Geophysical characterization of bottom simulating reflectors in the Fairway Basin (off New Caledonia, Southwest Pacific), based on high resolution seismic profiles and heat flow data
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Abstract:
High-resolution reflection and refraction seismic data were collected in 2004 to investigate, in further detail than allowed by pre-existing low resolution seismic data, the nature of a Bottom Simulating Reflector (BSR) that extends over a broad area of the Fairway Basin, a rifted, continental structure located on the eastern flank of the Lord Howe Rise, to the southwest of New Caledonia. Two main reflectors are documented: the shallower (RN) mimics the seafloor and has a negative polarity while the deeper (RP) does not always mimic the seafloor and has a positive polarity. Using the existing regional seismic lines, we can show that reflector RN can be continuously followed up to DSDP 208 drill hole site. Reflector RP is discontinuous and cannot be traced to DSDP 208. Based on DSDP 208 stratigraphic data, Reflector RN is assigned to the Eocene/Oligocene regional unconformity; reflector RP is interpreted in terms of a diagenetic BSR, likely related to an Opal-A/Opal-CT transition front. Heat flow data collected in 2006 suggest that reflector RP lies too deep to be related to methane hydrates, strengthening our interpretation that RP is of diagenetic origin.
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1. Introduction
Following R/V “Rig Seismic” AGSO Surveys 177 (e.g. Ramsay et al., 1997) and 206 (e.g. [Lafoy et al., 1998] and [Bernardel, 1999]), a Bottom Simulating Reflector (BSR) was identified (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) in the southernmost part of the Central Fairway Basin (Exon et al., 1998). This BSR was later shown, from ZoNeCo 5 cruise data (Auzende et al., 2000a J.-M. Auzende, S. Van de Beuque, G. Dickens, C. François, Y. Lafoy, O. Voutay and N. Exon, Deep sea diapirs and bottom simulating reflector in Fairway basin (SW Pacific), Marine Geophysical Researches 21 (2000), pp. 579–587. Full Text via CrossRef | View Record in Scopus | Cited By in Scopus (10)Auzende et al., 2000a), to extend further north in the Central Fairway Basin, over an area of several tens of thousands of km2 located in the offshore domain of New Caledonia (Auzende et al., 2000a). The BSR lies at a depth of 500–600 m. It was described as clearly...
cross-cutting sedimentary strata, but of small amplitude and with a positive polarity.

For a general review, refer to Exon et al. (2007). Based on previous work by Exon et al. (2004a), Pecher (2004) and Nouzé et al. (2005), it is proposed that the BSR in the Fairway could result from: 1) a diagenetic phase transformation; 2) a thin gas layer with a sharp top; or 3) the sharp base of a gas layer (probably beneath gas hydrates) of thermogenic origin. As part of the ZoNeCo-11 cruise of R/V L’Atalante in 2004, further seismic field work was carried out in the Fairway basin (Figure 1) to investigate the BSR distribution and its properties in considerably greater detail than allowed by previously collected low resolution seismic data (ZoNeCo 5). Four 2D high resolution reflection seismic lines (Z11-11 to Z11-14) were shot and recorded on 12 Ocean Bottom Seismometers (OBS). The seismic study was further complemented by heat flow measurements taken at five distinct sites of the Fairway Basin with R/V Marion Dufresne during the ZoNeCo-12/Ausfair cruise (Foucher and scientific party, 2006). Here, we present these newly acquired seismic and heat flow data and discuss the nature of the BSR in the Fairway Basin.

2. Regional geological context

The Fairway Basin is located between Australia and New Caledonia. It extends over about 800 km in a NNW-SSE direction. It is ~120-200 km wide, limited to the SW by the Lord Howe Rise and separated from the New Caledonia basin by the Fairway Ridge to the NE (Figure 1). In the Fairway Basin, seafloor depth increases southwards, from ~1500 m to ~3000 m, as well as the sedimentary thickness - from about 2000 m in the North Fairway Basin, up to 4000m in the South Fairway Basin (e.g. Auzende et al., 2000a; 2000b).

The Fairway Basin was interpreted until recently (e.g. Ravenne et al., 1977; Lafoy et al., 1994), as an oceanic basin resulting from the fragmentation of the eastern Gondwana during the Late Cretaceous and Early Palaeogene (82-52 Ma) (e.g. Weissel and Hayes, 1972; Hayes Dennis and Ringis, 1973; Gaina et al., 1998). Based on new refraction and reflection seismic data, it is now proposed that it has developed on a thinned continental crust (Lafoy et al., 2005; Klingelhoefer et al., 2007).

Using previous seismic surveys (Van de Beuque et al., 1998, 2003; Auzende et al., 2000c; Exon et al., 2004b) and DSDP drilling (e.g. Burns et al., 1973d; 1973b), Exon et al. (2007) have proposed a regional stratigraphy comprising three main sedimentary units. Upper Cretaceous sequences (Unit 3) appear on seismic sections as a poorly bedded unit, resting on the
basement. They are overlain by Paleocene and Eocene chalks and radiolarites with cherts (Unit 2) that correspond to a well-bedded seismic unit up to 1500 m thick. Upper Oligocene to Middle Miocene chalks, and Middle Miocene to recent calcareous oozes (Unit 1) form the upper, more transparent seismic unit that is 500 to 600 m thick in our study area. Two major, regional unconformities delimit these three major seismic units, respectively: the mid-Paleocene/Lower Eocene unconformity and the Upper Eocene/Lower Oligocene unconformity. Figure 3 synthesises the geological data available from DSDP well sites 206, 207 and 208.

Diapirs were reported in the Fairway Basin (Auzende et al., 2000a; 2000c; Van de Beuque, 2003). These are circular features, ~ 3 to 15 km wide, or form elongated ridges up to 50 km long. They do not appear to pierce through the seabed but some reach shallow sub-bottom depths. Diapiric material appears to arise from the basal sedimentary layer of the basin, presumably made of Upper Cretaceous sediments. The nature of this layer remains however debated. Diapirs could be salt diapirs or mud diapirs as the area was not favourable to salt deposition during Cretaceous times. Other larger diapir-like features could well be of volcanic origin (Lafoy et al., 1994; Exon et al., 2007).

3. High-Resolution seismic data: acquisition and processing details

The surface seismic acquisition system was set up to acquire high resolution data (Figure 1). It uses a 3.3 km long streamer composed of 264 live channels, with a 12.5 m group interval and towed at 3 m immersion. The data were sampled at 1 ms, and recorded using a SEAL lab. The source was composed of an array of 2 G-I guns and 3 mini G-I, with a total volume of 396 inch$^3$. It was operated at a nominal pressure of 140 bars, and immersion was set between 2.0 and 3.0 m in order to increase the high frequency content of the recorded signal, which was centered on 90 Hz. Shooting interval was 25 m, resulting in a maximum fold of 66. The processing sequence applied to the high resolution seismic reflection data comprised: 1) SegD data input; 2) geometry and CDP binning; 3) shooting delay correction; 4) frequency band pass filtering (15-230 Hz); 5) Spherical divergence corrections (water velocity); 6) velocity analysis; 7) Normal Move Out corrections; 8) CDP stacking; 9) Poststack time migration with a smoothed velocity model. These 4 profiles show good quality data (Figure 4 and 5). Penetration observed on processed data reaches about 2 s two way timetravel (twt) (except when crossing the ridge) and vertical resolution is better than 10 m.

The seismic signal was also recorded on 12 OBSs, that were deployed in 3 clusters, each cluster being composed of three OBSs deployed about 500 m apart from each other along the main
shooting line (Z11-11) and one OBS offset by about 1 km in a direction perpendicular to the main
shooting line. This acquisition scheme was designed to investigate the subsurface at 3 sites
distinguished by their location with respect to the dome that affects the basement near the
intersection between lines Z11-11 and Z11-12: Site 1 is located away from the basement dome; Site
2 is on its flank; and Site 3 at its apex. OBS data was recorded at 1 ms sampling rate. The
processing sequence applied to the OBS records is summarised as follows: 1) shot time quality
control and corrections (instrument skew, shooting delay, instrument recording delay); 2) data
conversion to SegY format; 3) frequency band pass filtering (15-230 Hz); 4) picking of direct
arrival times; 5) re-positioning (X, Y, Z) of OBS and determination of a best-fitting water velocity
from the inversion of first arrival times; 6) spherical divergence corrections. The vertical resolution
of the OBS hydrophone data, reported in this paper, is better than 10 m, and thanks to a low noise
level on the instruments, sediment penetration reaches 2s twt.

4. Analysis of ZoNeCo-11 high resolution seismic data

Seismic line Z11-11 is centered on a basement dome, about 5 km wide (Figure 4). The basement
reflector that delimits the dome appears to extend laterally to deep events marked as B on both sides
of the dome. From the basement (above B events) to the seabed, the three main sedimentary units
that have been proposed by Exon et al. (2007) are recognized :

- Unit 3, about 300 ms thick at Site 1 (OBS 03), shows low amplitude, discontinuous
  reflectors. This unit disappears near the dome.
- Unit 2 is composed of continuous, sub parallel strata. It is separated from Unit 3,
  underneath, by a strong positive polarity reflector, C. The top of the layer is marked by a
  reflector, hereafter named RN, of strong amplitude and negative polarity. A strong reflector
  with positive polarity, RP, cuts across the strata of Unit 2. Away from the dome, RP is
  slightly chaotic. On the flanks, RP deepens, clearly cross cuts stratigraphic horizons, and
  sometimes coincides with these stratigraphic horizons for a short distance (Figure 4 and 5).
  RP is associated with a change in reflectivity, especially visible on the flanks of the
  intrusion, where reflectivity amplitudes are attenuated and frequency spectra are altered
  below RP with respect to the strata above.
- Unit 1 appears as poorly reflective and affected by numerous sub-vertical faults (examples
  marked by F on Figure 4 and 5).

Reflectors RN and RP were further characterized using OBS data. Arrival times were 2D-inverted
into velocity profiles using RAYINV software of Zelt and Smith (1992). In order to pick the arrival
times more easily, the seabed on OBS gathers was “flattened” using the re-positioned source and
receiver locations and the best-fitting water velocity to calculate a direct arrival time that was
subsequently subtracted from the arrival times for this configuration. Sediment velocity resolution
ranges from about 25 m/s for shallow layers to about 80 m/s for deep layers. Then, the OBS
reflectors were correlated with HR seismic line reflectors (RN and RP on Figure 6b). The results
clearly indicate that the P-wave velocity decreases with depth across RN, while it increases across
RP (Figure 6b).

5. DSDP stratigraphy.

DSDP Site 208 on the Lord Howe Rise is of particular interest to this study because the stratigraphy
revealed by the drilling data can be seismically tied up to the Fairway Basin (Figure 2). Drilling
penetrated 320 m of recent foraminifera-bearing to Middle Miocene nannofossil ooze, then about
170 m of Middle Miocene to Middle Eocene nannofossil chalks (e.g. Burns et al., 1973d; Kennett et
al., 1986). At 488 m below the seabed, the drilling reached the Eocene/Oligocene unconformity
(Figure 3). Below the unconformity, siliceous sediments (radiolaria, diatoms, sponge spicules,
silicoflagellata) were recovered, the matrix being composed of calcium carbonate. P-wave
velocities and sediment densities at Site 208 decrease with depth across the Eocene / Oligocene
unconformity because of the occurrence of siliceous sediments of increased sediment porosity
(Burns et al., 1973a; 1973d). The oldest sediments drilled at DSDP Site 208 are Upper Cretaceous
marine silico-clastic sediments.

Site 206 in the South New Caledonia Basin, penetrated the same sedimentary sequence (Figure 3).
The Eocene / Oligocene unconformity was reached at 614 m below the seabed and the same P-wave
velocity and density inversions were observed across this unconformity. Drilling data thus confirms
the regional extent of the sedimentary units and unconformities.

6. Linking DSDP Site 208 to the Fairway Basin: Regional Significance of RN and RP

6.1 Reflector RN

To correlate the stratigraphic information from DSDP Site 208 to the reflectors documented in the
Fairway Basin, we used the multichannel seismic lines collected during AGSO/Surveys 206 and
177 of R/V Rig Seismic (Figure 2) and all the 6-channel seismic lines that were collected in 1999
during the ZoNeCo-5 cruise of R/V L’Atalante and recently reprocessed for the purpose of the
present work. See Appendix 1 in supplementary electronic data for more details on the correlation of seismic line s206-2 (FAUST-1 survey - (Lafoy et al., 1998) to DSDP 208 drill site (Burns et al., 1973d). At DSDP Site 208, a correspondence was established between the Eocene/Oligocene Unconformity (EOU) and a reflector documented on seismic line FAUST1-S206-2, which exhibits two remarkable characteristics: 1) it corresponds to a seismic phase inversion; 2) it separates a transparent layer with only one intra-Miocene reflector above, from a layer with numerous, well bedded sequences. This reflector, now associated to the EOU, can be tracked from the drill hole continuously to the Fairway Basin, following 2 different paths (Figure 2):

- The northern path (Collot et al., 2008): from DSDP Site 208 along line Faust1-S206-2 up to the intersection with FAUST1-S206-1; then along this line up to the crest and down to the eastern flank of Lord Howe Rise, where a reflector related to a seismic phase inversion is found at the base of a seismically transparent layer. The link to our study area in the Fairway Basin is then provided by seismic profiles ZoNeCo11-11, -10 and -09.

- The southern path: from DSDP Site 208 to the intersection with FAUST1-S206-3; then along this line up to the crest and down to the eastern flank of Lord Howe Rise (the identification in the basin is based on the same criteria as above: strong reflector with negative polarity at the base of a transparent layer) until line S 177- LHRNR-BA. This profile is very interesting because it is crosscut by numerous ZoNeCo5 profiles. The EOU was identified on all these crosscutting ZoNeCo5 profiles. Then, the link to our study area was provided by the ZoNeCo5-07 line (Figure 7) that connects to ZoNeCo-11 lines 09, 10 and 11.

In both cases, a clear correspondence is found between the EOU and reflector RN in the Fairway Basin.

In summary, RN: (1) has a negative polarity, (2) mimics approximately the sea floor but (3) does not cross-cut the sedimentary layers, (4) corresponds to the Eocene/Oligocene unconformity drilled at DSDP Site 208.

6.2 Reflector RP

Reflector RP, described above in section 4, is discontinuous and cannot be traced from the Fairway Basin to DSDP site 208. The BSR reflector that was identified by Auzende et al. (2000a) on the seismic lines collected during the ZoNeCo5 cruise, corresponds to RP (Figure 7 and 8). It is
important to note that RP lies always below the Eocene/Oligocene Unconformity.

In summary, RP: (1) has a positive polarity (2) does not always mimic the sea floor (3) clearly
cross-cuts sedimentary layers, (4) is always situated deeper than the Eocene/Oligocene
unconformity (RN), (5) corresponds to the BSR previously described by Auzende et al. (2000a)
from ZoNeCo 5 seismic data.

7. Heat flow constraints

Heat flow data (Figure 9 and Table 1) were collected at 5 different sites in the study area in
February 2006 with R/V Marion Dufresne (Foucher and scientific party, 2006). Sediment
temperature at each site was measured at up to 7 different depths in the sub-bottom by means of
thermistor temperature sensors attached on outriggers to a 18 m long lance of the CALYPSO
gravity corer of the R/V Marion Dufresne. Sediment equilibrium temperatures were extrapolated
from thermal transients recorded for 6-10 mn following penetration. Thermal conductivity was
measured by the needle probe technique on the recovered core at each heat flow measurement site.
The relative accuracy of temperature measurements is estimated to be better than 0.01 °C (for each
temperature sensor with respect to other sensors) and 5% for conductivity measured on cores.

Two sites (MD06-3022 and MD06-3023) are located along seismic profile ZoNeCo11-11. At the
apex of the basement high (site MD06-3022), the measured heat flux is 54 mW/m². Less than 2.5
km away to the south (site MD06-3023), the heat flux is 49 mW/m². The three remaining sites are
located along seismic profile ZoNeCo11-09. At these sites, the layer above the Eocene-Oligocene
Unconformity appears to be crosscut by normal faults along which fluid escapes are suspected. Heat
flux was measured to be 64, 53 and 49 mW/m² at sites MD06-3026, 3027 and 3028 respectively.

The above heat flow data provide the first available information on the thermal regime of the
Fairway Basin. The heat flow values are fairly consistent, ranging from 49 to 64 mW/m². The
spatial variability could be explained by heat flow refraction or fluid flow effects. The higher heat
flow of 54 mW/m² at the apex of the basement ridge at site MD06-3022, with respect to the slightly
lower value of 49 mW/m² measured at site MD06-3023 on the flank of this ridge, could be a result
of heat flow refraction from low thermal conductivity sediments surrounding the ridge to the higher
thermal conductivity body that the ridge is likely to be. On the other hand, the variable heat flow
values of 49-64 mW/m² at sites MD06-3026, 3027 and 3028 may indicate disturbances to the
conductive thermal regime due to fluid advection along normal faults observed on the seismic
section Z11-09.
Based on these data, the gas hydrate z-T stability field was calculated using the method described in Sultan et al. (2004). Thermal conductivity was measured on cores taken at heat flow stations. Measurements indicate a value of ~1.0 WK⁻¹m⁻¹ at seafloor. For deeper sediments, thermal conductivity was estimated from:

\[ \lambda = \lambda_w (1-\phi) \lambda_m \phi \]

where \( \lambda_w \) is the thermal conductivity of water (0.7 WK⁻¹m⁻¹), \( \lambda_m \) is the thermal conductivity of the sediment matrix and \( \phi \) is the porosity. DSDP Sites 206, 207 and 208 data showed an upper sedimentary sequence made of calcareous ooze, from Oligocene to Recent, above the Eocene-Oligocene unconformity, and a mean porosity of 60%. Taking \( \lambda_m = 3.0 \) WK⁻¹m⁻¹, a conventional value for calcite, and \( \phi = 0.6 \), an estimated value of the thermal conductivity, \( \lambda_s \), is 1.25 WK⁻¹m⁻¹. Allowing for some uncertainty in this value and its distribution with depth, the thermal conductivity was assumed to be constant with depth and ascribed to vary between 1.0 and 1.5 WK⁻¹m⁻¹. We have also assumed that: 1) heat transfer in the sediment column is purely conductive; 2) sediment pore water salinity is constant and equal to ~ 34.9 °/oo (Jean-Luc Charlou, pers. comm. 2008); 3) hydrate is from methane gas only. The depths of the base of the gas hydrate stability zone (GHSZ) at each heat flow measurement site was computed using the HYSFA Code (Sultan et al., 2004). Results are listed in Table 2. At all sites, except for MD06-2328, reflector RP lies deeper than what is considered to be an acceptable range for the base of the methane gas hydrate stability zone. Heat flow data alone cannot be considered as a proof per se, as other sources of uncertainties - such as gas composition or depth determination - may occur. If the clathrate gas were made of hydrocarbon gases heavier than methane, the theoretical BSR could lie deeper than expected. Also, errors in depth conversion cannot be ruled out, however the quality of the high resolution data that we use and the availability of velocity determinations based on OBSs (Figure 6) are such that uncertainties appear unlikely to significantly alter our conclusions.

8. Discussion: nature of the BSR in the Fairway Basin

Here, we discuss the different hypotheses retained by Exon et al. (2007) to explain the occurrence of the BSR (our RP reflector), mainly: gas or gas hydrate versus diagenetic front. A BSR at the top of a gas hydrate layer has been observed on the Nankai margin, offshore Japan (Nouze et al., 2004), where coarse sediment layers contain large amounts of gas hydrate (up to 70-80% of the pore space). As illustrated by this example, a significant content of hydrate is necessary to create an impedance contrast strong enough to generate a clear reflector associated with the presence of hydrate, and thus a significant amount of gas is required in the sediment to form this hydrate. The
BSR in the Fairway Basin is present extensively (Auzende et al., 2000a), but there has been so far no evidence of a high content of potentially-hydrate-forming gas (hydrocarbons) in cores taken in the Fairway Basin along ZoNeCo-11 study site (Jean-Luc Charlou, personal communication). Furthermore, should the top of a gas hydrate layer be detected by a BSR, one would expect its bottom to be observed as well, as this is the case in the Nankai margin (e.g. Nouze et al., 2004). Another strong argument against the hydrate-related BSR hypothesis arises from the heat flow results presented in this paper. If hydrate occurrences in the Fairway Basin were to be dominated by methane hydrate, the BSR would lie too deep below the seabed to lie in the stability field of a methane hydrate. Moreover, the large lateral extent of the BSR within the Fairway Basin over more than 70,000 km², mapped with the ZoNeCo-5 survey by Auzende et al. (2000a), is unusual for gas-hydrate BSR and much more typical for opal A / CT BSR. Note that Exon et al. (2004a; 2007) identified a similar BSR farther south (around 31°S) in the southern Fairway Basin, located on the flanks of the East Lord Howe Spur (profiles 10 and 11 of seismic survey s232). This further confirms the regional extent of the BSR and reinforces the argument of a non-gas-hydrate related BSR.

On the other hand, Exon et al. (2007) considered that if the BSR were of diagenetic origin, « it could only have been generated by an upward moving, silica-rich diagenetic front ». These authors rejected the hypothesis of an Opal-A / Opal-CT transition because, according to their interpretation, the BSR would lie above the Eocene/Oligocene unconformity, hence in carbonates almost devoid of silica according to DSDP Site 208 data. Our interpretation for the position of the Eocene/Oligocene unconformity in the Fairway Basin differs from that proposed by Exon et al. (2007). We have shown that the BSR indeed lies within Eocene siliceous sediments. Thus the major argument against the hypothesis no longer holds. On the contrary, the recovery in mid-Eocene sediments at DSDP Site 208 of a piece of porcelanite, made from cristobalite (i.e. Opal-CT) resulting from re-crystallisation of biogenic silica, gives support to the interpretation of the BSR as an impedance contrast at the Opal-A / Opal-CT transition.

The Opal-A / Opal-CT transition has been widely discussed in the literature. Opal-A, which was defined by Jones and Segnit (1971), is a siliceous ooze resulting from the dissolution of siliceous organisms tests. With the burying with sediments, pressure and temperature increase and a dissolution re-precipitation reaction converts opal-A into opal-CT. This reaction generates an interface between the two types of opal with an increase in density and a decrease in porosity and permeability, involving an impedance contrast strong enough to create a reflector with positive polarity (e.g. Ramsay, 1971; Hempel et al., 1989; Lee et al., 2003). Temperature is a main parameter
controlling the Opal-A/Opal-CT transition. However, this diagenetic process is also influenced by pressure, time (related to the burying of the siliceous sediments), nature of the surrounding rocks (e.g. carbonates increase the reaction rate), and interstitial waters (e.g. Hein et al., 1978; Williams and Crerar, 1985; Kuramoto et al., 1992; Nobes et al., 1992; Davies, 2005). BSRs have been interpreted as an opal-A/Opal-CT transformation in several areas of the world including Monterey Formation in California (Isaacs, 1982), Bermuda Rise (Thein and von Rad, 1987), the Antarctic basin (Botz and Bohrmann, 1991), the Japan Sea (Kuramoto et al., 1992), the Norwegian Sea (Henrich, 1989; Berndt, 2004), the Faeroe-Shetland basin (Davies and Cartwright, 2002), New Zealand (Lynne and Campbell, 2004), the Southwest Indian ridge (Gerland et al., 1997). Volpi et al. (2003) reported observations of a diagenetic BSR related to the Opal-A/Opal-CT transformation on the Pacific margin of the Antarctic Peninsula. The BSR clearly crosscuts the stratigraphy and divides the sedimentary layer into a strong reflectivity zone (above) and a low reflectivity zone (below) (Figure 10b). One will note that this change in reflectivity across the BSR at the Antarctic site shares strong similarities with our own observations on ZoNeCo-11 seismic profiles (Figure 10a). Also, as noted by Volpi et al. (2003), the diagenetic alteration of opal-A to opal-CT causes a dramatic reduction of intra- and interskeletal porosity, resulting in overpressuring in altered sediments and settlement of channelised fluid escape features from these sediments to the seabed. Davies and Cartwright (2002) estimated that the volume reduction associated with the Opal-A/Opal-CT transformation could be 30–40%, an amount large enough to account for the development of a polygonal fault system. Such a fault system may haverenchia within the sedimentary layers above the BSR in the Fairway Basin as suggested by the complex network of small-offset vertical faults visible on our 2D seismic lines (Figure 4 and 5). All these observations favour an interpretation of the BSR in the Fairway Basin as related to an Opal-A/Opal-CT transition. Finally, on ZoNeCo-11 line Z11-11, the BSR appears to deepen on the flanks of the basement dome with respect to a bump in the sedimentary layers (Figure 5). A downward shift in the Opal A – Opal CT transition could result from a pressure decrease due to faulting or a temperature distribution altered by the formation of the basement dome.

9. Conclusion

The present work sheds light on the stratigraphy of the Fairway Basin as well as the nature of its BSR. Two major reflectors, RN and RP, were identified on the high-resolution lines, and correlated to DSDP drilling data. RN is a negative polarity reflector, mimicking approximately the seafloor. According to our interpretation, RN is a regional reflector that marks the Eocene/Oligocene unconformity. The negative polarity of RN is accounted for by low Vp velocity and density of
silica-rich Eocene sediments with respect to overlying Oligocene carbonate oozes. RP is a positive polarity reflector, crosscutting sediment strata. Both seismic and heat flow data tend to support the interpretation of this reflector as a diagenetic front (Opal-A / opal-CT transition) rather than a methane-hydrate BSR.
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Table 1: Heat flow measurements collected during the AUSFAIR/ZoNeCo-12 after Foucher et al. (2006) in the French EEZ. Two measurements were made at each site (except MD06-3023) by multiple entry into the sediments using the PO-GO like technique. The thermal measurement at site MD06-3026-2 is not reliable, as the corer bent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>23°12.11</td>
<td>23°12.10</td>
<td>23°13.25</td>
<td>23°13.24</td>
<td>23°56.26</td>
<td>23°56.24</td>
<td>24°40.61</td>
<td>24°45.20</td>
<td>24°45.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>163°27.94</td>
<td>163°27.89</td>
<td>163°29.65</td>
<td>163°29.65</td>
<td>163°27.72</td>
<td>163°27.67</td>
<td>163°36.14</td>
<td>163°36.95</td>
<td>163°36.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>2294</td>
<td>2289</td>
<td>2344</td>
<td>2344</td>
<td>2717</td>
<td>2717</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>2716</td>
<td>2716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWT (°C)</td>
<td>1.976</td>
<td>1.958</td>
<td>1.910</td>
<td>1.910</td>
<td>1.835</td>
<td>1.8185</td>
<td>1.820</td>
<td>1.823</td>
<td>1.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pen. (°C/km)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2717</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad T</td>
<td>54.2 ±1.13</td>
<td>55.2 ±2.56</td>
<td>47.4 ±1.12</td>
<td>46.5 ±1.11</td>
<td>65.8 ±4.62</td>
<td>77.1 ±4.09</td>
<td>52.6 ±2.94</td>
<td>49.0 ±2.53</td>
<td>47.7 ±1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond. (W/m²)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.74 ±0.04</td>
<td>4.65 ±0.04</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7.71 ±4.09</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (W/m²)</td>
<td>53.82 ± 1.13</td>
<td>54.81 ± 2.56</td>
<td>54.81 ± 2.56</td>
<td>48.66 ± 1.11</td>
<td>64.40 ± 4.62</td>
<td>75.46 ± 4.09</td>
<td>52.65 ± 2.94</td>
<td>49.79 ± 2.53</td>
<td>48.47 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: z_RN and z_RP are the depth below sea level of reflectors RN and RP at each heat flow measurement site. The depth below sea level of the base of the gas hydrate stability zone was calculated with the HYSFA code described in Sultan et al. (2004), assuming a constant thermal conductivity in the sediment column: Z_min and Z_max are for thermal conductivity equal of 1.0 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ and 1.5 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ respectively.

Figure captions

Figure 1: General location map of the North and Central Fairway Basin. The multichannel seismic
lines collected in 2004 during the ZoNeCo-11 Cruise of R/V L’Atalante are indicated in red, the High Resolution Survey area being circled in blue. Yellow dots indicate heat flow measurements collected in 2006 during the ZoNeCo-12 cruise of R/V Marion Dufresne. The green line delineates the area surveyed in 1999 during the ZoNeco-5 cruise of R/V L’Atalante.

Inset shows the implementation of the High Resolution Seismic lines (Z11-11 to Z11-14) that were recorded during the ZoNeCo-11 cruise. The seismic signal was also recorded on 12 OBSs, that were deployed in 3 clusters, each cluster being composed of three OBSs deployed about 500m apart from each other along the main shooting line (Z11-11) and one OBS offset by about 1 km in a direction perpendicular to the main shooting line. This acquisition scheme was designed to investigate the subsurface at 3 sites distinct by their location with respect to the dome that affects the basement near the intersection between lines Z11-11 and Z11-12: Site 1 is located away from the basement dome; Site 2 is on its flank; and Site 3 at its apex.

Figure 2: Location map of the existing seismic lines that were used in this study. Location of DSDP drill hole sites is also indicated. The zoom shows the paths that were followed to track the Eocene-Oligocene Unconformity from DSDP Site 208 to the High Resolution Survey Area in the Fairway Basin. The northern path (Collot et al, 2008) is underlined in yellow. The southern path is underlined in green (see text).

Figure 3: Stratigraphy at DSDP sites 206, 207 and 208 (e.g. Burns et al., 1973d; 1973c; 1973b). Modified from Collot et al. (2008) Location is shown on Figure 2.

Figure 4: Zonéco 11, High Resolution line 11 (Z11-11) shot in 2004 during the ZoNeCo-11 cruise. OBS locations are indicated, as well as heat flow sites (MD06-3023 and MD06-3022) (after Foucher et al, 2006). Faults cutting the upper sedimentary layer are shown by arrows marked with letter F. Reflectors B, C, RN and RP are described in the text.

Figure 5: Zonéco 11, High Resolution line 13 (Z11-13). F stands for « fault ». In the southwest part of the section, note the strange behaviour of RN: while RN shallows, RP deepens and cross-cuts sediments layers.

Figure 6: 6a) Data from OBS 02 (site 1). Direct arrivals from the seabottom are flattened with the best fitting water velocity; 6b) High-resolution seismic reflection section and vertical velocity profile below OBS 02, based on refraction data. Note that RN corresponds to a
decrease in velocity downwards, while RP is associated to an increase in velocity.

Figure 7: Seismic line 07, collected in 1999 during the ZoNeCo-5 cruise of R/V L’Atalante with a 6-channel streamer. After reprocessing, it clearly appears that RN corresponds to a discordance. Some truncations (T) are indicated. This line is superimposed with the Z11-09 profile of ZoNeCo-11. The ship tracks are such that the reflectors RN and RP that were identified in the ZoNeco-11 high resolution seismic lines can be followed over the Central Fairway Basin using ZoNeCo-5 lines.

Figure 8: ZoNeCo 5, line 11, showing that RN corresponds to a seismic discordance (T stands for « truncations »). In the southern part (left) RN has an inverse polarity (with respect to the water bottom reflection polarity). Whereas in the northern part (right) RN lies at the top of a basement ridge and has a positive polarity.

Figure 9: Sediment temperature profiles collected in 2006 during the ZoNeCo-12 cruise of R/V Marion Dufresne.

Figure 10: a) zoom of the north-west part of the Z11-11 profile showing that RP divides the sedimentary layers into a strong reflectivity zone (above) and a low reflectivity zone (below); b) Seismic section from the Pacific margin of the Antarctic Peninsula, after (Volpi et al, 2003). Note the reflectivity changes across the diagenetic BSR related to an opal-A/opal-CT. Also note similarities between both sections.