227). These results further evidence the validity of our ANN model in simulating the concentrations of sea surface

267 DMS.









Figure 4. Comparisons between the ANN model simulation results and DMS observational data not archived in the GSSD database. (a) Time series of simulation results and DMS observational data obtained from *Line P Program*. The different markers represent different stations of *Line P*. The blue shades cover the data obtained from the cruises included in the GSSD database but with a different method. (b) Scatter plot of simulated versus observed DMS concentrations. (c) The same as panel b but for averaged data of each cruise. The yellow lines and shaded bands are linear fittings and corresponding 95% confidence intervals for log<sub>10</sub> space data. The R<sup>2</sup> and RMSE displayed in the figure also correspond to log<sub>10</sub> space data.

## 275 3.2 DMS distribution

#### 276 3.2.1 Spatial and seasonal variations

The monthly climatology of ANN-simulated DMS concentrations in the global sea surface from 1998 to 2017 is shown in Fig. 5. Overall, the DMS concentrations in mid-high latitude regions exhibit a seasonal variation of high in summer and low in winter, which is consistent with the results of many previous observational studies. In the northern hemisphere, high DMS concentrations (>2.5 nM) in summer mainly occur in two regions. One is the North Pacific (40°–60° N) extending from the coast of Japan and Russia to the coast of Alaska and Canada, where the concentration generally peaks in August (Fig. 6). The

<sup>14</sup> 





other is the subarctic North Atlantic (50°-80° N), where a significant increase of DMS concentration firstly occurs in the 45°-282 283 50° N in May and then gradually shifts to the north of 50° N in July, consistent with the spatiotemporal evolution of solar 284 radiation intensity. The peak concentration date at the same latitude in the North Atlantic is generally earlier than in the North Pacific (Fig. 6). In the southern hemisphere, there is a clear DMS-rich area near 40° S (where the Subtropical Convergence 285 286 lies) in summer, forming a ring-shaped high-concentration band nearly parallel to the latitude. The highest seasonal mean concentration (December-February) at a specific latitude is 3.58 nM, taking place at 41.5° S (Fig. 8). Southward from this 287 region, there is a low-DMS area in the 51°-61° S, where the average concentration is below 2.0 nM in all seasons. However, 288 289 in the coastal waters of Antarctica (south of 60° S), significantly high concentrations also appear in summer, reaching over 4.0 290 nM, even higher than the region near 40° S (Fig. 4 and 8). In addition to the above regions, some typical upwelling zones also 291 exhibit relatively higher DMS concentrations, such as the eastern Pacific and the Southeast Atlantic. The former is at low 292 latitudes with no obvious seasonal variation, while the latter exhibits higher concentrations from October to February. The 293 high nutrient concentrations in upwelling areas could support higher primary productivity, leading to more intense biological

294 activities including the production of biogenic sulfur.







297 Figure 5. Monthly climatology of global sea surface DMS concentration during 1998 to 2017.

298

296



299

300 Figure 6. The day of the year with the highest sea surface DMS concentration for each grid.

16





302 The spatiotemporal variation of DMS emission flux is generally consistent with that of concentration. As shown in Fig. 7, DMS fluxes are also significantly higher in summer in most mid-high latitude regions, and the high-flux regions generally 303 304 overlap with the hot spots of DMS concentration. This indicates that the distribution of sea surface DMS concentration is the main factor controlling the distribution pattern of DMS emissions at the global scale, and the effect of transfer velocity is 305 306 secondary. However, in certain specific regions, the variations of DMS flux and concentration may be inconsistent. For example, in the Arabian Sea and the central Indian Ocean, the high transfer velocities (Fig. S4) caused by higher wind speeds 307 308 during the period from June to September can significantly uplift the emission fluxes, although the concentrations are relatively lower than in other months. In the polar regions, especially along the coast of Antarctica, although the DMS concentration is 309 310 high in summer, the sea ice coverage will greatly hinder the release of DMS, thus the emission flux remains at a lower level.

As shown in Fig. 8, the higher wind speeds in autumn and winter at mid to high latitudes result in higher total transfer velocities, 311 312 leading to smaller summer-to-winter ratios of DMS emission flux compared to that of DMS concentration. In low latitudes, the existence of the trade wind zones in both hemispheres further differentiates two high-flux bands compared to concentration, 313 located between 5° to 20°. The emission fluxes in the equatorial region between these two trade zones are significantly lower. 314 Although the latitudinal distributions of mean DMS emission fluxes in the southern and northern hemispheres are almost 315 symmetrical, the huge difference in ocean area between the two hemispheres results in a significantly higher total emission 316 317 from the southern hemisphere. Since anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are mainly concentrated in the northern hemisphere, oceanic DMS plays a much more important role in the southern hemisphere, especially over the regions south of 40° S where 318 the DMS emission is high and the perturbation of anthropogenic pollution is low. 319

According to our newly built DMS gridded dataset, the global area-weighted annual mean concentration of DMS at the sea 320 surface from 1998 to 2017 was ~1.82 nM (1.80-1.84 nM), which is at an intermediate level among the values (1.6 to 2.4 nM) 321 322 obtained by various methods in previous studies (Tesdal et al., 2016). The global annual mean DMS emission to the atmosphere was 17.9 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup> (17.7–18.4 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup>), with 10.7 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup> (59.4%) from the southern hemisphere and 7.3 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup> (40.6%) 323 324 from the northern hemisphere. It is worth noting that there is no valid satellite Chl a data in high latitudes in winter, and the Chl a data missing also exists in other regions, thus the simulated DMS concentrations are missing under these circumstances. 325 326 This part of missing data was filled in the calculation of global mean DMS concentration and total emission. The specific method is shown in Appendix B. Without filling in the missing data, the global annual mean concentration and total emission 327 are 1.86 nM (1.84-1.88 nM) and 16.8 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup> (16.6-17.2 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. 328

329







331 Figure 7. Monthly climatology of global DMS sea-to-air flux from 1998 to 2017.

332

330

333







## 334

335 Figure 8. The latitudinal distributions of sea surface DMS concentration, total transfer velocity (Kt), sea-to-air flux, and total

emission in different seasons during 1998–2017. Only the latitudes in a season with no more than 75% of data missing are shown in the figure.

#### 338 3.2.2 Comparisons with other global DMS climatologies

Here we compare the distributions of DMS concentration derived from our ANN simulation (referred to as Z23) with four previously constructed climatologies, including (1) L11: the widely used second version of interpolation/extrapolation-based climatology established by Lana et al. (2011), (2) H22: an updated version of L11 incorporating much more DMS measurements and using dynamic biogeochemical provinces (Hulswar et al., 2022), (3) G18: the DMS concentration field estimated by a two-step remote sensing algorithm (Galí et al., 2018), and (4) W20: the previous DMS climatology simulated

344 by ANN (Wang et al., 2020).

In general, all datasets can reflect the basic pattern of high DMS concentration in summer and low concentration in winter, but 345 there are significant differences in their specific distributions. Due to the limitation of the method used, DMS<sub>L11</sub> exhibits 346 347 relatively lower spatial heterogeneity (i.e., higher patchiness), which may not well capture the detailed spatial variability on a regional scale. Compared with DMS<sub>L11</sub>, DMS<sub>Z23</sub> is significantly lower at high latitudes in summer and in the South Indian 348 349 Ocean and Southwest Pacific Ocean from December to February (Fig. 9a). Particularly in the southern polar region (Polar\_S), 350  $DMS_{L11}$  can reach > 10 nM in summer, which is 1–3 times higher than  $DMS_{Z23}$  (Fig. 9e). However,  $DMS_{Z23}$  around the 351 Antarctic in March remains the similar level as in summer, and it is significantly higher than DMSL11 as well as the other three climatologies. DMSH22 shows fewer differences with DMSZ23 in northern high latitudes, the South Indian Ocean, and the 352 353 Southwest Pacific Ocean, but the summertime concentrations in most of Polar S region are also > 2 nM higher than DMS<sub>Z23</sub>





(Fig. 9b). The global annual mean DMS concentrations in L11 and H22 are 2.43 nM and 2.26 nM, about 33.5% and 24.2%
higher than Z23, respectively.

G18 exhibits the lowest global annual mean concentration (1.63 nM) among these climatologies, about 10.4% lower than Z23. 356 There are more than 60% of global oceans with higher DMS<sub>Z23</sub> than DMS<sub>G18</sub> in all seasons, which is especially obvious in 357 autumn and winter for both hemispheres (Fig. 9c). In addition, DMS<sub>Z23</sub> is > 2 nM (> 100%) higher than DMS<sub>G18</sub> in North 358 Pacific during boreal summer and near the Antarctic during austral summer and autumn. However, there are extremely high 359 DMS concentrations (> 5 nM) in some coastal seas (such as the coast of Patagonia and Peru, the southwestern coast of Africa, 360 the western coast of North America, and the Sahara Desert) based on the G18 estimate, and this characteristic was not 361 362 completely reproduced by other DMS fields. W20 exhibits the highest consistency with Z23 in spatiotemporal distribution patterns as well as the lowest difference in global annual mean concentration (1.74 nM, only 4.4% lower than Z23). But in 363 specific regions, there are also obvious disagreements. For example, the summertime  $DMS_{Z23}$  is > 1 nM (>40%) lower than 364 DMS<sub>W20</sub> in more than half of the Arctic area, but in the southeastern Pacific and South Atlantic during austral summer and 365 autumn DMS<sub>Z23</sub> is significantly higher than DMS<sub>W20</sub> (Fig. 9e). Moreover, only DMS<sub>Z23</sub> forms a nearly complete high-366

367 concentration annular band at  $\sim 40^{\circ}$  S during austral summer.







368

369 Figure 9. (a–d) The spatial distributions of DMS concentration differences between Z23 and four previously estimated fields

in different seasons: (a) L11, (b) H22, (c) G18, and (d) W20. Dark gray regions in the ocean represent data missing in at least
one field. (e) Comparisons between the latitudinal distributions of Z23 and four previous DMS fields in different seasons.

372

## 373 3.2.3 Decadal changes

374 One of the advantages of our ANN-derived DMS dataset is that it contains information on interannual variations. Here we

375 present the decadal trends of DMS concentration, Kt, and emission flux during 1998–2017 at global and regional scales.







376 Overall, the interannual variability of DMS concentration in most global oceanic regions is relatively small. 90% of the global oceanic area exhibited a difference of less than 1 nM between the maximum and minimum annual average concentrations 377 378 during this 20-year period. As for the relative variation range ((maximum - minimum)/mean) of the annual average concentration, it was lower than 0.2 in 66% of the global oceanic area, mainly distributed between the latitude 40° S and 40° 379 380 N. The annual mean concentration in the polar regions varied greatly (Fig. 10a). In particular, the Labrador Sea, Baffin Bay, 381 Barents Sea, Kara Sea, East Siberian Sea, and Chukchi Sea in the Arctic showed a significant increasing trend (> 0.03 nM yr 382  $^{1}$ , P < 0.05). In contrast, some areas around the Antarctic showed a significant decreasing trend, such as the inner Weddell Sea and Ross Sea (> 0.05 nM yr<sup>-1</sup>), but the annual means in marginal seas of the Antarctic between 0°-180° E increased. In addition, 383 there was a significant increasing trend at  $\sim$ 50° S, but the rate of increase was relatively slow (< 0.02 nM yr<sup>-1</sup>). In the low-mid 384 latitude regions where the variation range of DMS was small, the overall trend was decrease, and the large area of these regions 385 resulted in a mild decrease in global average concentration, with a rate of  $\sim 0.0012$  nM yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 10d). The highest concentration 386 387 (1.84 nM) occurred in 2000, while the lowest concentration (1.80 nM) occurred in 2017. Due to the increasing SST and WS in most mid-low latitude regions (Fig. S5), the Kt of DMS also showed an overall increasing trend, especially in the eastern 388 389 equatorial Pacific (Fig. 10b). The increase in Kt can offset the decrease in DMS concentration to some extent, resulting in no 390 significant trend in global DMS fluxes during this 20-year period (Fig. 10d). 391 In the Arctic region, which is the most sensitive to climate warming (Screen et al., 2012; Serreze and Barry, 2011), the sea ice

coverage has significantly decreased over the past 2 decades, particularly in the Barents Sea and Kara Sea and further north (> 392 393 1% yr<sup>-1</sup> for annual mean SI, Fig. S5). The retreat of summertime sea ice leads to an expansion of open-sea surface, further 394 promoting the increase in DMS emission, which is consistent with the results of Galí et al. (2019). As shown in Fig. 11, the annual DMS emission flux in the Polar N region increased from 0.48 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup> before 2002 to 0.58 TgS yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2016. If we 395 396 just consider the fluxes during May-August north of 70° N, as in Galí et al. (2019), the lowest value was 37 GgS in 2003 and 397 the highest was 64 GgS in 2016. In contrast, the sea ice fraction in the Southern Ocean has significantly increased (Fig. S5), 398 leading to a significant decrease in the Kt and DMS emission flux (Fig. 10b-c). The highest annual total emission flux in the 399 Polar S region occurred in 1999 (0.85 TgS) and the lowest occurred in 2013 (0.63 TgS), which decreased by ~26%. For other 400 oceanic regions, the average DMS concentrations in the Coastal, Westerlies\_N\_Pacific, and all 3 Trades regions exhibit decreasing trends over the past 20 years, while the concentration in Westerlies S has increased (P < 0.05, Fig. 11). As for the 401 402 DMS flux, only the Westerlies N Atlantic and Trades Pacific regions showed a slight increase, while there was no significant 403 trend in other low-mid latitude regions.

404





Figure 10. (a-c) The spatial distributions of changes in (a) DMS concentration, (b) Kt, and (c) DMS emission flux from 1998
to 2017. The linear regression slopes for the annual means are taken as the changing rates here. (d) The temporal changes of

409 global annual mean DMS concentration, Kt, and total emission flux from 1998 to 2017.







Figure 11. The temporal changes of annual mean DMS concentration, Kt, and total emission flux in different regions during1998 to 2017.

#### 415 3.3 Connection with atmospheric biogenic sulfur

416 One of the main aims of developing this daily gridded DMS dataset (Z23) covering multiple years is to provide a better 417 emission inventory of marine biogenic DMS and effectively improve the modeling performance for atmospheric sulfur 418 chemistry, especially for simulating sulfur aerosols. In order to investigate whether our newly constructed DMS dataset can 419 reach this goal, we employed a backward trajectory-based method to establish the connection between sea surface DMS 420 emissions and DMS's oxidation products in the atmosphere. The correlation was compared to those calculated by applying 421 previously reported DMS climatologies (L11, H22, G18, and W20).
422 Here we use the observed concentrations of particulate methanesulfonic acid (MSA) over the Atlantic Ocean as a reference.

423 MSA is one of the major end-products of DMS in the atmosphere and is solely from the oxidation of marine biogenic DMS

424 over remote oceans (Saltzman et al., 1983; Savoie et al., 2002; Osman et al., 2019). Therefore, there is likely to be a dependence





425 of the variation of MSA concentration on the DMS emission fluxes. During four transection cruises in the Atlantic conducted by R/V Polarstern (20 April - 20 May 2011, 28 October - 1 December 2011, 10 April - 15 May 2012, and 27 October - 27 426 427 November 2012), the MSA concentrations in submicron aerosols were measured online using a High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer with the time resolution of 20 min. We applied 1-h averaged data to match the air mass 428 429 backward trajectories calculated every hour. The ship tracks are shown in Fig. S6, and detailed information about the cruises and measurement method was given by Huang et al. (2016). The 72-hour air mass backward trajectories reaching the ship 430 431 position were calculated every hour by the HYSPLIT model with the start height of 100 m (Stein et al., 2015), and then the air mass exposure to DMS emission (AEDMS), i.e., the weighted average of DMS emission flux along the trajectory path was 432 433 calculated following the approach of Zhou et al. (2021). We used 5 different DMS gridded datasets, including Z23, L11, H22, G18, and W20. For Z23, the calculated daily DMS fluxes were used. For the other 4 monthly climatologies, we applied the 434 daily Kt data from Z23 to calculate the DMS fluxes to exclude the confounding influences by different Kt parameterizations. 435 436 In this calculation, the same concentration was assigned to all days in a month without interpolation. The detailed calculation procedures for AEDMS are illustrated in Appendix C. 437

MSA concentrations were significantly higher in late spring than those in autumn for both North and South Atlantic Oceans 438 439 (Fig. 12a). For example, during the boreal spring cruise in 2011, the average MSA concentration over the North Atlantic (0.068 440 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, north of 25° N) was about an order of magnitude higher than the average concentration over the South Atlantic (0.006 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, south of 5° S). In contrast, during the boreal autumn cruise in 2011, the average concentration over the South Atlantic 441 (0.034 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, south of 5° S) was ~5 times higher than that over the North Atlantic (0.006 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, north of 25° N). In addition 442 443 to this major seasonal pattern, there was also a minor MSA concentration peak between  $5^{\circ}-15^{\circ}$  N in both seasons. The spatial 444 and seasonal variations of AEDMS based on the Z23 dataset (referred to as AEDMS Z23) largely coincided with these MSA 445 concentration patterns (Fig. 12a). It should be noted that the AEDMS/MSA ratio between 5°-15° N was significantly higher 446 than those in other high-MSA regions, which may result from the DMS simulation biases near the coast of West Africa or the 447 lower DMS-to-MSA conversion yields related with air temperature and oxidant species (Barnes et al., 2006; Bates et al., 1992). There were also several AEDMS peaks in North Atlantic during November 2012, inconsistent with the continuously low MSA 448 449 concentrations. Given the high precipitation rates along the trajectory, a strong wet scavenging process might significantly reduce aerosol concentrations (Wood et al., 2017). 450

The AEDMS derived from other DMS concentration fields showed similar variations as AEDMS\_Z23 (Fig. 12a). It was not surprising since all DMS concentration fields exhibit similar large-scale spatiotemporal patterns, and the same air mass transport path and Kt were applied in different AEDMS calculations. However, because those DMS monthly climatologies have low temporal resolutions and lack interannual changes, the resulting AEDMS was less effective in capturing the variability in fine scale or among different years. Here we focus on the high-MSA periods to elaborate on this issue, which corresponds to the latitudes north of 25° N in boreal spring (S1 and S2 in Fig. 12a) and south of 5° N in boreal autumn (A1





and A2 in Fig. 12a). As shown in Fig. 12b-c, hourly MSA concentrations exhibited significantly stronger correlations with 457 AEDMS Z23 than with other AEDMS time series in both seasons, which means AEDMS Z23 can explain much more (0.78-458 459 2.71 times higher) variance of MSA concentration. It was even more obvious for 6-h averaged data, as AEDMS Z23 can explain ~70% of the variance during S1 and S2, while others can only explain ~30% (Fig. S7). These remarkably stronger 460 461 connections between Z23 and atmospheric DMS-derived aerosols mainly benefited from the combined effects of higher time resolution and accuracy of DMS concentrations as well as the incorporation of interannual variations. For example, the ratio 462 463 of average MSA concentration during S1 to that during S2 (S1-to-S2 ratio) was 1.89, and the A2-to-A1 ratio was 1.58. AEDMS Z23 exhibited a slightly lower but still significant interannual variation degree, where the S1-to-S2 ratio and A2-to-464 465 A1 ratio were 1.59 and 1.34, respectively. However, this interannual variation cannot be reproduced by other datasets, where the S1-to-S2 ratio and A2-to-A1 ratio were in the range of 1.09-1.32 and 1.05-1.11, respectively. The results further prove the 466 fidelity of our newly developed DMS gridded data product, which has the prospect of greatly improving the modeling 467 468 performance for atmospheric DMS processes compared with previously reported climatologies. Of course, the AEDMS method used here is a highly simplified approach without considering the complex DMS chemistry in the atmosphere, and the 469 470 intercomparisons based on chemical transport models can be used in the future to obtain a more straightforward conclusion.

471





472



Figure 12. (a) Time series of observed MSA concentration, AEDMS calculated based on different DMS concentration datasets, and average precipitation along the backward trajectory (Precipitation\_traj) during four Atlantic cruises in 2011–2012. (b–c) Correlations between hourly MSA concentration and AEDMS based on different DMS concentration datasets (b) in the region north of 25° N in boreal spring (the shaded area S1 and S2 in panel a) and (c) in the region south of 5° N in boreal autumn (the





shaded area A1 and A2 in panel a). Data points during the periods with air mass time fraction within the boundary layer lessthan 90% were removed.

#### 479 4 Uncertainties and limitations

480 Although our ANN ensemble model and derived DMS dataset demonstrate certain advantages compared to previous studies, 481 as discussed in Section 3.3, there are still notable uncertainties and limitations, which result in the ~38% uncaptured variance (Figure 3a) and non-negligible simulation biases. First, the input factor datasets from different sources and the observed sea 482 surface DMS concentrations inherently possess certain uncertainties, which can introduce biases in the ANN learning process. 483 Second, ANN models may not fully capture all intricate data features, and the outcomes obtained from each training can exhibit 484 485 certain randomness. In this study, the average standard deviation of simulated log<sub>10</sub>DMS values from 100 neural networks was 0.256, and the 5%-95% range of the coefficient of variation for DMS concentration was 0.36-1.96, with a mean of 1.06. If the 486 487 detailed uncertainties associated with each data source are known, future investigations could employ Monte Carlo methods to estimate the uncertainties of final results arising from the aforementioned two factors (Abdar et al., 2021; Moradkhani et al., 488 2012). Third, while the DMS observational data covers all major oceanic basins, certain regions such as the Southeast Pacific 489 490 remain underrepresented. Advances in online measurement technologies offer promising opportunities for acquiring more 491 extensive and convenient observational data (Hulswar et al., 2022). In the future, more observations are needed for these 492 underrepresented regions, and the model can be retrained and updated accordingly.

The ideal scenario for this study would entail having all input datasets covering a 20-year period with a daily resolution. 493 However, this is not the case for the nutrients and DO datasets utilized here, and only a portion of the Chl a datasets are 494 available at a daily resolution, potentially impacting the model performance to some extent. Nevertheless, machine learning 495 techniques can capture the interactions among various input factors. Two key factors, SST and MLD, influence the surface 496 497 concentration of these species, and we provided the multi-year daily datasets of SST and MLD. Therefore, during the training 498 process, the model can learn some of the daily and interannual variation information regarding nutrients and DO, as well as 499 their impact on DMS concentration, thereby partially compensating for the model performance. Of course, in the future, the 500 utilization of accurate daily resolution data instead of monthly climatologies may yield improved simulation results. 501 Additionally, apart from the 9 variables incorporated in this study, other environmental parameters, such as trace metal 502 elements (Li et al., 2021), can also influence the DMS concentration. Not incorporating these factors may introduce potential 503 biases. Thus, further field measurements of these factors are necessary to comprehend their spatiotemporal distributions, which are likely to enhance the model's ability to simulate sea surface DMS concentrations. 504

505 When using our newly developed DMS dataset, two issues should be aware of. First, there is a notable amount of missing data 506 during winter in polar regions due to the unavailability of satellite Chl *a*, which restricts the investigation of subsequent DMS 507 atmospheric processes related to DMS. Nevertheless, given the low phytoplankton biomass and extensive sea ice coverage





- 508 during winter, DMS emissions are typically at the lowest level of the year, thus the data missing has a relatively small impact.
- 509 Second, the ANN ensemble model exhibits limited capacity in accruately reproducing extremely high and low concentrations
- 510 of DMS (Fig. 3a). Therefore, in some nearshore areas with intensive biological activity, this dataset may potentially
- 511 underestimate the actual DMS concentrations.

#### 512 5 Code and data availability

513 The generated gridded datasets of DMS concentration, total transfer velocity, and flux have been deposited at

- 514 https://zenodo.org/record/10279659 (Zhou et al., 2023) and can be downloaded publicly. The ANN model code and the Matlab
- 515 scripts for data analysis are available from https://zenodo.org/record/8077751 (Zhou, 2023).

## 516 6 Conclusion

517 Based on the global sea surface DMS observations and associated data of 9 relevant environmental variables, an ANN 518 ensemble model was trained. The ANN model effectively captures the variability of DMS concentrations and demonstrates 519 good simulation accuracy. Leveraging this ANN model, a global sea surface DMS gridded dataset with a daily resolution 520 spanning 20 years (1998-2017) was constructed. The global annual average concentration was ~1.82 nM, falling within the 521 range of previous estimates, and the annual total emission was ~17.9 TgS yr-1. High DMS concentrations and fluxes took place 522 in summer in North Pacific (40°-60° N), North Atlantic (50°-80° N), the annular band of ~40° S, and the Southern Ocean. 523 With this newly developed dataset, the day-to-day changes and interannual variations can be investigated. The global annual 524 average concentration shows a mild decreasing trend (~0.0012 nM yr<sup>-1</sup>), while the total emission remains stable. There were 525 more significant decadal changes in certain regions. Specifically, the annual DMS emission in the Arctic and Antarctic regions 526 showed opposite trends. To further validate the robustness and advantages of our new dataset, an airmass trajectory-based approach was applied to link 527 528 the DMS flux and atmospheric MSA concentration. Compared to previous monthly climatologies, the airmass exposure to 529 DMS calculated using our new dataset explains a greater amount of variance in atmospheric MSA concentration over the Atlantic Ocean. Therefore, despite the presence of uncertainties and limitations, the new dataset serves as an improved DMS 530

- 531 emission inventory for atmospheric models and holds the potential to significantly enhance the simulation of DMS-induced
- 532 aerosols and their associated climatic effects.
- 533

#### 534 Appendix A: Acronyms

- 535 AEDMS Air mass exposure to DMS emission
- 536 ANN Artificial neural network
- 537 BLH Boundary layer height
- 29





538	CCN	Cloud condensation nuclei
539	Chl a	Chlorophyll a
540	DMS	Dimethyl sulfide
541	DMSP	Dimethylsulfoniopropionate
542	DO	Dissolved oxygen
543	DSWF	Downward short-wave radiation flux
544	ECCO	Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean
545	GSSD database	Global Surface Seawater DMS database
546	Kt	Total transfer velocity
547	MLD	Mixed layer depth
548	MSA	Methanesulfonic acid
549	MSE	Mean squared error
550	NAAMES	North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study
551	RMSE	Rooted mean squared error
552	SI	Sea ice fraction
553	SST	Sea surface temperature
554	SSS	Sea surface salinity
555	WOA18	World Ocean Atlas 2018
556	WOD	World Ocean Database
557	WS	Wind speed

558

## 559 Appendix B: Filling the missing DMS concentration data

560 In the calculation of global mean DMS concentration and total emission flux, the missing data was complemented based on 561 the adjacent valid values. First, for a certain global DMS gridded dataset, we calculated the proportion of missing data for each latitude and identified the absolute minimum latitudes with missing proportions above 0.5 for the southern and northern 562 563 hemispheres, denoted as Lat1 and Lat2, respectively (assuming Lat1 is for the southern hemisphere with negative value, and Lat2 is for the northern hemisphere with positive value). Next, for latitudes outside the range of Lat1 and Lat2 (including Lat1 564 and Lat2), the missing data were replaced by the average of all valid values with latitude  $< Lat1 + 10^\circ$  or  $> Lat2 - 10^\circ$ . For the 565 latitudes between Lat1 and Lat2, the missing data at a certain grid was replaced by the average value of all adjacent grid points 566 within 5° differences in both longitude and latitude. This operation was iterated until all such missing values were filled. 567 568

569 Appendix C: The calculation of airmass exposure to DMS emission (AEDMS)





575



- 570 Here the AEDMS index followed the similar calculation of the air mass exposure to Chl a (AEC) in previous studies (Arnold
- 571 et al., 2010; Park et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2021). We adopted the same approach presented in Zhou et al. (2021), only replacing
- 572 the Chl *a* concentration by DMS flux, as shown in the following equation (A2):

 $AEDMS = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{72} DMS \, flux_i \cdot e^{-\frac{t_i}{72} \cdot \frac{600}{BLH}}}{n}$ 573

(A2)

- 574 Here *i* represents the *i*-th trajectory point of the 72-hour backward trajectory (0-th for the receptor point). DMS flux<sub>i</sub> represents
- 576 on land or the air mass pressure is below 850 hPa (usually in the free troposphere with little influence of surface emission).  $t_i$

the mean DMS flux within a radius of 20 km at the location of *i*-th trajectory point. DMS flux<sub>i</sub> is set to zero if the point locates

- 577 is the tracking time of the trajectory point (unit: hour) and  $e^{\frac{t_i}{72}}$  is the weighting factor to assign higher values for regions
- 578 closer to the receptor point. *n* is the total number of trajectory points with valid DMS flux (including 0). To better connect with
- 579 the atmospheric concentrations in the marine boundary layer, the normalization by boundary layer height (BLH) is added by
- 580 the  $\frac{600}{BLH}$  term. The BLH below 50 m is replaced by 50 m.

#### 581 Author contributions.

- 582 SZ and YC designed the research. SZ, FW, ZX, and KY collected the data and did the data preprocessing. SZ implemented
- 583 the model development and performed the simulation with assistance from GY, HZ, and YZ. SH, HH, AW, and LP provided
- 584 the measurement data of atmospheric MSA over the Atlantic Ocean. SZ conducted the data analysis and visualization with
- advice from YC and XG. SZ and YC wrote the manuscript with inputs from all authors.

## 586 Competing interests.

587 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## 588 Acknowledgements.

589 We greatly thank National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory for

- 590 maintaining the Global Surface Seawater DMS Database. We acknowledge Dr. Chenzhao Li for sharing the code of global
- sensitivity analysis and Dr. Martin Johnson for sharing the code of DMS transfer velocity calculation. We also thank Dr. Rich
- 592 Pawlowicz for developing and sharing the M\_Map toolbox for Matlab (https://www.eoas.ubc.ca/~rich/map.html), which was
- 593 used in the mapping of this study. XG was supported by the Research Center for Industries of the Future (RCIF) at Westlake
- 594 University and Westlake University Education Foundation.





#### 595 Financial support.

596 This work is jointly supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (22ZR1403800), National Key Research and

- 597 Development Program of China (2016YFA0601304), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (41775145).
- 598

#### 599 References

- 600 Abdar, M., Pourpanah, F., Hussain, S., Rezazadegan, D., Liu, L., Ghavamzadeh, M., Fieguth, P., Cao, X., Khosravi, A.,
- 601 Acharya, U. R., Makarenkov, V., and Nahavandi, S.: A review of uncertainty quantification in deep learning: Techniques,
- applications and challenges, Information Fusion, 76, 243-297, 10.1016/j.inffus.2021.05.008, 2021.
- 603 Alcolombri, U., Ben-Dor, S., Feldmesser, E., Levin, Y., Tawfik, D. S., and Vardi, A.: Identification of the algal dimethyl
- sulfide-releasing enzyme: a missing link in the marine sulfur cycle, Science, 348, 1466-1469, 2015.
- Andreae, M. O.: Ocean-Atmosphere Interactions in the Global Biogeochemical Sulfur Cycle, Mar. Chem., 30, 1-29, Doi
   10.1016/0304-4203(90)90059-L, 1990.
- Arnold, S. R., Spracklen, D. V., Gebhardt, S., Custer, T., Williams, J., Peeken, I., and Alvain, S.: Relationships between atmospheric organic compounds and air-mass exposure to marine biology, Environ. Chem., 7, 232-241, 10.1071/en09144,
- 609 2010.
- 610 Barnes, I., Hjorth, J., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl sulfoxide and their oxidation in the atmosphere,
- 611 Chem. Rev., 106, 940-975, 10.1021/cr020529+, 2006.
- 612 Bates, T. S., Calhoun, J. A., and Quinn, P. K.: Variations in the Methanesulfonate to Sulfate Molar Ratio in Submicrometer
- 613 Marine Aerosol-Particles over the South-Pacific Ocean, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 97, 9859-9865, 10.1029/92JD00411, 1992.
- 614 Beale, R., Johnson, M., Liss, P. S., and Nightingale, P. D.: Air-Sea Exchange of Marine Trace Gases, in: Treatise on
- 615 Geochemistry (Second Edition), edited by: Holland, H. D., and Turekian, K. K., 2, Elsevier, Oxford, 53-92, 2014.
- 616 Behrenfeld, M. J., Moore, R. H., Hostetler, C. A., Graff, J., Gaube, P., Russell, L. M., Chen, G., Doney, S. C., Giovannoni, S.,
- 617 Liu, H., Proctor, C., Bolaños, L. M., Baetge, N., Davie-Martin, C., Westberry, T. K., Bates, T. S., Bell, T. G., Bidle, K. D.,
- 618 Boss, E. S., Brooks, S. D., Cairns, B., Carlson, C., Halsey, K., Harvey, E. L., Hu, C., Karp-Boss, L., Kleb, M., Menden-Deuer,
- 619 S., Morison, F., Quinn, P. K., Scarino, A. J., Anderson, B., Chowdhary, J., Crosbie, E., Ferrare, R., Hair, J. W., Hu, Y., Janz,
- 620 S., Redemann, J., Saltzman, E., Shook, M., Siegel, D. A., Wisthaler, A., Martin, M. Y., and Ziemba, L.: The North Atlantic
- 621 Aerosol and Marine Ecosystem Study (NAAMES): Science Motive and Mission Overview, Front. Mar. Sci., 6,
- 622 10.3389/fmars.2019.00122, 2019.
- 623 Bell, T. G., Porter, J. G., Wang, W.-L., Lawler, M. J., Boss, E., Behrenfeld, M. J., and Saltzman, E. S.: Predictability of
- 624 Seawater DMS During the North Atlantic Aerosol and Marine Ecosystem Study (NAAMES), Front. Mar. Sci., 7, 596763,
- 625 10.3389/fmars.2020.596763, 2021.



- 626 Belviso, S., Bopp, L., Moulin, C., Orr, J. C., Anderson, T. R., Aumont, O., Chu, S., Elliott, S., Maltrud, M. E., and Simó, R.:
- 627 Comparison of global climatological maps of sea surface dimethyl sulfide, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 18, 628 10.1029/2003gb002193, 2004a.
- 629 Belviso, S., Moulin, C., Bopp, L., and Stefels, J.: Assessment of a global climatology of oceanic dimethylsulfide (DMS)
- concentrations based on SeaWiFS imagery (1998-2001), Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 61, 804-816,
  10.1139/f04-001, 2004b.
- 051 10.115/104 001, 20040.
- 632 Belviso, S., Masotti, I., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., Brockmann, P., Fichot, C., Caniaux, G., Prieur, L., Ras, J., Uitz, J., Loisel,
- 633 H., Dessailly, D., Alvain, S., Kasamatsu, N., and Fukuchi, M.: DMS dynamics in the most oligotrophic subtropical zones of
- 634 the global ocean, Biogeochemistry, 110, 215-241, 10.1007/s10533-011-9648-1, 2011.
- Bergen, K. J., Johnson, P. A., de Hoop, M. V., and Beroza, G. C.: Machine learning for data-driven discovery in solid Earth
  geoscience, Science, 363, eaau0323, 10.1126/science.aau0323, 2019.
- 637 Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G. W., Spracklen, D. V.,
- 638 Woodhouse, M. T., Regayre, L. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing,
- 639 Nature, 503, 67-71, 10.1038/nature12674, 2013.
- 640 Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreaei, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud
- 641 albedo and climate, Nature, 326, 655-661, 10.1038/326655a0, 1987.
- 642 Chen, Q., Sherwen, T., Evans, M., and Alexander, B.: DMS oxidation and sulfur aerosol formation in the marine troposphere:
- a focus on reactive halogen and multiphase chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 13617-13637, 10.5194/acp-18-13617-2018,
  2018.
- Dubitzky, W., Granzow, M., and Berrar, D. P.: Fundamentals of data mining in genomics and proteomics, Springer Science
   & Business Media, 2007.
- 647 Forget, G., Campin, J.-M., Heimbach, P., Hill, C. N., Ponte, R. M., and Wunsch, C.: ECCO version 4: An integrated framework
- 648 for non-linear inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation, Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 3071-3104, 2015.
- 649 Fung, K. M., Heald, C. L., Kroll, J. H., Wang, S., Jo, D. S., Gettelman, A., Lu, Z., Liu, X., Zaveri, R. A., Apel, E. C., Blake,
- 650 D. R., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Veres, P. R., Bates, T. S., Shilling, J. E., and Zawadowicz, M.: Exploring dimethyl
- 651 sulfide (DMS) oxidation and implications for global aerosol radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 1549-1573,
- 652 10.5194/acp-22-1549-2022, 2022.
- 653 Galí, M., Devred, E., Levasseur, M., Royer, S.-J., and Babin, M.: A remote sensing algorithm for planktonic
- dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and an analysis of global patterns, Remote Sensing of Environment, 171, 171-184,
  10.1016/j.rse.2015.10.012, 2015.
- 656 Galí, M., Levasseur, M., Devred, E., Simó, R., and Babin, M.: Sea-surface dimethylsulfide (DMS) concentration from satellite
- 657 data at global and regional scales, Biogeosciences, 15, 3497-3519, 10.5194/bg-15-3497-2018, 2018.
- 658 Galí, M., Devred, E., Babin, M., and Levasseur, M.: Decadal increase in Arctic dimethylsulfide emission, P. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- 659 USA, 116, 19311-19317, 10.1073/pnas.1904378116, 2019.







- 660 Galí, M., Lizotte, M., Kieber, D. J., Randelhoff, A., Hussherr, R., Xue, L., Dinasquet, J., Babin, M., Rehm, E., and Levasseur,
- 661 M.: DMS emissions from the Arctic marginal ice zone, Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 9, 662 10.1525/elementa.2020.00113, 2021.
- 663 Garcia, H., Weathers, K., Paver, C., Smolyar, I., Boyer, T., Locarnini, M., Zweng, M., Mishonov, A., Baranova, O., and Seidov,
- 664 D.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 3: Dissolved Oxygen, Apparent Oxygen Utilization, and Dissolved Oxygen Saturation.
- 665 A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 83, 38 pp., 2019a.
- 666 Garcia, H., Weathers, K., Paver, C., Smolyar, I., Boyer, T., Locarnini, M., Zweng, M., Mishonov, A., Baranova, O., and Seidov,
- 667 D.: World ocean atlas 2018. Vol. 4: Dissolved inorganic nutrients (phosphate, nitrate and nitrate+ nitrite, silicate). A. Mishonov
- 668 Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 84, 35 pp., 2019b.
- 669 Hoffmann, E. H., Tilgner, A., Schroedner, R., Bräuer, P., Wolke, R., and Herrmann, H.: An advanced modeling study on the
- 670 impacts and atmospheric implications of multiphase dimethyl sulfide chemistry, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 11776-11781,
- 671 10.1073/pnas.1606320113, 2016.
- 672 Holder, C., Gnanadesikan, A., and Aude-Pradal, M.: Using neural network ensembles to separate ocean biogeochemical and
- 673 physical drivers of phytoplankton biogeography in Earth system models, Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 1595-1617, 10.5194/gmd-
- 674 15-1595-2022, 2022.
- 675 Huang, B., Liu, C., Freeman, E., Graham, G., Smith, T., and Zhang, H.-M.: Assessment and Intercomparison of NOAA Daily
- Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (DOISST) Version 2.1, Journal of Climate, 34, 7421-7441, 10.1175/jcli-d21-0001.1, 2021.
- 678 Huang, S., Poulain, L., van Pinxteren, D., van Pinxteren, M., Wu, Z., Herrmann, H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Latitudinal and
- 679 Seasonal Distribution of Particulate MSA over the Atlantic using a Validated Quantification Method with HR-ToF-AMS,
- 680 Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 418-426, 10.1021/acs.est.6b03186, 2016.
- 681 Hulswar, S., Simó, R., Galí, M., Bell, T. G., Lana, A., Inamdar, S., Halloran, P. R., Manville, G., and Mahajan, A. S.: Third
- revision of the global surface seawater dimethyl sulfide climatology (DMS-Rev3), Earth System Science Data, 14, 2963-2987,
  10.5194/essd-14-2963-2022, 2022.
- 684 Johnson, M. T.: A numerical scheme to calculate temperature and salinity dependent air-water transfer velocities for any gas,
- 685 Ocean Sci., 6, 913-932, 10.5194/os-6-913-2010, 2010.
- 686 Keller, M. D., Bellows, W. K., and Guillard, R. R.: Dimethyl sulfide production in marine phytoplankton, in: Biogenic Sulfur
- 687 in the Environment, edited by: Saltzman, E. S., and Cooper, W. J., ACS Publications, 1989.
- 688 Kettle, A. J., Andreae, M. O., Amouroux, D., Andreae, T. W., Bates, T. S., Berresheim, H., Bingemer, H., Boniforti, R., Curran,
- 689 M. A. J., DiTullio, G. R., Helas, G., Jones, G. B., Keller, M. D., Kiene, R. P., Leck, C., Levasseur, M., Malin, G., Maspero,
- 690 M., Matrai, P., McTaggart, A. R., Mihalopoulos, N., Nguyen, B. C., Novo, A., Putaud, J. P., Rapsomanikis, S., Roberts, G.,
- 691 Schebeske, G., Sharma, S., Simo, R., Staubes, R., Turner, S., and Uher, G.: A global database of sea surface dimethylsulfide
- 692 (DMS) measurements and a procedure to predict sea surface DMS as a function of latitude, longitude, and month, Glob.
- 693 Biogeochem. Cycles, 13, 399-444, 10.1029/1999gb900004, 1999.
  - 34



- Kloster, S., Feichter, J., Maier-Reimer, E., Six, K. D., Stier, P., and Wetzel, P.: DMS cycle in the marine ocean-atmosphere
   system–a global model study, Biogeosciences, 3, 29-51, 2006.
- 696 Lana, A., Bell, T. G., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Kettle, A. J., Dachs, J., Bopp, L., Saltzman, E. S., Stefels,
- 697 J., Johnson, J. E., and Liss, P. S.: An updated climatology of surface dimethlysulfide concentrations and emission fluxes in the
- 698 global ocean, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 25, GB1004, 10.1029/2010gb003850, 2011.
- Li, H., Zhou, S., Zhu, Y., Zhang, R., Wang, F., Bao, Y., and Chen, Y.: Atmospheric Deposition Promotes Relative Abundances
- 700 of High-Dimethylsulfoniopropionate Producers in the Western North Pacific, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2020GL092077,
- 701 10.1029/2020GL092077, 2021.
- 702 Longhurst, A. R.: Ecological Geography of the Sea, Academic Press, 1998.
- 703 Lovelock, J. E., Maggs, R. J., and Rasmussen, R. A.: Atmospheric Dimethyl Sulphide and the Natural Sulphur Cycle, Nature,
- 704 237, 452-453, 10.1038/237452a0, 1972.
- 705 Mansour, K., Decesari, S., Ceburnis, D., Ovadnevaite, J., and Rinaldi, M.: Machine learning for prediction of daily sea surface
- dimethylsulfide concentration and emission flux over the North Atlantic Ocean (1998-2021), Sci. Total. Environ., 871, 162123,
- 707 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162123, 2023.
- 708 Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.
- 709 I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, R.
- e.: IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment
   Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2021.
- 712 McCoy, D. T., Burrows, S. M., Wood, R., Grosvenor, D. P., Elliott, S. M., Ma, P. L., Rasch, P. J., and Hartmann, D. L.: Natural
- 713 aerosols explain seasonal and spatial patterns of Southern Ocean cloud albedo, Science Advances, 1, e1500157,
- 714 10.1126/sciadv.1500157, 2015.
- 715 McParland, E. L., and Levine, N. M.: The role of differential DMSP production and community composition in predicting
- variability of global surface DMSP concentrations, Limnol. Oceanogr., 64, 757-773, 10.1002/lno.11076, 2018.
- 717 Moradkhani, H., DeChant, C. M., and Sorooshian, S.: Evolution of ensemble data assimilation for uncertainty quantification
- ving the particle filter-Markov chain Monte Carlo method, Water Resources Research, 48, 10.1029/2012wr012144, 2012.
- 719 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.:
- 720 In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Glob. Biogeochem.
- 721 Cycles, 14, 373-387, 10.1029/1999gb900091, 2000.
- 722 Novak, G. A., Fite, C. H., Holmes, C. D., Veres, P. R., Neuman, J. A., Faloona, I., Thornton, J. A., Wolfe, G. M., Vermeuel,
- 723 M. P., Jernigan, C. M., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Thompson, C. R., Bourgeois, I., Warneke, C., Gkatzelis, G. I., Coggon, M.
- 724 M., Sekimoto, K., Bui, T. P., Dean-Day, J., Diskin, G. S., DiGangi, J. P., Nowak, J. B., Moore, R. H., Wiggins, E. B., Winstead,
- 725 E. L., Robinson, C., Thornhill, K. L., Sanchez, K. J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Dollner, M., Weinzierl, B., Blake, D. R., and
- 726 Bertram, T. H.: Rapid cloud removal of dimethyl sulfide oxidation products limits SO2 and cloud condensation nuclei
- 727 production in the marine atmosphere, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2110472118, 10.1073/pnas.2110472118, 2021.
  - 35



- 728 Omori, Y., Tanimoto, H., Inomata, S., Wada, S., Thume, K., and Pohnert, G.: Enhancement of dimethylsulfide production by
- 729 anoxic stress in natural seawater, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 4047-4053, 10.1002/2015gl063546, 2015.
- 730 Osman, M. B., Das, S. B., Trusel, L. D., Evans, M. J., Fischer, H., Grieman, M. M., Kipfstuhl, S., McConnell, J. R., and
- 731 Saltzman, E. S.: Industrial-era decline in subarctic Atlantic productivity, Nature, 569, 551-555, 10.1038/s41586-019-1181-8,
- 732 2019.
- 733 Park, K.-T., Lee, K., Kim, T.-W., Yoon, Y. J., Jang, E.-H., Jang, S., Lee, B.-Y., and Hermansen, O.: Atmospheric DMS in the
- Arctic Ocean and Its Relation to Phytoplankton Biomass, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 32, 351-359, 10.1002/2017gb005805,
  2018.
- 736 Park, K. T., Yoon, Y. J., Lee, K., Tunved, P., Krejci, R., Ström, J., Jang, E., Kang, H. J., Jang, S., Park, J., Lee, B. Y., Traversi,
- 737 R., Becagli, S., and Hermansen, O.: Dimethyl Sulfide-Induced Increase in Cloud Condensation Nuclei in the Arctic
- 738 Atmosphere, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 35, e2021GB006969, 10.1029/2021gb006969, 2021.
- 739 Quinn, P. K., and Bates, T. S.: The case against climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions, Nature, 480,
- 740 51-56, 10.1038/nature10580, 2011.
- 741 Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Johnson, J. E., Upchurch, L. M., and Bates, T. S.: Small fraction of marine cloud condensation
- 742 nuclei made up of sea spray aerosol, Nat. Geosci., 10, 674-679, 10.1038/ngeo3003, 2017.
- 743 Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., Jung, M., Denzler, J., Carvalhais, N., and Prabhat: Deep learning and process
- 744 understanding for data-driven Earth system science, Nature, 566, 195-204, 10.1038/s41586-019-0912-1, 2019.
- 745 Saltzman, E. S., Savoie, D. L., Zika, R. G., and Prospero, J. M.: Methane sulfonic acid in the marine atmosphere, J. Geophys.
- 746 Res., 88, 10897, 10.1029/JC088iC15p10897, 1983.
- 747 Sathyendranath, S., Stuart, V., Nair, A., Oka, K., Nakane, T., Bouman, H., Forget, M. H., Maass, H., and Platt, T.: Carbon-to-
- 748 chlorophyll ratio and growth rate of phytoplankton in the sea, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 383, 73-84,
- 749 10.3354/meps07998, 2009.
- 750 Savoie, D. L., Arimoto, R., Keene, W. C., Prospero, J. M., Duce, R. A., and Galloway, J. N.: Marine biogenic and
- anthropogenic contributions to non-sea-salt sulfate in the marine boundary layer over the North Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys.
- 752 Res., 107, 4356, 10.1029/2001jd000970, 2002.
- 753 Screen, J. A., Deser, C., and Simmonds, I.: Local and remote controls on observed Arctic warming, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39,
- 754 L10709, 10.1029/2012gl051598, 2012.
- 755 Serreze, M. C., and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A research synthesis, Global and planetary
- 756 change, 77, 85-96, 2011.
- 557 Sheng, J.-X., Weisenstein, D. K., Luo, B.-P., Rozanov, E., Stenke, A., Anet, J., Bingemer, H., and Peter, T.: Global atmospheric
- 758 sulfur budget under volcanically quiescent conditions: Aerosol-chemistry-climate model predictions and validation, J.
- 759 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 256-276, 10.1002/2014jd021985, 2015.





- 760 Sigmund, G., Gharasoo, M., Hüffer, T., and Hofmann, T.: Deep Learning Neural Network Approach for Predicting the
- 761 Sorption of Ionizable and Polar Organic Pollutants to a Wide Range of Carbonaceous Materials, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54,
- 762 4583-4591, 10.1021/acs.est.9b06287, 2020.
- 763 Simó, R., and Pedrós-Alió, C.: Short-term variability in the open ocean cycle of dimethylsulfide, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles,
- 764 13, 1173-1181, 10.1029/1999gb900081, 1999a.
- 765 Simó, R., and Pedrós-Alió, C.: Role of vertical mixing in controlling the oceanic production of dimethyl sulphide, Nature, 402,
- 766 396-399, 10.1038/46516, 1999b.
- 767 Simó, R., and Dachs, J.: Global ocean emission of dimethylsulfide predicted from biogeophysical data, Glob. Biogeochem.
- 768 Cycles, 16, 1078, 10.1029/2001gb001829, 2002.
- 769 Six, K. D., Kloster, S., Ilyina, T., Archer, S. D., Zhang, K., and Maier-Reimer, E.: Global warming amplified by reduced
- sulphur fluxes as a result of ocean acidification, Nat. Clim. Change, 3, 975-978, 10.1038/nclimate1981, 2013.
- 571 Stefels, J.: Physiological aspects of the production and conversion of DMSP in marine algae and higher plants, J. Sea. Res.,572 43, 183-197, 2000.
- 773 Stefels, J., Steinke, M., Turner, S., Malin, G., and Belviso, S.: Environmental constraints on the production and removal of the
- climatically active gas dimethylsulphide (DMS) and implications for ecosystem modelling, Biogeochemistry, 83, 245-275,
- 775 10.1007/s10533-007-9091-5, 2007.
- 776 Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric
- 777 Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 2059-2077, 10.1175/bams-d-14-00110.1, 2015.
- 778 Steiner, N. S., Robert, M., Arychuk, M., Levasseur, M. L., Merzouk, A., Peña, M. A., Richardson, W. A., and Tortell, P. D.:
- 779 Evaluating DMS measurements and model results in the Northeast subarctic Pacific from 1996–2010, Biogeochemistry, 110,
- 780 269-285, 10.1007/s10533-011-9669-9, 2011.
- 781 Sunda, W., Kieber, D., Kiene, R., and Huntsman, S.: An antioxidant function for DMSP and DMS in marine algae, Nature,
- 782 418, 317-320, 2002.
- 783 Tesdal, J.-E., Christian, J. R., Monahan, A. H., and Salzen, K. v.: Evaluation of diverse approaches for estimating sea-surface
- DMS concentration and air-sea exchange at global scale, Environ. Chem., 13, 390-412, 10.1071/EN14255, 2016.
- 785 Vallina, S. M., and Simó, R.: Strong relationship between DMS and the solar radiation dose over the global surface ocean,
- 786 Science, 315, 506-508, 10.1126/science.1133680, 2007.
- 787 Vogt, M., Vallina, S. M., Buitenhuis, E. T., Bopp, L., and Le Quéré, C.: Simulating dimethylsulphide seasonality with the
- 788 Dynamic Green Ocean Model PlankTOM5, J. Geophys. Res., 115, 10.1029/2009jc005529, 2010.
- 789 Wang, S., Elliott, S., Maltrud, M., and Cameron-Smith, P.: Influence of explicit Phaeocystis parameterizations on the global
- 790 distribution of marine dimethyl sulfide, J. Geophy. Res.-Biogeosci., 120, 2158-2177, 10.1002/2015jg003017, 2015.
- 791 Wang, W.-L., Song, G., Primeau, F., Saltzman, E. S., Bell, T. G., and Moore, J. K.: Global ocean dimethyl sulfide climatology
- estimated from observations and an artificial neural network, Biogeosciences, 17, 5335-5354, 10.5194/bg-17-5335-2020, 2020.
  - 37





- 793 Wood, R., Stemmler, J. D., Rémillard, J., and Jefferson, A.: Low-CCN concentration air masses over the eastern North Atlantic:
- 794 Seasonality, meteorology, and drivers, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 1203-1223, 10.1002/2016jd025557, 2017.
- 795 Woolf, D. K.: Bubbles and their role in gas exchange, in: The Sea Surface and Global Change, edited by: Liss, P. S., and Duce,
- 796 R. A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 173-206, 1997.
- 797 Zhang, X. H., Liu, J., Liu, J., Yang, G., Xue, C. X., Curson, A. R. J., and Todd, J. D.: Biogenic production of DMSP and its
- degradation to DMS-their roles in the global sulfur cycle, Sci. China Life Sci., 62, 1296-1319, 10.1007/s11427-018-9524-y,
  2019.
- 800 Zhao, J., Ma, W., Bilsback, K. R., Pierce, J. R., Zhou, S., Chen, Y., Yang, G., and Zhang, Y.: Simulating the radiative forcing
- of oceanic dimethylsulfide (DMS) in Asia based on machine learning estimates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 9583-9600,
  10.5194/acp-22-9583-2022, 2022.
- Zheng, G., Li, X., Zhang, R. H., and Liu, B.: Purely satellite data-driven deep learning forecast of complicated tropical
   instability waves, Science Advances, 6, eaba1482, 10.1126/sciadv.aba1482, 2020.
- 805 Zhou, S., Chen, Y., Paytan, A., Li, H., Wang, F., Zhu, Y., Yang, T., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, R.: Non-Marine Sources Contribute
- to Aerosol Methanesulfonate Over Coastal Seas, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126, e2021JD034960, 10.1029/2021jd034960,
  2021.
- Zhou, S., Chen, Y., Huang, S., Gong, X., Yang, G., Zhang, H., Herrmann, H., Wiedensohler, A., Poulain, L., Zhang, Y., Wang,
- F., Xu, Z., and Yan, K.: A 20-year (1998-2017) global sea surface dimethyl sulfide gridded dataset with daily resolution, v2.0,
  Zenodo [Data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10279659, 2023.
- 811 Zhou, S.: An artificial neural network ensemble model for sea surface DMS simulation, v1.0, Zenodo [Data set],
- 812 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8077751, 2023
- 813 Zindler, C., Bracher, A., Marandino, C. A., Taylor, B., Torrecilla, E., Kock, A., and Bange, H. W.: Sulphur compounds,
- methane, and phytoplankton: interactions along a north-south transit in the western Pacific Ocean, Biogeosciences, 10, 3297-
- 815 3311, 10.5194/bg-10-3297-2013, 2013.
- 816 Zindler, C., Marandino, C. A., Bange, H. W., Schütte, F., and Saltzman, E. S.: Nutrient availability determines dimethyl sulfide
- and isoprene distribution in the eastern Atlantic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 3181-3188, 10.1002/2014gl059547, 2014.

818