

Marine Geology

Vol. 232, Issues 1-2 , 18 Oct. 2006, Pages 101-102

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2006.07.001>

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved

Archimer, archive institutionnelle de l'Ifremer

<http://www.ifremer.fr/docelec/>

**Comments on the paper of Gay et al. (2006) Seafloor facies related to upward methane flux within a Giant Pockmark of the Lower Congo Basin.
Marine Geology 226:81–95**

Karine Olu-Le Roy

DEEP-LEP, IFREMER Centre de Brest, BP 70-29280, Plouzané, France ; karine.olu@ifremer.fr

Keywords: cold seep, pockmark, chemosynthesis, symbiotic species, benthic fauna, spatial distribution

Comments on the paper of Gay et al. (2006) Seafloor facies related to upward methane flux within a Giant Pockmark of the Lower Congo Basin. Marine Geology 226:81-95.

Karine Olu - Le Roy

DRO/Département Environnement Profond, IFREMER Centre de Brest, BP 70- 29280, Plouzané, France

The paper by Gay et al. describes a giant pockmark on the basis of geological and biological data collected during ROV dives. The description of seep communities based on a transect across the pockmark includes some mistakes. Part of the description of the fauna is attributed to Olu-Le Roy et al. (2003) and includes data that have not been presented in this oral communication. An “evolutive model” is proposed, based on these data and on an incorrect interpretation of symbiotic species requirements (classification of methane vs sulfide dependent species). In this note, I would like to point out the mistakes of this paper and express why their model cannot be used to describe the reality of the seabed.

In the results section, the Facies A is described as “biologically depauperate” ... and to “corresponds to the regional seafloor”. However, no submersible data were available to compare this facies and the regional deep-sea floor in terms of faunal density. For the Facies C, the authors report “patches of black reduced sediments partly covered by whitish bacterial mat that are often populated by wide communities of clams of the genus Vesicomyidae (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2003)”. However, bacteria mats and Vesicomyidae were not generally observed together. The Facies D is, according to Gay et al., “populated by lush benthos life (sea anemones, sea pens, sponges, shrimp, octopus, small sharks, eel-like fish, holothurians, sea urchin, gastropods) (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2003)”. However, **no sea pens, sponges, octopus, or small sharks were observed at the REGAB site**. We can also read that "stacked authigenic carbonate crusts, pitted by worms or bivalve boring" but **Mytilidae of genus Bathymodiolus are not boring bivalves**. They were just observed on or around the crusts. Finally, we observed very few areas of “pavements and domes” and I am not sure that the centre of the pockmark is covered by such a facies.

The authors based part of their discussion and the model on the assumption that the mussels and the vestimentiferans are dependent on methane (“endemic methane-dependent life: Vestimentiferan tube worms and mussels of the genus Mytilidae”) while vesicomyids are considered to be sulphide-dependent. However, this opposition between methane-dependent and sulphide-dependent taxa is not valid, as **vestimentiferan tube worms** (now referred as Siboglinid polychaetes) **harbour sulfide-oxidizing bacteria and use sulfide produced in the sediment, like vesicomyids**. Furthermore, methanotrophy of the mytilid symbionts is attributed with reference to Childress et al. (1986) describing another mytilid from the Gulf of Mexico seeps. However, not all the seep mussels are associated with methanotrophic symbionts: some have sulphide-oxidizers (*Tamu fisheri* Gustafson et al. (1998)), and others have a dual symbiosis (Fisher et al. 1993, Fiala-Médioni et al. 2002). This is also the case of the mussel living at the REGAB site (Duperron et al. 2005), therefore able to live using methane or sulphide via its different symbionts. The isotopic values of this species (-62 ‰) indicates that it mainly uses methane (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2003). These data should have been indicated to validate the discussion. The authors could have mentioned them, referring to Ondréas et al. (2005) where they are reported.

The model that concludes that “the methane-dependent assemblage is located in the centre of the depression although the sulphide-dependent assemblage is located on the outer border” is therefore based on a video transect including mistakes, as vesicomyids were observed in the central part of the pockmark, erroneous data on the fauna improperly attributed to Olu-Le Roy et al. (2003), and incorrect interpretation of the requirement of symbiotic species (methane-dependent vs sulphide-dependent) and cannot be used to describe the bio-chemical features on the giant pockmark. This model could nevertheless be applied at a smaller scale to explain the distribution of symbiotic species in an individual pockmark on depression, with mussels at the centre and sulphide-dependent species (both vestimentiferans and vesicomyids) in areas of lower fluid fluxes, but this was not the objective of this paper.

- Childress JJ, Fisher CR, Brooks JM, Kennicutt MC, II, Bidigare R, Anderson AE (1986) A methanotrophic marine molluscan (Bivalvia, Mytilidae) symbiosis: Mussels fueled by gas. *Science* 233:1306-1308
- Duperron S, Nadalig T, Caprais JC, Sibuet M, Fiala-Médioni A, Amann R, Dubilier N (2005) Dual symbiosis in a *Bathymodiolus* sp mussel from a methane seep on the gabon continental margin (southeast Atlantic): 16S rRNA phylogeny and distribution of the symbionts in gills. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71:1694-1700
- Fiala-Médioni A, McKiness ZP, Dando P, Boulegue J, Mariotti A, Alayse-Danet AM, Robinson JJ, Cavanaugh CM (2002) Ultrastructural, biochemical and immunological characterization of two populations of the mytilid mussel *Bathymodiolus azoricus* from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge: evidence for a dual symbiosis. *Marine Biology* 141:1035-1043
- Fisher CR, Brooks JM, Vodenichar JS, Zande JM, Childress JJ, Burke Jr RA (1993) The co-occurrence of methanotrophic and chemoautotrophic sulfur-oxidizing bacterial symbionts in a deep-sea mussel. *Marine Ecology* 14:277-289
- Gustafson RG, Turner RD, Lutz RA, Vrijenhoek RC (1998) A new genus and five new species of mussels (Bivalvia, Mytilidae) from deep-sea sulfide/hydrocarbon seeps in the Gulf of Mexico. *Malacologia* 40:63-112
- Olu-Le Roy K, Nadalig T, Caprais JC, Fifis A, Fabri MC, Ondréas H, Sibuet M (2003) Spatial variability of the chemosynthetic fauna, chemical environment and microbial communities on a giant pockmark in the Gulf of Guinea. 10th Deep-Sea Biology Symposium, Oregon Coast, U.S.A.
- Ondréas H, Olu K, Fouquet Y, Charlou J, Gay A, Dennielou B, Donval J, Fifis A, Nadalig T, Cochonat P, Cauquil E, Bourillet J, Moigne M, Sibuet M (2005) ROV study of a giant pockmark on the Gabon continental margin. *Geo-Marine Letters* 25:281