Molecular Ecology Volume 16 Issue 1 Page 175 - January 2007 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03122.x © 2007 Blackwell Publishing, Inc.

The definitive version is available at www.blackwell-synergy.com

# Phylogeography of the green turtle, Chelonia mydas, in the Southwest Indian Ocean

Bourjea J.<sup>(1)</sup>, Lapègue S.<sup>(2)</sup>, Gagnevin L.<sup>(3)</sup>, Broderick D.(<sup>4,5)</sup>, Mortimer J.A.<sup>(6)</sup>, Ciccione S.<sup>(7)</sup>, Roos D.<sup>(1)</sup>, Taquet C.<sup>(1)</sup> and Grizel H.<sup>(1)</sup>

(1) Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer (Ifremer) de La Réunion, Rue Jean Bertho, BP 60, 97 822 Le Port Cedex, lle de La Réunion, France

(2) Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer (Ifremer), Laboratoire de Génétique et Pathologie, Ronce-les-Bains, 17 390 La Tremblade, France

(3) CIRAD UMR Peuplements Végétaux et Bioagresseurs en Milieu Tropical, 3P, 7 chemin de l'IRAT, 97410 Saint Pierre, La Réunion

(4) School of Integrative Biology, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD, 4072, Australia

(5) Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Queensland Biosciences Precinct, Level 6 North Tower, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD, 4072, Australia

(6) Ministry of Environment & Natural Resources, P.O. Box 445, Victoria, Mahe, Seychelles

(7) Centre d'Etude et de Découverte des Tortues Marines de La Réunion (CEDTM), BP 40, 97898 Saint Leu Cedex, La Réunion, France

\*: Corresponding author : Tel: (00 262) 262 42 03 40; Fax: (00 262) 262 43 36 84; Email: jerome.bourjea@ifremer.fr

#### Abstract:

Patterns of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) variation were used to analyse the population genetic structure of southwestern Indian Ocean green turtle (Chelonia mydas) populations. Analysis of sequence variation over 396 bp of the mtDNA control region revealed seven haplotypes among 288 individuals from 10 nesting sites in the Southwest Indian Ocean. This is the first time that Atlantic Ocean haplotypes have been recorded among any Indo-Pacific nesting populations. Previous studies indicated that the Cape of Good Hope was a major biogeographical barrier between the Atlantic and Indian Oceans because evidence for gene flow in the last 1.5 million years has yet to emerge. This study, by sampling localities adjacent to this barrier, demonstrates that recent gene flow has occurred from the Atlantic Ocean into the Indian Ocean via the Cape of Good Hope. We also found compelling genetic evidence that green turtles nesting at the rookeries of the South Mozambique Channel (SMC) and those nesting in the North Mozambique Channel (NMC) belong to separate genetic stocks. Furthermore, the SMC could be subdivided in two different genetic stocks, one in Europa and the other one in Juan de Nova. We suggest that this particular genetic pattern along the Mozambique Channel is attributable to a recent colonization from the Atlantic Ocean and is maintained by oceanic conditions in the northern and southern Mozambique Channel that influence early stages in the green turtle life cycle.

**Keywords:** Chelonia mydas, mitochondrial DNA, control region, phylogeography, Mozambique Channel, Indian Ocean.

### 58 Introduction

59

The green turtle (Chelonia mydas) is a large, long lived, herbivorous reptile that grazes on 60 marine macrophytes in shallow tropical and sub-tropical waters around the world (Limpus et al. 61 1994, Limpus & Chaloupka 1997). Because green turtle hatchlings are rarely seen between the 62 time they leave their natal beach and when they first appear in shallow water foraging habitats 63 (Musick & Limpus 1997), Carr (1987) named this interval the lost year. Available evidence 64 now indicates that this *lost year* involves at least several years of drifting in oceanic gyre 65 systems in a passive migration that may circumnavigate entire ocean basins (Bowen et al. 1995; 66 Lahanas et al. 1998; and Bolten et al. 1998). Green turtles grow slowly, often taking some 25 to 67 30 or more years to reach maturity (Limpus and Walter 1980). During this developmental 68 period, they occupy a series of foraging habitats dispersed over an extensive area. Upon 69 reaching adulthood, reproductive females typically make long distance migrations between 70 feeding sites and their natal breeding beaches (Limpus et al. 1992). They show great fidelity to 71 72 both nesting (Meylan 1982) and feeding grounds (Limpus et al. 1992), even though these may be separated by thousands of kilometers (Mortimer & Carr 1987). They typically lay multiple 73 74 clutches within a season (Carr & Ogren 1960), with 1 to 9 or more years separating successive 75 breeding seasons (Le Gall et al. 1985; Limpus et al. 1994; Miller 1996; Limpus et al. 2001).

76

77 Attempts have been made to define green turtle population boundaries for this globally 78 distributed endangered species in order to identify functional units of management. Although 79 flipper tagging (Le Gall & Hugues 1987), satellite (Pelletier et al. 2003) and acoustic telemetry (Taquet *et al.* 2006) provide useful information about contemporary demography, site fidelity 80 81 and migrations of individual animals, the data produced are strongly biased toward females and intensively surveyed locations, especially nesting beaches. In contrast, genetic studies tend to 82 focus population rather than individual level and can offer unique perspectives on historical 83 84 population dynamics. When complemented by tagging studies, genetic tools can elucidate the geographical boundaries of breeding populations and provide information about their 85 migrations through feeding, breeding and developmental ranges (Bowen & Karl 1997). 86 87

88 Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) has proven particularly effective for detecting population 89 structure in marine turtles (FitzSimmons et al. 1999), and several studies have successfully used mtDNA variants to resolve population boundaries among breeding sea turtles (Bowen et 90 al. 1992; Bowen et al. 1994; Broderick et al. 1994; Norman et al. 1994; Encalada et al. 1996; 91 Bass et al. 1996; Bowen et al. 1998; Dutton et al. 1999). In general, these studies have revealed 92 a significant level of population subdivision on both regional and global scales and found that 93 94 rookeries, often separated by hundreds of kilometers, may form genetically discrete populations or Management Units (Moritz 1994). The maternal inheritance of mtDNA also tends to 95 accentuate genetic differences among populations compared to nuclear genes because it has a 96 97 smaller effective population size. In many circumstances, female-inherited markers offer a distinct advantage because they provide perspectives on female reproductive behaviour that are 98 paramount to species survival (FitzSimmons et al. 1999). Nevertheless, mtDNA does not 99 100 capture the entire population genetic history of a particular species and inferences of population connectivity and isolation can be misleading especially if male-mediated gene flow is 101 102 substantially different to that of females, as it was shown in some green turtle populations (Karl 103 et al. 1992; FitzSimmons et al. 1997a,b; FitzSimmons et al. 1999; Roberts et al. 2004).

Among the significant green turtle rookeries that occur in the South West Indian Ocean, some 105 106 have been well described. At the French Eparses Islands (Europa, Juan de Nova, Tromelin and Glorieuses) green turtle populations have been monitored since the 1980's (Le Gall et al. 1985; 107 108 Le Gall & Hughes 1987; Le Gall 1988). The green turtles of the Sevchelles archipelago are well known (Frazier 1984; Mortimer 1984, Mortimer et al. in press), especially those at 109 Aldabra (Frazier 1971; Mortimer 1988). Other studies include those of green turtles at Mayotte 110 (Ciccione S unpublished data), Comoros (Frazier 1984; Ciccione S unpublished data), North 111 112 East of Madagascar (Bouriea J unpublished data), Kenya (Okemwa et al. 2004), and Tanzania 113 (Muir 2005). These studies have shown that the patterns of movements and behaviour of green turtles in this region conform to those found elsewhere in the world, but a detailed appraisal of 114 115 the entire region has yet to emerge. In fact, information on nesting turtles is either sparse or lacking in other adjacent countries, especially Mozambique, South of Madagascar and Somalia, 116 where both nesting and foraging habitat as well as human exploitation of this species occur (Le 117 Gall & Hughes 1987; Rakotonirina & Cooke 1994). 118

119

The South West Indian Ocean, especially the Mozambique Channel, is of particular 120 biogeographic interest. Suitable green turtle feeding habitat, due to warm water flows, are 121 122 found very close to the tip of South Africa while suitable habitat is absent from the west coast 123 of South Africa due to upwelling and cold water flows. Previous protein and total mtDNA RFLP genetic studies inferred that cold waters of South Africa have been a major 124 125 biogeographic barrier for green turtle dispersal (Bonhomme et al. 1987; Bowen et al. 1992). 126 Bowen et al. (1992) found no evidence of gene flow occurring between Indian and Atlantic Oceans over the last 1.5 million years but did not sample rookeries in the Mozambique 127 128 Channel. If there is any contact between green turtles in the Indian and Atlantic Oceans, then 129 the Mozambique Channel is the most likely place for this to occur.

130

The purpose of this study is to survey the patterns of mtDNA control region sequence variation of nesting green turtles at 10 different rookeries in the South West Indian Ocean, principally along the Mozambique Channel. The patterns of mtDNA variation will be used to i) define groups of pokeries that comprise discrete genetic populations, ii) investigate the patterns of dispersal and subdivision of rookeries in this region and iii) determine if there is any evidence of contact between green turtles from Indian and Atlantic Oceans.

137 138

## 139 Material and Methods

- 140
- 141 Sampling142

143 A total of 288 nesting females were sampled on different dates from 10 different nesting sites in the western Indian Ocean (Figure 1A and Table 1), that geographically fall into three groups. 144 Those from the South Mozambique Channel (called here SMC) include Europa and Juan de 145 146 Nova (French Eparses islands); while those from the North Mozambique Channel (called here 147 NMC) include the Mohéli (Comoros). Mayotte (French territory). Nosy Irania (Madagascar). Glorieuses (French Eparses Island), and three sites in the Republic of Sevchelles. The last 148 149 group, out of the Mozambique Channel, is composed only by Tromelin (French Eparses 150 Island). In the French Eparses islands, Europa was sampled in 1997 (n=24) and again in 2003 (n=9), for a total of 33 samples; Tromelin (n=44), Juan de Nova (n=20) and Glorieuses (n=39) 151

were sampled respectively in 1997, 1999 and 2004. Mayotte (n=41), Mohéli (n=34), Nosy
Iranja (n=13) were sampled in 2004. In the Republic of Seychelles, Aldabra (n=31), Cosmoledo
(n=26), and Farquhar (n=7) were sampled in 1996.

155

156 Typically, the source of mtDNA for the majority of turtles was either skin or blood. Blood samples were taken from the cervical sinus (after Owens & Ruiz 1980) and stored in either 157 lysis buffer or frozen in ACD-B (Becton Dickinson solution). Skin samples were taken from 158 159 either the neck or flipper region and stored in 20% DMSO (Dimethyl Sulfoxide) saturated salt 160 solution (Dutton 1996). All adult turtles encountered in this study were tagged. In some cases however, mtDNA was obtained from tissues of dead embryos or hatchlings found in the bottom 161 of hatched-out nests (Mortimer & Day 1999) with only one sample per clutch and per female to 162 avoid resampling the same matriline. 163

- 164
- 165
- 166 166 167

#### 6 Mitochondrial DNA control region extraction, amplification and sequencing

DNA was extracted from small amounts of blood (20 µl) or tissue (0.1g) by overnight digestion 168 169 at 56°C in a 1x TE buffer, proteinase K (0.5mg/ml) and SDS (0.01%) solution. Digested proteins and cellular material were salted out by centrifugation (13 000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C) 170 171 in the presence of Ammonium acetate. The DNA was subsequently pelleted by adding 1 172 volume of cold EtOH to the supernatant and further centrifugation (13 000 rpm for 20 min at 173 4°C). Residual salts were removed by rinsing the DNA pellet twice with 100% and 70% EtOH, 174 respectively wash. The DNA was resuspended in 1x TE buffer. An alternative rapid protocol 175 was also used and involves a proteinase K (0.2 mg/ml) digestion in 0.5 ml of 1x TE buffer and 5% Chelex (Biorad) solution for 4 to 12 hours at 55-60°C with frequent vortexing. The 176 177 suspension was heated at 95°C for 5 min and then centrifuged for 5 min at 13 000 rpm. The 178 supernatant was collected and used as template for subsequent PCR amplifications.

179

180 A portion (~ 396 bp) of the mtDNA control region was amplified by PCR using the TCR-5 (5'-181 TTGTACATCTACTTATTTACCAC-3') and TRC-6 (5'-GTACGTACAAGTAAAACTACCGTATGCC-3') primers (Norman et al. 1994). Amplifications were performed in a total volume of 25 µl containing 5-50 ng of 182 183 whole DNA, 10 mM of each dNTP, 10 µM of each primer, 0.5 Units of high fidelity Advantage 2 polymerase mix (BD Biosciences) and the corresponding reaction buffer (1x). Cycling 184 185 parameters were 93°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles at 93°C for 40 sec, 55°C for 50 sec, and 72°C for 40 sec, and a final extension at 72°C for 2 min (Fitzimmons et al. 1997a). 186 Amplification was verified by electrophoresis of 4µl of each reaction in a 1% agarose gel, 187 188 together with a 100 bp DNA ladder (New England Biolabs).

189

Products were purified with the SEQueasy Kleen Kit (Biorad) and run through a 3730XL
sequencing analyser (Applied Biosystems). The sequencing reactions (forward and reverse)
were performed with dye terminators (Bigdye 3.1, Applied Biosystems) on a Primus 96
thermocycler (MWG Biotech).

- 194
- 195
- 196 Data Analysis
- 197

Sequence alignments were performed with the software Clustal W (Thompson *et al.* 1994). Neighbor-joining analysis (Saitou & Nei 1987) was implemented with the NEIGHBOR procedure of the program Phylip 3.5 (Felsenstein 1993). Bootstrap analysis was computed using of the SEQBOOT (500 replicates) and CONSENSE procedures from the Phylip package. The neighbor-joining tree was drawn with the software Tree View 1.5 (Page 1996).

203

Differentiation between populations was assessed with Wright's fixation index *Fst* (10000 replicates; Wright 1951), estimated by ? (Weir & Cockerham 1984) with the Genetix 4.02 software package (Belkhir *et al.* 2001). This software was also used to estimate the number of migrants per generation (*Nm*). AMOVA (analysis of molecular variance approach, Excoffier *et al.* 208 *al.* 1992) was performed using Arlequin, v. 2.0 (Markov chain length: 10 000; Schneider *et al.* 209 2000) to examine genetic structuring among rookeries and among different groups of regional rookeries.

211

Correlation between genetic (measured as Fst/(1-Fst) following Rousset 1997) and geographic distance matrices was tested with a Mantel non parametric permutation test (Mantel 1967) as implemented in Genetix 4.02. The geographic distances between the different nesting sites corresponded to the shortest sea distance between rookeries.

216 217

## 218 <u>Results</u>

219

## 220 *Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism* 221

222 A total of 40 polymorphic sites were found (Table 2) corresponding to 39 substitutions, one 223 insertion and one deletion. Seven mtDNA haplotypes were observed among the 288 green turtles sampled from 10 rookeries in the south-western Indian Ocean (Table 1 and Figure 1A). 224 Six of the 7 haplotypes described here have been found elsewhere: CM8 (GenBank accession 225 226 nos. Z50130) occurs in South Atlantic and West African Rookeries (Encalada et al. 1996) and 227 is the first time this variant has been found in the Indian Ocean. Haplotypes C3, D2, A1 and 228 A2 are known to occur in several other rookeries throughout the Indo-Pacific (Dethmers *et al.* 229 Submitted; GenBank accession nos. AY955204, AY955205, AY955215 and AY955219, respectively). May23 haplotype was found in the Comoros (Formia 2002) and registered in 230 231 GenBank as accession nos. AF529030. A new haplotype is described here for the first time: 232 Glo33 (GenBank accession nos. DQ256086).

233

The observed 7 haplotypes differed by between 1 and 25 substitutions, corresponding to 0.3% – 6.5% (mean = 4.2%) estimated sequence divergence. The neighbour-joining tree of the 7 haplotypes (Figure 2) identified three distinct clades of haplotypes: clade 1 (CM8 alone), clade (including A1 and A2) and clade 3 (including C3 and the rare haplotypes May23, D2 and Glo33). The new haplotype Glo33 forms a clade with common C3 haplotype and differs by only two substitutions.

- 240 241
- 242 Within population diversity
- 243

Within population diversity range from 1 haplotype at Nosy Iranja (n=13) to 6 (haplotype 244 245 diversity: h = 0.3708; Table 1) at Mohéli (n=34; Table 1 and Figure 1A). The northern (NMC-Tromelin) regional set of rookeries has higher levels of haplotypic heterogeneity (mean 3.3 246 247 haplotypes, h = 0.3723) compared to those from the south (SMC, mean 2.5 haplotypes, h =0.3425). All 7 haplotypes were found in the NMC rookeries, with C3 at high frequencies, A2 at 248 intermediate frequencies and several rarer haplotypes (CM8, May23, D2, A1 and Glo33). In 249 contrast for the SMC only 3 haplotypes were found in Juan de Nova (h = 0.5632; CM8 at high 250 251 frequency, C3 at intermediate frequency and a single occurrence of haplotype A2; Table 1) and only 2 haplotypes were found in Europa (h = 0.1174; CM8 in high frequency and C3 in low 252 frequency). Nucleotide diversities on the other hand were similar in both the NMC and 253 Tromelin ( $\pi = 0.0184$ ) and SMC ( $\pi = 0.0221$ ) because most rookeries are comprised of a 254 255 mixture of divergent haplotypes.

256 257

259

### 258 Differentiation among nesting sites population structure

260 Tests for population differentiation were estimated using Wright's fixation index (Fst) based on haplotype frequency. Results are presented in Table 3. Comparisons between SMC rookeries 261 262 (Europa and Juan de Nova) and all other rookeries were highly significant (Fst = [0.307 - 0.912]; P < 0.001). There is also a significant differentiation inside SMC between Europa and Juan de 263 Nova populations (*Fst* = 0.303; *P*<0.05). Farguhar has a small sample size but it is also slightly 264 but significantly differentiated from most other NMC rookeries (Fst = [0.147-0.501]; P < 0.05) 265 with the exception of Glorieuses. Cosmoledo and Aldabra (Fst = [0.160 - 0.012]; P = [0.066]; 266 0.340). But all comparisons among the NMC rookeries excluding Farquhar were not significant 267 268 (Fst < 0.17 - P = [0.056; 0.610]). Comparisons between pooled NMC rookeries and Tromelin 269 were also statistically insignificant (Fst < 0.0466 - P = [0.081; 0.558]). We therefore recognise two genetic stocks in SMC (Europa and Juan de Nova) and a single genetic stock in the NMC 270 271 comprising Aldabra, Cosmoledo, Glorieuses, Nosy Iranja, Mohéli, Mayotte, Farquhar and 272 Tromelin.

273

The screening of mtDNA variation shows a frequency shift of haplotypes from Europa to Tromelin Atolls. The CM8 haplotype is the most common in the SMC (Europa and Juan de Nova) whereas the C3 haplotype is most frequent in the NMC (Seychelles, Nosy Iranja, Mohéli, Mayotte and Glorieuses) and in Tromelin. The change in frequency of the CM8 haplotype from south to north Mozambique Channel is particularly informative. It is nearly fixed at Europa (94%), dominant at Juan de Nova (55%), present at Mayotte (12%), rare at Mohéli (3%) and is absent from the other NMC rookeries surveyed (Figure 1A).

281

Estimates of gene flow (Table 3) show that there is little exchange between SMC and NMC rookeries (Nm < 1) compared to exchange among rookeries within each of these regions (typically Nm > 1). There was some evidence for restricted gene flow between Farquhar and some of the more distant rookeries within the NMC rookeries (Nm = [0.34 - 1.65]) compared to the closest rookery Cosmoledo (Nm = 19.98).

287

AMOVA was used to compare four hypotheses about hierarchical structuring among South West Indian Ocean rookeries (Table 4). The first model (GP1) had two groups, all the NMC rookeries and all the SMC rookeries. The second model (GP2) had three groups, Farquhar, the remainder of the NMC rookeries and SMC rookeries. The third model (GP3) had three groups, Europa, Juan de Nova and all the NMC rookeries. The fourth model (GP4) had four groups Europa, Juan de Nova, Farquhar and the remainder of the NMC rookeries. According to among-group variance (FCT) component test results, all four models were statistically significant but the GP3 model explained the highest among group variance (FCT) and is consistent with our earlier identification of just three genetic stocks within this region.

297

We used a Mantel test to determine if the observed patterns of population genetic structure were consistent with a one-dimension isolation-by-distance model (Figure 3) and found a significant correlation (P < 0.001, R<sup>2</sup>=0.3565; slope = 0.002) between genetic and geographic pairwise distance measures. Concerned that the divergent SMC rookeries might be driving this pattern we ran the same model without Europa and Juan de Nova and found no correlation between the genetic and geographic distance measures (P = 0.147; R<sup>2</sup>=0.018; slope = 0.00004).

- 304
- 305

#### 306 **Discussion** 307

## 308 Evidence for gene flow around the Cape of Good Hope

309 310 Most of the haplotypes identified in this study conform to expectations and occur elsewhere in 311 Indo-Pacific Oceans rookeries (Dethmers et al. Submitted) or are novel and occur in low 312 frequency. The remarkable discovery of an Atlantic Ocean haplotype (CM8, Encalada et al. 1996) represents the first time that any Atlantic Ocean haplotype has been recorded among any 313 314 Indo-Pacific nesting populations. The observation of this Atlantic variant mixed with Indo-Pacific haplotypes in a same rookery (Table 1) reinforces the fact that Atlantic and Indo-Pacific 315 lineages are not cryptic species. Until now, several green turtle genetic studies have shown that 316 there is a fundamental phylogenetic split distinguishing all green turtles in Atlantic Ocean and 317 the Mediterranean Sea from those in Indian and Pacific Oceans (Bonhomme et al. 1987; Avise 318 319 et al. 1992; Bowen et al. 1992). Because of prevailing cold water conditions, the Cape of Good 320 Hope has been commonly assumed to be an absolute barrier to the mixing of Atlantic and Indo-321 Pacific populations of green turtles but it has not been an impermeable barrier to all tropical species (Briggs 1974). 322

323

324 Had Bowen's et al (1992) total mtDNA study surveyed populations from the southwest Indian Ocean, they would have found the same remarkable pattern despite the present studies 325 enhanced power using mtDNA sequence data. Using microsatellite data Roberts et al. (2004) 326 327 demonstrated recent or ongoing male-mediated gene flow among populations within Indian and Atlantic Ocean Basins. Although their study did not include samples from the southwest Indian 328 329 Ocean it did provide compelling evidence that at least the occasional male was capable of 330 rounding the Cape of Good Hope. Our study of southwest Indian Ocean rookeries demonstrates for the first time a recent matrilineal link between Atlantic and Indian Ocean green turtle 331 332 populations. The observation that an Atlantic mtDNA haplotype occurs in adjacent Indian 333 Ocean waters and not *vice versa* is a significant observation as it indicates that the direction of matrilineal gene flow is likely to be from the Atlantic to the Indian Ocean. Likewise, the 334 335 observation that only a single Atlantic haplotype has been observed and that it occurs in high 336 frequency among SMC rookeries suggests that gene flow is not ongoing. If the Indian and Atlantic Oceans were connected by substantial amounts of contemporary gene flow then we 337

would expect to detect additional Atlantic haplotypes in the SMC. If the colonization event was
more ancient then we would expect to have detected novel variants of the CM8 haplotype with
our intensive sampling of the SMC region.

341

342 A growing number of studies document an Indian and East Atlantic phylogeographic connection in different marine species, like bigeye tuna (Chow et al. 2000; Durand et al. 2005), 343 hammerhead sharks (Duncan et al. 2006), trumpetfishes (Bowen et al. 2001) or the urchin 344 345 diadema (Lessios et al. 2001). Almost all cases of marine dispersal in this region are from the 346 Indian to the Atlantic Ocean, usually attributed to passive drift by larvae in the Agulhas current. However, in a recent study on hammerhead shark (Sphyrna lewini), Duncan et al. (2006) 347 348 showed a connection between these two oceans. The authors strongly support that the Indo-West Pacific hammerhead shark haplotypes most closely related to the Atlantic lineage are the 349 product of a recent dispersal from the Atlantic into the Indo-Pacific, and that gene flow in this 350 opposite direction is possible because this species is an active swimmer at every life stage 351 352 (Duncan et al. 2006). Green turtles are also active swimmers at every life stage and may present 353 the second example of active dispersal from the Atlantic into the Indian Ocean.

- 353 354
- 355

## 356 Regional differentiation357

358 The analysis of the genetic variability of nesting turtles in the South West Indian Ocean shows 359 a significant population differentiation between those in the SMC including Europa and Juan de Nova, and the remaining nesting sites that were sampled in the NMC including Mohéli, 360 361 Mayotte, Glorieuses, Nosy Iranja, Seychelles and Tromelin (Figure 1A, Table 3). For example, there is a high genetic differentiation (Fst=0.646, Table 3) between Europa and Mayotte 362 although the two populations are less than 1200 kilometers apart. Inside SMC, there is a 363 significant population differentiation between Europa and Juan de nova. Our data also show 364 that Farquhar may be differentiated from both rookeries in the NMC (excluding Cosmoledo) 365 and Tromelin (Table 3). This result must be taken with caution as the sample size of Farquhar is 366 367 small (n=7) due to the limited number of nesting females present at this remote island when the 368 survey was conducted. However, more intensive sampling may not necessarily lead to the identification of further population genetic structuring here as the well sampled and more 369 distant comparisons of Tromelin and pooled SMC rookeries were also insignificant. 370

372 It is rare to see such clear patterns of isolation by distance (IBD) in marine turtles even though it is expected in a species that has natal homing. Our results showed a pattern of IBD (Figure 3) 373 when run on the entire data set. However there was no relationship between genetic and 374 geographic distance for comparisons among rookeries in the NMC and Tromelin. The 375 decreasing frequency of the CM8 variant from SMC rookeries to NMC rookeries points to IBD 376 377 operating within the Mozambique Channel but not among rookeries in the rest of the southwest Indian Ocean. This pattern is consistent with a colonization process whereby rookeries closest 378 379 to the Atlantic Ocean source populations (eg Europa) receive more immigrants than those more 380 distant (eg Juan de Nova). In subsequent generations migration and possible selection could act to further disperse the CM8 lineage throughout the Mozambique Channel beyond the initial 381 founder populations. 382

383

Data from turtle tagging studies in the Mozambique Channel (Hughes 1982; Le Gall & Hughes 384 385 1987) are consistent with the general observation that most nesting turtles migrate less than 1000 km between breeding and foraging habitat; although distances greater than 2600km have 386 387 been recorded for sea turtles (Miller 1997). These observations indicate that the length of the Mozambique Channel is not a biological barrier during the migration of adult turtles. As 388 highlighted by Pelletier et al. (2003) we suggest that the unique and unusual oceanography in 389 390 the Mozambique Channel may contribute to the green turtle population structure observed in 391 the Mozambique Channel, influencing particularly the early stages in the life cycle of green 392 turtles.

393 394

396

## 395 Oceanography in the Mozambique Channel

397 At the seabird nesting islands in the Mozambique Channel, studies have shown that subspecies of Phaethon lepturus (Le Corre & Jouventin 1999), Puffinus lherminieri (Le Corre 2000b) and 398 Sula sula (Le Corre 1999), nesting in Europa (South Mozambique Channel), have phenotypic 399 patterns that differ from the equivalent species nesting in other islands of the Indian Ocean. Le 400 401 Corre (1999; 2000a,b) suggested that few successful exchanges of individuals occur between 402 the North and South Mozambique Channel and that Europa seabird populations are isolated from the other nesting colonies of the Indian Ocean. This biogeographic pattern may be linked 403 404 to oceanic conditions in the Mozambique Channel particularly at the south end where there is a 405 peculiar pattern of sea-surface temperatures (Le Corre 2000b).

406

407 Several authors have already emphasized the unusual oceanic conditions that occur in the southern Mozambique Channel, where there is an increase of sea-surface temperature (Piton et 408 al 1981), the occurrence of meanders (Ludjeharms et al 1981; Donguy & Piton 1991) and a 409 convergence zone between different currents (Piton & Magnier 1976; Piton & Laroche 1993). 410 Recent studies in the Mozambique Channel showed that the average drift in the southern part is 411 412 a dynamic area swept by an intermittent train of large anticyclonic eddies (~200 km in 413 diameter) leading to a southward transport along the African coast (De Ruijter et al. 2002; Schouten et al. 2003: Ouartly & Srokosz 2004: Lutieharms et al. 2000: Figure1B). These 414 currents are likely to play a role in hatchling dispersal as they spend the first few years of their 415 life in oceanic waters (Carr 1987). Hatchlings emerging from nests south of the Mozambique 416 417 Channel should drift southward. On the western side of the Mozambique Channel, oceanic movement consists of strong anti-clockwise eddies (De Ruijter et al. 2002), whereas on the 418 eastern side the flow is weak and variable. In the northern part of the Mozambique Channel, the 419 420 flow seems to be quite variable, but on average may consist of an anti-clockwise gyre in the Comoro Basin (Lutjerharms 2005). The South Equatorial Current carries water westward in 421 422 North of the Comoros, but part of this will go south into the Mozambique Channel, part northward as the East African Coastal Current (Figure 1B; Schouten et al. 2003). As Girard et 423 al. (2005) have showed that currents around Europa act as a constraint for adult green turtles, 424 one theory would be that juveniles from the NMC do move part northward and part southward, 425 426 but are mostly retained in this way in the intense western Mozambique Channel eddies. This would mean that they would only occasionally pass close to Juan de Nova and on the whole 427 428 would not reach Europa Island. A test for this theory would come from the haplotypes found at 429 the Mozambique and south west Madagascar coasts: if these have Indo-Pacific genetic characteristics, the unusual characteristics at Europa Island would be a localised exception. 430

432 Those oceanic elements may contribute to the green turtle genetic structuring in the Mozambique Channel, slowing down the exchanges between these two opposite zones. Further 433 434 studies are needed to fully elucidate the genetic structure of green turtles nesting along the Mozambique Channel and to distinguish the relative importance of ongoing oceanographic 435 processes from historical patterns of colonization. An expanded study incorporating rookeries 436 from the East African coast, and eastern and southwestern coasts of Madagascar will help us to 437 438 better understand the mechanisms responsible for structuring among NMC-Tromelin and the 439 SMC green turtle populations. Of particular interest would be the relationships between genetic characteristics of the nesting green turtles, oceanography and seasonality of nesting. For 440 instance, do nesting green turtles in Mozambique coast, at the same latitude of Europa 441 (22°21'S), have the same mtDNA genetic structure as those nesting at Europa? 442

443 444

446

431

### 445 Green turtle Management Units

447 Several rookeries of the South West Indian Ocean are important nesting sites for green turtles 448 (Frazier 1984; Mortimer 1984; Le Gall 1988; Mortimer 1988; Van Buskirk & Crowder 1994; Mortimer & Day. 1999). Genetic analysis of sea turtle population structure can provide an 449 essential management tool to identify genetically distinct Management Units (MUs) within a 450 451 region (Dizon et al. 1992; Moritz 1994). Our genetic data suggest that rookeries of green turtles in Europa, Juan de Nova and the NMC-Tromelin belong to three separate genetic populations 452 and should be considered as independent MUs. Our inability to differentiate Tromelin from 453 454 other NMC rookeries most likely reflects the limitations of a single locus marker and a recent 455 shared history rather than ongoing gene flow.

456

The genetic markers we have characterised for each Management Unit are suitable for assessing stock composition in regional harvested and resident populations of green turtle. The assessment of multiple harvests and feeding assemblages throughout this region will help to define the geographic extent of migration and threatening processes that impact on green turtle populations. The delineation of Management Areas for each Management Unit relies on a combination of tag returns, satellite tracking and genetic analysis of foraging and harvested populations all of which are currently being evaluated for this region.

- 464
- 465

## 466 **<u>References</u>**

467 Avise CJ, Bowen WB, Lamb T, Meylan AB, Bermingham E (1992) Mitochondrial DNA
468 evolution at a turtle's pace: evidence for low genetic variability and reduced microevolutionary
469 rate in the testudines. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **9**, 457-473.

Bass AL, Good DA, Bjorndal KA *et al.* (1996) Testing models of female reproductive
migratory behaviour and population structure in the Caribbean hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, with mtDNA sequences. *Molecular Ecology*, 5, 321-328.

474

475	Belkhir K, Borsa P, Goudet J, Chikhi L, Bonhomme F (2001) Genetix, A Windows
476	Program for Population Genetic Analysis, version 4.01. Laboratoire Génome, Populations,
477	Interactions, CNRS UPR 9060, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier, France.
478	
479	Bonhomme F, Lebeau A, Pasteur G (1987) Comparaison génétique des tortues vertes
480	( <i>Chelonia mydas</i> ) des océans Atlantique. Indien et Pacifique : une illustration apparente de la
481	théorie mullerienne classique de la structure génétique des populations? <i>Genetica</i> , <b>74</b> , 89-94
482	alcone maneneme enassique de la subcetare generique des populations? Concreta, 71, 05 511
483	Bolten AB Biorndal KA Martins HR et al (1998) Transatlantic developmental
183	migrations of loggerhead sea turtles demonstrated by mtDNA sequence analysis $E_{cological}$
185	Applications 8 1-7
485	Applications, 6, 1-7.
400	Power DW Kerl SA (1007) Population constice phylogeography and molecular
407	bowen Dw, Kan SA (1997) Population genetics, phylogeography, and molecular evolution In The Biology of Sog Truthes (ed. Lutz DL & Musick IA), pp. 20-50, Doop Deten
400	CDC mass USA
489	CRC press, USA.
490	
491	Bowen BW, Bass AL, Rocha LA, Grant WS, Robertson DR (2001) Phylogeography of
492	the trumpetfishes (Aulostomus): ring species complex on a global scale. Evolution, 55, 1029-
493	1039.
494	
495	Bowen BW, Bass AL, Garcia-Rodgriguez A et al. (1995) Origin of hawksbill turtles in a
496	Caribbean feeding area as indicated by genetic markers. <i>Ecological Applications</i> , <b>6</b> , 566-572.
497	
498	Bowen BW, Clark AM, Abreu-Grobois FA et al. (1998) Global phylogeography of the
499	ridley sea turtle (Lepidochelys spp.) as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Genetica,
500	<b>101</b> , 179-189.
501	
502	Bowen BW, Kamezaki N, Limpus C, Hughes GR, Meylan AB, Avise CJ (1994) Global
503	phylogeography of the loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta) as indicated by mitochondrial DNA
504	haplotypes. <i>Evolution</i> , <b>48</b> , 1820-1828.
505	
506	Bowen BW, Meylan AB, Ross JP et al. (1992) Global population structure and natural
507	history of the green turtle (Chelonia mydas) in terms of matriarchal phylogeny. Evolution, 46,
508	865-881.
509	
510	Briggs JC (1974) Marine zoogeography, McGraw-Hill series in Population Biology.
511	McGraw-Hill. New York, USA.
512	
512	Broderick D. Moritz C. Miller ID. Guinea M. Prince, RIT, Limpus C. (1994) Genetic
514	studies of the hawkshill turtle <i>Fretmochelys imbricata</i> : evidence for multiple stocks in
515	Australian waters Pacific Conservation Biology 1 123-131
516	Australian waters. Fullyle Conservation Biology, 1, 125-151.
517	Carr AF (1067) So Excellent a Fishe: a natural history of sea turtles. Natural History
518	Press Garden City New Jersey 248 n
510	11055,  Galden City,  New Jersey, 240  p.
520	Carr AF (1087) New perspectives on the pelogic stage of see turtle development
520 521	Can AF (1967) New perspectives on the peragic stage of sea turne development.
321	Conservation Diology, 1, 105-121.

522 523 Carr AF, Ogren L (1960) The ecology and migration of sea turtles. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, **121**, 1-48. 524 525 526 Chow S, Okamoto H, Miyabe N, Hiramatsu K, Barut N (2000) Genetic divergence between Atlantic and Indo-Pacific stocks of bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) and admixture 527 around South Africa. *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 221-227. 528 529 530 De Ruijter WPM, Ridderinkhof H, Ludjeharms RE (2002) Observation of the flow in the 531 Mozambique Channel. Geophysical Research Letters, 29, 1401-1403. 532 533 Dethmers K, Broderick D, Moritz C, Limpus C and FitzSimmons NN (Submitted) The genetic structure of Australasian green turtles (Chelonia mydas): geographic scale of genetic 534 535 exchange. MS. 536 537 Dizon AE, Lockyer C, Perrin WF, DeMaster DP, Sisson JE (1992) Rethinking the stock concept: a phylogeographic approach. *Conservation Biology*, **6**, 24-36. 538 539 540 Donguy JR, Piton B (1991) The Mozambique Channel revisited. Oceanological Acta, 14, 541 549-558. 542 543 Duncan KM, Martin AP, Bowen BW, De Couet HG (2006) Global phylogeography of the scalloped hammershark (Sphyrna lewini). Molecular Ecology, 15, 2239-2251. 544 545 Durand JD, Collet A, Chow S, Guinand B, Borsa P (2005) Nuclear and mitochondrial 546 547 DNA markers indicate unidirectional gene flow of Indo-Pacific to Atlantic bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) populations, and their admixture off southern Africa. Marine Biology, 147, 548 549 313-322. 550 551 Dutton PH (1996) Methods for collection and preservation of samples for sea turtle 552 genetic studies. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Sea Turtle Conservation Genetics NOAA Technical Memorandum, 17-24. 553 554 555 Dutton PH, Bowen BW, Owens DW, Barragan A, Davis SK (1999) Global phylogeography of the leatherback turtle (Dermochelys coriacea). Journal of Zoology 556 (London), 248, 397-409. 557 558 559 Encalada, SE, Lahanas PN, Bjorndal KA et al. (1996) Phylogeography and population 560 structure of the Atlantic and Mediterranean green turtle Chelonia mydas: a mitochondrial DNA control region sequence assessment. Molecular Ecology, 5, 473-483. 561 562 563 Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from 564 metric distances among DNA haplotypes – application to human mitochondrial DNA 565 restriction data. Genetics, 131, 479-491. 566 567 Felsenstein J (1993) PHYLIP (PHYlogeny Inference Package), version 3.5, University of 568 Washington, Seattle.

569	
570	FitzSimmons NN, Moritz C, Bowen BW (1999) Population identification. In: Research
571	and Management Techniques for the Conservation of Sea Turtles (ed. Eckert KL, Bjorndal KA,
572	Abreu-Grobois FA and Donnelly M) pp. 72-79. IUCN/SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group
573	Publication, 4, Pennsylvania, USA.
574	
575	FitzSimmons NN, Moritz C, Limpus C, Pope L, Prince R (1997a) Geographic structure of
576	mitochondrial and nuclear gene polymorphisms in Australian green turtle populations and
577	male-biased gene flow. Genetics, 147, 1843-1854.
578	
579	FitzSimmons NN, Limpus C, Norman JA <i>et al.</i> (1997b) Philopatry of male marine turtles
580	inferred from mitochondrial DNA markers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of
581	<i>the USA</i> , <b>94</b> , 8912-8917.
582	
583	Formia A (2002) Population and genetic structure of the green turtle ( <i>Chelonia mydas</i> ) in
584	West and Central Africa: Implications for management and conservation PhD thesis Cardiff
585	University United Kingdom
586	Oniversity; Onited Kingdom.
587	Frazier I (1971) Observations on sea turtles at Aldahra Atoll Philosophical Transaction
588	of the Royal Society of London <b>260</b> 373-410
580	of the Royal Society of London, <b>200</b> , 575-410.
500	Frazior I (1084) Marina turtlas in the Savaballas and adjacent territorias. In:
501	Piagoography and Ecology of the Souchelles Islands (od Stoddordt DD) pp 417.468 Junk W
502	publichere. Netherlands
502	publishers, ivenienands.
504	Circred C. Sudra I. Banhamou S. Boog D. & Lucahi D. (in press) Homing in groop turtles
594 505	(Chalania mudae), de essenie sumente set es e constraint er es en information source? Marine
595	( <i>Cheloniu mydus</i> ). do oceanic currents act as a constraint of as an information source? <i>Marine</i>
390 507	Ecology Progress Series, in press.
597 509	Hughes CD (1092) Concernation of see turtles in the Southern Africe Design In Pickers
598	Hugnes GR (1982) Conservation of sea turties in the Southern Africa Region. In: <i>Biology</i>
599	and Conservation of Sea Turtles (ed. Bjorndal KA), pp. 397-404. Smithsonian Institution Press,
600	wasnington, D.C.
601	
602	Karl SA, Bowen BW, Avise CJ (1992) Global population genetic structure and male-
603	mediated gene flow in the green turtle ( <i>Chelonia mydas</i> ): RFLP analyses of anonymous nuclear
604	loci. Genetics, <b>131</b> , 163-173.
605	
606	Lahanas PN, Bjorndal KA, Bolten AB <i>et al.</i> (1998) Genetic composition of a green turtle
607	(Chelonia mydas) feeding ground population: evidence for multiple origins. Marine Biology,
608	<b>130</b> , 345-352.
609	
610	Le Corre M (1999) Plumage polymorphism of red-footed boobies (Sula sula) in the
611	western Indian Ocean: an indicator of biogeographic isolation. Journal of the Zoological
612	Society of London, <b>249</b> , 411-415.
613	

614 Le Corre M. (2000a) Breeding seasons of seabirds at Europa Island (southern 615 Mozambique Channel) in relation to seasonal changes in the marine environment. Journal of the Zoological Society of London, 254, 239-249. 616 617 618 Le Corre M (2000b) Taxonomic affinities of Audubon's shearwater from Europa Island. 619 The Condor, **102**, 187-190. 620 621 Le Corre M, Jouventin P (1999) Geographical variation in the White-tailed ropicbird Phaethon lepturus, with the description of a new subspecies endemic to Europa Island, southern 622 623 Mozambique Channel. Ibis, 141, 233-239. 624 625 Le Gall JY (1988) Biologie et évaluation des populations de tortue verte Chelonia mydas des atolls de Tromelin et d'Europa (Océan Indien S.O.). Mesogée, 48, 33-42. 626 627 628 Le Gall JY, Hugues GR (1987) Migrations de la tortue verte Chelonia mydas dans l'Océan Indien Sud-Ouest observées à partir des marquages sur les sites de ponte Europa et Tromelin 629 (1970-1985). Amphibia-Reptilia, 8, 277-282. 630 631 632 Le Gall JY, Lebeau A, Kopp J (1985) Estimation of green turtle *Chelonia mydas* hatching on breeding places of Europa and Tromelin (Indian Ocean). Oceanographie tropicale, 20, 117-633 634 133. 635 636 Lessios HA, Kessing BD, Pearse JS (2001) Population structure and speciation in tropical 637 seas: global phylogeography of the sea urchin Diadema. *Evolution*, **55**, 955-975. 638 639 Limpus C, Chaloupka M (1997) Nonparametric regression modelling of green sea turtle growth rates (Southern Great Barrier Reef). Marine Ecology Progress Series 149, 23-24. 640 641 642 Limpus C, Walter D (1980) The growth of immature green turtles (Chelonia mydas) 643 under natural conditions. *Herpetologica*, **36**, 162-165 644 645 Limpus C, Carter D, Hamann M (2001) The green turtle, Chelonia mydas, in Queensland, Australia: the Bramble Cay rookery in the 1979-1980 breeding season. Chelonian Conservation 646 647 and biology, 4, 34-46. 648 649 Limpus C, Eggler JP, Miller JD (1994) Long interval remigration in eastern Australian 650 Chelonia. Proceedings of the thirteenth annual symposium on sea turtle biology and 651 conservation. 85-88. 652 653 Limpus C, Miller JD, Parmenter CJ, Reiner D, Mclachlan N, Webb R (1992) Migration of green (Chelonia mydas) and loggerhead (Caretta caretta) turtles to and from east Australian 654 655 rookeries. Wildlife Research, 19, 347-358. 656 657 Lutjeharms JRE (2005) The coastal oceans of south-eastern Africa. In: The Sea (ed. Robinson AR, Brink K), 14, pp 781-832. Chicago University Press, Chicago. 658 659

660 661	Lutjeharms JRE, Bang ND, Duncan CP (1981) Characteristics of the currents east and south of Madagascar. <i>Deep-Sea Research</i> . <b>28A</b> , 879-899.
662	
663	Lutieharms JRE. Wedepohl PM. Meeuwis JM (2000) On the surface drift at the East
664	Madagascar and the Mozambique Currents, South African Journal of Science, <b>96</b> , 141-147.
665	
666	Mantel N (1967) The detection of disease clustering and generalized regression approach.
667	Cancer Research 27 209-220
668	
669	Meylan AB (1982) Sea turtle migration - evidence from tag returns In: <i>Biology and</i>
670	conservation of sea turtles (ed Biorndal KA) pp 91-100 Smithsonian Institution Press
671	Washington DC
672	Wushington, D.C.
673	Miller ID (1997) Reproduction in Sea Turtles In: The Biology of Sea Turtles (ed. Lutz PI
67 <i>4</i>	Musick IA) np 51-81 Boca Raton CRC press USA
675	e. Musick <i>JAY</i> , pp. 51-61. Doed Raton CRC press, ODA.
676	Moritz C (1994) Applications of mitochondrial DNA analysis in conservation: a critical
677	review Molecular Ecology <b>3</b> 401 411
678	Teview. Moleculur Ecology, 3, 401-411.
679	Mortimer IA (1984) Marine turtles in the Republic of Sevenelles: status and Management
680	Publication of the IUCN Conservation Library Gland Switzerland
681	Tublication of the TOCN Conservation Elorary, Gland, Switzerland.
682	Mortimer IA (1988) Green turtle nesting at Aldahra stoll – Population estimates and
683	trends Biological society of Washington 8 116-128
684	ucids. Diological society of Washington, 6, 110-128.
685	Mortimer IA Carr A (1987) Penroduction and migration of the Ascension Island green
686	turtle (Chelonia mydas) Coneia <b>1087</b> 103-113
687	unue (Chelonia myaus). Copeia, <b>196</b> 7, 105-115.
688	Mortimer IA Day M (1999) Sea turtle populations and habitats in the Chagos
689	Archinelago In: Ecology of the Chagos Archinelago (ed Sheppard CRC Seaward MRD) pp
600	150-172 Linnean Society of London (Occasional Publication 2) Westbury publishing Otley
690 691	139-172. Ennean Society of London (Occasional Fublication 2), westoury publishing, Oney.
602	Mortimer IA Juniter T Collie I at al (In press) Trends in the Green Turtle (Chalonia
603	molumer JA, Jupiter I, Come J et al. ( <i>In press</i> ) fields in the Oreen Furthe ( <i>Chelonia</i> mudas) Nesting Dopulation at Aldabra Atoll. Saychalles (WIO) and their Implications for the
69 <i>1</i>	Region Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation
605	Kuglo Lumpur, Molowio
695	Kuala Lumpul, Malaysia.
607	Muir C (2005) The Status of Marine Turtles in Tanzonia In: Marine Turtle Undate
6097	Mull C (2003) The Status of Marine Furthes in Tanzania. In. Murine Furthe Opaule –
600	Wilson A) 2 nn 14 15 WWE press Gland Swisterland
700	wilson A), 2, pp 14-15. w wr press, Oland, Swisterland.
700	Musick IA Limnus C (1007) Habitat utilization and migration in invenile sea turtles. In:
702	The Riology of Sea Turtles (ed Lutz DL & Musick IA) pp. 127-162 Doog Daton CDC proce
702	The biology of seu Turnes (ed. Luiz I L &. Musick JA), pp. 157-105. Doca Kaloli CKC press,
704	
1 1 1	

705	Norman JA, Moritz C, Limpus C (1994) Mitochondrial DNA control region
706	polymorphisms: genetic markers for ecological studies of marine turtles. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 3,
707	363-373.
708	
709	Okemwa GM, Nzuki S, Mueni EM (2004) The status and conservation of sea turtles in
710	Kenya. <i>Marine Turtle Newsletter</i> , <b>105</b> , 1-6.
711	
712	Owens DW, Ruiz GJ (1980) New methods of obtaining blood and cerebrospinal fluid
713	from marine turtles. <i>Herpetologica</i> , <b>36</b> , 17-20.
714	
715	Page RDM (1996) TREEVIEW: An application to display phylogenetic trees on personal
716	computers. Computer Applications in the Biosciences, <b>12</b> , 357-358.
717	
718	Pelletier D Roos D Ciccione S (2003) Oceanic survival and movements of wild and
719	captive-reared immature green turtles ( <i>Chelonia mydas</i> ) in the Indian Ocean. Aquatic living
720	resources 16 35-41
721	
721	Piton B. Laroche I (1993) Quelques caractéristiques hydroclimatiques du sud de
723	Madagascar Bulletin Océanographique des Pêches <b>37</b> 46-54
723	Madagasear. Darterni Occanographique des 1 cenes, 51, 40 54.
725	Piton B. Magnier V (1976) Les conditions favorables de la présence des thons de surface
725	dans les parages de Madagascar, ORSTOM 47, 203-300
720	ualis les parages de Madagascal. OKSTOM, 47, 295-509.
728	Piton R. Pointeau IH. Ngoumbi IS (1081) Las conditions favorables à la présence de
720	thons de surface dans les parages de Madagascar OPSTOM 132 1 14
720	tions de surface dans les parages de Madagascar. OK51010, 152, 1-14.
730	Quartly CD. Stokog MA (2004) Eddies in the southern Mozembique Channel, Deen Sea
751	Quarty OD, STOKOSZ MA (2004) Educes in the southern Mozanibique Channel. <i>Deep-sea</i>
152	<i>Research</i> , <b>H</b> , 09-85.
133	Delectoning DD Cooke A (1004) See turtles of Madagesser their status exploitation
734	Rakotolinina BF, Cooke A (1994) Sea turties of Madagascal – then status, exploitation
133	and conservation. $Oryx$ , 28, 51-61.
130	Delege MA Colored TC Keel CA (2004) Clobel generation constitutions and male
131	Roberts MA, Schwartz TS, Karl SA (2004) Global population genetic structure and male-
/38	mediated gene flow in the green sea turtle ( <i>Chelonia mydas</i> ): analysis of microsatellite loci.
/39	Genetics, 100, 1857-1870.
740	
/41	Rousset F (1997) Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics
742	under isolation by distance. Genetics, 145, 1219-1228.
743	
744	Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbour-joining method: a new method for reconstructing
745	phylogenetic trees. <i>Molecular Biology and Evolution</i> , <b>4</b> , 406-425.
746	
747	Schneider S, Roessli D, Escoffier L (2000) Arlequin: a software for population genetics
748	data analysis, version 2.000. Genetic and Biometry Laboratory, Departement of Anthropology,
749	University of Geneva, Switzerland.
750	

- Schouten MW, Ruijter WPM, Leeuwen PJV, Ridderinkhof H (2003) Eddies and 751 752 variability in the Mozambique Channel. Deep-Sea Research, II, 1987-2003. 753 754 Taquet C, Taquet M, Dempster T et al. (2006) Foraging of the green sea turtle Chelonia mydas on seagrass beds at Mayotte Island (Indian Ocean), determined by acoustic transmitters. 755 Marine Ecology Progress Series, **306**, 295-302 756 757 758 Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gipson TJ (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity 759 of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research, 22, 4673-4680. 760 761 Van Buskirk J, Crowder LB (1994) Life-history variation in marine turtles. Copeia, 1994, 762 763 66-81. 764 765 Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution. 38, 1358-1370. 766 767 768 Wright S (1951) The genetical structure of population. Annals of Eugenics, 15, 323-354. 769 770 771 Acknowledgment 772 773 The authors are most grateful for the financial support of this survey kindly provided by the 774 Ministère de l'Outre Mer, European Community and Région Réunion. We are also grateful to Dr Lutjeharms, Dr Bowen, Dr FitzSimmons, Dr Durand and Dr Karl for helpful comments, 775 776 discussion and laboratory tips. We acknowledge field supports coming from Les TAAF, La Marine Nationale, Météo France, La Direction de l'Agriculture et de la Forêt (DAF) and 777 Brigade Tortue of Mayotte Island; le Jardin Maoré Hotel (Mayotte) and Nosy Iranja Lodge 778 779 (Madagascar); l'Association pour le Développement Economique d'Itsamia and le Parc Marin 780 de Mohéli (Union des Comores).
- 781

At last, we want to thanks Ignace for the monitoring of sea turtle in Madagascar, the Ifremer,
CEDTM, CIRAD teams of La reunion, including training students for the time they passed on
the field collecting samples.

785 786

## 787 Figure Legends:788

Figure 1: A. Geographical locations of the ten green turtle nesting sites sampled in the South
West Indian Ocean. The pie-chart shows the frequencies of the haplotypes per nesting site. B.
Main oceanic movements in the South West Indian Ocean and nesting green turtle population
boundaries inferred from mtDNA data. The following abbreviations were used; SEC: South
Equatorial Current; SEMC: South East Madagascar Current; EACC: East African Coastal
Current; AC: Agulhas Current; CB: Comoro Basin. The numbers (1, 2, 3, and 4) in red show
the different nesting green turtle genetic stocks proposed in this study.

**Figure 2:** Neighbour-joining tree based on the mtDNA control region sequences. Bootstrap values (500 replicates) are indicated on the branches. Three clades of haplotypes were identified, called respectively 1, 2 and 3. Haplotype Cm8 is nested in the Atlantic Ocean clade B of Encalada *et al.* (1996). Haplotypes A1 & A2 and haplotypes C3 & D2 are nested in the Indo-Pacific Ocean clades V and I respectively of Dethmers *et al.* (submitted).

802

**Figure 3**: Regression of genetic distances, *Fst/(1-Fst)*, versus geographic distances (km) in the

ten green turtle nesting sites sampled for mitochondrial DNA data. Regressions were performed
 with (x) and without (o) Europa and Juan de Nova.

## **Tables and Figures:**

**Table 1**: Mitochondrial DNA variants detected among green turtle population nesting in ten 809 different sites in the South West Indian Ocean. Haplotype (*h*) and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) for 810 the ten populations in the North Mozambique Channel (NMC) and South Mozambique Channel 811 (SMC).

		Date of									Haplotype	Nucleotide
	Location	sampling	CM8	C3	May23	D2	Glo33	A1	A2	total	diversity ( <i>h</i> )	diversity ( $\pi$ )
	Europa	1997/2003	31	2						33	0.1174	0.0076
$1^{\rm C}$		1999										
SN	Juan de Nova		11	8					1	20	0.5632	0.0360
	Total SMC		42	10					1	53	0.3425	0.0221
	Nosy Iranja	2004		13						13	0	0
	Mayotte	2004	5	30	2			1	3	41	0.4524	0.0231
	Mohéli	2004	1	27	2	1		1	2	34	0.3708	0.0133
AC	Glorieuses	2004		31			1		7	39	0.3441	0.0168
ź	Cosmoledo	1996		24				3	4	31	0.3871	0.0210
	Aldabra	1996		18				1	7	26	0.4646	0.0249
	Farquhar	1996		3				1	3	7	0.7143	0.0342
	Total NMC		6	146	4	1	1	7	26	191	0.3964	0.01962
	Tromelin	1997		38					6	44	0.2410	0.0132
	Total		48	194	4	1	1	7	33	288	0.5063	0.0289

**Table 2**: Polymorphic sites corresponding to the 7 green turtle haplotypes detected in the South West Indian Ocean from a 396bp 815 fragment of mtDNA control region sequence.

Base																				
positions	32	45	71	82	87	88	89	92	93	95	108	109	110	111	112	135	136	146	147	149
Haplotypes																				
Glo33	Т	С	А	G	Т	Α	С	Т	С	G	Α	Α	Т	Α	С	G	G	С	Т	Т
May23	Т	С	А	Α	Т	Α	С	Т	Т	G	Α	А	G	Α	С	G	G	С	Т	Т
D2	Т	С	А	G	Т	Α	С	Т	Т	G	Α	А	G	Α	С	G	G	С	Т	Т
CM8	Т	С	G	Α	Т	G	С	С	Т	G	Α	А	G	С	Т	А	А	С	С	С
A2	С	С	А	Α	С	G	Т	Т	Т	А	G	G	А	Α	С	G	А	С	С	С
A1	С	-	А	А	С	G	Т	Т	Т	А	Α	G	А	Α	С	А	А	Т	С	С
C3	Т	С	А	G	Т	Α	С	Т	Т	G	Α	Α	G	Α	С	G	G	С	Т	Т
	151	153	155	163	222	226	236	248	290	307	328	329	336	343	344	345	347	353	359	360
Haplotypes																				
Glo33	А	С	А	С	С	А	Α	G	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	G	G	Т	Α	С	-
May23	А	С	А	С	С	А	Α	G	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	G	G	Т	Α	С	-
D2	А	С	А	С	С	Α	А	G	А	Т	Α	С	Α	Т	G	G	Т	Α	С	-
CM8	G	Т	G	Т	Т	G	С	G	G	С	G	Т	Α	Т	А	А	Т	G	Т	Т
A2	А	Т	G	Т	Т	Α	А	А	Α	Т	Α	Т	G	С	Α	А	Т	Α	С	-
A1	А	Т	G	Т	Т	А	А	Α	А	Т	Α	Т	G	Т	А	А	С	А	С	-
C2	۸	С	Δ	С	С	Δ	А	G	Δ	Т	Α	Т	А	т	G	G	т	А	С	_

**Table 3:** Genetic differentiation (*Fst*) between the 10 locations sampled in the South West Indian Ocean (above diagonal) and estimation of the number of migrant per generation (*Nm*; below diagonal). The significance of permutation test (10 000 permutations) are shown for P < 0.05 (\*) and P < 0.001 (\*\*\*).

821

Fst _Nm	Europa	Juan de Nova	Nosy Iranja	Mayotte	Mohéli	Glorieuses	Cosmoledo	Aldabra	Farquhar	Tromelin
Europa		0.3030 *	0.9113 ***	0.6465 ***	0.7343 ***	0.7497 ***	0.7125 ***	0.7388 ***	0.7368 ***	0.8031***
Juan de Nova	1.22		0.5831 ***	0.3151 ***	0.4160 ***	0.4502 ***	0.5280***	0.3757 ***	0.4189 ***	0.5280***
Nosy Iranja	0.03	0.19		0.0793	0.0406	0.0842	0.1742	0.078	0.5011*	0.0466
Mayotte	0.13	0.49	4.46		-0.0106	- 0.017	0.0304	0.004	0.1473*	0.0326
Mohéli	0.09	0.32	14.97	$\infty$		-0.0023	0.0374	-0.0111	0.2027*	0.0023
Glorieuses	0.08	0.27	5.07	14.97	$\infty$		0.0035	-0.0112	0.1604	-0.0118
Cosmoledo	0.1	0.39	1.52	7.03	6.43	70.41		-0.0001	0.0124	0.0425
Aldabra	0.09	0.32	4.6	89.66	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$		0.1317	0.0014
Farquhar	0.09	0.54	0.34	1.39	0.98	1.09	19.98	1.65		0.2911*
Tromelin	0.06	0.2	10.17	7.12	106.43	$\infty$	5.63	173.86	0.61	

823 **Table 4:** Analysis of variance (AMOVA) results for the South West Indian Ocean groups of 824 green turtle nesting sites. AG is the among-groups component variance; AP/WG is the among-825 populations/within-group component of variance; WP is the within-population component of 826 variance. The significance of permutation test (10 000 permutations) are shown for P < 0.05 (\*), 827 P < 0.01 (\*\*) and P < 0.001 (\*\*\*).

828

Name		Grouping scheme	Variance	% of	F - statistics	
		Grouping scheme	component	variance	1 - statistics	
			AG	55.84	Fct = 0.55835*	
GP1	Group 1	Europa - Juan de nova	AP/WG	2.9	Fsc = 0.06562*	
	Group 2	Other islands	WP	41.27	Fst = 0.58733***	
	Group 1	Europa - Juan de nova	AG	53.96	Fct = 0.53959*	
GP2	Group 2	Farquhar	AP/WG	2.43	Fsc = 0.05272*	
	Group 3	Other islands	WP	43.61	Fst = 0.56388***	
	Group 1	Europa	AG	57.18	Fct = 0.57178*	
GP3	Group 2	Juan de nova	AP/WG	1.46	Fsc = 0.03413*	
	Group 3	Other islands	WP	41.36	Fst = 0.58640***	
	Group 1	Europa	AG	55.65	Fct = 0.55653 **	
GP4	Group 2	Juan de nova	AP/WG	0.76	Fsc = 0.01720	
	Group 3	Other islands	WP	43.58	Fst =0.56416***	
	Group 4	Farquhar				





**Figure 3** 



 $\times\,\text{All}$  data • without Europa and Juan de Nova